

A10.1: Built Receptor Assessment Table

- 1.1 Table 2 provides the assessment of built receptors. This information supports the assessment presented in Chapter 10 (Visual) of the Environmental Statement (ES).
- 1.2 A key to abbreviations is provided in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Key to Abbreviations

| Item | Abbreviation | Term |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| Туре | dw | Dwelling |
| | i | Industrial |
| | с | Commercial |
| | of | Offices |
| | oc | Other |
| Existing view | | |
| | u | Urban |
| | r | Rural |
| | i | Industrial |
| | rd | Road |
| | rw | Railway |
| | d | Derelict land |
| | b | Bridge |
| | S | Sea |
| Visible elements of proposed Scheme | rs | Road surface |
| | V | Vehicles |
| | | Lighting |
| | ADS | Advanced Direction Sign |
| | VMS | Variable Message Sign |
| | CCTV | Closed - Circuit Television |
| | b | Bridge |
| | nba | Noise barrier |
| | nbu | Noise bund |
| | fb | Flood bund |
| | ew | Landscape Earthwork |
| | þ | SUDS Detention Basin/Retention Pond |
| | sr | Side road |
| | es | Existing screening |
| Assessment Ratings | | |
| Visual receptor susceptibility to change | High, medium or low | |
| Value of view | High, medium or low | |
| Sensitivity of receptor to change | High, medium or low | |
| Magnitude of change | High, medium or low | |
| Significance of impact | Negligible, Slight, Moderate | or Substantial |

JACOBS

Table 2: Built Receptor Assessment Table

| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | ter Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 1 26.01.16 Seafield House (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | u, r, rd, es= stone wall, mature hedge and hedgerow trees | High | Low / medium | High | Partial screening by existing stone wall, existing hedgerow and mixed mature trees around property. Limited screening by new hedgerow planting. Partial screening by 1.3m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting and 1.3m high noise mitigation bund. | v, I, nbu, nba | Low | Slight | Screening by existing stone wall with increased screening by existing hedgerow and mixed mature trees around property. Also increased screening by established hedgerow Partial screening by 1.3m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants and 1.3m high noise mitigation bund. | v, I, nbu, nba | Low | Negligible |
| 2 26.01.16 Bowlts Chartered | of 1no | u, r, rd, es= evergreen hedge and mixed trees around | Low / Medium | Low / Medium | Medium | Partial screening by existing evergreen hedge and | v, l | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing evergreen hedge and mixed mature trees | v, l | Low | Negligible |



| Number of Receptor, | Type and | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | Number of Properties | | to Change | | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| Surveyors (Figure 10.3) | | property | | | | existing mixed mature trees around property, and limited screening by new hedgerow and riparian woodland planting. | | | | around property, and by established hedgerow and riparian woodland. | | | |
| 3 25.01.16 Firth View, Cottage, Roseacre, The Brambles (Figure 10.3) | dw 3no | u, rd, es= deciduous trees around properties and scrub vegetation at the existing roundabout | High | Low / Medium | High | Partial screening by existing deciduous trees around properties. Limited screening by new feathered and standard trees, and mixed, riparian and scrub woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, I, ADS, CCTV, b, nba, sr | High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing deciduous trees around properties, and by established trees and mixed, riparian and scrub woodland and hedgerow. | rs, v, I, ADS, CCTV, b, nba, sr | Medium | Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor | Value of | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | View | Susceptibility to Change | View | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 4 25.01.16 Stratton Farm (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 2no | r, rd, d, se, es= deciduous woodland and trees along Barn Church Rd | High | Low / Medium | High | Substantial screening by existing deciduous woodland around the Cairnlaw Burn and trees along Barn Church Rd, and limited screening by new standard trees and deciduous, mixed and scrub woodland planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | rs, v, I, ADS, b, nba, sr | Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing deciduous woodland and by established trees and deciduous, mixed and scrub woodland. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | rs, v, I, ADS, b, nba, sr | Low | Slight |
| 5 26.01.16 Ashton Farm (Figure | dw & o (farm) 2no | r, rd, se, es= rolling topography, garden trees, | High | High | High | Partial screening by rolling topography, existing | v, I, CCTV, nba, p, sr | Low | Slight/ Moderate | Increased screening by rolling topography, existing garden trees, existing | v, I, CCTV, nba, sr | Low | Slight |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years af | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 10.3) | | deciduous tree line along access road and deciduous woodland at Stratton | | | | garden trees, deciduous tree line along access road and deciduous woodland at Stratton. Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | deciduous tree line along access road and deciduous woodland at Stratton, and by established mixed and riparian woodland. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | | | |
| 6 17.02.16 Caulfield Road North, Resaurie, Smithton (Figure 10.3) | dw 10no | u, r, rd, b, se, es= fences, hedgerows and intervening trees | High | High | High | Partial screening by fences, existing hedgerows and intervening trees in midground. Limited | v, I, CCTV, b, nba, p, sr | Medium | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing fences, hedgerows and intervening trees in midground, and by established trees and deciduous, mixed and riparian | v, I, CCTV, b, nbasr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | screening by new standard trees and deciduous, mixed and riparian woodland planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | woodland. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | | | |
| 7 17.02.16 Resaurie Gardens, Smithton (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | u, r, rd, se, es= mature deciduous woodland and shelterbelt | High | Low / Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature deciduous woodland and shelterbelt in midground, limited screening by new mixed, riparian and scrub woodland planting. Partial | v, I, nba, sr | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing mature deciduous woodland and shelterbelt in midground, and by established mixed, riparian and scrub woodland. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | l v, I, nba, sr | Low | Negligible |



| Number of | eptor, and Vie ^e Number of | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | | view | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | | | | |
| 8 17.02.16 Resaurie Gardens, Smithton (Figure 10.3) | dw 7no | u, r, rd, b, se, es= fences, hedgerows and mature deciduous woodland in midground | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing fences, hedgerows and by mature woodland in midground. Limited screening by new deciduous, mixed and riparian woodland planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plantis planting. | v, I, CCTV, b, nba, p, sr | Low / Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing fences, hedgerows and by mature woodland in midground. Also increased screening by established deciduous, mixed and riparian woodland. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | v, I, CCTV, b, nbasr | Low | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | view | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 9 17.02.16 Caulfield Road North, Smithton (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | u, r, rd, se, es= mature coniferous trees around property and deciduous woodland in midground | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing mature coniferous trees adjacent to the property and by deciduous woodland in midground. Limited screening by new deciduous, mixed, riparian and scrub woodland planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | v, I, nba, p, sr | Medium | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing mature coniferous trees adjacent to the property and by deciduous woodland in midground. Increased screening by established deciduous, mixed, riparian and scrub woodland. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | v, I, nba, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 10 17.02.16 Caulfield Road North (Brookside, | dw 4no | u, r, rd, b, se, es= mature woodland in the | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature woodland in | v, l, nba, p, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing mature woodland in the midground and | v, l, nba, sr | Low / Medium | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| Lylowen, Clonburn) (Figure 10.3) | | midground, trees in foreground | | | | the midground and by trees in foreground. Limited screening by new deciduous, mixed and riparian woodland planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | trees in the foreground and by established deciduous, mixed and riparian woodland. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | | | |
| 11 17.02.16 Sinclair Park and Cranmore Dr, Smithton (Figure 10.3) | dw 26no | r, se, es= rolling topography, deciduous woodland, trees and boundary fence in garden | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by rolling topography, existing deciduous woodland, trees and boundary fence in garden. Limited screening by | v, I, ADS, CCTV, nba, b, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by rolling topography, existing deciduous woodland, trees and boundary fence in garden. Increased screening also by established trees and mixed, scrub and riparian woodland. Partial | v, I, ADS, CCTV, nba, bsr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor | Value of | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years af | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | Susceptibility to Change | View | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | new standard trees and mixed, scrub and riparian woodland planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | | | |
| 12 19.10.15 Sinclair Park (Figure 10.3) | dw 9no | u, r, rd, se, es= rolling topography, mature trees in foreground, deciduous woodland and shelterbelt in midground | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by rolling topography, existing mature trees in foreground, and mature woodland and shelterbelt in midground. Limited screening by new standard trees and mixed, scrub | v, I, ADS, CCTV, b, nba, p, sr | Low | Slight | Increased screening by rolling topography, existing mature trees in foreground, and mature woodland and shelterbelt in midground. Also increased screening by established trees and mixed, scrub and riparian woodland. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established | v, I, ADS, CCTV,b, sr, nba | Low | Negligible |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | and riparian woodland planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | climbing plants. | | | |
| 13 17.02.16 Woodlands Crescent and Woodlands Walk (Figure 10.3) | dw 17no | u, r, i rd, rw, b, se, es= fences and scrub in foreground | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by fences and existing scrub vegetation in foreground and around the existing A96. Limited screening by new standard trees and deciduous, mixed, scrub and riparian woodland planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise | rs, v, I, CCTV, b, nba, p, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by fences and by existing scrub vegetation in foreground and around the existing A96, and by established trees and deciduous, mixed, scrub and riparian woodland planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | v, I, CCTV, b, nba, sr | Low | Negligible / Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years af | ter Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | | | | |
| 14 17.02.16 Woodlands Drive (Figure 10.3) | dw 5no | r, r, l, rd, rw, b, se, es= trees and scrub in foreground and along single carriageway | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing trees and scrub in the midground and around the existing A96. Limited screening by new standard trees and deciduous, mixed, scrub and riparian woodland planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | rs, v, I, CCTV,b, nba, p, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing trees and scrub in the midground and around the existing A96, and by established trees and deciduous, mixed, scrub and riparian woodland. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | v, I, CCTV, b, nba, sr | Low | Negligible / Slight |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | View | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 15 17.02.16 Nursery and commercial properties, Woodside Village, Westhill (Figure 10.3) | c & o (nursery) 4no | u, r, l, rd, b, se, es= intervening buildings, deciduous woodland and trees around the existing A96 | Low / Medium | Medium / High | Medium | Partial screening by intervening buildings, existing deciduous woodland and existing trees around the existing A96. Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland planting. | v, I | Low | Negligible / Slight | Increased screening by intervening buildings, existing deciduous woodland, and trees around the existing A96. Increased screening also by established mixed and riparian woodland. | v, I | Low | Negligible |
| 16 17.02.16 Woodside Village, Westhill (Figure 10.3) | dw 4no | u, r, l, rd, b, se, es= garden fences, trees in foreground and along road corridor | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by garden fences and intervening buildings and existing trees in foreground and existing trees around the existing A96. Limited screening by new standard trees and mixed, scrub and riparian woodland | rs, v, I, nba, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by garden fences and intervening buildings and existing trees in foreground and existing trees around the existing A96. Increased screening by established trees and mixed, scrub and riparian woodland. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established | rs, v, l, nba, sr | Low | Negligible / Slight |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | ter Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | climbing plants. | | | |
| 17 19.10.15 Stratton Lodge Road, Smithton (Figure 10.3) | dw 29no | u, r, b, se, es= deciduous woodland | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing deciduous woodland and intervening topography in foreground. Limited screening by new standard trees and mixed, scrub and riparian woodland planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with | v, I, CCTV, nba, b, p, sr | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing deciduous woodland and intervening topography in foreground and by established trees and mixed, scrub and riparian woodland. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | v, I, CCTV, nba, b, sr | Low | Negligible / Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Type and | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | new climbing plants planting. | | | | | | | |
| 18 19.10.15 Stratton Lodge Road (U1058), Smithton (Figure 10.3) | dw 34no | u, r, se, es= rolling topography, woodland in the midground, and mature beech avenue along Stratton Lodge Road (U1058). | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing woodland in foreground, and mature beech avenue along Stratton Lodge Road (U1058). Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland planting along the main alignment and by new hedge with tree planting along access road. Partial screening by 2.8m high noise mitigation | v, I, nba, sr | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing woodland in foreground and mature beech avenue along Stratton Lodge Road (U1058), and by established mixed and riparian woodland along the dual carriageway alignment and by established hedge along access road. Partial screening by 2.8m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | v, I, nba, sr | Low | Negligible |



| Number of Receptor, | Type and | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | | | | |
| 19 19.10.15 Birch Place, Larch Place, Fir Place (Figure 10.3) | dw 17no | r, rd, se, es=rolling topography, deciduous woodland, shelterbelt, mature beech avenue along Stratton Lodge Road (U1058) | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing deciduous woodland, shelterbelt, and by mature beech avenue along Stratton Lodge Road (U1058). Limited screening by new mixed and deciduous woodland and hedge planting along the main alignment, and by new hedge with tree planting along access road. Partial | v, I, nba, p, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing deciduous woodland, shelterbelt and mature beech avenue along Stratton Lodge Road (U1058). Additional screening by established hedge, and mixed and deciduous woodland along the main alignment and by mature hedge with trees along access road. Partial screening by 2.8m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | v, I, nba, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | 4 IGW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | screening by 2.8m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | | | | |
| 20 19.10.15 Blackthorn Rd, Hazel Avenue, Culloden (Figure 10.3) | dw 12no | r, rd, se, es= mature deciduous trees in gardens, and along local footpath, existing hedge along field boundary and deciduous woodland adjacent to the existing A96. | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing mature trees in gardens and along local footpath, existing hedge along field boundary and deciduous woodland adjacent to the existing A96. Limited screening by new mixed and deciduous woodland and by hedge with tree planting. | v, I, nbu, p | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing mature trees in gardens and along local footpath, existing hedge along field boundary and deciduous woodland adjacent to the existing A96. Additional screening by established mixed and deciduous woodland and by mature hedge and hedgerow trees. | v, I, nbu, p | Medium / High | Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 21 19.10.15 Hazel Avenue, Culloden (Figure 10.3) | dw 11no | r, rd, se, es= trees in foreground and shelterbelt in midground | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing trees in foreground and shelterbelt in midground. Limited screening by new hedge with tree planting. | rs, v, VMS, nbu | Medium / High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing trees in foreground and shelterbelt in midground and by established hedge and hedgerow trees. | rs, v, VMS, nbu | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |
| 22 19.10.15 Hazel Avenue, Culloden (Figure 10.3) | dw 12no | r, rd, se, es= shelterbelt in midground | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing shelterbelt in midground and limited screening by new hedge and hedge with tree planting. | rs, v, l, VMS, b, nbu | Low / Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing shelterbelt in midground and by established hedge and hedgerow trees. | rs, v, I, VMS, b, nbu | Low | Slight |
| 23 20.10.15 Du Allan, Glenericht, Isle View (Figure 10.3) | dw 3no | r, rd, se, es= deciduous woodland | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing deciduous woodland and limited screening by new mixed woodland and riparian planting. Partial | v, I, nba, p | Low | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing deciduous woodland and by established mixed woodland and riparian planting. Partial screening by 2.8m high noise mitigation barrier with established | v, I, nba, | Low | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Type and | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | Number of Properties | | to Change | | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | screening by 2.8m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | climbing plants. | | | |
| 24 19.10.15 Milton of Culloden (4B Milton of Culloden, 4 Milton of Culloden, Ashlea, Sherann, 5 Milton of Culloden South, 3 Milton of Culloden) (Figure 10.3) | dw + o (farm) 6no | r, rd, se, es= deciduous woodland | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing deciduous woodland in foreground. Limited screening by new deciduous and mixed woodland planting. Partial screening by 2.8m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | v, I, nba, p,sr | Low | Moderate | Increased screening by existing deciduous woodland in foreground, and by established deciduous and mixed woodland. Partial screening by 2.8m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | v, I, nba, p, sr | Low | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor | Value of | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | View | Susceptibility to Change | View | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 25 19.10.15 Milton of Culloden (6 Milton of Culloden, Moravia, Burnside, Faylea, 4A Milton of Culloden) (Figure 10.3) | dw 5no | r, rd, se, es=deciduo us trees along houses and deciduous woodland adjacent to the existing A96 | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing deciduous garden trees and existing deciduous woodland adjacent to the existing A96. Limited screening by new deciduous and mixed woodland and by hedge planting. Partial screening by 2.8m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | rs, v, l, nba, p, sr | high | Substantial | Increased screening by existing deciduous garden trees, existing deciduous woodland adjacent to the existing A96, and by established deciduous and mixed woodland and hedge. Partial screening by 2.8m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | v, I, nba, p, sr | Medium | Moderate / Substantial |
| 26 25.01.16 Milton of Culloden (Oscaig, The Hollies, | dw 6no | r, rd, es= deciduous woodland along the railway line | High | Low / Medium | High | Screening by existing deciduous woodland along the railway line. Limited | v, I, nba | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing deciduous woodland along the railway line and by established feathered trees, | v, I, nba | Low | Negligible |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | view | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| No1, Corinium, End Riggs, Brambly Hedge) (Figure 10.3) | | | | | | screening by new feathered tree, scrub woodland and hedge planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | scrub woodland and hedge. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | | | |
| 27 25.01.16 Milton of Culloden (Milton, Sedona House, Rose Cottage, Bridgeview) (Figure 10.3) | dw 5no | r, rd, es= housing and garden trees in the foreground | High | Low / Medium | High | Screening by existing deciduous woodland and houses in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed and scrub woodland and hedge planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation | v, I, nba | Low | Negligible / Slight | Increased screening by existing deciduous woodland and, houses in foreground, and by established mixed and scrub woodland and hedge. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | v, I nba | Low | Negligible |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | barrier with new climbing plants planting. | | | | | | | |
| 28 25.01.16 Friars Croft, No2 (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd, es= garden trees and hedge in the foreground | High | Low / Medium | High | Screening by existing garden trees and hedge in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed and scrub woodland and hedge planting. | v, I, nba | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing garden trees and hedge in foreground and by mature hedge mixed and scrub woodland. | v, I, nba | Low | Slight |
| 29 19.10.15 Grianach, Bayview (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd | High | Low / Medium | High | Limited screening by new mixed and scrub woodland and hedge planting. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plants planting. | rs, v, l, nba | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by established mixed and scrub woodland and hedge. Partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation barrier with established climbing plants. | v, I, nba | Medium | Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor | Value of View | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | Susceptibility to Change | view | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 30 20.10.15 Oakdene Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= mature evergreen hedge, and deciduous trees in garden | High | Low | High | Significant screening by existing mature evergreen hedge and mature deciduous trees in garden. Limited screening by new mixed and scrub woodland planting. Partial screening of SUDS Detention Basin/Pond (SUDS) by 2.8m high noise mitigation barrier. | v, I, nba, p | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing mature evergreen hedge and deciduous trees in garden, and by established mixed and scrub woodland. Partial screening of SUDS by 2.8m high noise mitigation barrier. | v, I, nba,p | Medium | Moderate |
| 31 20.10.15 Allanfearn Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= hedge | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing garden hedge. Limited screening by new hedge planting and partial | v, nbu | Medium | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing garden hedge and by established hedge planting. Additional increased screening by 2m high noise | v, nbu | Medium | Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | ter Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | screening by 2m high noise mitigation bund with new scrub woodland planting. | | | | mitigation bund with mature scrub woodland. | | | |
| 32 20.10.15 Rail Crossing (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= hedge and trees around property | High | Low | High | Partial screening by existing hedge and trees around property. Limited screening by new hedge planting and partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation bund with new scrub woodland planting. | v, nbu | Low | Negligible | Increased screening by existing hedge and trees around property, and by established hedge planting. Additional increased screening by 2m high noise mitigation bund with mature scrub woodland. | v, nbu | Low | Negligible |
| 33 19.10.15 Allanfearn Farmhouse and No. 1 Allanfearn Farm Cottages, No. 2 | dw & o (farm) 4no | u, r, es= hedge, mature trees around Allanfearn Farmhouse | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing hedge and garden trees. Limited screening by new hedge | v, VMS, nbu | High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing hedge and garden trees and by established hedge planting. Additional increased screening by 2m | v, VMS, nbu | High | Moderate/ Substantial |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor | Value of View | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | Susceptibility to Change | view | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| Allanfearn Farm Cottages, The Bungalow (Figure 10.3) | | | | | | planting and partial screening by 2m high noise mitigation bund with new scrub woodland planting. | | | | high noise mitigation bund with mature scrub woodland. | | | |
| 34 15.02.06 Blackhill (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | u, r, i, rd, es= mature trees in garden | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing mature trees in garden. Limited screening by new scrub woodland and hedge planting. | v, I | Low | Negligible / Slight | Increased screening by existing mature trees in garden and by established scrub woodland and hedge. | v, I | Low | Negligible |
| 35 15.02.16 Lower Cullernie Farmhouse (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | u, r, rd | High | Medium / High | High | Limited screening by new mixed, scrub and riparian woodland planting. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, b, p | high | Substantial | Increased screening by established mixed scrub and riparian woodland. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, b | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |
| 36 15.02.161 Lower Cullernie Farm (Figure | dw 1no | u, r, rd, es= existing deciduous and coniferous trees in | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing deciduous and coniferous | rs, v, l, ADS, CCTV, p | High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing deciduous and coniferous trees in garden and by established | rs, v, l, ADS, CCTV | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | view | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 10.3) | | garden | | | | trees in garden. Limited screening by new mixed, scrub and riparian woodland planting. | | | | mixed, scrub and riparian woodland. | | | |
| 37 20.10.15 Western end of Cherry Park, Balloch (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 14no | r, rd, se, es= trees in gardens, deciduous shelterbelts | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing trees in gardens and by existing deciduous shelterbelts. Limited screening by new hedge and hedge with tree planting. | v, I, VMS | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing trees in gardens and existing deciduous shelterbelts, and by established hedge and hedge with trees. | v, I, VMS | Low | Slight / Moderate |
| 38 20.10.15 Moray Park Wynd | Dw 12no | r, rd, i (Allanfearn Sewage Treatment Works), se, es= trees in gardens, deciduous shelterbelts, intervening houses | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing trees in gardens, existing deciduous shelterbelts and by intervening houses. Limited screening by | v, nbu | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing trees in gardens, existing deciduous shelterbelts, intervening houses and by established hedge and hedge with trees. | v, nbu | Low | Negligible / Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | new hedge and hedge with tree planting. | | | | | | | |
| 39 20.10.15 Balloch Primary School and Village Hall (Figure 10.3) | c & o (school & village hall) 2no | r, rd, se, es= deciduous shelterbelts in midground | Medium | Low | Medium | Partial screening by existing deciduous trees and shelterbelt in fo7eground. Limited screening by new hedge planting. | v, I, VMS | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing deciduous trees and shelterbelt in foreground and by established hedge. | v, I, VMS | Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 40 20.10.15 Cherry Park, Balloch (Figure 10.3) | dw 6no | r, rd, se, es= deciduous trees in midground | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing deciduous trees in midground. Limited screening by new hedge planting. | v, I, VMS | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing deciduous trees in midground and by established hedge. | v, I, VMS | Medium | Moderate |
| 41 20.10.15 Cullernie Road, Upper Cullernie Place and Wellside Gardens, | dw 9no | r, rd, se | High | High | High | Limited screening by new scrub woodland and hedge planting. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, b, sr | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by established scrub woodland and hedge. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, b, sr | Medium | Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Type and | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| Balloch (Figure 10.3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 42 20.10.15 Braeside Park, Meadow Rd (Figure 10.3) | Dw 12no | r, rd, es= garden trees and hedge, intervening topography and farm buildings | High | Medium/ High | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees and hedge, intervening topography and farm buildings. Limited screening by new hedge planting. | Ι, ν | Low | Negligible/ Slight | Increased screening by existing garden trees, hedge, intervening topography, farm buildings and by mature hedge planting. | Ι, ν | Low | Negligible |
| 43 20.10.15 Upper Cullernie Cottages, Balloch (Figure 10.3) | dw 5no | r, rd, se, es= hedge and trees in gardens | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing hedge and trees in gardens. Limited screening by new scrub and hedge planting. Partial screening by 1.3m high noise mitigation barrier with new climbing plant | v, I, ADS, CCTV, b, nba, sr | High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing hedge and trees in gardens and by established, scrub and hedge planting. Partial screening by 1.3m high noise mitigation barrier with mature climbing plants. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, b, nba, sr | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | ter Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | View | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | planting. | | | | | | | |
| 44 20.10.15 Upper Cullernie Court, Balloch (Figure 10.3) | dw 5no | u, r, rd, se, es= fences around properties | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by fences around properties and by intervening houses. Limited screening by new mixed and scrub woodland and hedge planting. | v, I, CCTV, b, sr | Low / Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by fences around properties, intervening houses and by established mixed and scrub woodland and hedge. | v, I, CCTV, b, sr | Low | Slight / Moderate |
| 45 20.10.15 Upper Cullernie Farm (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 3no | r, rd, se, es= trees and hedge in gardens | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing trees and hedges in gardens. Limited screening by new mixed and scrub woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, I, CCTV, b, sr | High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing trees and hedges in gardens, and by established mixed and scrub woodland and hedges. | rs, v, I, CCTV, b, sr | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | View | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 46 17.02.16 Balmachre e (Balmachre e House, Cruachan) (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | u, r, rd, rw, b, se, es= rolling topography, trees around property | High | High | High | Partial screening by rolling topography and mature trees around property. Limited screening by new mixed and scrub woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, I, CCTV, b, sr | Medium / High | Substantial | Increased screening by rolling topography, mature trees around property and by established mixed and scrub woodland and hedge. | rs, v, I, CCTV, b, sr | Medium | Moderate / Substantial |
| 47 18.02.16 Redhill (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | u , r, rd, ex=existing deciduous trees in garden | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing deciduous trees in garden. Limited screening by new mixed, scrub, riparian woodland and standard tree planting. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, b | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing deciduous trees in garden and by established mixed, scrub, riparian woodland and mature standard trees. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, b | Low | Negligible / Slight |
| 48 24.11.15 Newton of Petty Farmhouse | c (B&B) 1no | r, rd, es= mature coniferous and deciduous trees | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature trees around property. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, p, sr | Medium | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing mature trees around property and by established | v, I, ADS, CCTV, sr | Medium | Moderate / Substantial |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| (Figure 10.3) | | around the building | | | | Limited screening by new riparian and scrub woodland and hedge planting. | | | | riparian and scrub woodland and hedge. | | | |
| 49 15.02.16 Lonnie (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, i (plume of Norbord factory), rw | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by rolling topography and existing intervening scrub vegetation. Limited screening by new hedge planting. | v | Low | Slight | Increased screening by rolling topography and existing intervening scrub vegetation and by established hedge. | v | Low | Negligible |
| 50 26.01.16 Old Petty, The Barn Brae (Figure 10.3) | dw 2 no | r, i (plume of Norbord factory) I, es= mature trees and hedge in garden | High | High | High | Partial screening by mature trees and hedge in garden and by intervening topography. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. Landscape earthworks to integrate | v, ew | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by mature trees and hedge in garden and by intervening topography, and established mixed woodland and hedge. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankment with existing landform. | v, ew | Low | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years af | ter Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | embankmen t with existing landform. | | | | | | | |
| 51 15.02.16 Castle Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, i (plume of Norbord factory), rd, es= landform | High | High | High | Partial screening by landform and limited screening by hedge with tree and mixed woodland planting. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankmen t with existing landform. | v, ew | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by landform and by established hedge with trees and by mature woodland. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankment with existing landform. | v, ew | Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 52 15.02.16 Castle Stuart (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, i (plume of Norbord factory), l, rd, es= landform and existing mature trees | High | High | High | Partial screening by landform and by existing mature trees in garden. Limited screening by new hedge with tree | v, b , ew | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by landform and existing mature trees in garden. Additional increased screening by established hedge with trees and mature mixed, | v, b, ew | Medium | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | planting and by mixed, riparian and scrub woodland planting. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankmen t with existing landform. | | | | riparian and scrub woodland. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankment with existing landform. | | | |
| 53 26.01.16 Scottack Farmhouse (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | r, i (plume of Norbord factory), rd, rw, es= mature deciduous trees, garden hedge | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing garden vegetation and limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge with tree planting. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankmen t with existing landform. | v, ew | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing garden vegetation and by established mixed woodland and hedge with trees. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankment with existing landform. | v, ew | Low | Negligible / Slight |
| 54 26.01.16 Burnside | dw 1no | r, i (plume of Norbord factory), I, es= | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing woodland | V, ew | Low / Medium | Negligible / Slight | Increased screening by existing woodland and intervening | V, ew | Low | Negligible |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | View | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| Cottage (Figure 10.3) | | woodland | | | | and intervening topography. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankmen t with existing landform. | | | | topography, and by established mixed woodland. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankment with existing landform. | | | |
| 55 24.11.15 Newton of Petty Cottages (No.1, Newton of Petty Cottages No.2) (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | R, es= landform, by existing matures coniferous trees and scrub vegetation | High | Low | High | Partial screening by landform, by existing matures coniferous trees and scrub vegetation. Limited screening by new hedge with tree and hedge planting. | v | Low | Slight | Increased screening by landform and existing mature coniferous trees and scrub vegetation. Additional increased screening by established hedge with trees. | v | Low | Slight |
| 56 24.11.15 Newton of Petty (Figure | dw 2no | r, rd, es= mature trees in around the house | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature trees around property and | v, ew | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing mature trees around property and in foreground, and | v, ew | Low | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 10.3) | | | | | | in foreground. Limited screening by new hedge and hedge with tree planting. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankmen t with existing landform. | | | | by established hedge with trees. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankment with existing landform. | | | |
| 57 24.11.15 Chestnut Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= garden vegetation | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing garden vegetation. Limited screening by new hedge and hedge with tree planting. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankmen t with existing landform. | v, ew | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing garden vegetation and by established hedges and hedge with trees. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankment with existing landform. | v, ew | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor | Value of View | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years af | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | Susceptibility to Change | view | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 58 24.11.15 Keepers Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | R, es= mature garden trees, stone wall and coniferous woodland | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees and stone wall. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge with tree planting. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankmen t with existing landform. | v, ew | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing garden trees, stone wall and established mixed woodland and hedge with trees. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankment with existing landform. | v, ew | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 59 24.11.15 Morayston House (Figure 10.3) | O (B&B) 1no | R, es= landform and existing mature mixed garden trees | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by landform and by existing mature garden trees. Limited screening by mixed and riparian woodland, hedge and hedge with tree planting. | v, ew | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by landform and by existing mature garden trees. Additional increased screening by established mixed and riparian woodland, hedges and hedges with trees. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankment with existing landform. | v, ew | Low | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | ter Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | view | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | Landscape earthworks to integrate embankmen t with existing landform. | | | | | | | |
| 60 24.11.16 Morayston Bungalow (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | R, es= landform | High | High | High | Partial screening by landform. Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland, hedges and hedge with tree planting. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankmen t with existing landform. | v, rs, ew | High | Substantial | Partial screening by landform and additional screening by established mixed and riparian woodland, hedges and hedge with trees planting. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankment with existing landform. | v, rs, ew | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |
| 61 24.11.17 Morayston (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | R, es= landform | High | High | High | Partial screening by landform. Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland, hedge and hedge with | v, rs, ew | High | Substantial | Partial screening by landform and additional screening by established mixed and riparian woodland, hedges and hedge with trees. Landscape earthworks to | v, rs, ew | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | ter Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | tree planting. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankmen t with existing landform. | | | | integrate embankment with existing landform. | | | |
| 62 24.11.15 Morayhill F armhouse (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= mature deciduous trees in garden | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature deciduous garden trees and existing hedge along access road. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankmen t with existing landform. | v, ew | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing mature deciduous garden trees and existing hedge along access road. Landscape earthworks to integrate embankment with existing landform. | v, ew | Low | Negligible / Slight |
| 63 11.04.16 Norbord Factory, Morayhill (Figure 10.3) | i (factory) 1no | R, es= deciduous woodland | Low | Low / Medium | Low | Significant screening by existing deciduous woodland around facility. Limited screening by new mixed and scrub | v, b | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing deciduous woodland around facility and established mixed and scrub woodland. | v, b | Low | Negligible |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | woodland planting. | | | | | | | |
| 64 24.11.15 Kerrowaird (Figure 10.3) | dw & c, o (caravan park, farm) 1no | r, rd, es= garden trees | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature garden trees. Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, b, sr, p | Medium | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing mature garden trees and by established mixed and riparian woodland and hedge. | rs, v, b, sr, p | Low / Medium | Moderate |
| 65 24.11.15 Kerrowaird Cottages (Kerrowaird Cottage West, Kerrowaird Cottage East, 3 Kerrowaird Cottages) (Figure 10.3) | dw 3no | r, rd, es= garden trees and coniferous woodland | High | Medium | High | Significant screening by existing garden trees and adjacent existing coniferous woodland. Limited screening by new mixed, riparian and scrub woodland and hedge planting. | v, b, sr, p | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing garden trees, adjacent existing coniferous woodland and by established mixed, riparian and scrub woodland and mature hedge. | v, b, sr, p | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Acceptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | View | to Change | View | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 66 24.11.15 Rosemount Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, i, es= garden trees and coniferous woodland | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing garden hedge and trees and mature coniferous woodland. Limited screening by new mixed, scrub and riparian woodland and hedge planting. | v, b, p, sr | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing garden hedge and trees and by mature coniferous woodland. Additional increased screening by established mixed, scrub and riparian woodland and mature hedge. | v, b, p, sr | Medium | Moderate |
| 67 20.10.15 Tornagrain (Figure 10.3) | dw 11no | r, rd, es= rolling topography and existing coniferous and deciduous woodland | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by rolling topography and existing coniferous and deciduous woodland. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | rs, v, VMS b | Medium | Negligible / Slight | Increased screening by rolling topography and existing coniferous and deciduous woodland and by established mixed woodland. | rs, v, VMS, b | Medium | Slight beneficial |
| 68 20.10.15 Petty Church | o (church & cemetery) | r, rd, es= existing coniferous and deciduous | Low | Low | Low / Medium | Significant screening by existing coniferous and scrub | rs, v, VMS, b | Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing coniferous and scrub woodland to the | rs, v, VMS, b | Low / Medium | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| (Figure 10.3) | 1no | woodland | | | | woodland to the north of the church. Limited screening by new mixed and deciduous woodland planting. | | | | north of the church and by established mixed woodland. | | | |
| 69 20.10.15 Dalcross Station House, 1 Station Cottage, 2 Station Cottage (Figure 10.3c) | Dw 3 | Rd, es= coniferous and scrub woodland | High | Medium | High | Significant screening by existing coniferous and scrub woodland and limited screening by new mixed and deciduous woodland planting. | sr | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing coniferous and scrub woodland and by established mixed and deciduous woodland. | sr | Low | Negligible |
| 70 26.01.16 Wester Dalziel cottages (Figure 10.3) | dw 3no | r, I, es= mature deciduous garden trees, coniferous woodland | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing mature deciduous garden trees, coniferous woodland and intervening landform. Limited | rs, v | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing mature deciduous garden trees, coniferous woodland, intervening landform, and by established mixed and riparian woodland and hedge. | rs, v | Low / Medium | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | screening by mixed and riparian woodland and hedge planting. | | | | | | | |
| 71 26.01.16 Easter Dalziel Farm (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 4no | r, i, rd, , es= coniferous woodland | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing mixed and coniferous woodland. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | rs, v, VMS | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing mixed and coniferous woodland, and by established mixed woodland. | v, VMS | Low | Slight |
| 72 15.02.16 Wester Connage Cottages (Figure 10.3) | dw 4no | r, rd, es= coniferous and deciduous woodlands | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing coniferous and deciduous woodlands in the midground. Limited screening by new standard trees and mixed woodland planting. | rs, v, I, ADS, CCTV | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing coniferous and deciduous woodlands in the midground, and by established trees and mixed woodland. | rs, v, I, ADS, CCTV | Low | Negligible |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 73 15.02.16 Dalcross Industrial Estate and Inverness Airport (Figure 10.3) | i (airport) 1no | r, i, es= coniferous and deciduous woodlands | Low | Low / Medium | Low | Partial screening by existing coniferous and deciduous woodlands to the south. Limited screening by new standard trees and mixed woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, I, ADS, CCTV, b | Low / Medium | Slight | Increased screening by existing coniferous woodland and deciduous woodlands to the south, and by established trees, mixed woodland and by hedges. | rs,v, I, ADS, CCTV, b | Low | Negligible / Slight |
| 74 15.02.16 Bristows SAR and Bond Air Services at Inverness Airport (Figure 10.3) | i (airport) 2no | r, rd | Low | Low / Medium | Low | Limited screening by existing trees along the Aberdeen to Inverness Railway Line and partial screening by new mixed and riparian woodland along SUDS and tree planting at Mid Coul Junction. | rs, v, l, ADS, CCTV, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing trees along the Aberdeen to Inverness Railway Line and by established mixed and riparian woodland along SUDS and mature trees at Mid Coul Junction. | rs, v, I, ADS, CCTV, b, sr | Low | Slight |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor | Value of View | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | Susceptibility to Change | view | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 75 16.02.16 Mid Coul (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | u, r, rd, se, airport, es= farm buildings, coniferous woodland to the west, scrub at existing junction | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by farm buildings, existing coniferous woodland and scrub at existing junction. Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland, standard trees and hedge planting at Mid Coul Junction. | rs, v, I, ADS, CCTV, b, fbp, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing coniferous woodland and scrub. Additional increased screening by established mixed and riparian woodland, and by mature trees and hedges at Mid Coul Junction. | rs, v, I, ADS, CCTV, b, fb, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 76 16.02.16 Mid Coul Cottages (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= garden trees and hedge | High | Low / Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature garden vegetation. Limited screening by new mixed woodland, standard trees and hedge planting at Mid Coul | v, I, ADS, CCTV, fb, , sr | Low | Negligible / Slight | Increased screening by existing mature garden vegetation and by established mixed woodland, trees and hedges at Mid Coul Junction. Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, fb, sr | Low | Slight beneficial |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | ter Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | Junction. Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | | | | | | | |
| 77 16.02.16 Mid Coul Cottages (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | u, r, airport, es= trees in garden and around Culblair | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature garden vegetation and trees in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland, standard trees and hedge planting at Mid Coul Junction. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, fb, b, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing mature garden vegetation trees in foreground, and by established mixed and riparian woodland, trees and hedges at Mid Coul Junction. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, fb, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 78 21.10.15 Culblair Farm Cottages (1 & 2 & 3 & 4 Culblair Farm Cottages) (Figure 10.3) | dw 4no | r, airport, es= mature trees | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature trees along access road. Limited screening by new mixed woodland, standard trees and hedge | v, I, ADS, CCTV, fb, p | High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing mature trees along access road and by established mixed woodland, trees and hedges. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, fb, p | Medium | Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | View | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | planting. | | | | | | | |
| 79 21.10.15 Culblair Farmhouse (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | r, airport, es= mature trees | High | Low / Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature trees around property. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, l | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing mature trees around property and by established mixed woodland and hedge. | v, l | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 80 17.02.16 Drumine Bungalow (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | u, r, rd, se, airport, es= trees across road | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing garden hedge and adjacent deciduous woodland. Limited screening by new hedge planting. | v | Low / Medium | Slight | Increased screening by existing garden hedge and adjacent deciduous woodland and by established hedge. | v | Low / Medium | Negligible / Slight |
| 81 17.02.16 Drumine Farmhouse (Figure | dw & o (farm) 1no | r, rd, es= deciduous woodland to the west and | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees, and | rs, v, l | Low | Negligible | Increased screening by existing garden trees and by deciduous and | rs, v, l | Low | Slight beneficial |



| Number of Receptor, | Type and | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | Number of Properties | | to Change | | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 10.3) | | coniferous woodland to the north | | | | deciduous and coniferous woodland to the north. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | | | | coniferous woodland to the north. Additional screening by established mixed woodland. | | | |
| 82 17.02.16 Drumine Cottages No.1, Drumine Cottages No.2) (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | u, r, rd, se, es= trees around property, deciduous woodland to the west and coniferous woodland to the north | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing trees around property, deciduous woodland to the west, coniferous woodland to the north and intervening landform. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | v, l | Low / Medium | Negligible | Increased screening by existing trees around property, existing deciduous and coniferous woodlands and by the intervening landform. Additional screening by established mixed woodland. | v, I | Low / Medium | Slight beneficial |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Acceptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 83 24.11.15 Milton of Braichlaich Farm (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | R, es= mature deciduous trees around property | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature deciduous trees around property. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v | Medium / High | Moderate | Increased screening by existing mature deciduous trees around property and by established mixed woodland and hedge. | v | Medium / High | Slight / Moderate |
| 84 20.10.15 Milton of Braichlaich Bungalow, The Cottar House (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd, es= field boundary trees to in foreground the east undulatingto pography to the south- west | High | Medium / High | High | Limited screening by field boundary trees in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland,he dge and hedge with tree planting. | v, I | High | Substantial | Increased screening by field boundary trees in foreground and by established mixed and riparian woodland and by mature hedge and hedge with trees. | v, I | High | Moderate / Substantial |
| 85 20.10.15 Polfalden Farmhouse (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= mature trees around property | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature trees around property. Limited screening by | v, I, ADS, b, p, sr | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing mature trees around property, by established riparian, mixed and scrub | v, I, ADS, b, p, sr | Medium / High | Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | new riparian mixed and scrub woodland, and by new hedge and hedge with tree planting. | | | | woodland and by mature hedge and hedge with trees. | | | |
| 86 15.02.16 Ballagan Steading, Ballagan House, Ceann-na- Coille, The BungaLow (Figure 10.3) | dw 4no | R, es= rolling topography | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by rolling topography and garden trees. Limited screening by new hedge with tree planting along access road. | I. b, sr | Low | Negligible / Slight | Increased screening by rolling topography, garden trees and by established hedge with trees along access road. | l, b, sr | Low | Negligible |
| 87 15.02.16 Old Station Houses (The Cottage, Old Station House, Station Cottage, Lang Stracht) (Figure | dw 4no | u, rd, es= rolling topography | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by rolling topography. Limited screening by new hedge with tree planting along access road. | I, ADS, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by rolling topography and by established hedge with trees along access road. | l, ADS, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 10.3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 88 15.02.16 Balspardon Farmhouse , Balsparado n Cottage(Fig ure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 2no | R, es= rolling topography, mature garden vegetation around Balspardon Farmhouse | High | High | High | Partial screening by rolling topography and existing deciduous trees around property. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting at Brackley Junction. | v, sr, l, ADS | Low | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by rolling topography and existing deciduous trees around property, and by established mixed woodland and hedge at Brackley Junction. | v, sr, l, ADS | Low | Slight |
| 89 20.10.15 Ozzy's Restaurant and The Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw + c(coffee shop & restauran t) 2no | r, rd, se | High | High | High | Limited screening by new mixed woodland, hedge and standard tree planting. | rs, v, l, b, sr | High | Substantial | Increased screening by established mixed woodland, hedge and standard tree planting. | rs, v, l, b, sr | High | Substantial |
| 90 20.10.15 Brackley Farmhouse Brackley Farm | dw + o (farm) 2no | r, rd, se | High | Medium | High | Limited screening by new mixed woodland, hedge and standard | rs, v, l, ADS, b | High | Substantial | Increased screening by established mixed woodland, hedge and standard tree planting. | rs, v, l, ADS, b | High | Substantial |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VICW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| Cottage (Figure 10.3) | | | | | | tree planting. | | | | | | | |
| 91 20.10.15 Laurel Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= rolling topography and coniferous woodland | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by rolling topography and existing coniferous woodland. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | v, I, ADS, CCTV, b, sr | Medium | Moderate | Screening by rolling topography and existing coniferous woodland. Increased screening by established mixed woodland. | v, I, ADS, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 92 20.10.15 Drummond Lodge (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, rw, se, es= garden trees, coniferous woodland to the west and mixed woodland to the east | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees and coniferous and mixed woodland. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | rs, v | Low / Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing garden trees, coniferous and mixed woodland and by established mixed woodland. | rs, v | Low | Slight / Moderate |
| 93 20.10.15Th e Rowan, Lochside (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, es= rising topography and coniferous woodland in the foreground | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by rolling topography and by existing coniferous | v, b, sr | Low | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by rolling topography, existing coniferous woodland in foreground and by established scrub | v, b, sr | Low | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | View | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | woodland in foreground. Limited screening by new scrub woodland and hedge planting. | | | | woodland and hedge. | | | |
| 94 20.10.15 New built houses to the north of Lochside (Figure 10.3) | dw 12no | r, rd, rw, se | High | High | High | Partial screening by road cutting. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge with tree planting. | v, b | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Screening by road cutting and by established mixed woodland and hedge with trees. | v, b | Low / Medium | Slight |
| 95 16.02.16 Little Gollanfield, 1 Home Farm Cottages, 2 Home Farm Cottages, 3 Home Farm Cottages, 3 Home Farm Cottages, Gollanfield Steading, The Gate Lodge | dw 6 no | r, rd, rw, es= scrub along railway, small garden plants | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees and scrub in foreground. Limited screening by new hedge with tree planting. Additional limited screening of lighting by new mixed woodland planting at | vl | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing garden trees and scrub in foreground and by established hedge with trees. Additional limited screening of lighting by new mixed woodland planting at Brackley Junction. | v,I | Low | Slight |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| (Figure 10.3) | | | | | | Brackley Junction. | | | | | | | |
| 96 16.02.16 Gollanfield House cottages (10 Gollanfield House, 9 Gollanfield House, 11 Gollanfield House (Figure 10.3) | dw 5no | r, rd, rw, es= existing coniferous trees | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing coniferous trees around property. Limited screening by new riparian and scrub woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing coniferous trees around property and by established riparian and scrub woodland and hedges. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low | Slight |
| 97 15.02.16 Broomton (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, rw, es= existing garden hedge and trees | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing garden hedge and trees. Limited screening by new riparian and scrub woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing garden hedge and trees and by established, riparian and scrub woodland and hedges. | rs, v, p, sr | Low | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 98 15.02.16 The Long House (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd | High | Medium / High | High | Screening by intervening landform. Limited screening by new riparian and scrub woodland and hedge planting. | v, b, sr | Low | Slight | Screening by intervening landform increased screening by established riparian and scrub woodland and hedges. | v, b, sr | Low | Negligible / Slight |
| 99 15.02.16 Pooltown Farm Cottages (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 4no | r, rd, rw, es= existing deciduous trees around property | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing deciduous trees around property. Limited screening by new riparian and scrub woodland and hedge planting. | v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing deciduous trees around property and by established riparian and scrub woodland and hedges. | v, b, p, sr | Low | Slight |
| 100 16.02.16 Stoneyfield Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, rw, es= topography | High | High | High | Partial screening by topography. Limited screening by new scrub woodland and hedge with tree planting. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by topography and by established scrub woodland and hedges with trees. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | View | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 101 20.10.15 Easter Glackton, Rowanhill, Brackadale , Cala-Na- Sithe, New House (Figure 10.3) | dw 5no | r, rd, rw, se | High | High | High | Limited screening by new mixed and scrub woodland, hedge and hedge with tree planting. Partial screening by 1.6m high noise mitigation barrier. | rs, v, b, nba, sr | Medium/H ig h | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by established mixed and scrub woodland, and by mature hedge and hedge with trees. Partial screening by 1.6m high noise mitigation barrier. | rs, v, b, nba, sr | Low | Slight / Moderate |
| 102 16.02.16 Tomhommi e Farm Steading & Windyridge (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd, rw, es= mixed garden vegetation | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing mixed garden vegetation. Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland. | v, p, sr | Low / Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing mixed garden vegetation and by established mixed and riparian woodland. | v, p, sr | Low | Slight / Moderate |
| 103 20.10.15 Blackcastle Farm (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | r, rd, es= rising topography | High | Medium / High | High | Screening of the dual carriageway by rising topography and by road cutting. Limited screening of SUDS by | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. p, v, l, sr | Medium | Moderate | Screening of the dual carriageway by rising topography and by false cutting. Increased screening of SUDS by riparian woodland, and increased | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. p, v, l, sr | Medium | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | new riparian woodland, and limited screening of access road and roundabout by new mixed woodland and hedge planting at Nairn West Junction. | | | | screening of access road and roundabout at Nairn West Junction by established mixed woodland and hedge. | | | |
| 104 20.10.15 Blackcastle Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= coniferous woodland | High | Medium | High | Screening of the dual carriageway alignment by rising topography and by road cutting. Partial screening by existing coniferous woodland. Limited screening by new riparian, mixed and scrub woodland planting. | v, I, CCTV | Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by false cutting and by existing coniferous woodland. Additional increased screening by established riparian, mixed and scrub woodland. | 1 | Medium | Slight |
| 105 20.10.25 | dw & o (B&B, | r, es= topography | High | High | High | Partial screening by | v, I, sr | Low | Slight | Screening by intervening | v, I, sr | Low | Negligible/ Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Type and | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | Number of Properties | | to Change | | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| Cockhill (Sianimora, Woodside, The Croft B&B, Cranford)(F igure 10.3) | farm) 6no | and coniferous trees | | | | intervening topography, road cutting and by existing coniferous trees to the east. Limited screening by new scrub woodland and hedge planting. | | | | topography, road cutting and existing coniferous trees to the east increased by established scrub woodland and hedge. | | | |
| 106 24.11.15 New house west of Mardon House (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, es= rising topography | High | Low | High | Partial screening by rising topography and existing scrub vegetation. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. Partial screening by 1.5 m high noise mitigation barrier. | v, nba | Low | Moderate | Increased screening by rising topography, existing scrub vegetation and by established mixed woodland. Partial screening by 1.5 m high noise mitigation barrier. | v, nba | Low | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 107 16.02.16 Drumdivan (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, es= rolling topography and garden trees | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees and rolling topography. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | rs, v | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing garden trees, rolling topography, and established mixed woodland. | rs, v | Medium | Moderate |
| 108 24.11.15 Moss Hall, Mardon House, 1 Kildrummie Smithy, New House, Davlyndon, (Figure 10.3) | dw 5no | r | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, b, sr | High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing garden trees and established mixed woodland and hedge. | rs, v, b, sr | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |
| 109 24.11.15 Elim House, Barn Owl Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, es= coniferous woodland | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing coniferous woodland in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland planting. | v, b, p, sr | High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing coniferous woodland and established mixed and riparian woodland. | v, b, p, sr | Medium | Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 110 24.11.15 Newlands of Delnies Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, es= garden trees and existing coniferous shelterbelt | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees and coniferous shelterbelt in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | v, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing garden trees and coniferous shelterbelt and established mixed woodland. | v, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 111 16.02.16 Newlands of Delnies Farmhouse , "Tigh Ceilidh" (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd, es= rolling topography | High | High | High | Partial screening by rolling topography. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | v, b, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by rolling topography and established mixed woodland. | v, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 112 16.02.16 Delnies Croft House (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es=, rolling topography, existing garden trees and trees in foreground | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by rolling topography, garden trees and existing trees in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | v, b, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by rolling topography, garden trees and existing trees in foreground and established mixed woodland. | v, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | Susceptibility to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 113 16.02.16 Caskieben (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, es= mature mixed trees in garden | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by mature trees in garden. Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland planting. | v, b, p, rs | Low / Medium | Slight | Increased screening by mature trees in garden and by established mixed and riparian woodland. | v, b | Low | Negligible / Slight |
| 114 23.11.15 Moss-side west (Schennac hie, Cobelacha n, Coruscant, An-Lag, Broonacre) (Figure 10.3) | dw 5no | r | High | High | High | Limited screening by new mixed and riparian woodland planting. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Medium | Substantial | Increased screening by established mixed and riparian woodland. | v, b, sr | Low / Medium | Moderate |
| 115 23.11.15 Moss-side south-east Achnashell ach (Greenacre s, Rose Cottage, Pinewood, Moss – Side | dw 9no | r, es= mature garden trees and intervening deciduous and scrub woodland | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing mature garden trees, intervening deciduous and scrub woodland and limited screening by | v, b, p, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing mature garden trees, intervening deciduous and scrub woodland and by established mixed, riparian and coniferous woodland. | v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| CroftOrnum , Heather Cottage, Hill View, East Neuk) (Figure 10.3) | | | | | | new mixed, riparian and coniferous woodland planting. | | | | | | | |
| 116 23.11.15 Moss-side north-east (The Neuk, The Gables, Yarrum, Drum Moss, Anlin, Umtali, Woodstock) (Figure 10.3) | dw 7no | u, r, es= houses in foreground | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by houses and garden trees in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed, riparian and coniferous woodland planting. | v, b, p, sr | Low | Slight | Increased screening by houses and garden trees in foreground and established mixed, riparian and coniferous woodland. | v, b, p, sr | Low | Negligible |
| 117 24.11.15 Balnaspirac h (west)(Wil mara House, Roalan, Edgefield, Balnaspirac h Cottage, Athenry) | dw 4no | r, es= mature deciduous tree avenue, coniferous shelterbelt and houses in foreground | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing mature deciduous tree avenue, coniferous shelterbelt and houses in foreground. Limited | v, sr | Medium / High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing mature deciduous tree avenue, coniferous shelterbelt and houses in foreground. Additional screening by established mixed | v, sr | Low / Medium | Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| (Figure 10.3) | | | | | | screening by new mixed and riparian woodland planting. | | | | and riparian woodland. | | | |
| 118 24.11.15 Balnaspirac h (east) (Balnaspira ch House, Craggan, Casa Rosa) (Figure 10.3) | dw 3no | r, es= coniferous shelterbelt, mature mixed trees and hedges in gardens | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing coniferous shelterbelt and mature mixed trees and hedges in gardens. Limited screening by new coniferous shelterbelt woodland planting. | v | High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing coniferous shelterbelt, mature mixed trees and hedges in gardens. Additional screening by established coniferous shelterbelt woodland. | v | Medium | Moderate |
| 119 24.11.15 Meikle Kildrummie , Meikle Kildrummie Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, es= mature garden trees and hedges | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing mature garden trees and hedges. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, b | Medium | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by mature garden trees and hedges and established mixed woodland and hedge. | v, b | Medium | Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 120 16.02.16 Dwellings at eastern end of Cawdor Road (James View, Spindrift) (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd, pylons, es= coniferous shelterbelt, trees around properties and in foreground | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees and coniferous shelterbelt in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | rs , v, b, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing garden trees, coniferous shelterbelt in foreground and by established mixed woodland and hedges. | rs , v, b, sr | Low | Slight |
| 121 16.02.16 Howford Farm (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | r, rd, pylons, es= coniferous shelterbelt and garden hedge | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing garden hedge and coniferous shelterbelt and riparian woodland in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, b, sr | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing garden hedge, coniferous shelterbelt and riparian woodland in foreground and by established mixed woodland and hedges. | rs, v, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 122 21.10.15 Broadley Farm and Broadley | dw & o (farm) 2no | r, rd, river, es= hedge and mature deciduous trees around | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing hedge and mature deciduous | v, b, sr | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing hedge and mature deciduous trees around the | v, b, sr | Medium | Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Type and | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| House (Figure 10.3) | | property | | | | trees around property. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | | | | property and by established mixed woodland and hedge. | | | |
| 123 21.10.15 Broadley Garden Centre (Figure 10.3) | c (garden centre) 1no | r, rd, es= mature trees around receptor car park | Low | Low / Medium | Low / Medium | Partial screening by existing mature deciduous trees around car park. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, b, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing mature deciduous trees around car park and established mixed woodland and hedge. | v, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight |
| 124 16.02.16 Crook Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= coniferous woodland | High | Low / Medium | High | Significant screening by existing coniferous woodland around property. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | sr | Low | Negligible / Slight | Increased screening by existing coniferous woodland and by established mixed woodland. | sr | Low | Negligible |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 125 16.02.16 Crook Farm (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | R, es=surroun ded by woodland, although felling for construction will reduce this | High | Low / Medium | High | Partial screening by existing coniferous woodland around property. Limited screening by new mixed and coniferous woodland planting. | v, b, sr | High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing coniferous woodland around property and by established mixed and coniferous woodland. | sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 126 23.11.15 Woodlea, 1 & 2 Skene Park Cottages (Figure 10.3) | dw 3no | R, es= rolling topography, trees in gardens, some existing woodland may be retained | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by rolling topography and by existing coniferous woodland. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | v, b, p, sr | High | Substantial | Increased screening by rolling topography and existing coniferous woodland. Additional screening by established mixed woodland. | v, b, sr | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |
| 127 21.10.15 Househill Mains (Figure 10.3) | c & o (farm, farm shop & coffee shop) 1no | r, rd, es= coniferous woodland | Medium | Medium | Medium / High | Partial screening by existing coniferous woodland and road cutting. Limited screening by | v, b, sr | Medium / High | Moderate | Increased screening by existing coniferous woodland, road cutting and established mixed woodland and hedge. | v, b, sr | Medium / High | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | View | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | | | | | | | |
| 128 Househill Mains Farmhouse (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es=mature trees around property, Russell's Wood to SE | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature trees around property, existing coniferous woodland and road cutting. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, b, sr | Low/medi um | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing mature mixed trees around property, existing coniferous woodland, road cutting and established mixed woodland and hedge. | v, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight |
| 129 24.11.15 Househill Mains (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | R, es= coniferous woodland | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing coniferous woodland and limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge | vV, b, sr | Low/Medi um | Moderate | Increased screening by existing coniferous woodland and by established mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | View | to Change | View | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | planting. | | | | | | | |
| 130 24.11.15 Grigorhill Industrial Estate (Figure 10.3) | l 8no | r, rd, es= belt of woodland to the south limits arc of view | Low | Low | Low | Partial screening by existing coniferous woodland. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight | Increased screening by existing coniferous woodland and established mixed woodland and hedge. | v, b, sr | Low | Negligible / Slight |
| 131 24.11.15 Blackpark Farm (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | r, es= coniferous woodland | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing coniferous woodland and road cutting. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, sr | Medium | Substantial | Increased screening by existing coniferous woodland, road cutting and established mixed woodland and hedge. | V, Sr | Medium | Moderate / Substantial |
| 132 24.11.15 House and | dw & o (farm) 2no | R, es= coniferous woodland | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing | v, b, nbu, sr | High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing coniferous | v, b, nbu, sr | High | Moderate / Substantial |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | View | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| Cottage at Skene Park Farm (Figure 10.3) | | | | | | coniferous woodland. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. Partial screening by 2.2m noise mitigation bund. | | | | woodland and established mixed woodland. Partial screening by 2.2m noise mitigation bund. | | | |
| 133 16.02.16 County Cottages(Fi gure 10.3) | dw 6no | R, es= woodland (in front of road) | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by garden hedges and fences and existing mixed woodland in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. Partial screening by 2.2m noise mitigation bund. | rs, v, b, nbu, sr | Low | Slight | Increased screening by garden hedges and fences, existing mixed woodland in foreground and established mixed woodland. Partial screening by 2.2m noise mitigation bund. | rs, v, b, nbu, sr | Low | Negligible |
| 134 16.02.16 Foynesfield Farm | dw & o (farm) 1no | r, rd, es= woodland | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by trees and farm buildings | rs, v, b, nbu, p, sr | Medium | Slight | Increased screening by trees and farm buildings around property, existing mixed | v, b, nbu, p, sr | Low | Negligible/ Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| (Figure 10.3) | | | | | | around property and existing mixed woodland in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. Partial screening by 2.2m noise mitigation bund. | | | | woodland in foreground and by established mixed woodland. Partial screening by 2.2m noise mitigation bund. | | | |
| 135 16.02.16 Scarista, Foynesfield , Hillview (Figure 10.3) | dw 3no | r, rd, es= rolling topography, block of veg in foreground | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees, rolling topography, intervening buildings and existing scrub in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. Partial screening by 2.2m noise mitigation | v, nbu, p | Low | Slight | Increased I screening by existing garden trees, rolling topography, intervening buildings and existing scrub in foreground and by established mixed woodland. Partial screening by 2.2m noise mitigation bund. | v, nbu, p | Low | Negligible |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Acceptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | bund. | | | | | | | |
| 136 16.02.16 Bognafuara n Farm (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | u, I, rd, r, es= coniferous woodland | High | Low / Medium | High | Partial screening by existing coniferous woodland in midground and by road cutting. | v | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing coniferous woodland in midground and by road cutting. | v | Low | Negligible / Slight |
| 137 16.02.16 Brawview (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= blocks of coniferous woodland in foreground | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing coniferous woodland in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | rs, v, l, b, sr | Medium / High | Moderate | Increased screening by existing coniferous woodland in foreground and established mixed woodland. | rs, v, l, b, sr | Medium | Slight |
| 138 16.02.16 Grigorhill (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= garden hedge and blocks of coniferous trees in foreground | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing garden hedge and trees, and existing coniferous woodland in foreground. Limited | rs, v, l, b, sr | Medium / High | Moderate | Increased screening by garden hedge and trees, existing coniferous woodland in foreground and established mixed woodland. | rs, v, l, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | screening by new mixed woodland planting. | | | | | | | |
| 139 21.10.15 Kinnudie Farm, Orchard House (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 4no | r, rd, es= garden hedge and existing coniferous woodland | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing trees around properties and rolling topography. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, I, b, sr | Medium | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing trees around properties, rolling topography, and by established mixed woodland and hedge. | v, I, b, sr | Medium | Moderate |
| 140 27.01.16. Kinnudie Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= rolling topography, mature hedge around garden | High | Low / Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature garden hedge and rolling topography. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, I, b, sr | Low / Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing mature garden hedge, rolling topography and established mixed woodland and hedge. | v, I, b, sr | Low | Slight / Moderate |
| 141 15.02.16 Sunnyside - Garlichill. | dw 1no | r, rd, es= rolling topography, hedge in | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing garden | v, I, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Partial screening by existing garden hedge, rolling topography and | v, I, sr | Low | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | View | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| Auldearn (Figure 10.3) | | garden & trees in foreground | | | | hedge and rolling topography. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | | | | established mixed woodland and hedge. | | | |
| 142 15.02.16 Garlichill Court, Auldearn (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd, es= rolling topography, trees in foreground | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing line of conifers in foreground and rolling topography. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, I, sr | Low | Negligible / Slight | Increased screening by existing line of conifers in foreground, rolling topography and established mixed woodland and hedge. | v, I, sr, | Low | Negligible |
| 143 15.02.16 Battle Hill (Figure 10.3) | dw 6no | r, es= block of trees in foreground. | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by existing garden hedges and block of trees in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, I, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing garden hedges, block of trees in foreground and established mixed woodland and hedge. | v, I, sr | Low | Negligible/ Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Type and | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VICW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 144 16.02.16 Glebe House - Manse Road, Auldearn (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | u, r, rd, ex= mature trees in garden and along road | High | Medium | High | Elements of the proposed Scheme won't be visible. | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Low | Slight beneficial | Elements of the proposed Scheme won't be visible. | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Low | Slight beneficial |
| 145 Forres Road, Auldearn (Figure 10.3) | dw 11no | r, rd, es= fences, hedges, trees in gardens | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing fences, hedges and trees in gardens. Limited screening by new hedge planting. | rs, v, b, sr Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Medium | Negligible | Increased screening by existing fences, hedges and trees in gardens and by established hedge planting. | rs, v, b, sr Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Medium | Slight beneficial |
| 146 15.02.16 Moyness Road (Figure 10.3) | dw 8no | r, rd, ex= block of scrub woodland behind houses | High | Low / Medium | High | Elements of the proposed Scheme won't be visible. | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Low | Slight beneficial | Elements of the proposed Scheme won't be visible. | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Low | Slight beneficial |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor | Value of | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | Susceptibility to Change | View | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 147 16.02.16 Houses along the eastern edge of Nairn on Lawrie Drive (Figure 10.3) | dw 29no | u, r, rd, rw, es= existing coniferous shelterbelt | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing coniferous shelterbelt in midground. Limited screening by new mixed, scrub and riparian woodland, standard tree and hedge planting at Nairn East Junction. | v, I, b, ADS, p, sr | Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by existing coniferous shelterbelt in midground and by established mixed, scrub and riparian woodland, trees and hedges at Nairn East Junction. | v, I, b, ADS, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 148 25.11.15 Auchnacloi ch Farm (Figure 10.3) | Dw & o (farm) 3no | r, rd, es= deciduous trees around property | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing deciduous trees around property and intervening landform. Limited screening by new mixed, scrub and riparian woodland and standard tree planting at Nairn | rs, v, I, b, ADS, , sr | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing deciduous trees around property, intervening landform and by established mixed, scrub and riparian woodland and trees at Nairn East Junction. | rs, v, I, b, ADS,, sr | Medium | Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | East Junction. | | | | | | | |
| 149 25.11.15 Waterloo cottages (Figure 10.3) | dw 7 no | r, rd, es= intervening topography | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by rolling topography. Limited screening by new mixed and scrub woodland and standard tree planting at Nairn East Junction. | v, l, b | High | Substantial | Increased screening by rolling topography and established mixed and scrub woodland and trees at Nairn East Junction. | v, I, b | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |
| 150 25.11.15 Drumduan Mill (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, es= rolling topography | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by rolling topography. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | rs, v, l, ADS, b, sr | High | Substantial | Increased screening by rolling topography and by established mixed woodland. | rs, v, l, ADS, b, sr | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |



| Number of Receptor, | Type and | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | Number of Properties | | to Change | | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 151 25.11.15 Millhill (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd, es= rolling topography, mature mixed garden trees | High | High | High | Partial screening by mature mixed garden trees and rolling topography. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | rs, v, l, ADS, b, sr | High | Substantial | Increased screening by mature mixed garden trees, rolling topography, and established mixed woodland. | rs, v, l, ADS, b, sr | High | Moderate / Substantial |
| 152 25.11.15 Drumduan Farm (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | R, es= garden vegetation and farm sheds | High | High | High | Partial screening by mature garden vegetation and farm buildings in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | rs, v, l | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by mature garden vegetation and farm buildings in foreground, and by established mixed woodland. | rs, v, l | Medium | Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 153 25.11.16 Drumduan Cottages, Railway House, Egilsay House, Kildonan (Figure 10.3) | dw 7no | r | High | Medium / High | High | Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | rd, v | Medium | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by established mixed woodland. | rd, v | Low / Medium | Moderate |
| 154 27.01.16 Amhuinnsui dhe, Bogheads (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd-minor, pylons | High | Medium / High | High | Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | rs, rd, v | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by established mixed woodland. | r, rd, v | Low | Slight |
| 155 27.01.16 Bogheads (Figure 10.3) | c (Nursery) 1no | r, rd-minor, pylons | Low / Medium | Medium / High | Low / Medium | Partial screening by road cutting. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | v, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Screening by false cutting increased by established mixed woodland. | v, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight |
| 156 25.11.15 Bogside of Brodie (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, minor rd, es= rolling topography, mature garden trees | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature trees in garden and rolling topography. Limited | v, sr | High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing mature trees in garden, rolling topography and established mixed woodland. | v, sr | Medium / High | Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, | Type and | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | V ICW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | screening by new mixed woodland planting. | | | | | | | |
| 157 21.10.15 Bogside of Boath (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | r, es= garden trees and hedge | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing garden vegetation. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | v, b, sr | High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing garden vegetation and established mixed woodland. | v, b, sr | High | Moderate |
| 158 25.11.15 Wendy House (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, es= mature trees in foreground | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by mature trees in foreground. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. Limited screening by approx. 2.2m high landscape earth works. | v, ew | Medium | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by mature trees in foreground and established mixed woodland. Limited screening by approx. 2.2m high landscape earth works. | v, ew | Low / Medium | Moderate |
| 159 25.11.15 East Lodge Cottage, Mill of | dw 2no | r, es= trees and hedge in garden | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by mature trees and hedges around | v,ew, p | High | Substantial | Increased screening by mature trees and hedges around properties and | v, ew , p | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIGW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| Boath (Figure 10.3) | | | | | | properties. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. Limited screening by approx. 2.2m high landscape earth works. | | | | established mixed woodland. Limited screening by approx. 2.2m high landscape earth works. | | | |
| 160 27.01.16 Boath Steading (Figure 10.3) | dw 3no | R, es= trees around properties | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by mature trees around properties. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, b, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by mature trees around properties and established mixed woodland and hedges. | v, b, sr | Low | Slight |
| 161 27.01.16 Boath House Hotel (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | R, es=mature trees in garden | High | Medium | High | Significant screening by mature trees around property. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | v, р | Low / Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by mature trees around property and established mixed woodland. | ν, ρ | Low | Slight |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years aft | er Opening | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | view | Susceptibility to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 162 15.02.16 Broombank (Seabean, 2 The Steading, 3 The Steading, 4 The Steading, Steading Cottage, Broombank Farmhouse , Bidwells) (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 6no | u, r, rd, es= ex= houses surrounded by trees and rolling topography | High | Low / Medium | High | Substantial screening by existing mixed trees around properties and rolling topography. Limited screening by new hedge planting. | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Low | Slight beneficial | Substantial screening by existing mature mixed trees around properties, rolling topography and established hedges. | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Low | Slight beneficial |
| 163 15.02.16 Broombank Cottage (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd es= View to North blocked by topography | High | Low / Medium | High | View to north blocked by topography, elements of the proposed Scheme won't be visible. | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Low | Slight beneficial | View to north blocked by topography, elements of the proposed Scheme won't be visible. | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Low | Slight beneficial |
| 164 15.02.16 Meadowfiel d Farmhouse , The Meadows | dw & o (farm) 2no | r, rd, es= ex= coniferous trees in garden | High | Low / Medium | High | Elements of the proposed Scheme won't be visible. | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Low | Slight beneficial | Elements of the proposed Scheme won't be visible. | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Low | Slight beneficial |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years af | ter Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | VIEW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| (Figure 10.3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 165 15.02.16 Cairnfield, Roundall Wood (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd, u, ex= trees in foreground, garden vegetation | High | Medium | High | Elements of the proposed Scheme won't be visible. | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Low | Slight beneficial | Elements of the proposed Scheme won't be visible. | Reduction of traffic on existing A96. | Low | Slight beneficial |
| 166 15.02.16 Sylvan House (Figure 10.3) | dw 1no | r, rd, ex= trees around property | High | Low / Medium | High | Partial screening by existing mature trees around property. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by existing mature trees around property and by established mixed woodland and hedge. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low | Negligible / Slight |
| 167 16.02.16 Gallows View (Old Telephone Exchange) (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd, ex= fence around property, coniferous woodland | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge | rs, v, b, p, sr | Medium | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing garden trees and established mixed woodland and hedge. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |



| Number of | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor | Value of View | Sensitivity of | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years af | ter Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | Susceptibility to Change | view | Receptor to Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | planting. | | | | | | | |
| 168 16.02.16 Courage Cottage, Little Pennick (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd, ex= existing A96 on embankmen t in front of properties, coniferous woodland | High | Low / Medium | High | Partial screening by intervening topography. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by intervening topography and established mixed woodland and hedge. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low | Slight |
| 169 16.02.16 Garblies Farm (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 1no | r, rd, ex= rolling topography, barn, existing coniferous woodland, some garden trees | High | Low / Medium | High | Partial screening by adjacent farm building, garden trees, rolling topography and existing coniferous woodland. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge | v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by adjacent farm building, garden trees, rolling topography, existing coniferous woodland, and established mixed woodland and hedge. | v, b, p, sr | Low | Slight |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years af | ter Opening | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | view | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | planting. | | | | | | | |
| 170 20.10.15 Courage Steading, Innesfree (Figure 10.3) | dw 3no | r, rd, Black Isle, ex= rolling topography and hedge in the garden | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees and hedge and rolling topography Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, b | High | Substantial | Increased screening by existing garden trees and hedge, rolling topography and established mixed woodland and hedge. | rs, v, b | High | Substantial |
| 171 16.02.16 Bergen, Easter Brightmony , Brackonan (Figure 10.3) | dw 3no | r, rd, se, es= hedge around Bergen | High | High | High | Partial screening by garden hedges. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by garden hedges and established mixed woodland and hedge. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Slight / Moderate |
| 172 17.02.16 Mains of Moyness (Rose Cottage, Smithy Cottage, Gean | dw 3no | r, rd, se, es=rolling topography, woodland around existing road | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing trees in foreground, rolling topography and existing woodland | rs, v, sr | Low / Medium | Negligible/ Slight | Increased screening by existing trees in foreground, rolling topography, existing woodland around existing A96 and established | rs, v, sr | Low | Negligible |



| Number of Receptor, | Туре | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to | Winter Year Op | ening | | | Summer 15 Years af | er Opening | | |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | and Number of Properties | VIEW | to Change | 4 IGW | Change | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| Cottage) (Figure 10.3) | | | | | | around existing A96. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | | | | woodland and hedge. | | | |
| 173 17.02.16 Hillhead Cottage and Harpenside (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd, i (across Moray Firth), se, es= woodland around existing A96 | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing woodland and intervening topography. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low / Medium | Negligible/ Slight | Increased screening by existing woodland, intervening topography and established mixed woodland and hedge. | rs, v, b, p, sr | Low | Negligible |
| 174 21.10.15 Penick Farm and Farmhouse , Inshoch Farmhouse (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm, tennis court) 2no | r, rd, es= garden trees | High | High | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees and hedges. Limited screening by new mixed woodland and hedge planting. | v, b | Medium / High | Moderate / Substantial | Increased screening by existing garden trees and hedges, and established mixed woodland and hedge. | v, b | Medium | Moderate |



| Number of Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | Type and Number of Properties | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility to Change | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to Change | Winter Year Opening | | | | Summer 15 Years after Opening | | | |
|---|---|--|--|------------------|---|--|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | | | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| 175 16.02.16 Kinnaird, Oak Side (Figure 10.3) | dw 2no | r, rd, Woodland around existing A96. | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by intervening topography and existing woodland around existing A96. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | v, b, sr | Low / Medium | Moderate | Increased screening by intervening topography, existing woodland around existing A96 and established mixed woodland. | v, b, sr | Low | Slight / Moderate |
| 176 16.02.16 Muirend Croft (Figure 10.3) | dw & o (farm) 2no | r, rd, es= coniferous woodland, rolling topography | High | Medium / High | High | Partial screening by rolling topography and existing coniferous woodland in midground. Limited screening by new mixed woodland planting. | v, b | Low | Slight / Moderate | Increased screening by rolling topography, existing coniferous woodland in midground and by established mixed woodland. | V, b | Low | Negligible/ Slight |
| 177 21.10.15 Western Hardmuir (Figure 10.3) | dw & o & c (farm and farm shop) 3no | r, rd, es= hedge around eastern property | High | Low / Medium | High | Partial screening by existing hedge around eastern property and by road cutting. Limited | rs, v, b, sr | Low | Slight | Partial screening by existing hedge around eastern property, with screening by road cutting increased by established mixed woodland | v, b, sr | Low | Negligible/ Slight |



| Number of Receptor, Date Assessed, House or Road Name, Figure No. | Type and Number of Properties | Existing View | Visual Receptor Susceptibility to Change | Value of View | Sensitivity of Receptor to Change | Winter Year Opening | | | | Summer 15 Years after Opening | | | |
|---|--|--|--|------------------|---|---|---|------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | | | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significanc e of Effect | Description of Mitigation Measures | Visible Elements of Proposed Scheme | Magnitude of Impact | Significance of Effect |
| | | | | | | screening by new mixed woodland planting. | | | | | | | |
| 178 03.05.16 Heathfield (Figure 10.3) | Dw 1no | R, rd, es= garden trees and deciduous woodland | High | Medium | High | Partial screening by existing garden trees. Limited screening by new hedge and mixed woodland planting. | rs, v, sr | Low | Slight | Increased screening by existing garden trees and established hedge and mixed woodland. | rs, v, sr | Low | Negligible |
| 179 03.05.16 Toll Brae (Figure 10.3) | Dw 1no | r, rd | High | Medium | High | Limited screening by new hedge and mixed woodland planting. | rs, v, sr | Low | Slight | Increased screening by established hedge and mixed woodland. | rs, v, sr | Low | Negligible |