

Respondent Information Form and Questions

Please Note this form **must** be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately

1. Name/Organisation

Organisation Name

Title Mr ☐ Ms ☐ Mrs x☒ Miss ☐ Dr ☐ *Please tick as appropriate*

Surname

Forename

2. Postal Address

Stody Lodge		
Melton Constable		
Norfolk		
NR24 2EW	01263 860254	Adel.macnicol@btopenworld.com

3. Permissions - I am responding as...

Individual / **Group/Organisation**

x☐ *Please tick as appropriate* ☐

- (a) Do you agree to your response being made available to the public (in Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site)?

Please tick as appropriate X☒ Yes ☐ No

- (b) Where confidentiality is not requested, we will make your responses available to the public on the following basis
Please tick ONE of the following boxes

Yes, make my response, name and address all available ☒

or

Yes, make my response available, but not my name and address ☐

or

Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address ☐

- (c) The name and address of your organisation **will be** made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site).

Are you content for your **response** to be made available?

Please tick as appropriate X☒ Yes ☐ No

- (d) We will share your response internally with other Scottish Government policy teams who may be addressing the issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you again in the future, but we require your permission to do so. Are you content for Scottish Government to contact you again in relation to this consultation exercise?
- Please tick as appropriate x ☐ Yes ☐ No

Consultation Questions

The answer boxes will expand as you type.

Procuring rail passenger services

1. What are the merits of offering the ScotRail franchise as a dual focus franchise and what services should be covered by the economic rail element, and what by the social rail element?

Q1 comments:

2. What should be the length of the contract for future franchises, and what factors lead you to this view?

Q2 comments:

3. What risk support mechanism should be reflected within the franchise?

Q3 comments:

4. What, if any, profit share mechanism should apply within the franchise?

Q4 comments:

5. Under what terms should third parties be involved in the operation of passenger rail services?

Q5 comments:

6. What is the best way to structure and incentivise the achievement of outcome measures whilst ensuring value for money?

Q6 comments:

7. What level of performance bond and/or parent company guarantees are appropriate?

Q7 comments:

8. What sanctions should be used to ensure the franchisee fulfils its franchise commitments?

Q8 comments:

Achieving reliability, performance and service quality

9. Under the franchise, should we incentivise good performance or only penalise poor performance?

Q9 comments:

10. Should the performance regime be aligned with actual routes or service groups, or should there be one system for the whole of Scotland?

Q10 comments:

11. How can we make the performance regime more aligned with passenger issues?

Q11 comments:

12. What should the balance be between journey times and performance?

Q12 comments:

13. Is a Service Quality Incentive Regime required? And if so should it cover all aspects of stations and service delivery, or just those being managed through the franchise?

Q13 comments:

14. What other mechanisms could be used for assessing train and station quality?

Q14 comments:

Scottish train services

15. Can better use be made of existing train capacity, such as increasing the permitted standing time beyond the limit of 10 minutes or increasing the capacity limit? What is an acceptable limit for standing times on rail services?

Q15 comments:

16. Should the number of services making use of interchange stations (both rail to rail and rail to other modes) be increased to reduce the number of direct services? What would be the opportunities and challenges of this?

Q16 comments:

17. Should Government direct aspects of service provision such as frequency and journey time, or would these be better determined by the franchisee based on customer demand?

Q17 comments:

18. What level of contract specification should we use the for the next ScotRail franchise?

Q18 comments:

19. How should the contract incentivise the franchisee to be innovative in the provision of services?

Q19 comments:

Scottish rail fares

20. What should be the rationale for, and purpose of, our fares policy?

Q20 comments:

21. What fares should be regulated by government and what should be set on a commercial basis? Do your recommendations change by geographic area (the Strathclyde area example), or by type of journey (for example suburban or intercity)?

Q21 comments:

22. How should we achieve a balance between the taxpayer subsidy and passenger revenue contributions in funding the Scottish rail network? At what rate should fares be increased, and how feasible would it be to apply higher increases to Sections of the network which have recently been enhanced?

Q22 comments:

23. What should the difference be between peak and off-peak fares? Will this help encourage people to switch to travelling in the off-peak?

Q23 comments:

Scottish stations

24. How should we determine what rail stations are required and where, including whether a station should be closed?

Q24 comments:

25. What are the merits or issues that arise from a third party (such as a local authority or local business) being able to propose, promote and fund a station or service?

Q25 comments:

26. Should only one organisation be responsible for the management and maintenance of stations? If this was the franchisee how should that responsibility be structured in terms of leasing, investment, and issues relating to residual capital value?

Q26 comments:

27. How can local communities be encouraged to support their local station?

Q27 comments:

28. What categories of station should be designated and what facilities should be available at each category of station?

Q28 comments:

Cross-border services

29. Should cross-border services continue to go north of Edinburgh? In operating alongside ScotRail services, how do cross-border services benefit passengers and taxpayers? And who should specify these services, the Department of Transport or the Scottish Ministers?

Q29 comments: Yes. It would greatly disrupt a journey to have to change at the border.

30. Or should the cross-border services terminate at Edinburgh Waverley, allowing opportunities for Scottish connections? And if so, what additional benefits would accrue from having an Edinburgh Hub?

Q30 comments: An overnight train stopping at Edinburgh would mean passengers would have to change in the middle of the night or have a long wait for the connection further north.

Rolling stock

31. What alternative strategies or mechanisms could be used to reduce the cost of the provision of rolling stock?

Q31 comments:

32. What facilities should be present on a train and to what extent should these facilities vary according to the route served?

Q32 comments:

Passengers – information, security and services

33. How should we prioritise investment for mobile phone provision and / or Wi-Fi type high-bandwidth services?

Q33 comments:

34. How should we balance the need for additional seating capacity and retain the flexibility of a franchisee to offer first-class services if commercially viable?

Q34 comments:

35. What issues and evidence should be considered prior to determining whether or not to ban the consumption of alcohol on trains?

Q35 comments:

36. How can the provision of travel information for passengers be further improved?

Q36 comments:

Caledonian Sleeper

37. Should we continue to specify sleeper services, or should this be a purely commercial matter for a train operating company?

Q37 comments: I would strongly urge that a sleeper service was specified. This service is extremely important both to those who make this long journey on a regular basis and those who travel to the north of Scotland for tourism and business..

38. Should the Caledonian Sleeper services be contracted for separately from the main ScotRail franchise? Or should it be an option for within the main ScotRail franchise?

Q38 comments: It is difficult to know how a separately franchised Caladonian Sleeper service would integrate with the Scot Rail service, but if it could be achieved then I so not think it would matter if it was operated separately. However the danger of separating the two would remove the responsibility of providing the service from Scot Rail which would be wrong and could lead to loss of the service in the future.

39. We would be interested in your views in the level and type of service that the Caledonian Sleeper Services should provide. Including:

- What is the appeal of the Caledonian Sleeper Service, and if there were more early and late trains would the appeal of the sleeper services change?
- What is the value of sleeper services to Fort William, Inverness and Aberdeen and are these the correct destinations, for example would Oban provide better connectivity?
- What facilities should the sleeper services provide and would you pay more for better facilities?

- Q39 comments: I have used the sleeper service for many years and consider it to be **an essential service** for those living in or needing to travel to northern parts of Scotland for both leisure and work.
- The service is extremely important for the economy of northern Scotland for both commercial and tourism users.
- Without this service many tourists would not travel this far north.
- Without this service it would be impossible/unattractive for many to conduct a business so far north
- Without it existing businesses would not have the very important link to London
- The ability to travel overnight allows for a full day's work at either end.
- It is an efficient, time effective and stress free way to travel the very long distance to the north of Scotland..
- It delivers you to a point from which onward connections are readily available.
- For those on holiday they are able to begin their holiday at one of the destinations which allows tourists to penetrate the remoter and more northern areas. Also, by travelling overnight, two whole extra days are gained.
- It makes a weekend a possibility. .

- Travelling by train through the day would be too long a train journey.
- the alternative of travelling by road would greatly add to the already overly congested motorways as well as using considerably more fuel.
- By air – is equally time consuming and not an option to Fort William...

Destinations –

- Fort William and Inverness are both major towns serving the North of Scotland and I would consider them to be the correct destinations. Both have good coach services connecting onwards further north and west and the timing of the arrival of the sleeper generally allows a good connection.
- A service to Oban, although I am sure it would be welcome for those who use it, should not be an alternative to Fort William which serves areas further north and west.
- Aberdeen would be equally important serving a different area.

Facilities.

The facilities are very adequate. I do not believe passengers are looking for de luxe comfort. The really important factors are

- The existence of the service.
- Clean cabins with reasonably comfortable beds.
- Affordable fares

Additional services

- A more adventurous dining facility which could also provide increased revenue.
- Access to the service at more points north of London – e.g. Birmingham or Peterborough (to serve East Anglia)
- Reliable Wi-Fi connection

The facility that would be really welcome is the

return of the Car Sleeper. This would be a popular service because

- people travelling to the north of Scotland often have large amount of luggage and need their car for the onward journey.
- The cost of fuel will make this service even more attractive than before and enable it to be realistically priced..
- When this service ran it was always necessary to book space several weeks, and sometimes months, in advance to secure a place on both the Inverness and Fort William routes. To-day more

people have cars and the roads are more congested and so there should be plenty of demand.

- The Car sleeper opened up the north of Scotland to many who would not otherwise travel that far. .
- A reduced level of service in less economical months would be more cost effective.

Environmental issues

40. What environmental key performance indicators should we consider for inclusion in the franchise agreement or the High Level Output Specification?

Q40 comments: