.Respondent Information Form and Questions

<u>Please Note</u> this form **must** be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately

1. Name/Organisation **Organisation Name David Mackintosh** Please tick as appropriate Title Mr x Ms ☐ Mrs ☐ Miss 🗌 Dr 🗌 Surname Mackintosh **Forename** David 2. Postal Address 120 High station Road **Falkirk Email** Postcode FK1 5NE Phone 07817008610 dsmack56@hotmail.com 3. Permissions - I am responding as... Individual **Group/Organisation** Please tick as appropriate Do you agree to your response being made The name and address of your organisation (a) (c) available to the public (in Scottish will be made available to the public (in the Government library and/or on the Scottish Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site). Government web site)? Please tick as appropriate X Yes No Where confidentiality is not requested, we (b) Are you content for your response to be will make your responses available to the made available? public on the following basis Please tick ONE of the following boxes Please tick as appropriate X Yes No Yes, make my response, name Χ and address all available Yes, make my response available, but not my name and address Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address We will share your response internally with other Scottish Government policy teams who may be addressing (d) the issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you again in the future, but we require your permission to

do so. Are you content for Scottish Government to contact you again in relation to this consultation exercise?

Please tick as appropriate

Consultation Questions

The answer boxes will expand as you type.

Procuring rail passenger services

1. What are the merits of offering the ScotRail franchise as a dual focus franchise and what services should be covered by the economic rail element, and what by the social rail element?

Q1 comments: see below

2. What should be the length of the contract for future franchises, and what factors lead you to this view?

Q2 comments: see below

3. What risk support mechanism should be reflected within the franchise?

Q3 comments: see below

4. What, if any, profit share mechanism should apply within the franchise?

Q4 comments: see below

5. Under what terms should third parties be involved in the operation of passenger rail services?

Q5 comments: see below

6. What is the best way to structure and incentivise the achievement of outcome measures whilst ensuring value for money?

Q6 comments: see below

7. What level of performance bond and/or parent company guarantees are appropriate?

Q7 comments: see below

8. What sanctions should be used to ensure the franchisee fulfils its franchise commitments?

Q8 comments: see below

Achieving reliability, performance and service quality

9. Under the franchise, should we incentivise good performance or only penalise poor performance?

Q9 comments: see below

10. Should the performance regime be aligned with actual routes or service groups, or should there be one system for the whole of Scotland?

Q10 comments: see below

11. How can we make the performance regime more aligned with passenger issues?

Q11 comments: see below

12. What should the balance be between journey times and performance?

Q12 comments: see below

13. Is a Service Quality Incentive Regime required? And if so should it cover all aspects of stations and service delivery, or just those being managed through the franchise?

Q13 comments: see below

14. What other mechanisms could be used for assessing train and station quality?

Q14 comments: see below

Scottish train services

15. Can better use be made of existing train capacity, such as increasing the permitted standing time beyond the limit of 10 minutes or increasing the capacity limit? What is an acceptable limit for standing times on rail services?

Q15 comments: see below

16. Should the number of services making use of interchange stations (both rail to rail and rail to other modes) be increased to reduce the number of direct services? What would be the opportunities and challenges of this?

Q16 comments: see below

17. Should Government direct aspects of service provision such as frequency and journey time, or would these be better determined by the franchisee based on customer demand?

Q17 comments: see below

18. What level of contract specification should we use the for the next ScotRail franchise?

Q18 comments: see below

19. How should the contract incentivise the franchisee to be innovative in the provision of services?

Q19 comments:

Scottish rail fares

20. What should be the rationale for, and purpose of, our fares policy?

Q20 comments: see below

21. What fares should be regulated by government and what should be set on a commercial basis? Do your recommendations change by geographic area (the Strathclyde area example), or by type of journey (for example suburban or intercity)?

Q21 comments: see below

22. How should we achieve a balance between the taxpayer subsidy and passenger revenue contributions in funding the Scottish rail network? At what rate should fares be increased, and how feasible would it be to apply higher increases to Sections of the network which have recently been enhanced?

Q22 comments: see below

23. What should the difference be between peak and off-peak fares? Will this help encourage people to switch to travelling in the off-peak?

Q23 comments: Should be greater difference and there should be more concessions, especially to people living next to the line

Scottish stations

24. How should we determine what rail stations are required and where, including whether a station should be closed?

Q24 comments: see below

25. What are the merits or issues that arise from a third party (such as a local authority or local business) being able to propose, promote and fund a station or service?

Q25 comments: see below

26. Should only one organisation be responsible for the management and maintenance of stations? If this was the franchisee how should that responsibility be structured in terms of leasing, investment, and issues relating to residual capital value?

Q26 comments: see below

27. How can local communities be encouraged to support their local station?

Q27 comments: see below

28. What categories of station should be designated and what facilities should be available at each category of station?

Q28 Living next to Falkirk High Station I am concerned at the environmental impact any future works/ development may have on myself and other residents living next to or close to the line. Whilst I appreciate electrification will mean quieter trains it is the intrusion of public address tannoys and the reduction in the quality of life and my enjoyment of my house and garden that concerns me most. In the near past we have been subject to up to 28 tannoy announcement per hour from 6.30am to 11.30 pm. Not only do they announce what the next train will be, the train arriving and what platform (there are only 2 one for each direction!) the also announce that it has arrived. The trains on the Glasgow to Edinburgh line do just that and I would suspect that 95% of the passengers travel regularly if not 5 days a week on theses trains. The frequency and volume of these announcements infringes on my privacy, my quality of life and the enjoyment of my own home. There never seems to be any compromise or compensation for the noise and disturbance.

Cross-border services

29. Should cross-border services continue to go north of Edinburgh? In operating alongside ScotRail services, how do cross-border services benefit passengers and taxpayers? And who should specify these services, the Department of Transport or the Scottish Ministers?

Q29 comments: see below

30. Or should the cross-border services terminate at Edinburgh Waverley, allowing opportunities for Scottish connections? And if so, what additional benefits would accrue from having an Edinburgh Hub?

Q30 comments: see below

Rolling stock

31. What alternative strategies or mechanisms could be used to reduce the cost of the provision of rolling stock?

Q31 comments: see below

32. What facilities should be present on a train and to what extent should these facilities vary according to the route served?

Q32 comments: see below

Passengers – information, security and services

33. How should we prioritise investment for mobile phone provision and / or Wi-Fi type high-bandwidth services?

Q33 comments: see below

34. How should we balance the need for additional seating capacity and retain the flexibility of a franchisee to offer first-class services if commercially viable?

Q34 comments: see below

35. What issues and evidence should be considered prior to determining whether or not to ban the consumption of alcohol on trains?

Q35 comments: see below

36. How can the provision of travel information for passengers be further improved?

Q36 comments: Living next to Falkirk High Station I am concerned at the environmental impact any future works/ development may have on myself and other residents living next to or close to the line. Whilst I appreciate electrification will mean quieter trains it is the intrusion of public address tannoys and the reduction in the quality of life and my enjoyment of my house and garden that concerns me most. In the near past we have been subject to up to 28 tannoy announcement per hour from 6.30am to 11.30 pm. Not only do they announce what the next train will be, the train arriving and what platform (there are only 2 one for each direction!) the also announce that it has arrived. The trains on the Glasgow to Edinburgh line do just that and I would suspect that 95% of the passengers travel regularly if not 5 days a week on theses trains. The frequency and volume of these announcements infringes on my privacy, my quality of life and the enjoyment of my own home. There never seems to be any compromise or compensation for the noise and

disturbance.

Caledonian Sleeper

37. Should we continue to specify sleeper services, or should this be a purely commercial matter for a train operating company?

Q37 comments: see below

38. Should the Caledonian Sleeper services be contracted for separately from the main ScotRail franchise? Or should it be an option for within the main ScotRail franchise?

Q38 comments: see below

- 39. We would be interested in your views in the level and type of service that the Caledonian Sleeper Services should provide. Including:
 - What is the appeal of the Caledonian Sleeper Service, and if there
 were more early and late trains would the appeal of the sleeper
 services change?
 - What is the value of sleeper services to Fort William, Inverness and Aberdeen and are these the correct destinations, for example would Oban provide better connectivity?
 - What facilities should the sleeper services provide and would you pay more for better facilities?

Q39 comments: see below

Environmental issues

40. What environmental key performance indicators should we consider for inclusion in the franchise agreement or the High Level Output Specification?

Q40 comments: The environmental impact of train services and the associated noise and air pollution on home adjacent to or near the line