Consultation on changes to bus registration in Scotland



RESPONDENT INFORMATION FORM

<u>Please Note</u> this form **must** be returned with your response to ensure that we handle your response appropriately

1. Name/Organisation						
Organisation Name						
Glasgow City Council Labour Group						
Title Mr⊠ Ms Mrs] Miss □ Dr □ <i>Pl</i> eas	e tick as appropriate				
Surname						
Adams						
Forename						
James						
2. Postal Address						
Glasgow City Council						
City Chambers						
Glasgow						
Postcode G21DU	Phone	Email				

3. Permissions - I am responding as...

Individual	1	Group/Organisation				
Please tick as appropriate						
	ı					
Do you agree to your response being made available to the public (in Scottish Government library and/or on the Transport Scotland web site)?		The name and address of your organisation will be made available to the public (in the Scottish Government library and/or on the Transport Scotland web site).				
Please tick as appropriate Yes No						
Where confidentiality is not requested, we will make your responses available to the public on the following basis		Are you content for your response to be made available?				
Please tick ONE of the following boxes Yes, make my response, name and address all available		Please tick as appropriate X Yes No				
Yes, make my response available, but not my name and address						
Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address						
the issues you discuss. They may wish to cont	act yo	ottish Government policy teams who may be addressing ou again in the future, but we require your permission to act you again in relation to this consultation exercise? Yes No				
	Do you agree to your response being made available to the public (in Scottish Government library and/or on the Transport Scotland web site)? Please tick as appropriate Yes No Where confidentiality is not requested, we will make your responses available to the public on the following basis Please tick ONE of the following boxes Yes, make my response, name and address all available Yes, make my response available, but not my name and address Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address We will share your response internally with othe issues you discuss. They may wish to cont do so. Are you content for Transport Scotland to	Do you agree to your response being made available to the public (in Scottish Government library and/or on the Transport Scotland web site)? Please tick as appropriate Yes No Where confidentiality is not requested, we will make your responses available to the public on the following basis Please tick ONE of the following boxes Yes, make my response, name and address all available Yes, make my response available, but not my name and address Yes, make my response and name available, but not my address We will share your response internally with other Scotthe issues you discuss. They may wish to contact you do so. Are you content for Transport Scotland to contact.				

CONSULTATION QUESTIONS

notice period from 14 days to 28 days ?				
Yes ⊠ No □				
Yes, the Glasgow Labour Group strongly supports this proposal and believes that this will allow local authorities and regional transport partners to work more effectively with providers.				
A longer pre-registration period will allow Public Transport Authorities to have a more productive and considered input into any decision, notify service providers of the likely consequences of any changes and correct any errors or technical faults within the submission.				
The Glasgow Labour Group is committed to increasing dialogue between PTAs and operators in order to better serve Glasgow's communities and believe this will save the PTA and operators' time, resources and improve services for users				
Question 2: Do you agree with the proposal to replace the duty to inform the relevant authorities before making an application for registration with a duty consult with the relevant authorities?				
Yes ⊠ No □				
Yes, the Labour Group strongly supports this proposal and believes there to be significant benefits to passengers from a more formal and legislated process of notification including extending the duty to include relevant Community Councils.				
Glasgow Labour's 2012 Manifesto contained a commitment to campaign for the reregulation of Scotland's buses in order to better represent local people. It is our belief that this proposal will provide PTAs and local authorities with a better mechanism to express community concerns and ensure a more efficient and co-ordinated approach to the				
planning and development of services. We believe this has the potential to benefit passengers and local communities.				
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Question 3: Do you agree that relevant authorities should be encouraged through guidance to draw potential concerns about new registrations to the attention of the traffic Commissioner for Scotland and/or Transport Scotland?

Yes ⊠ No □			
Yes, the Labour Group strongly supports this proposal. We believe a stronger and statutory mechanism for registering concerns to be an important step in ensuring that operators are fully compliant with the revised registration process.			
We believe this will help ensure that operators engage in meaningful consultation with PTAs and take the registration period seriously, acting as a further check on the true purpose of registered services.			
It is the view of the Glasgow Labour Group that the process should be robust and PTAs should be expected to raise their concerns including those from passengers and local communities regarding any service seeking registration, or who have already registered, to ensure that passengers are best served.			
The Glasgow Labour Group also believe that In addition to this, it would be appropriate for the Traffic Commissioner to have increased power and scope when considering the impact that a potential registration will have on the public interest.			
Question 4a: Do you agree with the proposal to reduce the period of registration from 56 days to 42 days? What difficulties (if any) do you consuch a change might present and how might these be addressed?	sider		
Yes ⊠ No □			
Yes, the Labour Group supports this proposal.			
We acknowledge that this may create increased pressure on PTAs but believe that the public interest and finances are best served by a streamlined process and that any challenges could be overcome.			
Question 4b: An alternative option would be to reduce the registration period from 56 days to 42 days only where Electronic Bus Service Registration (EBSR) is used. Do you agree with this?			
from 56 days to 42 days only where Electronic Bus Service Registration			
from 56 days to 42 days only where Electronic Bus Service Registration			

Question 5: Do you agree that we should require operators to detail within registered hourly frequency bands any services that are registered as frequent services?

Yes No	
Yes. This would facilitate entrance to a route for new bus operators where there is an incumbent operator. It would also be a preventative measure against operators running predatory services to poach passengers from rival providers.	
Question 6: Do you agree that if the proposed changes set out above are adopted, they will improve the bus registration process in Scotland?	
Yes ⊠ No □	
The Glasgow Labour Group is committed to campaigning for the re-regulation of bus services in Scotland. We believe that this would provide better quality of bus services for the people of Scotland. Whilst these proposals do not extend towards re-regulation, it is clear that they are a positive development from the status quo and have the potential to improve services for the travelling public.	
Question 7: It is possible that much of what is proposed above could be achieved through Guidance and/or a Code of Conduct to facilitate engagement between operators and relevant authorities rather than changes to the legislation. Do you have any views on this?	
Yes □ No ⊠	
The Glasgow Labour Group does not support this view.	
The existing parameters binding bus operators do not sufficiently ensure the protection of socially necessary services. Nor do they demand sufficient attention be given to the needs	

The existing parameters binding bus operators do not sufficiently ensure the protection of socially necessary services. Nor do they demand sufficient attention be given to the needs of communities reliant on less profitable routes when cuts to services are being considered. There is a pressing need to establish a clear, enforceable benchmark against which the behaviour of bus operators can be measured and a minimum standard of service can be enshrined. A voluntary code of conduct falls well short of having the necessary powers to do this.