# **Respondent Information Form and Questions**

<u>Please Note</u> this form **must** be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately

# 1. Name/Organisation

Organisation Name						
SNP Councillors – East Dunbartonshire						
	Mr	Mrs □ Miss	\$ <u> </u>	Dr 🗌	Please tick a	as
Surname						
McNair						
Fore	ename					
Anne						
2. Postal Address						
SNP Council Group						
East Dunbartonshire Council						
Tom Johnston House, Civic Way						
Kirkintilloch						
PostcodeG664TJ Phone078256825				Emaila	nailanne.mcnair@eastdunbarton.gov.uk	
3. Permissions - I am responding as  Individual / Group/Organisation						
Please tick as appropriate						
(a)	Do you agree to your response being made available to the public (in Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site)?  Please tick as appropriate xYes No			(5)	The name and address of your organisation will be made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and/or on the Scottish Government web site).	
(b)	Where confidentiality will make your respon public on the following <i>Please tick ONE of the</i> Yes, make my respon address all available Yes, make my respon but not my name and Yes, make my respondentiality.	nses available to the g basis following boxes nse, name and x or nse available, address or nse and name		I	Are you content for yo made available? Please tick as appropria	
	available, but not my	auuless				
We will share your response internally with other Scottish Government policy teams who may be addressing the issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you again in the future, but we require your permission to do so. Are you content for Scottish Government to contact you again in relation to this consultation exercise?						

# **Consultation Questions**

The answer boxes will expand as you type.

# Procuring rail passenger services

1. What are the merits of offering the ScotRail franchise as a dual focus franchise and what services should be covered by the economic rail element, and what by the social rail element?

# Q1 comments:

2. What should be the length of the contract for future franchises, and what factors lead you to this view?

#### Q2 comments:

3. What risk support mechanism should be reflected within the franchise?

#### Q3 comments:

4. What, if any, profit share mechanism should apply within the franchise?

#### Q4 comments:

5. Under what terms should third parties be involved in the operation of passenger rail services?

# Q5 comments:

6. What is the best way to structure and incentivise the achievement of outcome measures whilst ensuring value for money?

#### Q6 comments:

7. What level of performance bond and/or parent company guarantees are appropriate?

# Q7 comments:

8. What sanctions should be used to ensure the franchisee fulfils its franchise commitments?

#### Q8 comments:

# Achieving reliability, performance and service quality

9. Under the franchise, should we incentivise good performance or only penalise poor performance?

Q9 comments: Offering incentives is a positive way to improve services

10. Should the performance regime be aligned with actual routes or service groups, or should there be one system for the whole of Scotland?

Q10 comments: One system for Scotland as a whole although shorter local service routes should be given full consideration

11. How can we make the performance regime more aligned with passenger issues?

Q11 comments: Frequent and effective passenger consultation e.g. passenger surveys carried out at stations or on train services

12. What should the balance be between journey times and performance?

Q12 comments: Reliable services running on time should be priority

13. Is a Service Quality Incentive Regime required? And if so should it cover all aspects of stations and service delivery, or just those being managed through the franchise?

Q13 comments: Yes. All aspects

14. What other mechanisms could be used for assessing train and station quality?

Q14 comments: On-line website consultation

#### Scottish train services

15. Can better use be made of existing train capacity, such as increasing the permitted standing time beyond the limit of 10 minutes or increasing the capacity limit? What is an acceptable limit for standing times on rail services?

Q15 comments: Extra carriages where possible. Extra standing time if no other alternative. Max 15 minutes.

16. Should the number of services making use of interchange stations (both rail to rail and rail to other modes) be increased to reduce the number of direct services? What would be the opportunities and challenges of this? Q16 comments: Direct services are preferable but where interchange is an option this could be considered if waiting times are kept to a minimum. This has scope for increasing passenger numbers.

17. Should Government direct aspects of service provision such as frequency and journey time, or would these be better determined by the franchisee based on customer demand?

Q17 comments: Based on customer demand but would have to be monitored to ensure effectiveness i.e. not determined by profit motive alone

18. What level of contract specification should we use the for the next ScotRail franchise?

Q18 comments:

19. How should the contract incentivise the franchisee to be innovative in the provision of services?

Q19 comments:

# Scottish rail fares

20. What should be the rationale for, and purpose of, our fares policy?

Q20 comments: Simplification and clarity of fares structure with encouragement for frequent and repeat travel. Interconnection of tickets for multiple stage journeys or through-ticketing. Such as Oyster card. Use for buses and ferries also.

21. What fares should be regulated by government and what should be set on a commercial basis? Do your recommendations change by geographic area (the Strathclyde area example), or by type of journey (for example suburban or intercity)?

Q21 comments: Consistency across Scotland with some government control over fares rises

22. How should we achieve a balance between the taxpayer subsidy and passenger revenue contributions in funding the Scottish rail network? At what rate should fares be increased, and how feasible would it be to apply higher increases to Sections of the network which have recently been enhanced?

Q22 comments: see Q21

23. What should the difference be between peak and off-peak fares? Will this help encourage people to switch to travelling in the off-peak?

Q23 comments: Differences within reason as many people travelling to work have limited choice of journey times

#### Scottish stations

24. How should we determine what rail stations are required and where, including whether a station should be closed?

Q24 comments: Services accessed by many passengers from our area show huge demand for Park and & Ride facilities. The expanded parking facility at Croy struggles to cope with demand.

There is substantial scope within East Dunbartonshire for additional rail halts at Allander, Westerhill, and Woodilee to offer greater access to rail services, enhance economic development opportunities and improve local air quality by encouraging the travelling public to use rail and bus transport rather than private cars.

Closing existing stations would be counter-productive

25. What are the merits or issues that arise from a third party (such as a local authority or local business) being able to propose, promote and fund a station or service?

Q25 comments: Local Authorities and local businesses can be useful in determining priority need for routes and stations.

26. Should only one organisation be responsible for the management and maintenance of stations? If this was the franchisee how should that responsibility be structured in terms of leasing, investment, and issues relating to residual capital value?

Q26 comments:

27. How can local communities be encouraged to support their local station?

Q27 comments: Through the provision of more park and ride facilities

28. What categories of station should be designated and what facilities should be available at each category of station?

Q28 comments: Rail halts are sufficient for shorter, local journeys especially if ticket machines are available

#### **Cross-border services**

29. Should cross-border services continue to go north of Edinburgh? In operating alongside ScotRail services, how do cross-border services benefit passengers and taxpayers? And who should specify these services, the Department of Transport or the Scottish Ministers?

Q29 comments:

30. Or should the cross-border services terminate at Edinburgh Waverley, allowing opportunities for Scottish connections? And if so, what additional benefits would accrue from having an Edinburgh Hub?

Q30 comments:

# Rolling stock

31. What alternative strategies or mechanisms could be used to reduce the cost of the provision of rolling stock?

Q31 comments:

32. What facilities should be present on a train and to what extent should these facilities vary according to the route served?

Q32 comments: Shorter local journeys need only limited facilities

# Passengers – information, security and services

33. How should we prioritise investment for mobile phone provision and / or Wi-Fi type high-bandwidth services?

Q33 comments: Longer routes only

34. How should we balance the need for additional seating capacity and retain the flexibility of a franchisee to offer first-class services if commercially viable?

Q34 comments:

35. What issues and evidence should be considered prior to determining whether or not to ban the consumption of alcohol on trains?

Q35 comments:

36. How can the provision of travel information for passengers be further improved?

Q36 comments: By keeping information up to date. Electronic displays at stations and on trains should be used more.

# Caledonian Sleeper

37. Should we continue to specify sleeper services, or should this be a purely commercial matter for a train operating company?

Q37 comments:

38. Should the Caledonian Sleeper services be contracted for separately from the main ScotRail franchise? Or should it be an option for within the main ScotRail franchise?

Q38 comments:

- 39. We would be interested in your views in the level and type of service that the Caledonian Sleeper Services should provide. Including:
  - What is the appeal of the Caledonian Sleeper Service, and if there
    were more early and late trains would the appeal of the sleeper
    services change?
  - What is the value of sleeper services to Fort William, Inverness and Aberdeen and are these the correct destinations, for example would Oban provide better connectivity?
  - What facilities should the sleeper services provide and would you pay more for better facilities?

Q39 comments:

#### **Environmental issues**

40. What environmental key performance indicators should we consider for inclusion in the franchise agreement or the High Level Output Specification?

Q40 comments: If possible to measure number/volume of passengers changing journeys from private car to rail.