



FORTH REPLACEMENT CROSSING

Document title

Project

# VIBRATION MONITORING REPORT JUNE 2013

01	08/08/2013	Comments Addressed	MWN	ELS	ELS
00	10/07/2013	First Revision	MWN	SSD	SSD
Rev	Rev. Date	Purpose of revision	Made	Checked	Reviewed

Document status

# FOR REVIEW

Made by Martin Wilson	Checked By: Stewart Seed	Checked By: Stewart Seed		
Initials: MWN	Initials: SSD			
Document number		Rev		
REP-00126		01		

This document is intellectual property of FCBC Construction JV. Copying, distribution, usage, and information on contents of this are forbidden unless explicitly authorized.



# **Contents**

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Monitoring Summary
- 3. Conclusion

# **Appendices:**

Appendix A: Vibration Assessments from Relevant PCNVs

Appendix B: PPV and VDV Graphs



#### INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. Monitoring of construction vibration is being undertaken by FCBC during the construction of the new Forth Crossing and associated road network. This report covers the month of June 2013. The objective of this report is to detail the vibration monitoring that has been undertaken across the site during this period, which has been done so in accordance with the Code of Construction Practice (CoCP), and Noise and Vibration Management Plan (NVMP).
- 1.2. FCBC have risk assessed noise & vibration resulting from all construction activities through the production of Plans for Control of Noise & Vibration (PCNVs). During the preparation of the PCNVs, the assessment/prediction of vibration levels has illustrated that no construction plant, equipment or methodology to be utilised by FCBC during the period in question, was envisaged to induce any levels of vibration at receptors that would exceed the vibration threshold levels stated in the CoCP. These assessments/predictions have been validated by means of the vibration monitoring results displayed in this report.



#### 2. MONITORING SUMMARY

- 2.1. Due to the location and sensitivity of vibration monitoring equipment, the exceedances presented in the graphs included in the appendices of this report do not represent levels generated by construction, but rather show local interference around the monitoring equipment. This can include, for example, doors being slammed, or indeed any significant movements occurring close to the monitoring equipment.
- 2.2. According to the BS5228-2 (2009) there is minimal documented proof of actual damage to structures or their finishes resulting from construction, and damage resulting solely from well-controlled construction and demolition vibrations is rare. There are many other mechanisms which cause damage, especially in decorative finishes, and it is often incorrectly concluded that vibrations from construction and demolition sites are to blame. In many cases it is not possible to ascertain the exact source of vibration, though it is possible to rule out construction as a source on an activity basis.
- **2.3.** The works carried out in each of the various construction work areas as well as the related vibration assessments are summarised in Appendix A.
- 2.4. Considering the distances between the various construction work areas and sensitive receptors as well as working methods utilised, the risk of any damage to structures or nuisance to residents occurring as a result FCBC construction related vibration is highly unlikely.
- **2.5.** The number of threshold exceedances at the various vibration monitoring stations during the period in question are shown in Table 1 below.



Table 1: Exceedances of thresholds set out in the CoCP

June 2013

	PPV Exceedance		VDV Exceedance	
Location	Continuous (5 mm.s <sup>-1</sup> )	Intermittent (10 mm.s <sup>-1</sup> )	Day (0.4 m.s <sup>-1.75</sup> )	Night (0.2 m.s <sup>-1.75</sup> )
Butlaw Fisheries	1	0	0	0
Clufflat Brae	13	2	0	0
Dundas Home Farm	0	0	0	6
Echline	1	0	0	0
Inchgarvie Lodge	0	0	0	0
Linn Mill	7	4	0	0
Scotstoun	0	0	0	0
Springfield	1	0	0	0
Tigh-Na-Grian	4	4	0	1
Whinnyhill	5	12	0	0

- **2.6.** Peak Particle Velocity (PPV) is used to measure vibration through a solid surface. When a vibration is measured, the point at which the measurement takes place can be considered to have a particle velocity. This particle vibration will take place in three dimensions (x, y and z).
- 2.7. The Peak Particle Velocity is the highest velocity that is recorded during a particular event, and as such is appropriate for the measurement of activities such as blasting and piling. The thresholds for the Forth Replacement Crossing are 5 mm.s<sup>-1</sup> for continuous construction (e.g. piling), and 10 mm.s<sup>-1</sup> for intermittent construction (e.g. blasting).
- 2.8. These thresholds are set to protect against building damage. For this monitoring period, all the exceedances have been investigated thoroughly and appear to have been generated as a result of standalone, instantaneous events arising from local interferences, the exact source of which remains unknown.
- **2.9.** Vibration Dose Value (VDV) is a metric used in vibration monitoring. It is calculated by taking the fourth root of the integral of the fourth power of acceleration after it has been frequency-weighted. The frequency-weighted acceleration is measured in m.s<sup>-2</sup> and the time period over which the VDV is measured is in seconds. This yields VDVs in m.s<sup>-1.75</sup>.



- 2.10. The vibration dose value (VDV), a cumulative measurement of the vibration level received over an 8-hour or 16-hour period, is recommended in BS 6472 as the appropriate measure to evaluate human exposure to vibration in buildings in residential and other uses.
- 2.11. During the monitoring period, vibratory rollers and whacker plates were used intermittently at several locations around the site. Due to the distances between the work areas and any sensitive receptors, none of the exceedances in VDV levels can be associated with the use of vibratory rollers or whacker plates.
- 2.12. In addition, detailed investigation of all exceedances (i.e. review of PPV levels over 30 seconds periods) has shown that each resulted from isolated, non-construction related events, which likely occurred close to the monitoring station.
- **2.13.** Within the Appendix B, there are short gaps of missing data in the PPV and VDV graphs. These occurred as a result of monitoring device errors.



### 3. CONCLUSION

- **3.1.** Considering the distance between FCBC construction works and sensitive receptors, and the methods of working utilised, the risk of damage to structures or nuisance to residents resulting from vibration is highly unlikely.
- **3.2.** Due to the location and sensitivity of vibration monitoring equipment, the exceedances presented in the graphs included in the appendices of this report do not represent levels generated by construction, but rather show local interference around the monitoring equipment.



# APPENDIX A – MONITORING LOCATIONS & VIBRATION ASSESSMENTS FROM RELEVANT PCNVs



**Table 2: Monitoring Locations** 

Ref.	Monitoring Location	Crossing or Network	Main Construction Activities During June 2013
M1	Whinny Hill	Network	Band drains at King Malcolm Drive Excavation works at Castlandhill Road  N.B. No evening, night time or Sunday daytime construction in vicinity.
МЗ	Tigh-Na-Grian	Crossing	Works within Central Tower cofferdam North Tower airlifting works
M7	Butlaw Fisheries	Crossing	Works within Central Tower cofferdam South Tower excavation & airlifting Pier S1 caisson excavation Pier S4 airlifting Pier S5 airlifting & concrete works Pier S6 cleaning and backfilling Society Road embankment works
M10	Inchgarvie Lodge	Crossing	South Tower excavation & airlifting Pier S1 caisson excavation Pier S4 airlifting Pier S5 airlifting & concrete works South Abutment works, including concreting and backfilling Launch – reconditioning of batters, installation of drains and crane rails, excavation for launch foundations, backfill of lay down area Pier trials Society road embankment works
M11	Linn Mill	Network	South Abutment works, including concreting and backfilling Launch – reconditioning of batters, installation of drains and crane rails, excavation for launch foundations, backfill of lay down area Pier trials Society road embankment works  N.B. No evening, night time or Sunday daytime construction in vicinity.
M13	Clufflat Brae	Crossing	South Abutment works, including concreting and backfilling Launch – reconditioning of batters, installation of drains and crane rails, excavation for launch foundations, backfill of lay down area Pier trials



M14	Springfield	Network	South Abutment works, including concreting and backfilling Launch – reconditioning of batters, installation of drains and crane rails, excavation for launch foundations, backfill of lay down area Pier trials Gyratory – structures  N.B. No evening, night time or Sunday daytime construction in vicinity.
M15	Echline Field	Network	Launch – reconditioning of batters, installation of drains and crane rails, excavation for launch foundations, backfill of lay down area Completion of U221 Bulk excavation for mainline  N.B. No evening, night time or Sunday daytime construction in vicinity.
M16	Scotstoun	Network	Bus link embankment works including import of fill Vegetation clearance to West of B800  N.B. No evening, night time or Sunday daytime construction in vicinity.
M17	Dundas Home Farm	Network	Utilities works Fill using clay for road network  N.B. No evening, night time or Sunday daytime construction in vicinity.

Table 2 lists the main construction activities undertaken in the locality of each of the vibration monitors during the period of June 2013.

**Table 3: PCNV Predicted PPV & VDV Levels** 

	Minimum distance	from work areas (m)	Type of vibration emitting	Worst case predicted vibration levels	
Monitor	Day (07:00-19:00)	Night (19:00-07:00)	plant/activity operated at nearest work areas	PPV (mm/s)	eVDV (m.s <sup>-1.75</sup> )
Butlaw Fisheries	150	230	Roller/Whacker	0.39	0.23
Clufflat Brae	40	350	Roller/Whacker	2.44	0.37
Dundas	75	2000	Roller/Whacker	0.98	0.33
Echline	40	1000	Roller/Whacker	2.44	0.37
Inchgarvie Lodge	50	250	Roller/Whacker	1.77	0.33
Linn Mill	60	500	Roller/Whacker	1.36	0.33
Scotstoun	50	2000	Roller/Whacker	1.77	0.33
Springfield	50	600	Roller/Whacker	1.77	0.33
Tigh-Na-Grian	200	200	N/A	-	-
Whinny Hill	270	1800	N/A	-	-

Table 3 lists the distances from vibration monitors to the closest work areas for both day and night time periods. It also lists worst case PPV and eVDV calculations exhibited at the vibration monitors, resulting from the maximum vibration inducing plant operated at the nearest work areas.


# **Notes on Table 3**

- All plant used during construction activities has been assessed with respect to vibration. The only plant utilised over the period in question considered to generate appreciable levels of vibration was a vibratory roller and a whacker plate (NOTE: Hydraulic rock breakers which typically generate 4.5mm/s @ 5m, 0.4mm/s @ 20m, 0.1mm/s @ 50m have been discounted due to the distances of use from the closest receptors).
- Vibratory rollers were not operated within 50m of any occupied sensitive receptors & were not operated within 25m of any sensitive receptor.
- Whacker plates were not utilised within 40m of any occupied sensitive receptor.
- All roller eVDV values in the table above are based on the worst case scenario of a vibratory roller remaining in continuous operation for 4 hours a minimum distance (100m) from the nearest occupied receptors.
- All whacker plate eVDV values in the table above are based on the worst case scenario of a whacker plate remaining in continuous operation for 2 hours a minimum distance from the nearest receptor.



APPENDIX B – VIBRATION GRAPHS






#### **Notes:**

- The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no works were conducted on Sundays.
- The PPV values on 03/06/13, 13/06/13, 14/06/13, 19/06/13, 20/06/13, 21/06/13, 22/06/13, 25/06/13, 29/06/13 and 30/06/13 have all been investigated, and have been seen to be individual, isolated events within each period (see Vibrock PPV graph below from 20/06/13), the majority of which are within the intermittent threshold of 10mm/s. Furthermore, it is extremely unlikely that these particular levels were generated as a result of FCBC construction, as the only works to be conducted on these dates in the vicinity of the monitor, involving vibration inducing plant were backfilling works at South Abutment, which involved the use of a vibratory roller for certain periods. A vibratory roller exhibits continuous levels vibration over the period of use, not intermittent, isolated levels as displayed in the above exceedances. In addition (as stated in Table 3), a vibratory roller was not operated within 50m of any occupied receptor.








# **Notes:**

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no works were conducted on Sundays.






# **Notes:**


• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no night time works were conducted in the vicinity of the Linn Mill vibration monitor throughout the month of June 2013. This graph is included for illustrative purposes only.






# **Notes:**


• The PPV value on 06/06/13 has been investigated, and has been seen to be an individual, isolated event within the intermittent threshold of 10mm/s construction (see Vibrock PPV graph below from 06/06/13).


















#### **Notes:**


• The PPV values on 02/06/13, 04/06/13, 05/06/13, 06/06/13, 08/06/13, 09/06/13, 10/06/13, 11/06/13, 12/06/13, 23/06/13, 23/06/13, 28/06/13 and 29/08/13 have been investigated, and have been seen to be individual, isolated events within each period (see Vibrock PPV graph below from 05/06/13), the majority of which are within the intermittent threshold of 10mm/s. Furthermore, it is extremely unlikely that these particular levels were generated as a result of FCBC construction, as the only works to be conducted on these dates in the vicinity of the monitor, involving vibration inducing plant were backfilling works at South Abutment, which involved the use of a vibratory roller for certain periods. A vibratory roller exhibits continuous levels of vibration over the period of use, not intermittent, isolated levels as displayed in the above exceedances. In addition (as stated in Table 3), a vibratory roller was not operated within 50m of any occupied receptor.

















#### **Notes:**

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no works were conducted on Sundays.






#### **Notes:**

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no works were conducted on Sundays.






#### Notes

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no night time works were conducted in the vicinity of the Dundas vibration monitor throughout the month of June 2013. This graph is included for illustrative purposes only.






# **Notes:**

- The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no works were conducted on Sundays.
- The PPV value on 04/06/13 has been investigated, and have cannot have been generated as a result of FCBC construction, as the only works to be conducted on this date in the vicinity of the monitor, was the structure works at gyratory, which did not involve any vibration inducing plant or equipment.






# **Notes:**

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no works were conducted on Sundays.







# **Notes:**

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no night time works were conducted in the vicinity of the Echline vibration monitor throughout the month of June 2013. This graph is included for illustrative purposes only.




















#### **Notes:**

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no works were conducted on Sundays.






# **Notes:**

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no works were conducted on Sundays.






### **Notes:**


• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no night time works were conducted in the vicinity of the Scotstoun vibration monitor throughout the month of June 2013. This graph is included for illustrative purposes only.






# **Notes:**

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no works were conducted on Sundays.








# **Notes:**

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no works were conducted on Sunday.






### **Notes:**

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no night time works were conducted in the vicinity of the Springfield vibration monitor throughout the month of June 2013. This graph is included for illustrative purposes only.






### **Notes:**


• The PPV values on 03/06/13, 06/06/13, 15/06/13, 16/06/13, 19/06/13, 20/06/13, 21/06/13 and 22/06/13 have been investigated, and have been seen to be individual, isolated events within each period (see Vibrock PPV graph below from 06/06/13). Furthermore, these particular levels cannot have been generated as a result of FCBC construction, as the only the works to be conducted throughout the month of June in vicinity of the monitor, was airlifting works at the North Tower, which is over 250m from the monitor, and did not involve the use of any vibration inducing plant or equipment.












#### Notes:


• The VDV exceedances recorded during June 2013 have been investigated, and cannot have been generated as a result of FCBC construction, as the only the works to be conducted throughout the month of June in vicinity of the monitor, was airlifting works at the North Tower, which is over 250m from the monitor, and did not involve the use of any vibration inducing plant or equipment.






### **Notes:**

- The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no works were conducted on Sundays.
- The PPV values on 01/06/13, 03/06/13, 04/06/13, 05/06/13, 06/06/13, 10/06/13, 12/06/13, 12/06/13, 15/06/13, 16/06/13, 19/06/13, 20/06/13, 20/06/13, 25/06/13, 26/06/13, 29/06/13 and 30/06/13 have been investigated, and have been seen to be individual, isolated events within each period (see Vibrock PPV graph below from 15/06/13). Furthermore, these particular levels cannot have been generated as a result of FCBC construction, as the only work to be conducted throughout the month of June in the vicinity of the monitor, were the band drains at King Malcolm Drive and the excavation works at Castlandhill Road, which are over 270m from the monitor, and did not involve the use of any vibration inducing plant or equipment.








#### **Notes:**

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no works were conducted on Sundays.





# **Notes:**

• The grey areas of the chart represent the days on which no construction works were undertaken; no night time works were conducted in the vicinity of the Whinnyhill vibration monitor throughout the month of June 2013. This graph is included for illustrative purposes only.