

Respondent Information Form and Questions

Please Note this form **must** be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately

1. Name/Organisation

Organisation Name

Title Mr ☒ Ms ☐ Mrs ☐ Miss ☐ Dr ☐ *Please tick as appropriate*

Surname

Forename

2. Postal Address

25 Cherry Park		
Balloch		
Inverness		
Postcode IV2 7HG	Phone 01463 790723	Email martin.macleod1@btinternet.com

3. Permissions - I am responding as...

Individual / **Group/Organisation**
☒ *Please tick as appropriate* ☐

- (a) Do you agree to your response being made available to the public (in Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site)?

Please tick as appropriate ☒ Yes ☐

- (b) Where confidentiality is not requested, we will make your responses available to the public on the following basis
Please tick ONE of the following boxes

Yes, make my response, name and address all available ☒

or

Yes, make my response available, but not my name and address ☐

or

Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address ☐

- (c) The name and address of your organisation **will be** made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site).

Are you content for your **response** to be made available?

Please tick as appropriate ☐ Yes ☐ No

- (d) We will share your response internally with other Scottish Government policy teams who may be addressing the issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you again in the future, but we require your permission to do so. Are you content for Scottish Government to contact you again in relation to this consultation exercise?
- Please tick as appropriate x ☐ Yes ☐ No

Consultation Questions

The answer boxes will expand as you type.

Procuring rail passenger services

1. What are the merits of offering the ScotRail franchise as a dual focus franchise and what services should be covered by the economic rail element, and what by the social rail element?

Q1 comments:

2. What should be the length of the contract for future franchises, and what factors lead you to this view?

Q2 comments: 20-25 years. Allows sufficient time for planning and investment and a chance of receiving a reasonable return on investment.

3. What risk support mechanism should be reflected within the franchise?

Q3 comments:

4. What, if any, profit share mechanism should apply within the franchise?

Q4 comments:

5. Under what terms should third parties be involved in the operation of passenger rail services?

Q5 comments:

6. What is the best way to structure and incentivise the achievement of outcome measures whilst ensuring value for money?

Q6 comments:

7. What level of performance bond and/or parent company guarantees are appropriate?

Q7 comments:

8. What sanctions should be used to ensure the franchisee fulfils its franchise commitments?

Q8 comments:

Achieving reliability, performance and service quality

9. Under the franchise, should we incentivise good performance or only penalise poor performance?

Q9 comments:

10. Should the performance regime be aligned with actual routes or service groups, or should there be one system for the whole of Scotland?

Q10 comments:

11. How can we make the performance regime more aligned with passenger issues?

Q11 comments:

12. What should the balance be between journey times and performance?

Q12 comments:

13. Is a Service Quality Incentive Regime required? And if so should it cover all aspects of stations and service delivery, or just those being managed through the franchise?

Q13 comments:

14. What other mechanisms could be used for assessing train and station quality?

Q14 comments:

Scottish train services

15. Can better use be made of existing train capacity, such as increasing the permitted standing time beyond the limit of 10 minutes or increasing the capacity limit? What is an acceptable limit for standing times on rail services?

Q15 comments:

16. Should the number of services making use of interchange stations (both rail to rail and rail to other modes) be increased to reduce the number of direct services? What would be the opportunities and challenges of this?

Q16 comments:

17. Should Government direct aspects of service provision such as frequency and journey time, or would these be better determined by the franchisee based on customer demand?

Q17 comments:

18. What level of contract specification should we use the for the next ScotRail franchise?

Q18 comments:

19. How should the contract incentivise the franchisee to be innovative in the provision of services?

Q19 comments:

Scottish rail fares

20. What should be the rationale for, and purpose of, our fares policy?

Q20 comments: To be set at as low a level as possible so as to further encourage use of rail and discourage private car use as much as possible

21. What fares should be regulated by government and what should be set on a commercial basis? Do your recommendations change by geographic area (the Strathclyde area example), or by type of journey (for example suburban or intercity)?

Q21 comments:

22. How should we achieve a balance between the taxpayer subsidy and passenger revenue contributions in funding the Scottish rail network? At what rate should fares be increased, and how feasible would it be to apply higher increases to Sections of the network which have recently been enhanced?

Q22 comments:

23. What should the difference be between peak and off-peak fares? Will this help encourage people to switch to travelling in the off-peak?

Q23 comments:

Scottish stations

24. How should we determine what rail stations are required and where, including whether a station should be closed?

Q24 comments:

25. What are the merits or issues that arise from a third party (such as a local authority or local business) being able to propose, promote and fund a station or service?

Q25 comments:

26. Should only one organisation be responsible for the management and maintenance of stations? If this was the franchisee how should that responsibility be structured in terms of leasing, investment, and issues relating to residual capital value?

Q26 comments:

27. How can local communities be encouraged to support their local station?

Q27 comments:

28. What categories of station should be designated and what facilities should be available at each category of station?

Q28 comments:

Cross-border services

29. Should cross-border services continue to go north of Edinburgh? In operating alongside ScotRail services, how do cross-border services benefit passengers and taxpayers? And who should specify these services, the Department of Transport or the Scottish Ministers?

Q29 comments: Yes, absolutely essential for business and leisure travel. Everyone prefers a through train, especially if you have luggage, children, cycles etc. There should be more through trains from Inverness to English destinations e.g. NW England (Manchester) Birmingham and on to London. Years ago the Clansman daytime train performed this function. Similar train(s) should be introduced making access for business and leisure travellers easier.

Should be co-operation between DOT and Scottish ministers in specifying provisions. Incidentally Scottish Ministers must lobby against IEP as currently proposed. It will be overly complicated, expensive and will probably not work.

It is especially unnecessary as there is a perfectly viable alternative of simply building electrically powered units and attaching a diesel locomotive at Edinburgh or elsewhere. There are plenty of suitable Class 67 locomotives available now

30. Or should the cross-border services terminate at Edinburgh Waverley, allowing opportunities for Scottish connections? And if so, what additional benefits would accrue from having an Edinburgh Hub?

Q30 comments:

Rolling stock

31. What alternative strategies or mechanisms could be used to reduce the cost of the provision of rolling stock?

Q31 comments: Buy rather than lease. Consider purchasing some older locomotive hauled coaches and re-furbishing them for use on certain routes. (See also comments regarding sleeper and seated accommodation)

32. What facilities should be present on a train and to what extent should these facilities vary according to the route served?

Q32 comments: Seats that line up with windows, more leg room, more space to carry cycles and luggage. Longer distance journeys should utilise better designed rolling stock that gives more space to passengers. Class 158 and Class 170 are O.K. for shorter journeys but 3hour + in an airline style seat is far from ideal. I and many others deliberately use the Highland Chieftain HST whenever we can because it has much more passenger friendly seating.

Passengers – information, security and services

33. How should we prioritise investment for mobile phone provision and / or Wi-Fi type high-bandwidth services?

Q33 comments:

34. How should we balance the need for additional seating capacity and retain the flexibility of a franchisee to offer first-class services if commercially viable?

Q34 comments: Give ability to declassify 1st Class accommodation as and when required on specific routes/trains and make that information known to passengers on the trains.

35. What issues and evidence should be considered prior to determining whether or not to ban the consumption of alcohol on trains?

Q35 comments: This would be a sledgehammer to crack a nut. Already sufficient powers to ban alcohol on particular trains and BTP can arrest anyone who is causing a particular problem. Please do not spoil one of the great pleasures for many of us to have a relaxing journey along with an alcoholic drink. This is one of the huge selling points of rail travel as opposed to car or bus.

36. How can the provision of travel information for passengers be further improved?

Q36 comments: Make real time information more readily available e.g. access to Network Rail running time information

Caledonian Sleeper

37. Should we continue to specify sleeper services, or should this be a purely commercial matter for a train operating company?

Q37 comments: Danger that if not specified TOC might just claim too difficult/expensive to operate and opt out. Needs to be part of whole franchise

38. Should the Caledonian Sleeper services be contracted for separately from the main ScotRail franchise? Or should it be an option for within the main ScotRail franchise?

Q38 comments:

39. We would be interested in your views in the level and type of service that the Caledonian Sleeper Services should provide. Including:

- What is the appeal of the Caledonian Sleeper Service, and if there were more early and late trains would the appeal of the sleeper services change?
- What is the value of sleeper services to Fort William, Inverness and Aberdeen and are these the correct destinations, for example would Oban provide better connectivity?
- What facilities should the sleeper services provide and would you pay more for better facilities?

Q39 comments: Sleeper is quite simply the most civilised way to get from Inverness to London and vice versa, whether for business or leisure. IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT IT BE RETAINED AND IDEALLY ENHANCED. I have used it extensively over the years both for business and leisure purposes and tried to promote it to friends and colleagues but it could benefit from better

marketing and an easier way of obtaining cheap fares.

Better use could be made of the seated coach facility. A way should be found to increase the capacity of this facility and market it more widely offering tickets from Crewe, Preston, Carlisle as well as Edinburgh and All stations to the Highlands. Stations from Edinburgh north are all ones that the sleeper stops at just now but are not advertised for passengers to join the train at. There would be opportunities particularly for backpackers and other tourists to use a very early departure from Edinburgh (4am?) to get to the Highlands without wasting part of a day. A way would have to be found to get round the constraint of platform length at Euston station but even if coaches were added at Edinburgh(as with current Fort William portion) this could provide a service within Scotland which would quickly attract a clientele especially amongst the outdoor fraternity. This would also have the additional benefit for the southbound journey of doing away with the need for a Class158/170 unit to run ahead of the sleeper carrying seated passengers for Glasgow and Edinburgh. Anytime I have used this train it is lightly loaded and passengers could easily be accommodated in one or two extra locomotive hauled coaches.

Environmental issues

40. What environmental key performance indicators should we consider for inclusion in the franchise agreement or the High Level Output Specification?

Q40 comments: