

Sam Kington

I note with some alarm the public consultation

<http://www.transportscotland.gov.uk/strategy-and-research/publications-and-consultations/j203179-00.htm>

which, according to the Evening Times

<http://www.eveningtimes.co.uk/news/don-t-railroad-glasgow-city-politicians-climb-aboard-our-campaign-to-protect-nine-local-stations-from-threat-of-closure-1.1142579>

implies the possible closure of, among others, Ashfield, Gilschochill, Maryhill and Kelvindale stations.

As a Kelvindale resident, and consequently a regular user of that line, I am baffled as to why so many stations on this line are being considered for closure. (Actually, I'm baffled as to why *any* stations are being considered, but never mind.) Kelvindale station is one of the busiest on that line; Maryhill is an interchange station where two rail lines meet; and there's no public transport worth talking about between Kelvindale and Gilschochill other than the train. Closing even one of the stations would damage the integrity of the network; closing all four would be the death knell for the line.

I understand that the consultation paper envisages closing stations in some locations and opening up new ones in others, but given the heavily-built-up nature of the Queen Street - Anniesland line, this seems like particularly wishful thinking to me.