Consultation Questions

The answer boxes will expand as you type.

Procuring rail passenger services

1. What are the merits of offering the ScotRail franchise as a dual focus franchise and what services should be covered by the economic rail element, and what by the social rail element?

Q1 comments:

2. What should be the length of the contract for future franchises, and what factors lead you to this view?

Q2 comments:

3. What risk support mechanism should be reflected within the franchise?

Q3 comments:

4. What, if any, profit share mechanism should apply within the franchise?

Q4 comments:

5. Under what terms should third parties be involved in the operation of passenger rail services?

Q5 comments:

6. What is the best way to structure and incentivise the achievement of outcome measures whilst ensuring value for money?

Q6 comments:

7. What level of performance bond and/or parent company guarantees are appropriate?

Q7 comments:

8. What sanctions should be used to ensure the franchisee fulfils its franchise commitments?

Q8 comments:

Achieving reliability, performance and service quality

removing remaining, performance and corride quanty
9. Under the franchise, should we incentivise good performance or only penalise poor performance?
Q9 comments:
10. Should the performance regime be aligned with actual routes or service groups, or should there be one system for the whole of Scotland?
Q10 comments:
11. How can we make the performance regime more aligned with passenger issues?
Q11 comments:
12. What should the balance be between journey times and performance?
Q12 comments:
13. Is a Service Quality Incentive Regime required? And if so should it cover all aspects of stations and service delivery, or just those being managed through the franchise?
Q13 comments:
14. What other mechanisms could be used for assessing train and station quality?
Q14 comments:
Scottish train services 15. Can better use be made of existing train capacity, such as increasing the
permitted standing time beyond the limit of 10 minutes or increasing the capacity limit? What is an acceptable limit for standing times on rail services?
Q15 comments:
16. Should the number of services making use of interchange stations (both rail to rail and rail to other modes) be increased to reduce the number of direct services? What would be the opportunities and challenges of this?
Q16 comments:

17. Should Government direct aspects of service provision such as frequency and journey time, or would these be better determined by the franchisee based on customer demand?
Q17 comments:
18. What level of contract specification should we use the for the next ScotRail franchise?
Q18 comments:
19. How should the contract incentivise the franchisee to be innovative in the provision of services?
Q19 comments:
Scottish rail fares
20. What should be the rationale for, and purpose of, our fares policy?
Q20 comments:
21. What fares should be regulated by government and what should be set on a commercial basis? Do your recommendations change by geographic area (the Strathclyde area example), or by type of journey (for example suburban or intercity)?
Q21 comments:
22. How should we achieve a balance between the taxpayer subsidy and passenger revenue contributions in funding the Scottish rail network? At what rate should fares be increased, and how feasible would it be to apply higher increases to Sections of the network which have recently been enhanced?
Q22 comments:
23. What should the difference be between peak and off-peak fares? Will this help encourage people to switch to travelling in the off-peak?
Q23 comments:

Scottish stations

24. How should we determine what rail stations are required and where, including whether a station should be closed?

Q24 comments:

25. What are the merits or issues that arise from a third party (such as a local authority or local business) being able to propose, promote and fund a station or service?

Q25 comments:

26. Should only one organisation be responsible for the management and maintenance of stations? If this was the franchisee how should that responsibility be structured in terms of leasing, investment, and issues relating to residual capital value?

Q26 comments:

27. How can local communities be encouraged to support their local station?

Q27 comments:

28. What categories of station should be designated and what facilities should be available at each category of station?

Q28 comments:

Cross-border services

29. Should cross-border services continue to go north of Edinburgh? In operating alongside ScotRail services, how do cross-border services benefit passengers and taxpayers? And who should specify these services, the Department of Transport or the Scottish Ministers?

Q29 comments: Retaining a cross-border service from London to Glasgow (Scotland's most populous city) – and retaining the Glasgow hub - is essential to the economy of the west of Scotland. Changing this would be a disaster economically and environmentally, pushing more people onto the plane and significantly increasing journey times from Glasgow and the west of Scotland to London and other parts of England.

30. Or should the cross-border services terminate at Edinburgh Waverley, allowing opportunities for Scottish connections? And if so, what additional benefits would accrue from having an Edinburgh Hub?

Q30 comments: There is a good rationale for terminating those services that

would otherwise go through Edinburgh at Edinburgh in terms of better revenue for Scotrail enabling more, and more viable, services beyond Edinburgh

Rolling stock

31. What alternative strategies or mechanisms could be used to reduce the cost of the provision of rolling stock?

Q31 comments:

32. What facilities should be present on a train and to what extent should these facilities vary according to the route served?

Q32 comments:

Passengers – information, security and services

33. How should we prioritise investment for mobile phone provision and / or Wi-Fi type high-bandwidth services?

Q33 comments:

34. How should we balance the need for additional seating capacity and retain the flexibility of a franchisee to offer first-class services if commercially viable?

Q34 comments:

35. What issues and evidence should be considered prior to determining whether or not to ban the consumption of alcohol on trains?

Q35 comments:

36. How can the provision of travel information for passengers be further improved?

Q36 comments:

Caledonian Sleeper

37. Should we continue to specify sleeper services, or should this be a purely commercial matter for a train operating company?

Q37 comments: The sleeper is a vital service and should be specified.

38. Should the Caledonian Sleeper services be contracted for separately from the main ScotRail franchise? Or should it be an option for within the main ScotRail franchise?

Q38 comments: I can see an advantage in contracting the sleeper separately.

It is a specialised service and contracting separately would enable the authority to hold the contractor to account specifically for delivering a good service. The risk of combining the franchise is that the sleeper service will be under-prioritised relative to other services.

- 39. We would be interested in your views in the level and type of service that the Caledonian Sleeper Services should provide. Including:
 - What is the appeal of the Caledonian Sleeper Service, and if there
 were more early and late trains would the appeal of the sleeper
 services change?
 - What is the value of sleeper services to Fort William, Inverness and Aberdeen and are these the correct destinations, for example would Oban provide better connectivity?
 - What facilities should the sleeper services provide and would you pay more for better facilities?

Q39 comments: I regularly take the sleeper from Glasgow to London. It is a 'zero' time option, saving me and my organisation the cost of a 5 hour day-time train journey and the cost of a hotel bill in London for the extra night. It maximises my time with my family – as otherwise I would often need to travel in the evenings. It is the greenest option – without the sleeper I would probably fly at least one leg.

I am happy with the timing of trains from Glasgow to London and vice-versa – the timetable works well. If the timetable changed by more than an hour the attractiveness as a service would diminish.

I would appreciate greater capacity on the route – often I am unable to book a single cabin.

I can see a great value in the sleeper service to Fort William, Inverness and Aberdeen for tourism and business. I expect that the sleeper to FW and Inverness make a big impact on the Highland economy, bringing both towns in reach of the weekend traveller.

A sleeper to Oban <u>in addition</u> would be a great way to open up that town and the Islands served from the port.

I do not see a need for any additional facilities – cleanliness is my main concern. Upgrading to the standard of sleeper services in other countries (e.g. Sweden) would be worth paying a little more for.

The main upgrade required is the booking system – being unable to book properly on the internet is a real problem. See again Sweden.

Environmental issues

40. What environmental key performance indicators should we consider for inclusion in the franchise agreement or the High Level Output Specification?

Q40 comments: