

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

B0010332 July 2007 Jacobs U.K. Limited 95 Bothwell Street, Glasgow G2 7HX

Tel 0141 204 2511 Fax 0141 226 3109

Copyright Jacobs U.K. Limited. All rights reserved.

No part of this report may be copied or reproduced by any means without prior written permission from Jacobs U.K. Limited. If you have received this report in error, please destroy all copies in your possession or control and notify Jacobs U.K. Limited.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the commissioning party and unless otherwise agreed in writing by Jacobs U.K. Limited, no other party may use, make use of or rely on the contents of this report. No liability is accepted by Jacobs U.K. Limited for any use of this report, other than for the purposes for which it was originally prepared and provided.

Opinions and information provided in the report are on the basis of Jacobs U.K. Limited using due skill, care and diligence in the preparation of the same and no warranty is provided as to their accuracy.

It should be noted and it is expressly stated that no independent verification of any of the documents or information supplied to Jacobs U.K. Limited has been made.

Aberdeen Western Peripheral Route Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

Contents

1	Introdu	ction	. 1			
	1.1	Proposed Scheme	. 1			
	1.2	Survey Aims	. 1			
	1.3	Background	. 1			
2	Method	ls	. 2			
	2.1	Previous Survey Information	. 2			
	2.2	Survey Methods	. 2			
	2.3	Survey Limitations	. 3			
	2.4	Assessment of Nature Conservation Value	. 3			
3	Baselin	e	. 5			
	3.1	Data Search	. 5			
	3.2	Habitat Area Evaluation	. 6			
	3.3	Survey Results	. 6			
4	Evaluat	tion	. 6			
	4.1	Ecological Evaluation of Hare	. 6			
	4.2	Survey Results Summary	. 6			
	4.3	Evaluation Summary	. 8			
5	Potenti	al Impacts	. 8			
	5.1	Introduction	. 8			
	5.2	Generic Impact Assessment	. 8			
	5.3	Specific Impacts	11			
	5.4	Potential Impact Summary	11			
6	Mitigati	on	12			
	6.1	Introduction	12			
	6.2	Generic Mitigation	12			
7	Residu	al Impacts	14			
0	8 Potarances					

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

1 Introduction

1.1 Proposed Scheme

- 1.1.1 This Appendix reports the assessment of potential impacts on brown hare populations in the vicinity of the Northern Leg of the proposed scheme.
- 1.1.2 To aid the interpretation of the assessment, five component route sections for the Northern Leg have been identified as follows:
 - Section NL1 ch314800 316000 (Derbeth to Tulloch Road);
 - Section NL2 ch316000 317400 (SAC Craibstone);
 - Section NL3 ch317400 322600 (A96 to Nether Kirkton);
 - Section NL4 ch322600 325370 (Nether Kirkton to Corsehill); and
 - Section NL5 ch325370 330900 (Corsehill to Blackdog).
- 1.1.3 These studies included desk-based consultation to collate existing information about brown hare populations in the area affected by the scheme and field surveys to provide current data about the status of brown hare populations.

1.2 Survey Aims

- 1.2.1 The purpose of the survey was to determine the presence and status of brown hare in the survey corridor so that an assessment could be made as to what impacts the road would have on hares. The aims of the survey were to:
 - assess the presence and status of brown hares in the study area;
 - assess the quality of habitat present and evaluate the importance of the area to hares;
 - assess any impacts the road development may have upon the local hare population; and
 - identify appropriate mitigation measures and determine any residual impacts.

1.3 Background

Biology

- 1.3.1 The brown hare is a member of the *Leporidae* family, which also includes rabbits. The brown hare is not native to Britain but was introduced by the Romans approximately 2000 years ago. The mountain hare (*Lepus timidus*) is the only native species of hare in Britain although this is now mainly confined to the Scottish Highlands. The brown hare is widespread throughout Britain but it is absent from northwest Scotland and the Scottish Highlands. Open country is the brown hare's favoured environment and in Western Europe arable land is their natural habitat (Tapper & Hobson, 2002; McBride, 1988).
- 1.3.2 Brown hares are largely nocturnal although, during the summer, activity extends to early evenings and mornings. Brown hares cover wide areas to graze on grasses, cereals and herbs, resting during the day while they digest the previous night's forage. Unlike rabbits, hares do not use burrows but make a small depression (form) in the ground among long grass where they spend most of the day. These are usually in open fields although woodlands may be used during the winter as they offer greater protection from adverse weather conditions. Although generally solitary, hares will occasionally gather into loose groups while feeding. Hares do not appear to practise scent marking or other territorial behaviour but males do show a loose dominance

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

hierarchy, though not as prominent as in rabbits. The breeding season usually lasts from February to September and a female can produce up to four litters a year, each consisting of two to four young (leverets) (The Mammal Society, 2007; Tapper & Hobson, 2002; McBride, 1988).

Status

- 1.3.3 The brown hare is a moderately common farmland species in Britain, although it appears to have experienced a substantial decline since the early 1960s with current UK population estimates varying between 750,000 and 1,250,000 (The Mammal Society, 2007). Anecdotal evidence from hunting estates however, suggests that hare numbers have remained stable over the last decade, perhaps due to the management regime on the hunting. Factors causing decline or loss include conversion of grassland to arable, loss of habitat diversity in the agricultural landscape and changes in planting and cropping regimes e.g. planting of cereals in the autumn (UK Biodiversity Action Plan, 2007).
- 1.3.4 Brown hares receive little legal protection, partly because they are game animals and can be managed by farmers and landowners, and partly because they are a minor pest and can damage crops and young tree plantations. Hares are, however, protected by a complex set of older acts such as the Game Laws, the Ground Game Act 1880 and the Hare Preservation Act 1892 (The Mammal Society, 2007; Tapper & Hobson. 2002). There are no laws forbidding cruelty to hares other than that afforded under the Wild Mammals (Protection) Act 1996 (League Against Cruel Sports, 2007). The brown hare is a UK Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) species and is a priority species in the North East Scotland Local BAP. The objectives and targets of the BAPs are to:
 - maintain existing populations; and
 - expand existing populations, doubling spring numbers the UK by 2010.

2 Methods

2.1 Previous Survey Information

2.1.1 A desk-based study was undertaken comprising a review of available data. This included consultations with relevant statutory bodies and local interest groups including Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH) and North East Scotland Biological Records Centre (NESBReC), as well as a search of internet sources.

2.2 Survey Methods

Hare activity within 500m of the proposed scheme was assessed by collating incidental records made by a team of ecologists whilst conducting protected species and Phase 1 Habitat surveys. This involved recording signs indicative of the presence of hare including form depressions, prints, droppings and sightings. In addition to the daytime incidental reports, there were a number of crepuscular and/or nocturnal incidental opportunities (bat, squirrel, birds) therefore, these results can be used as an indicator of relative abundance. This methodology was approved by SNH prior to the commencement of the survey.

Dates of Survey

The surveys conducted over two seasons during a 15 week period between 24 March 2004 and 10 July 2004 and over a 25 week period between 14 February 2006 and 24 August 2006..

Habitat Evaluation

2.2.3 In addition to the hare surveys, data relating to the size and types of habitat in the route corridor were recorded so that a general assessment could be made as to the suitability of the habitat for

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

hares. This involved a review of the Phase 1 Habitat Report (see Appendix A10.1) to obtain data on land classifications and a general overview of the habitat quality based on survey findings.

- 2.2.4 Factors likely to influence the survival of the local hare population are judged to be of the greatest importance when evaluating habitat value. Areas possessing or allowing access to optimal foraging and resting habitat are judged to be of key importance. Areas possessing sub-optimal foraging habitat but other habitat qualities (e.g. low levels of disturbance and good cover) are less important as they are less likely to be vital to local hare survival. Details of how values of importance to the local hare population were derived are given below:
 - **High Value** Optimal foraging habitat owing to abundance of small (<1ha) cereal fields coupled with suitable habitat for cover e.g. pockets of woodland and rank grassland.
 - **Medium Value** Despite abundant source of food, location is considered sub-optimal due to lack of resting and shelter areas.
 - Low Value Location offers marginal foraging resources and/or poor habitat/cover.

2.3 Survey Limitations

2.3.1 Although there were opportunities for nocturnal incidental sightings, individuals may have gone unrecorded. However, while no specific surveys were undertaken for hares surveyors were in the field on a daily basis for in excess of three months (covering the main months of hare activity) recording everything observed. It is therefore likely that the survey results are a reliable indication of the distribution of hares in the area.

2.4 Assessment of Nature Conservation Value

2.4.1 The ecological value of each site with nature conservation interest was determined by reference to any designations and the results of the consultations, literature review and field surveys. The criteria used were based on the Ratcliffe Criteria (Ratcliffe 1977) used in the selection of biological Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs). Sites and features were classified according to the general criteria identified in Table 1.

Table 1 – Evaluation of Ecological Receptor

Value/ Importance	Criteria
International	<u>Habitats</u>
(European)	An internationally designated site or candidate site (SPA, pSPA, SAC, cSAC, Ramsar site, Biogenetic/Biosphere Reserve, World Heritage Site) or an area which would meet the published selection criteria for designation. A viable area of a habitat type listed in Annex I of the Habitats Directive, or smaller areas of such habitat which are essential to maintain the viability of a larger whole. Any river classified as excellent A1 and likely to support a substantial salmonid population. Any river with a Habitat Modification Score indicating that it is Pristine or Semi-Natural or Obviously Modified.
	Species Any regularly occurring population of internationally important species, threatened or rare in the UK. i.e. a UK Red Data Book species categories 1& 2 of UK BAP) or of uncertain conservation status or of global conservation concern in the UK BAP. A regularly occurring, nationally significant population/number of an internationally important species.
National	<u>Habitats</u>
(Scottish)	A nationally designated site (SSSI, ASSI, NNR, Marine Nature Reserve) or a discrete area which would meet the published selection criteria for national designation (e.g. SSSI selection guidelines). A viable area of a priority habitat identified in the UK BAP, or of smaller areas of such habitat essential to maintain wider viability. Any river classified as excellent A1 and likely to support a substantial salmonid population. Any river with a Habitat Modification Score indicating that it is Pristine or Semi-Natural or Obviously Modified.
	Species A regularly occurring, regionally or county significant population/number of an internationally/nationally important species. Any regularly occurring population of a nationally important species which is threatened or rare in the region or county (see local BAP). A feature identified as of critical importance in the UK BAP.

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

Value/ Importance	Criteria
Regional (North East Scotland)	Habitats Sites which exceed the County-level designations but fall short of SSSI selection criteria. Viable areas of key habitat identified in the Regional BAP or smaller areas of habitat essential to maintain wider viability. Viable areas of key habitat identified as of Regional value in the appropriate SNH Natural Heritage Future area profile. Any river classified as excellent A1 or good A2 and capable of supporting salmonid population. Any river with a Habitat Modification Score indicating that it is significantly modified or above. Species
	Any regularly occurring, locally significant population of a species listed as being nationally scarce which occurs in 16-100 10 km squares in the UK or in a Regional BAP or relevant SNH Natural Heritage Future area on account of its regional rarity or localisation. A regularly occurring, locally significant population/number of a regionally important species. Sites maintaining populations of internationally/nationally important species that are not threatened or rare in the region or county.
Authority Area (e.g. County or District) Aberdeenshire / City of Aberdeen	Habitats Sites recognised by local authorities (e.g.) District Wildlife Sites (DWS) and Sites of Interest for Nature Conservation (SINS). County/District sites that the designating authority has determined meet the published ecological selection criteria for designation, including Local Nature Reserves (LNR). A viable area of habitat identified in County/District BAP or in the relevant SNH Natural Heritage Future area profile. A diverse and/or ecologically valuable hedgerow network. Semi-natural ancient woodland greater than 0.25 ha. Any river classified as good A2 or fair B and likely to support coarse fishery. Any river with a Habitat Modification Score indicating that it is significantly modified or above. Species Any regularly occurring, locally significant population of a species listed in a County/District BAP due to regional rarity or localisation. A regularly occurring, locally significant population of a County/District important species. Sites supporting populations of internationally/nationally/regionally important species that are not threatened or rare in the region or county, and not integral to maintaining those populations. Sites/features scarce in the County/District or which appreciably enrich the County/ District
Local (immediate area or local village importance)	Habitats Areas of habitat that appreciably enrich the local habitat resource (e.g. species-rich hedgerows, ponds etc). Sites that retain other elements of semi-natural vegetation that due to their size, quality or the wide distribution within the local area are not considered for the above classifications. Semi-natural ancient woodland smaller than 0.25 ha. Any river classified as fair B or poor C and unlikely to support coarse fishery. Rivers with a Habitat Modification Score indicating that it is severely modified or above. Species Populations/assemblages of species that appreciable enrich the biodiversity resource within the local context. Sites supporting populations of county/district important species that are not threatened or rare in the region or county, and are not integral to maintaining those populations.
Less than Local (Limited ecological importance)	Sites that retain habitats and/or species of limited ecological importance due to their size, species composition or other factors. Any river classified as impoverished D and/or and with a Habitat Modification Score indicating that it is severely modified.

Impact Assessment

In the assessment of significance of impact, consideration has been given both to the magnitude of impact and to the sensitivity of the receptor environment or species. The sensitivity of a feature was determined with reference to its level of ecological value/importance although other elements have been taken into account where appropriate.

Impact Magnitude

2.4.3 The magnitude of an impact has been assessed for each element of the development. Methods of impact prediction used included direct measurements, correlations, expert opinion and information from previous developments. Impacts include those that are predicted to be direct, indirect, temporary, permanent, cumulative, reversible or irreversible. The magnitude of each impact was assessed independently of its value or statutory status. A definition of the magnitude impacts is presented in Table 2.

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

Table 2 - Impact Magnitude

Impact Magnitude	Criteria			
High negative	The change is likely to permanently, adversely affect the integrity of an ecological receptor, in terms of the coherence of its ecological structure and function, across its whole area that enables it to sustain the habitat, complex of habitats and/or the population levels of species of interest.			
Medium negative	The change is not likely to permanently adversely affect the ecological receptor's integrity but the effect on the receptor is likely to be substantial in terms of its ecological structure and function and may be significant in terms of its ecological objectives. Likely to result in changes in the localised or temporary distribution of a species but not affect its population status at a regional scale or permanently.			
Low negative	The change may adversely affect the ecological receptor, but there will probably be no permanent effect on its integrity and/or key attributes and is unlikely to be significant in terms of its ecological objectives.			
Negligible	The change may slightly adversely affect the receptor but will have no permanent effect on the integrity of the receptor or its key attributes. There are no predicted measurable changes to the species assemblage or population and the effect is unlikely to result in an increased vulnerability of the receptor to future impacts.			
Positive	The change is likely to benefit the ecological receptor, and/or enhance the biodiversity resource of the receptor.			
High positive	The change is likely to restore an ecological receptor to favourable conservation status, contribute to meeting BAP objectives (local and national) and/or create a feature that is of recognisable value for biodiversity.			

Impact Significance

The significance of an impact has been determined according to the matrix system illustrated in Table 3. Generally, an impact significance greater than or equal to Moderate would require mitigation to be undertaken to ameliorate the impact significance to acceptable levels.

Table 3 – Impact Significance

Magnitude Importance	High Negative	Medium Negative	Low Negative	Negligible	Positive	High Positive
International	Major	Major	Moderate	Negligible	Moderate	Major
National	Major	Major	Moderate	Negligible	Moderate	Major
Regional	Major	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	Minor	Moderate
Authority Area	Moderate	Moderate	Minor	Negligible	Minor	Moderate
Local	Minor	Minor	Minor	Negligible	Minor	Minor
Less than Local	Minor	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible

2.4.5 The level of significance of impacts predicted on ecological receptors is an important factor in influencing the decision-making process and determining the necessity and/or extent of mitigation measures. Impacts can be beneficial or adverse, either improving or decreasing the ecological status, health or viability of a species, population or habitat.

3 Baseline

3.1 Data Search

3.1.1 Consultations with the relevant bodies revealed no records of hares in the area.

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

3.2 Habitat Area Evaluation

Habitat Valuation

Much of the survey area offers good habitat provision, with a mixture of pasture, woodland, cereals, root crops and set-aside. This allows hares to graze different crops and grass when conditions are right. Furthermore, during the summer long cereals provide good cover for adults and leverets and pasture areas provide good grazing conditions. In the winter root crops and winter cereals provide cover and food and the woodlands shelter from severe weather. Some of the fields are, however, quite large (>2ha) meaning that hares need to move greater distances to get the best feeding and shelter conditions in specific seasons. The habitat across the study area in general terms is assessed as between **low-medium value** to hares (see Phase 1 Habitat Report in Appendix A10.1 for further details regarding distribution of habitats and land use classification). Habitat Area W52 in Section NL3 is assessed as being of **high value** to hares due to the species rich meadows and the mixture of crops that provide optimal foraging conditions, and also the large extent of resting habitat available.

3.3 Survey Results

Field observations

Twelve hare sightings were recorded within the study area. Three of these sightings were individual sightings from three separate ecologists in the same field at NJ 862125 (see Figure 10.10b) adjacent to Howemoss Farm. The remaining sightings may represent different animals, they may be repeat observations of the same hare. All hare sightings are shown on Figures 10.10a-d. An additional 20 hares have been reported in the vicinity of Hillhead of Derbeth and West Brimmondside (Local farmer, pers.comm.). Further details of the baseline results are presented in Table 4.

4 Evaluation

4.1 Ecological Evaluation of Hare

4.1.1 Brown hare have no legal protection from humane killing or for their nature conservation value. They are however a UKBAP species and a NES LBAP species due to their general decline caused by changes in farming practices and hunting. Brown hare are therefore considered to be a species of **county** importance and any high value habitat that has the potential to support substantial populations will be evaluated as being of **county** value. Habitat that is of medium or low value but that has the potential to support a small population will be assessed as being of **local** value

4.2 Survey Results Summary

- 4.2.1 The following table presents the description of the suitable hare habitat followed by an evaluation of its ecological importance
- 4.2.2 Habitat Areas that are not included in the table are unsuitable for the brown hare and therefore of no importance here.

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

Table 4 – Summary of Ecological Evaluations for Hare

Habitat Areas	Hare Sightings	Features supporting Brown Hare	Evaluation of Habitat	Overall Evaluation
Section NL1				
N2, N3 and N6-N8	Yes	Extensive areas of arable fields and improved pasture provide potential foraging habitat. Shelter in the form of coniferous plantation woodland, semi-natural broadleaved woodland and gorse scrub.	Medium	Local
N12-N15 and N17	Yes. Habitat areas N13	Arable fields and improved grassland with some scattered scrub and drystone walls that provide shelter.	Medium	Local
Section NL2				
N18- N28	Yes. Habitat area N19	Area surrounding Craibstone has requisite foraging and shelter but may but unsuitable due to disturbance.	Low	Less than local
Section NL3				
N30-N32		Arable fields and improved grassland with some semi-improved acid grassland for foraging and resting, and shelter in the form of semi-mature broadleaved woodland.	Medium	Local
N33	Yes. Habitat Areas N33 and N34	Arable and improved grassland with some semi-improved acid grassland and shelter in the form of coniferous plantation and broadleaved semi-natural woodland with bracken.	High	County
N39		Arable fields and improved grassland with some poor semi-improved acid grassland and shelter provided by plantation coniferous woodland, dry stone walls and scattered scrub.	Medium	Local
N44, N45 and N46 N48		Arable and improved grassland. Shelter in the form of mixed woodland plantation and broad-leaved semi-natural woodland	Medium	Local
Section NL4				
N49-N51		Arable fields and improved grassland with localised areas of broad-leaved woodland plantation and scattered scrub	Medium	Local
N55, N54 and N56		Goval woods and surrounding area provide improved and semi-improved acid grassland. Broad-leaved semi-natural woodland, bracken and scrub provide shelter.	Medium	Local
N59-N60		Arable fields and improved grassland with broad-leaved woodland.	Medium	Local
N64 and N68-N73		Arable fields and improved and marshy grassland shelter provided by broad-leaved semi-natural woodland and scattered scrub.	Medium	Local
Section NL5				
N76, N80, N84, N86 and N87	Yes. Habitat Area N84	Arable fields and improved grassland provide foraging and resting habitat. Shelter provided by woodland and scattered scrub	Medium	Local
N89-N93		Arable and improved fields between Hare Hill Farm and Black Dog Burn, shelter is provided by scattered scrub.	Medium	Local

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

4.3 Evaluation Summary

Section NL1 (ch314800 - 316000 (Derbeth to Tulloch Road)

4.3.1 The range of habitats within this section provide suitable foraging areas in arable fields and grass lands. The plantations, scrub and dry stone walls provide shelter from bad weather, disturbance and predators. The habitat in this section is assessed as being of medium quality for brown hares, and the population assessed as of local importance.

Section NL2 (ch316000 – 317400 (SAC Craibstone)

4.3.2 The habitat in this section provides the requisite foraging potential and offers cover, but may be unsuitable due to disturbance. This area is assigned low habitat area value and the brown hare population is assigned as less than local importance.

Section NL3 (ch317400 - 322600 (A96 to Nether Kirkton)

4.3.3 This section has a mixture of habitats that are suitable for brown hares. It is predominantly farmland made up of arable and improved grasslands, with some less managed fields and species rich meadows. There are stands of scrub and mixed and broadleaved plantation woodland. This area is assigned a high habitat area value and the brown hare population is assigned county importance.

Section NL4 (ch322600 – 325370 (Nether Kirkton to Corsehill)

4.3.4 The arable fields, semi-improved acid grassland, bracken, scrub and broad-leaved semi-natural woodland and scattered scrub offers potentially good foraging and resting habitat. The section is assigned a medium habitat area value and the brown hare population is assigned local importance.

Section NL5 (ch325370- 331000 (Corsehill to Blackdog)

4.3.5 Arable and improved grasslands provide suitable foraging areas and the scattered scrub and woodland provide shelter. This section is assigned a low habitat area value and the brown hare population in this area is assigned local importance.

5 Potential Impacts

5.1 Introduction

5.1.1 The potential impacts of the proposed scheme are considered to be consistent throughout the five sections. Therefore impacts are outlined below for the route corridor as a whole and not divided into sections.

5.2 Generic Impact Assessment

The range of potential nature conservation impacts of road schemes, and their significance, will depend on the individual circumstances of each scheme. However, it is possible to identify a number of main areas of concern, which have general applicability (Highways Agency, 1999). Impacts during the construction and operational phases of the proposed scheme are discussed separately; impacts associated with the operational phase of the scheme are considered to be permanent, whereas temporary impacts, which are only apparent while the road is being built, are discussed in association with the construction phase.

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

Direct Mortality

Construction

Construction of the proposed scheme would necessitate the removal of vegetated areas and the clearance of soil using machinery, and it is therefore possible that hares would be killed. Leverets would be particularly susceptible to these activities as they are left alone in forms during the day and may be reluctant to move from their places of refuge (League Against Cruel Sports, 2007; UK Biodiversity Action Plan). There is also the potential for hares to be killed through becoming trapped in any pits, piping, chemical containers or wire mesh associated with construction activities. However, significant numbers of hares are unlikely to be killed as a result of these activities. Risk of direct mortality resulting from construction is assessed as constituting a negative impact of low magnitude on the local brown hare population, representing a potential impact of Minor significance.

Operation

Hares may be killed as they attempt to cross the operational road, the likelihood of this being increased by the fact that the road runs through several areas that are likely to support hare populations. Direct mortality resulting from operation could potentially represent a negative impact of medium magnitude on the local brown hare population, representing a potential impact of Moderate significance in Section NL3 and potential Minor impact significance along the remainder of the proposed scheme.

Habitat Loss

Construction

Works associated with the construction of the proposed scheme include the excavation provision of temporary works compounds, and access roads. The magnitude of impact associated with the habitat loss during the construction phase is regarded as being low negative, as there is sufficient suitable hare habitat in the area. The significance of these potential impacts is assessed as being Minor.

Operation

- Areas of rough grassland, arable land, scrub and woodland all of which are important to hares would be lost during construction, but this constitutes an operational impact since the loss is permanent. The proposed road traverses mainly agricultural land and pasture. There is however ample medium value hare habitat in the study area and thus the magnitude of this negative impact is considered to be low representing a potential impact of Minor significance.
- 5.2.6 The total amount of land-take required in order to construct the Northern Leg of the proposed scheme is estimated at approximately 3.16km² / 316ha. Table 5 shows the estimated total preconstruction and post-construction areas of Phase 1 Habitats present within the proposed land-take. The post-construction figures take account of both anticipated habitat loss to construction and habitat created or changed as a result of mitigation.

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

Table 5- Summary of Areas of Land-take by Phase I Habitat Category

Phase 1 Habitat Description	Phase 1 Habitat Categories within proposed scheme land-take		
	Pre-construction (Ha)	Post-construction (Ha)	
Woodland mixed plantation	6.57	25.53	
Woodland broadleaved plantation (including standard trees)	3.57	4.22	
Woodland broadleaved semi-natural	7.06	2.16	
Woodland coniferous plantation	19.19	14.28	
Scattered scrub	4.30	11.30	
Dense continuous scrub	4.94	13.56	
Acid grassland semi-improved	2.32	1.86	
Acid grassland unimproved	0.47	0.43	
Amenity grassland	0.83	0.63	
Improved grassland	153.04	112.41	
Marshy grassland	1.91	1.44	
Neutral grassland semi-improved	0.41	0.36	
Neutral grassland unimproved	0.16	0.16	
Poor Semi-improved grassland	18.06	13.13	
Arable	88.42	39.61*	
Built up areas (buildings)	1.42	0.72	
Herb & Fern bracken continuous	1.71	0.24	
Open water	0.29	0.13	
Parkland broadleaved	0.59	0.26	
Parkland coniferous	0.22	0.07	
Heathland wet heath acid	0.15	0	
Total	315.63	242.50	

^{*}Figure assumes all potential return to agriculture is achieved

Habitat Fragmentation and Isolation

Construction

5.2.7 Construction of the road would necessitate the provision of construction compounds, storage facilities and access roads. These may prevent hares from moving freely in and between existing areas of habitat. This impact is however temporary and the magnitude is therefore considered as low resulting in a potential impact of Minor significance.

Operation

The operational road would represent a barrier between potential hare populations either side of the alignment, restricting hares' movements in and between available habitats. The operational road may also restrict immigration and emigration thus decreasing genetic diversity and increasing competition among stable populations. This would potentially result in a negative impact of medium magnitude upon the local brown hare population representing a potential impact of Moderate significance in Section NL3 and minor significance in the remainder of the route.

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

Disturbance

Construction

Hares would be likely to be disturbed by the construction of the proposed scheme. Noise from machinery and vehicles, and the presence of humans may adversely affect hares, especially breeding females (Tapper & Hobson, 2002). The magnitude of this disturbance is assessed as low negative representing a potential impact of Minor significance.

Operation

5.2.10 The operational impact of disturbance upon brown hare would be likely to be low negative as disturbance would only be in areas directly adjacent to the road and avoidance by hare is the most likely course of action. It is therefore considered as being of Minor significance.

Pollution and Other Indirect Impacts

Construction and Operation

There would be a risk of accidental spillages occurring during the construction and operational phases. Runoff from the operational road may also contain pollutants such as heavy metals or hydrocarbons. These events have the potential to kill hares both directly and indirectly. Hares may come into contact with toxic pollutants and die or they may be poisoned through eating contaminated vegetation (URL3). The magnitude of this impact is regarded as low negative representing a potential impact of Minor significance.

5.3 Specific Impacts

Brown hares would be most susceptible to the generic impacts outlined above, in the vicinity of Hillhead of Derbeth – Habitat Areas N13 and N17 (ch315000-316500) and Standingstones Wood – Habitat Areas N33 and N34 (ch319000-320000), these being the areas with greatest hare activity in the study area (see Figures 10.10a-d). In particular, hares may be prevented from reaching valuable woodland habitat such as Standingstones Wood, which could influence winter survival rates. Approximately 68.29ha of terrestrial habitat would be lost to the operational scheme, much of this being of medium value to hares (refer to Table 11 in the Terrestrial Habitats report (Appendix A10.1) for information regarding specific habitat loss).

5.4 Potential Impact Summary

5.4.1 The majority of potential impacts are assessed to be of Minor significance. However, during operation of the proposed scheme habitat fragmentation and isolation, and the risk of direct mortality are of Moderate significance. These impacts are summarised in Tables 6 and 7 below.

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

Table 6 – Summary of Impact Assessment During Construction

Generic Impact	Magnitude	Significance
Direct mortality	Low negative	Minor
Habitat loss	Low negative	Minor
Habitat fragmentation and isolation	Low negative	Minor
Disturbance	Low negative	Minor
Pollution and other indirect effects	Low negative	Minor

Table 7 – Summary of Impact Assessment During Operation

Generic Impact	Magnitude	Significance
Direct mortality	Medium negative	Moderate
Habitat loss	Low negative	Minor
Habitat fragmentation and isolation	Medium negative	Moderate
Disturbance	Low	Minor
Pollution and other indirect effects	Low negative	Minor

6 Mitigation

6.1 Introduction

Where impacts on a receptor species and their habitats are predicted to be of greater than or equal to moderate significance, specific measures must be taken to prevent, reduce or offset any activities during construction and operational stages in order to ameliorate the impact significance to acceptable levels. In the case of brown hares, the majority of impacts would be of minor significance, meaning there is no obligation to mitigate for these adverse affects. There are however several impacts that would be of moderate significance but mitigation measures proposed for other species will reduce these adverse effects of the road scheme upon brown hare. These mitigation measures are outlined below:

6.2 Generic Mitigation

Direct Mortality

Construction

- Risk of direct mortality of hares during the construction phase will be reduced through implementation of best practice, including some of the following actions:
 - The presence of an Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW) during vegetation removal and cutting operations in habitat areas identified as supporting protected species that may, potentially support hares (see Badger, Otter and Red Squirrel Reports in Appendices A10.2, A10.6 and A10.7).
 - Pits should either be covered or have mammal ramps positioned in them to allow any trapped animals to escape (see Badger and Otter Reports in Appendices A10.2 and A10.6).
 - The working corridor will be fenced with temporary fencing to protect and prevent damage and disturbance to suitable habitat areas for otters and red squirrels (see Otter and Red Squirrel Reports in Appendices A10.6 and A10.7) will mitigate for areas of brown hare habitat.

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

Operation

- 6.2.2 Direct mortality of hares during the operational phase of the road will be reduced through the implementation of the following:
 - The provision of green bridges, wildlife overpasses, culverts, underpasses and fencing as prescribed under mitigation for badgers, otters and red squirrel (see Badger, Otter and Red Squirrel Reports in Appendices A10.2, A10.6 and A10.7) to allow animals to cross the proposed route without risk of direct mortality. These structures will also provide mitigation for brown hare. The proposed 23 culverts and four bridges (River Don bridge and three buried structures on the Goval Burn) are between 5m and 170m in length; the longer culverts may not be as effective as the shorter culverts in providing mitigation for the effects of fragmentation.

Habitat Loss

Construction and Operation

- In order to mitigate for loss of habitat resulting from the construction and operational phase of the scheme, the following measures will be implemented:
 - The siting of temporary works compounds, stored soil and access roads will be designed to minimise loss of brown hare habitat. Ideally these sites should be located away from the areas identified as offering good hare habitat, where hare sightings were recorded and at least 30m away from any pockets of woodland. A full list of woodland areas that should be avoided can be found in the Phase 1 Habitat Survey Report in Appendix A10.1. Sections of the route particularly important to avoid include the land between ch313000-316300, ch318500-320000, and ch326800-327500.
 - Compensatory habitat to offset impacts associated with habitat loss will provide suitable shelter
 for brown hare, including creation of scrub and woodland habitats as prescribed under
 mitigation for other species such as otters, badgers and red squirrel (see Badger, Otter and Red
 Squirrel Reports in Appendices A10.2, A10.6 and A10.7). The existing arable and grassland
 surrounding the proposed scheme is likely to provide sufficient foraging areas for brown hares.

Habitat Fragmentation and Isolation

Construction and Operation

The impacts of habitat fragmentation and isolation resulting from the construction and operation phases will be mitigated for through the provision of culverts, green bridges, wildlife overbridges and underpasses for badger and otter (see Badger and Otter Reports in Appendices A10.2 and A10.6).

Disturbance

Construction

6.2.5 Disturbance of brown hare during the construction phase will be unavoidable. Generic 'best practices' will help to reduce disturbance factors to represent an impact of minor significance and does not require any further mitigation.

Pollution

6.2.6 The proposed scheme design includes Sustainablke Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) to divert runoff into drains, soakaways and detention basins/attenuation ponds. This mitigation is described in Chapter 9 of the ES (Water Environment) and will minimise risk of pollution of surrounding

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

terrestrial habitat. Contractors must adhere to SEPA best practice guidelines with regards to preventing pollution incidents. The relevant guidelines include:

- PPG1: General guide to the prevention of water pollution
- PPG3: The use and design of oil separators
- PPG5: Works in, near, or liable to affect watercourses
- PPG6: Working at construction and demolition sites

7 Residual Impacts

7.1.1 Residual impacts are summarised in Table 8, and described below.

Direct Mortality

7.1.2 If the mitigation measures outlined above are implemented then the proposed scheme should not compromise the long-term survival of hares in the study area. However, despite the provision of fencing, green bridges, wildlife overpasses, culverts and underpasses some hares may continue to attempt to cross the road and subsequently get hit by vehicles. The magnitude of this impact would be low negative and the residual impact significance is Minor.

Habitat Loss

7.1.3 The road would result in the loss of arable land, woodland and grassland. However, there is ample existing habitat for hares in the study area, and in conjunction with the creation of suitable habitat areas adjacent to the route and the careful siting of construction compounds this will ensure that the magnitude of this impact will be is low negative and the residual impact significance is Minor.

Habitat Fragmentation and Isolation

7.1.4 Despite the provision of green bridges, wildlife overpasses, culverts and underpasses, the road would still act as a barrier to brown hare populations. This impact is therefore assessed as being reduced to low negative magnitude and the significance of the residual impact to Minor.

Disturbance

7.1.5 Disturbance would be unavoidable, although if basic measures are followed such as siting temporary compounds and machinery away from areas inhabited by hares, as indicated in the mitigation section, disturbance can be alleviated. Ecological and landscape planting will further reduce disturbance during the operational phase. The residual impacts are assessed as being low negative and the significance is Minor.

Pollution and Other Indirect Impacts

7.1.6 The implementation of the mitigation suggested should ensure that no pollutants escape into brown hare habitat and therefore the magnitude and significance of residual impact associated with these are assessed as being reduced to Negligible. No other indirect impacts have been identified.

Environmental Statement Appendices 2007

Part B: Northern Leg

Appendix A10.10 - Brown Hare

Table 8 - Residual Impacts Summary Table

Generic Impact	Magnitude	Significance
Direct mortality	Low negative	Minor
Habitat loss	Low negative	Minor
Habitat fragmentation and isolation	Low negative	Minor
Disturbance	Low negative	Minor
Pollution and other indirect effects	Negligible	Negligible

8 References

Highways Agency (1999) Design Manual for Roads and Bridges, Volume 10. HMSO, London.

League Against Cruel Sports (2007) www.workingforwildlife.org.uk/reserves/hare.htm.

McBride, A. (1988) Rabbits & Hares. Whittet Books, London.

Ratcliffe, D.A. ed. (1977) A Nature Conservation Review, Vol 2. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Tapper, S. and Hobson, D. (2002) Conserving the Brown Hare. Game Conservancy Trust, Fordingbridge.

The Mammal Society (2007) www.abdn.ac.uk/mammal/brown_hare.shtml.

UK Biodiversity Action Plan (2007) www.ukbap.org.uk