



REPORTED ROAD CASUALTIES SCOTLAND

2011



A National Statistics publication for Scotland

Brief extracts from this publication may be reproduced provided *Reported Road Casualties Scotland* is fully acknowledged as the source. Proposals for larger extracts should be addressed to the enquiries address below.

Conventions

Symbols used: the following are used throughout:

- .. not available
- or 0 nil or less than half the final digit shown
- n/a not applicable

Rounding: in some tables, where figures have been rounded independently, the sum of constituent items may not appear to agree exactly with the total shown.

Enquiries

Enquiries of a routine nature, or on the availability of the next edition of the publication, can be made to the Transport Statistics branch, by contacting:

Mr Andrew Knight or Mr Charlie Lewis Transport Statistics branch Transport Scotland Victoria Quay EDINBURGH EH6 6QQ Telephone: 0131-244 7256 or 7255 Fax: 0131-244 7281 E-mail: transtat@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk

Major enquiries or suggestions for improvement to the publication should be addressed to the transport statistician – Matt Perkins - at the address above.

Readers may request further analyses of the road accident statistics held in the Scottish Government Transport Statistics branch database, but three points should be noted:

1. The Transport Statistics branch does *not* answer requests for local information: these should be addressed to the appropriate Police Force(s) or Council(s).

2. The amount of information that can be provided in response to requests may be limited, depending upon the resources that are available to carry out the work, and on any restrictions that may be necessary to maintain the confidentiality of the data.

3. A charge may be made, depending upon the amount of staff time required to answer a request.

Web and Excel versions of the publication

Go to: http://www.transportscotland.gov.uk/analysis/statistics/publications/reported-road-casualties-scotlandprevious-editions

Some extra road accident statistics tables are available via: http://www.transportscotland.gov.uk/analysis/statistics/datasets/RoadAccidentTables

A separate page, just before the end of this publication, provides more information about what is available from the Transport Statistics Web site.

281

282

Contents		Page					
List of table Preface	es in the Statistical Tables Section	4 6					
Summary:	ables and main points	9					
Commenta	'Y						
	 Trends in the reported numbers of accidents and casualties Reported Accidents 	15 21					
	3. Reported Casualties	24					
	4. Motorists, breath testing and drink-driving	32					
	5. Comparisons of Scottish figures against those of other countries	33					
Articles							
	 Casualty reduction targets: Scotland's Road Safety Framework to 2020 Priorities in Scotland's Road Safety Framework to 2020 – An Assessment of Relative Levels and Trends 	41 49					
	 Comparison of Police STATS 19 Road Casualty Statistics With Other Sources Contributory factors 	81 95					
Statistical 7	ables						
Accidents:							
	Reported Injury Accidents	113					
	Accident costs						
	Drivers and riders	120					
0 IV	Drink-drive accidents and casualties	140					
Casualties:	Reported Casualties	147					
	Reported Child/Adult Casualties	158					
	Casualty Rates	166					
	Reported Casualties by severity, road type, geographical area	178					
	Killed and Serious casualties	196					
	Other reported casualties	227					
Appendix A	Calendar of events affecting road traffic	231					
Appendix B	The collection of road accident statistics, and examples of forms that could be used to	234					
Appendix C	collect the data Consultation with users and providers of road accident statistics, and reviews of the Stats	243					
Appendix D	Definitions used in road accident statistics, and some other points to note	245					
Appendix E	Local Government reorganisation and the Trunk Road Network	251					
Appendix F	Frequency of use of values of most STATS 19 variables	254					
Appendix G	The calculation of the likely range of random year-to-year variation in road accident and casualty numbers for Scotland as a whole	259					
Appendix H	Illustrating the likely ranges of random year-to-year variation in casualty rates for local authority roads for each local authority area	262					
Appendix I	Scottish Parliamentary Questions: April 2007 to August 2012	271					
Index		276					
Scottish Go	overnment Transport Scotland Publications	279					
Frrors in th	e previous edition	280					

Errors in the previous edition Transport Statistics Users' Group

Scottish Government Statistician Group

List of tables in the Statistical Tables section

		Page
Table 1	Population, vehicles licensed, road lengths, traffic on all roads and on M&A roads,	
Table 2	Peported accidents and casualties by severity 1938 to 2011	114
		116
Reported	Injury Accidents	
Table 3	Reported accidents by police force area and severity, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011	117
Table 4	Reported accidents by road type and severity, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011	118
Table 5a	Reported accidents by severity and road class for built-up and non built-up roads, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011.	119
Table 5b	Reported accident rates by severity and road class for built-up and non built-up roads, rates per 100 million vehicle km, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to	
	2011	120
Table 5c	Reported accident rates on all roads by police force area and severity, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages	121
Table 6	Reported accidents by severity, month and road type, 2007-2011 average	123
Table 7	Reported accidents by light condition, road surface condition and severity Built-up	
	and non built-up roads, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011	124
Table 8	Reported accidents by junction detail and severity, separately for built-up and non built-up roads. 2007-2011 average	105
Accident	Costs	100
/	Details of calculation	126
Table 9a	Cost per casualty by severity for GB (£) at 2010 prices	127
Table 9b	Costs per accident by element of cost and severity.	127
Table 10	Cost per accident by road type and severity in Scotland (£) for 2011 at 2010 prices	127
Table 11	Total estimated accident costs in Scotland (£ million) at 2010 prices, by severity,	
Mahialaa I	2001 to 2011	127
venicies		
Table 12	Vehicles involved in reported injury accidents by type, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011,	128
Table 13	Vehicles involved in reported injury accidents, traffic volumes and vehicle involvement rates, by vehicle type and severity of accident, 2004-08 and 2007 to	
	2011 averages, 2000 to 2011	129
Table 14a	Vehicles involved in reported injury accidents by manoeuvre and type of vehicle	131
Table 14b	Vehicles involved in reported injury accidents by junction detail and type of vehicle,	101
Tabla 15	separately for built-up and non built-up roads, 2007-2011 average	132
	accident separately for built-up and non built-up roads 2007-2011 average	133
Drivers a	nd Riders	
Table 16	Estimated distance between the home of the driver or rider and the location of	
	accident, by type of vehicle and police force area in which the reported accident	
	occurred, 2011	134
Table 17	Car drivers involved in reported injury accidents by manoeuvre and age of driver, separately for built-up and non-built-up roads, 2007-2011 average	136
Table 18a	Car drivers involved in reported injury accidents by age and severity of accident, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011	137
Table 18b	Car drivers involved in reported injury accidents by age and sex. 2004-08 and 2007-	107
	2011 averages, 2001 to 2011	138
Drivoro P	roath Tastad	
Tahla 10	Motorists involved in reported injury accidents, breath tested and breath test results	
	by police force, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011	1/0
Table 20	Motorists involved in reported injury accidents, breath tested and breath test results.	140
	by day and time, 2007-2011 average	141
Table 21	Motorists involved in reported injury accidents, breath tested and breath test results,	
	by time of day, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011	142

Drink-driv	e Accidents and Casualties	
Table 22	Estimated accidents which involved motor vehicle drivers or riders with illegal alcohol levels by severity of accident; and casualties in such accidents, by severity, 2004-08 and 2006-2010 averages, 2000 to 2010	144
Reported	Casualties	
Table 23	Reported casualties by mode of transport and severity, separately for built-up and non built-up roads, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011	148
Table 23a	Reported casualties by mode of transport and severity, separately for rural and all roads, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011	153
Table 24	Reported casualties by mode of transport, age group, severity and sex, 2004-08 average, 2011	156
Table 25	Child and adult pedestrian, pedal cycle, car and other casualties by severity, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011	158
Table 26	Reported casualties by mode of motor transport, casualty class and severity, 2004- 08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011	159
Table 27	Reported child casualties by time of day and mode of transport, separately for weekdays/weekend, 2007-2011 average	160
Table 28	Reported adult casualties by time of day and mode of transport, separately for weekdays/weekend, 2007-2011 average	162
Table 29	Reported child and adult casualties by month and mode of transport, 2007-2011 average	164
Table 30	Reported child and adult casualties by day of week and mode of transport, 2007-2011 average	165
Table 31	Population estimates, number of reported casualties and casualty rates per thousand population	166
	numbers and rates per thousand population, 2007-2011 average	168
Table 33	average Reported casualties by age, severity and sex, separately for each casualty class,	173
Table 35	numbers and rates per thousand population, 2007-2011 average Reported child and adult pedestrian casualties in single vehicle accidents, by	174
	pedestrian action, and pedestrian crossing details, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011	176
Table 36	Reported casualties by council, severity and road type, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011	178
Table 37	Reported casualties by police force area, council and severity, 2004-08 and 2007- 2011 averages, 2011	189
Table 38	Reported pedestrian casualties by police force area, council and severity, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2011 Estimated distance between the home of the reported casualty and the location of	191
Table 53a	the accident by road user type and police force area in which the accident occurred, 2011	193
Table 39b	Casualties involved in reported accidents: Council of residence vs council of accident location 2011	194
Table 40	Killed & seriously injured casualties: child casualties and all ages, by council and road type: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011	196
	and road type: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2002 to 2011	214
raple 42	casualties killed or seriously injured, child killed or seriously injured, slight casualties, estimated total volume of traffic, and slight casualty rate by police force area; 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages. 2002 to 2011	224
Table 43	Reported casualties by severity and quarter, 1981 to 2011	227
Table 44	Reported casualties aged up to 16 who were described as school pupils on a journey to or from school by severity, and child casualties by severity 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages and 1981 to 2011	
Table 45	Reported casualties aged up to 16 who were described as school pupils on a journey to or from school by mode of transport, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages	229
	and 1995 to 2011	229

Preface

This publication presents detailed statistics about the circumstances of personal **injury road accidents** in Scotland that were **reported by the police** using the Stats 19 statistical returns (described in more detail in *Appendix B*). Each accident is classified according to the severity of the injury to the most seriously injured person involved in the accident. These statistics are used to inform public debate and support policy on road safety (through education and engineering programs).

This publication also includes statistics related to further analysis on specific road safety topics. For example:

- Valuation of road accident and casualties: Table 9 presents estimates of the value of preventing reported road accidents in GB and Scotland, based on DfT analysis.
- Drink drive estimates: Table 22 presents estimates of the levels of accidents and casualties involving drivers & riders with illegal alcohol levels using Procurator Fiscal data.

In addition to the statistical tables and commentary the publication contains 4 articles discussing further analysis of the statistics:

- Article 1 examines progress towards casualty reduction targets;
- Article 2 Priorities in Scotland's road safety Framework to 2020 an assessment of relative levels and trends;
- Article 3 compares the police Stats 19 data with other sources;
- Article 4 describes contributory factors attributed to reported road accidents and casualties.

Comparisons with death registrations show that very few any, fatal accidents do not become known to the police. However, there will be non-fatal injury accidents that are *not* reported by the public to the police, and are therefore *not* counted in these statistics because the police can only include in their returns details of the accidents of which they are aware. Article 3 looks at other sources [and describes analysis Transport Statistics and DfT have carried out, attempting to estimate the level of under-counting.]

Review of Stats 19

National & local government police forces across Great Britain work closely to achieve an agreed standard for the system for collecting & processing statistics on road accidents involving personal injury. The statistics are subject to regular reviews as part of the continued drive to improve quality and meet user needs whilst minimising the burden of collection. The results of the recent review, including results of the public consultation were published by the DfT on 5 August 2010. The review made a number of recommendations for change to the process, coverage and definition of the Stats 19 collection system (to be implemented by 2013). Details can be found at:

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110503151558/http://dft.gov.uk/pgr/statistics/c ommitteesusergroups/scras/2008reviewstats19/

UK Statistics Authority assessment

These statistics were assessed during the summer of 2010 by the UKSA against the Code of Practice for Official Statistics. Their final report is published on their website at http://www.statisticsauthority.gov.uk/assessment/assessment-reports/assessment-reports/assessment-report-61---statistics-on-transport-in-scotland.pdf

Further details on the role of the UKSA and the assessment process can be found at: <u>www.statisticsauthority.gov.uk/assessment/assessment/assessment-reports/index.html</u>

The status of the statistics

Most of the data used in this publication were extracted from the Road Accidents statistical database on the **5 September 2012**. The statistics given here may differ slightly from those published elsewhere (e.g. provisional figures published in *Key Road Casualty Statistics in* June) because they were extracted on a different date and wouldn't incorporate any later changes (e.g. due to late returns or late corrections). Any late returns will be incorporated into the next available publication

The information held in the Scottish Government's Road Accident Statistics database was collected by the police following each accident, and subsequently reported to the Government. The Scottish Government's statistics may differ slightly from the local authorities as changes or corrections that local authorities may have made, for use at local level, to their own data may not always be accounted for in the Scottish Government database.

The years covered in the tables

Some tables present a time series so that any trends can be identified. However, more detailed tables provide figures in the form of 5-year annual averages (e.g. 2007-2011), and do not present figures for the latest single year. This smoothes out levels of variation often present with low numbers of accidents and casualties. If readers require versions of the detailed tables for single years, these can be provided on request.

Road casualty reduction targets

In many of the tables, the latest figures are compared with the annual averages for 2004-08. This is to allow comparison against the 2020 Scottish specific casualty reduction targets published within the Scottish Road Safety Framework in 2009.

Article 1 discusses these targets in more detail, monitoring progress and exploring differences between modes of travel.

Article 2 assesses the relative levels and trends in the priorities set up in 'Scotland's road safety framework to 2020'.

Estimates of the total volume of road traffic

Some tables include estimates of traffic volumes, or accident or casualty rates calculated from them. The traffic estimates were provided by the Department for Transport (DfT), which produces estimates of the total volume of road traffic for Scotland and for other parts of Great Britain. Care should be taken when using these estimates and a detailed description can be found in Appendix D of this publication.

Other Scottish Transport Statistics

Reported Road Casualties Scotland is one of a series of Transport Statistics publications, most of which focus on particular aspects of transport and cover them in depth. These can be found at <u>http://www.transportscotland.gov.uk/analysis/statistics</u>.

We welcome suggestions for improving the usefulness of the data and the publications. Comments and enquiries should be sent to the address below.

Matt Perkins Statistician

Transport Statistics Transport Scotland Victoria Quay Edinburgh EH6 6QQ Telephone: 0131 244 7254 Email: Transtat@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk



SUMMARY

Summary

On Scotland's roads in 2011 there were:

- 9,974 reported injury **accidents** in which 12,770 people were reported as being casualties;
- 2,061 people reported killed or seriously injured (186 of whom died);
- 7,770 casualties in cars, 89 of whom died;
- 2,059 **pedestrian** casualties, of whom 43 were killed;
- 808 motor cyclist casualties (of whom 33 were killed);
- 1,315 **child**¹ casualties, 203 of whom were seriously injured (7 of them died);
- 645 child¹ pedestrian casualties 139 were seriously injured (2 died).

Between 2001 and 2011:

- The number of fatal accidents fell by 43%, from 309 to 176;
- The total of fatal and serious accidents fell by 41%, from 3,149 to 1,847;
- The total number of accidents (all severities) fell by 32%, from 14,724 to 9,974;
- The number of people killed fell by 47%, from 348 to 186;
- The total of **seriously** injured casualties fell by 45%, from 3,410 to 1,875;
- The total number of **casualties** (all severities) fell by 36%, from 19,911 to 12,770;
- Car user casualties fell by 37%, from 12,294 to 7,770;
- Pedestrian casualties fell by 40%, from 3,405 to 2,059;
- Pedal cycle casualties fell by 10%, from 916 to 824;
- Motor cycle casualties fell by 31%, from 1,178 to 808;
- Male casualties fell by 35%, from 11,301 to 7,298;
- Female casualties fell by 36%, from 8,582 to 5,466;
- Casualties aged 16-22 fell by 40% from 3,703 to 2,239;
- Casualties aged 23-59 fell by 33% from 10,929 to 7,353;
- Casualties aged 60 and over fell by 20% from 2,287 to 1,841;
- Child¹ fatalities fell from 20 to 7;
- Child¹ seriously injured casualties fell by 61% from 524 to 203;
- The total number of **child**¹ casualties (all severities) fell by 55% from 2,923 to 1,315;
- Child¹ pedestrian fatalities fell from 14 to 2;
- Child¹ pedestrians seriously injured casualties fell by 59% from 339 to 139;
- The total number of **child¹ pedestrian** casualties fell by 56% from 1,475 to 645;
- The estimated number of drink-drive accidents fell by around a third, from about 780 (in 2000) to roughly 530 (in 2010 the latest year for which estimates are available); it's estimated that the number of people killed in such accidents fell from about 40 to around 30;
- The estimated total **cost of all road accidents** in Scotland (including damage only accidents) at constant 2010 prices, fell by 41%, from £1,930 million to £1,140 million.

Over the longer-term:

- **Between 1950 and 2011** (inclusive), 34,871 people were killed, and a total of about 1.505 million people were either killed or injured, in accidents on Scotland's roads;
- In 1962 (the earliest year for which a figure is available), there were roughly 775,000 vehicles licensed in Scotland, whereas in 2011 the vehicle population stood at 2.691 million. Over the same period, the number of casualties fell from about 26,700 to around 12,800. Therefore whilst the vehicle stock has more than trebled, the number of casualties has actually halved.

¹ Child age 0-15

Table A: Summary of reported road injury accident and reported casualty statistics: 2001 to 2011

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	
Accidents												
Fatal	309	274	301	283	264	293	255	245	196	189	176	
Fatal & serious	3,149	2,958	2,796	2,614	2,516	2,550	2,304	2,487	2,195	1,901	1,847	
All severities	14,724	14,343	13,917	13,919	13,438	13,110	12,506	12,158	11,556	10,295	9,974	
Accidents on built-up ⁽¹⁾ roads												
Fatal	91	71	85	90	76	83	71	82	56	56	62	
Fatal & serious	1,648	1,599	1,474	1,322	1,300	1,347	1,207	1,359	1,089	981	1,013	
All severities	9,436	9,185	8,745	8,708	8,387	8,197	7,781	7,463	6,990	6,341	6,354	
Accidents on non built-up ⁽¹⁾ ro	ads											
Fatal	218	203	216	193	188	210	184	163	140	133	114	
Fatal & serious	1,501	1,359	1,322	1,292	1,216	1,203	1,097	1,128	1,106	920	834	
All seventies	5,288	5,158	5,172	5,211	5,051	4,913	4,725	4,695	4,566	3,954	3,620	
Drink-drive accidents and casualties ⁽²⁾												
Accidents	800	820	750	710	660	720	670	660	660	530		
Casualties (all severities)	1,190	1,270	1,130	1,060	990	980	940	960	920	750		
Killed by mede of transport	70	50	50	40	30	30	30	40	30	20		
Redestrian	76	72	62	76	66	61	60	60	47	47	12	
Pedal cycle	10	73	14	70	16	10	4	00 9	47 5	47	43	
Motor cycle	49	46	50	42	34	58	40	34	43	35	33	
Car	194	154	189	167	153	175	160	153	116	105	89	
Other (eg taxi, bus, goods)	19	23	20	16	17	10	17	14	5	14	14	
All modes of transport	348	304	336	308	286	314	281	270	216	208	186	
Seriously injured casualties b	y mode											
Pedestrian	842	820	712	674	677	688	594	645	509	457	513	
Pedal cycle	161	144	125	121	116	131	147	155	152	138	156	
Motor cycle	405	410	307	353	1 30/1	302 1 258	381	390	33Z 1 136	319	293 756	
Other (eg taxi, bus, goods)	244	227	242	204	1,304	206	153	1,205	1,150	152	157	
All modes of transport	3,410	3,229	2,957	2,766	2,666	2,635	2,385	2,575	2,288	1,968	1,875	
Slightly injured casualties by	mode		,	,	, ,	,	,	,		,		
Pedestrian	2,487	2,423	2,215	2,328	2,308	2,104	2,049	1,887	1,643	1,510	1,503	
Pedal cycle	745	676	663	648	649	640	563	566	647	636	661	
Motor cycle	724	711	697	599	677	658	640	612	646	491	482	
Car	10,342	10,050	10,055	10,024	9,532	9,272	8,793	8,314	8,327	7,293	6,925	
Other (eg taxi, bus, goods)	1,855	1,882	1,833	1,829	1,767	1,646	1,527	1,367	1,276	1,232	1,138	
All modes of transport	16,153	15,742	15,463	15,428	14,933	14,320	13,572	12,746	12,539	11,162	10,709	
All casualties by mode, by sex	k and by a	ige										
Pedestrian	3,405	3,316	2,990	3,078	3,051	2,853	2,703	2,592	2,199	2,014	2,059	
Pedal cycle	916	828	802	//6	1 082	1 069	1 061	1 0 4 2	804	781	824	
Motor cycle	1,178	1,107	1,114	994 11 605	1,082	1,068	1,001	9,670	9.579	840 8 300	808 7 770	
Other (eg taxi, bus, goods)	2,118	2,132	2.095	2.049	1.982	1.862	1,697	1,557	1.440	1,398	1.309	
All modes of transport	19,911	19,275	18,756	18,502	17,885	17,269	16,238	15,591	15,043	13,338	12,770	
Male	11.301	11.086	10.657	, 10.473	10.204	9.723	9.302	8.843	8.450	, 7.541	7.298	
Female	8,582	8,176	8,086	8,016	7,658	7,532	6,916	6,737	6,587	5,787	5,466	
Child: 0 - 15	2,923	2,745	2,480	2,395	2,172	2,022	1,817	1,689	1,473	1,378	1,315	
Young adult: 16-22	3,703	3,587	3,467	3,463	3,540	3,559	3,419	3,174	3,084	2,491	2,239	
Adult: 23-59	10,929	10,667	10,426	10,340	9,926	9,566	8,929	8,706	8,452	7,712	7,353	
Older adults: 60+	2,287	2,226	2,330	2,258	2,218	2,090	2,044	2,000	1,997	1,732	1,841	
Child ⁴ killed by mode of trans	port											
Pedestrian	14	12	5	8	5	9	4	4	1	1	2	
Pedal cycle	4	-	2	-	4	5	1	2	1	1	-	
Other (eq m/c, taxi, bus,)	2	2	10	3	1	10	4	13	3	1	5	
All modes of transport	20	14	- 17	12	11	25	9	20	5	4	- 7	
Child ⁴ coriously injured easure	ltics by n	 Nodo				_0	•	_0		•	•	
Pedestrian	220	328	268	230	230	230	181	10/	155	150	130	
Pedal cycle	52	46	46	40	26	35	28	18	26	23	23	
Car	108	109	83	74	68	60	51	56	62	40	34	
Other (eg m/c, taxi, bus)	25	30	18	19	24	16	9	11	10	10	7	
All modes of transport	524	513	415	372	357	350	269	279	253	223	203	
All child ⁴ casualties by mode												
Pedestrian	1,475	1,296	1,201	1,180	1,099	993	882	831	674	643	645	
Pedal cycle	307	277	276	263	219	209	174	150	148	146	135	
Car Other (og m/c, tevi, huc,)	950	926	825	805	684	657	633	569	548	505	460	
All modes of transport	2 023	240 2 745	170 2480	2 395	2 172	2 022	1∠ŏ 1 817	1 680	103	04 1,378	70 1 315	
Accident costs (£ million) ⁽³⁾	1.930	1.804	1.787	1.704	1.626	1.647	1.515	1.508	1.341	1.208	1.140	

1. Built-up roads have a speed limit of up to 40mph; Non built-up roads have a speed limit of over 40mph

2. Estimates, adjusted for under-reporting as described in the text accompanying Table 22. The latest year's estimates are not yet available.

3. Estimated total costs (including damage only accidents) at 2010 prices, calculated as described in the text accompanying Tables 9 to 11.

4. Child 0-15 years

Table B: Summary o	f reported injury	accidents and rep	ported casualties	by police force area	council and severity: 2011
--------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	----------------------	----------------------------

						Child				
		Accid	ents						casualties	
	Fatal	Serious	Slight	Total	Killed	Serious	Slight	Total	All severities	
Northern	19	92	456	567	22	109	664	795	50	
Highland	18	83	387	488	21	98	566	685	44	
Orkney Islands	-	2	11	13	-	2	24	26	0	
Shetland Islands	-	4	28	32	-	5	41	46	4	
Eilean Siar	1	3	30	34	1	4	33	38	2	
Grampian	22	269	726	1,017	23	312	902	1,237	103	
Aberdeen City	8	94	260	362	8	98	304	410	42	
Aberdeenshire	10	153	355	518	11	190	462	663	47	
Moray	4	22	111	137	4	24	136	164	14	
Tayside	23	166	561	750	25	199	763	987	116	
Dundee City	2	50	185	237	2	52	243	297	49	
Angus	5	48	167	220	5	57	228	290	30	
Perth & Kinross	16	68	209	293	18	90	292	400	37	
Fife	11	80	357	448	11	92	494	597	78	
Lothian & Borders	20	327	1.826	2.173	22	349	2.296	2.667	261	
Edinburgh City of		162	1 009	1 180	10	166	1 195	1 371	125	
West Lothian	2	58	323	383	2	63	432	497	47	
Midlothian	2	26	149	177	3	27	194	224	24	
Fast Lothian	1	24	134	159	1	29	177	207	32	
Scottish Borders	6	57	211	274	6	64	298	368	33	
Central	9	94	442	545	9	110	598	717	67	
Clackmannanshire	2	7	55	64	2	10	76	88	13	
Stirling	6	50	164	220	6	57	231	294	24	
Falkirk	1	37	223	261	1	43	291	335	30	
Strathclvde	63	568	3.525	4.156	65	620	4.662	5.347	611	
Glasgow, City	13	169	1,099	1,281	13	177	1,388	1,578	184	
Argyll & Bute	4	48	178	230	5	58	253	316	23	
West Dunbartonshire	4	22	119	145	4	22	154	180	28	
East Dunbartonshire	-	16	124	140	-	16	162	178	14	
Inverclyde	1	23	131	155	1	26	181	208	27	
Renfrewshire	7	49	298	354	7	52	424	483	42	
East Renfrewshire	2	11	103	116	2	12	140	154	14	
North Lanarkshire	11	57	501	569	11	59	677	747	96	
South Lanarkshire	10	71	432	513	11	78	581	670	79	
North Ayrshire	4	34	192	230	4	39	238	281	48	
East Ayrshire	4	33	167	204	4	43	219	266	27	
South Ayrshire	3	35	181	219	3	38	245	286	29	
Dumfries & Galloway	9	75	234	318	9	84	330	423	29	
Scotland	176	1,671	8,127	9,974	186	1,875	10,709	12,770	1,315	
of which:										
Built up roads	62	951	5,341	6,354	64	1,000	6,610	7,674	1,025	
Non- built up roads	114	720	2,786	3,620	122	875	4,099	5,096	290	

Commentary

Figure 1 Reported accidents by severity, 1966 to 2011



Commentary

1. Trends in the reported numbers of Injury Road Accidents and Casualties

1.1 Main Points

Table 1 shows the long-term trends in the reported numbers of injury road accidents and casualties, the population of Scotland, the number of vehicles licensed, the length of the road network and the volume of traffic. Information on the severities of the accidents, and of the injuries suffered by the casualties, is provided in Table 2. The numbers of injury road accidents were first recorded separately in 1966, while the numbers of casualties are available back to 1938. Figures 1 to 7 illustrate the trends in the reported numbers of injury road accidents and casualties including (in some cases) indications of the likely range of random year–to-year variations (see section 1.4). As mentioned in the introduction, injury accidents not reported by the public to the police won't appear in the returns. Note that each accident will result in one or more casualties. For example a fatal accident could result in two fatalities and a serious injury which would count as one accident + 3 casualties.

Accidents

- In 2011, there were 176 **fatal accidents,** 13 (7%) less than in 2010, the lowest number since the records began in 1970.
- Serious injury accidents in 2011 fell by 41 (2%) to 1,671 the lowest number since the records began in 1970.
- **Slight injury accidents** fell by 267 (3%) in 2011 to 8,127 the lowest number since records began.

Casualties

- There were 186 people killed in road accidents in Scotland in 2011, 22 (or 11%) less than in 2010 and the lowest since records began in 1950.
- 1,875 people were seriously injured in road accidents in 2011, 93 (or 5%) less than in 2010 the lowest number since records began.
- 10,709 people were slightly injured in road accidents in 2011, 453 (or 4%) fewer than in 2010 the lowest figure since 1950.
- There were a **total number of 12,770 casualties** in 2011 568 (or 4%) less than in 2010 the lowest figure since 1938.

The reductions in the numbers of accidents and casualties in recent years are even more significant given the rise in vehicle and subsequent traffic. E.g. in 2011 the number of vehicles licensed in Scotland was about a fifth higher than in 2001 and traffic on Scottish roads was estimated to have grown by just under a tenth since 2001.

1.2 Reported Accidents

In 1966 there were just over 23,200 injury road accidents and the annual total remained around this level until 1973. Numbers then dropped considerably in 1974

and 1975 to about 20,600. This was the time of a fuel crisis when a national speed limit of 50 mph was introduced and the volume of traffic in Great Britain fell by 3% in 1974. Accident numbers increased again in 1976 and reached a peak of nearly 23,100 in 1979.

In the early 1980s numbers began to fall, and did so particularly sharply in 1983 when the total number of injury accidents fell by 7% in a single year to 19,400, serious accidents fell by 13% to just over 6,400, and fatal accidents fell by 11% to 568. The 1981 Transport Act came into force in 1983 and changed the law relating to drink driving, with the introduction of evidential breath testing. Compulsory front seat belt wearing and new procedures for licensing learner motor cyclists were also introduced in 1983. After 1983 the total number of injury accidents increased again to over 20,600 in 1985, and the number of serious accidents rose to just over 6,500 while fatal accidents continued to fall.

By 1987 the total number of injury accidents had fallen to under 18,700, but in 1989 it rose to just over 20,600. 1989 was the most recent peak in the total number of injury accidents. Since 1989, the total number of injury accidents has fallen in 20 out of 23 years, and in 2011 it was at the lowest level ever recorded. The 2011 figure of 9,974 was 321 less than in 2010.

Since the late 1980s, the number of **fatal accidents** has fallen considerably e.g. from 517 in 1987 to 176 in 2011. For **serious accidents**, the trend has also been downwards. The number of serious accidents has fallen e.g. from 5,814 in 1989 to 1,671 in 2011 – the lowest number ever recorded. The numbers of **slight accidents** have not changed as much over the years: oscillating between 12,000 and 15,000 from 1970 to 1998. The most recent peak level was 14,443 in 1990. However, they fell below 12,000 in 1999, and the 2011 figure of 8,127 was the lowest since slight accident numbers were first recorded in 1970.

1.3 Reported Casualties

As the numbers of accidents have fallen, so have the numbers of casualties. Therefore, this section does not repeat the previous section's detailed analysis of how the numbers have changed.

Numbers killed

In 2011 there were 186 road accidents fatalities in Scotland in, a decrease of 11% on 2010. This was the lowest figure recorded. With a few exceptions, figures fell in each year since 1978, showing a clear, steady long-term downward trend, particularly between 1982 and 1994. Since then, figures have been fluctuating around a less pronounced downwards trend. The number in 2011 was 28% below the average for the previous five years (258).

Numbers seriously injured

In 2011 there were 1,875 people seriously injured in road accidents: 93 (5%) less than in 2010. This is the lowest number since records began in 1950. The long term trend shows that the number of serious casualties peaked in the early 1970's at around 10,000 and generally fell since the early 1980's. However, there has been

some fluctuation around the long-term downwards trend, and appeared to level-off: 1996, 1997 and 1998 were around 4,050. But the downward trend subsequently resumed.

Numbers slightly injured

In 2011 there were 10,709 people slightly injured, 453 (4%) fewer than in 2010, and the lowest number since 1950. Between 1970 and 1990, the figures fluctuated between 17,000 and 21,000. The fall between 1990 and 1995 was followed by an apparent levelling-off at around 17-18,000 in each of the years from 1996 to 1999, could have been a continuation of that pattern. However, 2000 to 2011 showed consecutive falls suggesting a continuing downward trend.

Total numbers of casualties

In 2011 there was a total of 12,770 casualties, 568 (4%) fewer than in 2010 (The lowest number recorded). Between about 1970 and 1990, the figures fluctuated around a general downward trend. Subsequently, the casualty figures fell markedly from the level of the most recent short-term peak (over 27,000 in both 1989 and 1990), before appearing to level off. However, as the totals for 1999 to 2011 were all under 21,100, with falls each year, it appears that the downward trend has resumed.

Government targets for reductions in the numbers of road accident casualties

In 1987 the Government adopted a target to reduce road casualties by one third from the 1981-85 annual average by the year 2000. The number of people killed on the roads in Scotland in 2000 was 49% below the 1981-85 average number of fatalities per year, and therefore the target of a one-third reduction by the year 2000 was exceeded for fatalities. For seriously injured casualties, the 2000 figure was 57% below the 1981-85 average, so the target was bettered for seriously injured casualties. However, the figure of 16,618 slight casualties in 2000 was only 9% below the 1981-85 average and so the target of a one-third reduction was not achieved for slight casualties. And, the total number of casualties in 2000 was 24% below the 1981-85 average, and therefore the target of a one-third reduction in the total number of casualties was not met.

In March 2000, the UK Government, the then Scottish Executive and the National Assembly for Wales announced a new national road safety strategy and casualty reduction targets for 2010. The number of people killed or seriously injured on the roads in Scotland in 2010 was 55% below the 1994-98 average, and therefore the target of a 40% reduction by the year 2010 was exceeded for fatalities. For children Killed or seriously injured, the 2010 figure was 73% below the 1994-98 average, a greater reduction than the 2010 target of a 50% fall. The slight casualty rate of 25.67 casualties per 100 million vehicle kilometres in 2010 was 45% below the 1994-98 baseline average of 46.42 – a greater reduction than the 2010 target of a 10% fall.

A separate section on the Scottish national casualty reduction targets for 2020 (which appears after this Commentary) provides statistics related to these targets, plus a selection of key points. It contains charts and tables for each of the five targets showing the main trends in casualty numbers in comparison to the 2004-08 baseline averages. It also shows the numbers that might be expected in each year up to 2020 if the targets were to be achieved by means of a constant percentage reduction in each year.





Figure 3



1.4 The likely range of random year-to-year variation in some road accident and casualty numbers for Scotland as a whole (see Figures 2 to 5)

Because road accidents may occur at random, the numbers of accidents, and the numbers of casualties in those accidents, can fluctuate from year to year. Figures 2 to 5 show, for Scotland as a whole, the numbers of:

- fatal road accidents (1972 to 2011);
- road deaths (1949 to 2011);
- people killed or seriously injured (1950 to 2011);
- children killed or seriously injured (1981 to 2011).

The number of years covered by each chart reflects the availability of the relevant figures. The black dots are the values in each year, and the black lines indicate the year-to-year variation. The grey dashed lines show the likely range of random year-to-year variation in the figures: based on statistical theory, one would expect that only about 5% of years would have figures outwith these ranges. Appendix G describes how these ranges were produced: the limits of the likely ranges of values are calculated in a similar way to 95% confidence intervals. It also explains why they cannot be produced for all years.

Fatal accidents, and deaths in road accidents (see Figures 2 and 3)

Figures 2 and 3 show that the number of fatal accidents is within its likely range of values in every year, and the number of road deaths is within its likely range of values in all but three years. These results are reasonable: one would expect a few years' figures to be outside the likely range of random year-to-year variation, given that there are over 30 years' figures for fatal accidents and over 50 years' figures for road accident deaths. Figures 2 and 3 therefore show that, despite the large percentage changes such as the falls in deaths of 19% between 1998 and 1999, and of 13% between 2001 and 2002, the figures almost always remain within the expected ranges. Hence, one should not put too much weight on a single large percentage change.

Children killed or seriously injured (see Figure 5)

Figure 5 shows that the year-to-year fluctuations in the numbers of children killed or seriously injured (for the years for which figures are readily available) are generally within the expected ranges. The exceptions are around 1994, when health boards' policies changed, with the result that more child casualties were admitted to hospitals for overnight observation. This changed the classification of many injuries from slight to serious.

When changes in operational practice or to administrative processes have a marked effect on the statistics, the resulting year-to-year changes can be much greater than those expected to arise due to normal random year-to-year variation – so it is not surprising that there are figures outwith the expected ranges around 1994.

Killed or seriously injured (KSI) casualties (see Figure 4)

Figure 4 has many years' figures (around a third) outwith the calculated likely range of values. The reason for this is that *statistical variability is not the only reason for year-to-year changes* – other factors have contributed to sharp falls and rises in KSI casualty numbers. For example, the sharp fall shown in 1983 may be partly due to the introduction of seat belt wearing (for drivers and front seat passengers in most

Figure 4



Killed and seriously injured reported casualties



Figure 5



cars and light vans). Similarly, the sharp rise in 1994 may be due in part to the change in hospital practices referred to earlier.

In effect, such factors change the underlying rate of occurrence of accidents and/or casualties, and therefore, in effect, introduce a break into the series of moving average values. The method used to calculate the likely range of random variation cannot take account of the effect of such changes.

Only Figure 4 has figures outwith the calculated interval due to the likely ranges of random year-to-year variation calculated for small numbers being quite wide in percentage terms. This is because, for a Poisson process (see Appendix G), by definition, the greater the frequency of occurrence of events, the smaller the proportion that the standard deviation of the frequency (which is the square root of that number) represents of that number. For example:

- with 100 cases, the square root is 10 or 10% of the value;
- with 400 cases, the square root is 20 5% of the value;
- with 10,000 cases, the square root is 100 only 1% of the value.

As a result, if a factor (like the introduction of the compulsory wearing of front seat belts) were to cause the same percentage fall in each of the four types of accident and casualty numbers used in the charts, the following might be observed. The percentage fall could be *within* the relatively wide percentage range of likely random variation around the *smaller* numbers, but *outwith* the relatively narrow percentage range of likely random variation around the *smaller* numbers, but *outwith* the relatively narrow percentage range of likely random variation around the *larger* numbers. The ranges in Figures 2, 3 and 5 appear to be sufficiently wide to encompass the effects of changes such those mentioned above. (That is, the effects of the changes in their first years may fall within the likely range of random variation.

Of course, over the longer-term, such changes should make significant contributions to the reductions in casualty numbers and their severity.) However, the intervals in Figure 4 include a much smaller than expected proportion of the figures. This is because the likely range of random variation for KSI casualties represents only a small percentage of the total, and factors like those mentioned above appear to have had a greater percentage effect than that in their first years.

2. Reported Accidents

2.1 Accidents by road type and severity (see Table 4)

Table 4 shows separate figures for trunk roads and for local authority roads. Trunk roads accounted for only small proportions of the total numbers of accidents in 2011: 29% of fatal accidents, 16% of serious accidents, and 16% of all accidents. The trunk road network's shares of accident numbers in previous years were broadly similar.

Accident trends for different types of road will be affected by developments in the surrounding area (new city and town bypasses, construction of new roads with high average traffic flows etc.) Therefore, figures do *not* provide an accurate measure of the comparative change in the road safety performance of different types of road.

Several changes were made to the trunk road network with effect from 1st April 1996. Appendix E refers to them, and explains why the 1994-98 averages for trunk roads and for local authority major roads have been calculated by counting accidents which occurred prior to 1st April 1996 on the basis of whether they occurred on roads which were part of the post- 1 April 1996 trunk road network.

2.2 Accident rates (see Table 5)

Accident rates showing the number of accidents per 100 million vehicle kilometres are contained in parts (b) and (c) of table 5. These are calculated by dividing the numbers of accidents on each type of road by the estimated volumes of traffic on those roads, which were provided by the Department for Transport, and which are available for all types of road with effect from 1993. The five year average accident rates were calculated by dividing the total number of accidents which occurred in each five year period by the total of the estimated volumes of traffic for the same period, rather than by calculating the averages of the individual accident rates for the five years.

Accident rates have fallen markedly since the early 1990s. The overall fatal accident rate has dropped from 0.77 per 100 million vehicle kilometres in 2001 to 0.41 in 2011; the serious accident rate fell from 7.09 to 3.85; and the overall accident rate (all severities) reduced from 36.75 per 100 million vehicle kilometres to 22.99. Motorways had consistently lower accident rates than A roads. Leaving aside the relatively low rate for fatal accidents, minor roads (taken together as a group) tend to have higher accident rates than major roads, and accident rates tend to be higher for built-up roads (roads with speed limits of up to 40mph) than for non built-up roads (ones with higher speed limits).

Part C of the table shows that estimated accident rates vary considerably by police force area. Some of this variation may be attributed to the distribution of traffic by road type within individual areas.

2.3 Accidents by month by road type (see Table 6)

The numbers of injury accidents over the years 2007-2011 were fairly evenly spread throughout the year, with minor peaks in August, September and November. Serious accidents varied more between the months, and their peak, which occurred in June, was 11% above the monthly average. (Months are standardised to 30 days to allow comparison)

On average, there were 17 fatal accidents per month in the years 2007 to 2011. The number did not vary greatly between the months: the lowest average was 13, and the highest was 22.

2.4 Accidents by light condition and road surface condition (see Table 7)

The light and road surface conditions and the type of road (e.g. built-up) contribute to the severity of an accident. Severity rates are higher on non built-up roads than on built-up roads, likely due to the higher average speed. Severity rates are also higher in darkness than in daylight, likely due to poorer visibility.

For example, taking the annual averages for 2007-2011, 4.1% of injury road accidents on non built-up roads in darkness (49 out of 1,204) resulted in one (or more) deaths compared with 1.6% of accidents on built-up roads in darkness (30 out of 1,852) and 3.1% of accidents on non built-up roads in daylight (97 out of 3,108).

Figure 6



Reported casualties: Total and Slightly injured - from 1950

Similarly, the percentage of accidents classified as serious is lower for built-up roads in daylight than for built-up roads in darkness.

Severity rates did not appear to be higher when the road surface condition was wet, damp or flooded, or affected by snow, frost or ice. For example, taking the annual averages for 2007 to 2011, the percentage of accidents on non built-up roads classified as serious when the road surface condition was dry was 23.8% (463 out of 1,947) compared with 18.2% (345 out of 1,898) when the surface was wet and 13.3% (62 out of 466) when it was affected by snow, frost or ice.

2.5 Car driver accident rates (see Table 18b)

This table includes all car drivers involved in injury accidents regardless of whether they were injured or not, on the basis of whatever information is known about their ages and their sex. For example, someone whose sex was known, but whose age was not known, will be included in the all ages total for the appropriate sex. The grand total includes those for whom neither the age nor the sex was known.

As the car driver accident rates that are shown for each sex and age group are on a per head of population basis, rather than being based upon the numbers of driving licence holders or upon the distance driven, they can provide only a general indication of the relative accident rates for each group. The statistics do *not* provide a measure of the relative risk of each group as car drivers, because they do not take account of the differing levels of car driving by each group.

Age & Gender

Car driver accident rates per head of population vary markedly by age and sex. In 2011, the overall rate was 2.8 per thousand population aged 17+. The peak occurs for males in the 17-25 age group, with a rate of 4.9 per thousand population in 2011. This rate is one and a half times those of females of the same age (3.1 per thousand in 2011), and males aged 35-59 (3.6 per thousand in 2011).

The overall male car driver accident rate in 2011 (3.6 per thousand) was the same as the previous year, but the 17-25 and 26-34 age groups were slightly lower. The overall female car driver accident rate in 2011 (2.1 per thousand) was lower than the previous year. The rates for the age groups, were slightly lower than the previous year.

Between 2001 and 2011, the male car driver accident rate fell from 5.9 to 3.6 per thousand population, while the female car driver accident rate has declined slowly from 3.0 per thousand population to 2.1 per thousand in 2011. As a result, the overall, ratio of male to female car driver accident rates has fallen from 2.0 : 1 for 2001 to 1.7 : 1 in 2011.

3. **Reported Casualties**

3.1 Casualties by type of road (see Table 23)

In 2011, non built-up roads accounted for two-fifths of the total number of casualties (40%: 5,096 out of 12,770). However, perhaps because average speeds are higher on non built-up roads than elsewhere, they accounted for three quarters of those

killed (66%: 122 out of 186) and for just over half of the total number of seriously injured (47%: 875 out of 1,875).

Compared with 2001, the fall in the total number of casualties has been slightly greater for non built-up roads (38%) than elsewhere (34%). The difference in the numbers killed on non built-up roads is also higher than those on built-up ones (down by 52% for non built-up roads compared with a reduction of 33% elsewhere). Over the years, some traffic will have been transferred away from built-up roads by the opening of city and town bypasses, and by the construction of non built-up roads with higher average traffic volumes. Therefore, these figures do *not* provide an accurate measure of the comparative change in the road safety performance of built-up and non built-up roads.

3.2 Casualties by mode of transport (see Table 23)

A total of 7,770 car users were injured in road accidents in 2011, representing 61% of all casualties. Of these car users, 89 died. There were 2,059 pedestrian casualties (16% of the total), of whom 43 died, 824 pedal cycle casualties (6% of the total), of whom 7 died, and 808 motorcycle casualties (6% of the total), of whom 33 died. Because of the numbers of car user, pedestrian, pedal cyclist and motorcyclist casualties, the figures for each of these four groups of road users are the subject of separate sections, which follow this one, and are followed by a section on child casualties, which gives details of their modes of transport.

Together, all the modes of transport other than the four mentioned above accounted for 1,309 casualties in 2011 (10% of the total), and for smaller percentages of the numbers of seriously injured. These included 503 bus and coach users injured in 2011, of whom 51 suffered serious injuries (one died). There were also 310 casualties who were travelling in light goods vehicles, 144 people in heavy goods vehicles, 198 users of taxis, 22 users of minibuses and 132 people with another means of transport.

3.3 Car user casualties

A total of 7,770 car users were injured in road accidents in 2011, representing 61% of all casualties. Of these people, a total of 756 were seriously injured, 89 died. Non built-up roads accounted for over half of all car user casualties (52%: 4,012 out of 7,770). Perhaps because average speeds are higher on non-built up roads, they accounted for much higher percentages of the total numbers of car users who were killed (87%: 77 out of 89) or were seriously injured (72%: 548 out of 756). *(see Table 23)*

The number of car users killed in 2011 was 15% less than the 2010 figure. The number who were seriously injured fell by 16% and the total number of casualties of all severities was down by 6%. Since 2001, the number killed has dropped by 54%, and there have been falls of 57% in the number who were seriously injured and of 37% in the total number of car user casualties. *(see Table 23)*

Looking at annual averages over the years 2007-2011, the seriously injured casualty rate for 16-22 year old car users was 0.53 per thousand population. This was much



Figure 7 Reported casualties: 5 year moving average (1947-51 to 2007-11)

higher than the rate for car users in the older age groups, which varied from 0.15 to 0.33 per thousand population. *(see Table 32)*

On average, over the years 2007-2011, 72% of car user fatalities occurred on roads with a speed limit of 60mph. Such roads accounted for 60% of those car users who were seriously injured, but for only 41% of the total number of car user casualties (of all severities). *(see Table 33)*

Adult car users

On weekdays, the peak time for adult car user casualties was from 4pm to 6pm. The 5pm to 6pm average of 523 (the average over the years 2007-2011) was 19% higher than the average of 441 in the morning 8am to 9am peak. *(see Table 28)*

Adult car user casualties varied by month, with fewer in the months of January to April and more between October and December. The peak month was November, which had 30% more adult car user casualties than the lowest month, April (annual averages over the years 2007-2011; months standardised to 30 days). *(see Table 29)*

Friday had the peak numbers of adult car user casualties over the years 2007-2011 with 10% more than the average daily number of adult car user casualties. *(see Table 30)*

3.4 Pedestrian casualties

There were 2,059 pedestrian casualties in 2011: 16% of all casualties. Of these, 513 were seriously injured (43 died). Presumably because of the greater vulnerability of pedestrians, a high proportion (27%) of the total number of people who were seriously injured were pedestrians. In addition, 25% of pedestrian casualties were seriously injured (513 out of 2,059) compared with 15% of all casualties (1,875 out of 12,770). About 95% of pedestrian casualties occurred on built-up roads (1,957 out of 2,059). Perhaps because of higher average speeds on non built-up roads, 35% of the pedestrian casualties on such roads were seriously injured (36 out of 102) compared with 24% on built-up roads (477 out of 1,957). *(see Table 23)*

The number of pedestrians seriously injured in 2011 was 12% higher than 2010 and the overall number of pedestrian casualties was 2% higher. Since 2001, the number of pedestrians killed has fallen by 43%, the number who were seriously injured has dropped by 39%, and there has been a 39% reduction in the total number of pedestrian casualties. Looking at the annual average for the period 2007 to 2011, the pedestrian fatality rate was higher for those aged 70+ (0.03 per thousand population) than for any other age-group. However, the 12-15 age-group had the highest 'serious' and 'all severities' pedestrian casualty rates (0.28 and 1.31 per thousand population, respectively). The corresponding casualty rates for the 5-11 age-group were slightly lower. (see Tables 23 & 32)

The overall pedestrian 'all severities' casualty rate for males was 0.55 per thousand population, compared with 0.35 per thousand for females, using the averages for the period 2007 to 2011. *(see Table 34)*

Adult pedestrian casualties

On average in the period 2007 to 2011, the peak time for adult pedestrian casualties during the week was from 4pm to 6pm; at weekends it was from midnight to 2am. *(see Table 28)*

November and December were the peak months for adult pedestrian casualties, with each having 21-32% more than the monthly average. Adult pedestrian casualties in the four winter months, November to February, were 19% more than the monthly average (annual averages over the years 2007-2011; months standardised to 30 days). *(see Table 29)*

Friday and Saturday have the highest numbers of adult pedestrian casualties; respectively 21% and 16% more than the daily average over the period 2007 to 2011. *(see Table 30)*

3.5 Pedal Cycle Casualties

There were 824 pedal cycle casualties in 2011, 43 more than the previous year. The number of seriously injured pedal cycle casualties in 2011 was 156, 13% higher than in 2010. There were 7 pedal cycle fatalities in 2011, the same as 2010. Since 2001 there has been a 10% reduction in all pedal cycle casualties, the number who were seriously injured has fallen by 3%, and the number of fatalities has fluctuated between 4 and 16. In 2011, 89% of pedal cycle casualties were on built-up roads. *(see Table 23)*

In terms of the averages for the period 2007 to 2011, the pedal cycle casualty rate per head of population was highest for those aged 12-15 (0.27 per thousand population) and 30-39 (0.25 per thousand). The other age groups with above-average casualty rates were: 5-11,16-22, 23-25, 26-29, 30-39 and 40-49. Of course, it must be remembered that, as noted earlier, per capita casualty rates do not provide a measure of the relative risk, because they do not take account of the levels of usage of (in this case) pedal cycles. *(see Table 32)*

Adult pedal cycle casualties

Using the averages for the period 2007 to 2011, on weekdays, the peak numbers of adult pedal cycle casualties were from 4pm to 6pm and from 7 am to 9 am. At weekends the numbers were smaller, and there was no clear peak. *(see Table 28)*

The peak months of the year for adult pedal cycle casualties were June, August and September which were 20% more than the monthly average (2007-2011 annual averages standardised to 30 days). *(see Table 29)*

The day of the week with the peak numbers of adult pedal cycle casualties was Wednesday, 30% higher than the daily average, over the years 2007-2011. There were substantially fewer adult pedal cycle casualties on Saturday and Sunday, with 39% less than the daily average respectively. *(see Table 30)*

3.6 *Motorcyclist casualties*

A total of 808 motorcyclists were injured in road accidents in 2011, representing 6% of all casualties. Of these, 293 were seriously injured and 33 died. Just under half of all motorcyclist casualties occurred on non built-up roads but (perhaps because of their higher average speeds) such roads accounted for three fifths of those seriously injured, and over two thirds of those killed. *(see Table 23)*

The number of motorcyclist casualties in 2011 was 4% fewer than in the previous year. The number killed fell by 2 and the number seriously injured fell by 26. The total number of motorcycle casualties rose each year from 1999 to a peak in 2001; since then, it has tended to decline. As a result, the figure for all casualties in 2011 was 31% lower than in 2001. Sixteen less motorcyclists died in 2011 than in 2001. *(see Table 23)*

On average, over the years 2007 to 2011, the motorcyclist casualty rate was highest for the 16-22 and 30-39 year old age groups (0.41 and 0.32 per thousand population respectively), followed by 40-49, 0.30 per thousand population and 23-25, 0.28 per thousand population; other age-groups had much smaller casualty rates. *(see Table 32)*

Looking at the averages for the period 2007 to 2011, the peak time of day for adult motorcyclist casualties was 4pm to 6pm on weekdays *(see Table 28)*, the peak months of the year were July (112), May (110), (August and September (both 107), with relatively high numbers in the months of June (106) and April (98) *(see Table 29)* and there were more casualties on Saturdays than on any of the other days *(see Table 30)*.

3.7 Child (0-15) casualties

There were 1,315 child casualties in 2011, representing 10% of the total number of casualties of all ages. Of the child casualties, 203 were seriously injured, and 7 died *(see Table 24)*.

There were three more children killed in 2011 than in 2010 and a fall of 9% in the number of children seriously injured. The total number of child casualties fell by 5%. Since 2001, the number of children killed has fallen by 13, there has been a reduction of 61% in child seriously injured casualties, and a 55% fall in the total number of child casualties. *(see Table A and Table 25)*

In terms of the averages for the period 2007 to 2011, on weekdays, the peak time for child casualties was from 3pm to 5pm, with 28% of all weekday casualties in those two hours. A further 26% occurred in the three hours between 5pm and 8pm There was a smaller peak in the morning, between 8am and 9am There was no real clear peak at weekends: the numbers of casualties were very broadly the same each hour from 1pm to 6pm (see Table 27)

August was the peak month for child casualties, with 25% more than in an average month. May and September had 9% and 21% more than an average month respectively. (2007-2011 annual averages standardised to 30 days). *(see Table 29)*

Using the averages for 2007 to 2011, Friday was the peak day of the week for child casualties, with 15% more than an average day. Sunday, on the other hand, had 27% less than an average day. *(see Table 30)*

Child (0-15) casualties by mode of transport

In 2011, there were 645 child pedestrian casualties. They accounted for 31% of all pedestrian casualties of all ages (645 out of 2,059). Of the child pedestrian casualties, 139 were seriously injured (2 died). *(see Table 24)*

There were 135 child pedal cycle casualties in 2011 (16% of the total of 824 pedal cycle casualties of all ages). The child pedal cycle casualties included 23 who were seriously injured, none died. *(see Table 24)*

In 2011, there were 460 child casualties in cars, 6% of the total number of car user casualties of all ages (460 out of 7,770). Of the child casualties in cars, 33 were seriously injured (5 died). *(see Tables 23 and 25)*

Child (0-15) casualty rates (per head of population)

Children's casualty rates (per head of population) increase with age: using the averages for the years 2007-2011 taken together, for children aged 0-4 the rate was 0.72 per thousand population, whereas it was 1.81 per thousand for those aged 5-11 and for the 12-15 age group it was 2.61 per thousand. The pedestrian casualty rate for younger children (0-4 years) was three tenths of those for 5-11 and a fifth of the 12-15 year old rate. *(see Table 32)*

The pedestrian casualty rate for boys in the 5-11 age group was almost twice that for girls. The difference between the sexes was even more pronounced in the case of the driver or rider casualty rates, particularly for the 12-15 age group. *(see Table 34)*

The overall child pedestrian casualty rates for seriously injured and for all severities, at 0.18 and 0.80 per thousand child population respectively, were almost two times higher than the corresponding rates for pedestrian casualties of all ages. *(see Table 32)*

3.8 Casualty rates for local authority roads by local authority area, and the likely range of random year-to-year variation in these figures (see Appendix H)

There can be some large percentage year-to-year fluctuations in the numbers of some types of casualty for local authority areas. In order to illustrate this, the table and charts in Appendix H were initially prepared in 2006 and published in *Road Accidents Scotland 2005.* They have now been updated using data for 2007 to 2011. They provide the following overall casualty rates (calculated per 100 million vehicle kilometres) for local authority roads in each local authority area for 2009:

- (all ages) killed casualty rate;
- (all ages) seriously injured casualty rate;
- child killed and seriously injured casualty rate(combined in one chart due to small numbers);
- slight casualty rate

These figures were calculated (or taken) from the data in two of the tables in this publication:

- the numbers of children killed and seriously injured, and the total number of people killed and seriously injured Table 40; and
- the number of slight casualties, the estimated volume of traffic (in millions of vehicle kilometres) and the resulting slight casualty rate Table 41.

The table in Appendix H also shows the likely upper and lower limits of the ranges within which these casualty rates would be expected to fall, given the likely random statistical variation that might affect the number of casualties in that year. Based on statistical theory, one would expect that the actual figures would be outwith these ranges in only about 5% of cases. The text in Appendix H describes how the ranges were calculated, using the annual averages for 2007 to 2011, as that is the five year period centred on 2009 (the year to which the casualty rates relate). That is why the table and charts are not for 2011: the calculation of ranges for 2011 would require the annual averages for 2009 to 2013. When the table and charts were prepared, 2009 was the latest year for which data were available.

The charts which accompany the Appendix H table show the actual casualty rates for 2009, casualty rates based upon the 2007-2011 annual averages, and the likely ranges of values within which the 2009 rates might fall, given the likely levels of random statistical variation in that year (calculated from the 2007-2011 annual averages). The 2009 rates are identified by black diamonds, the rates based upon the 2007-2011 annual averages by small circles, and the likely ranges of values by the thin bars which extend to either side of the small circles. (In any case where the 5 year average is zero, there is *no* likely *range* of values as, by definition, the value for 2009 could only be zero.) For example, the slight casualty rate chart shows that (for local authority roads in 2009):

- East Renfrewshire had the lowest slight casualty rate (16 per 100 million vehiclekilometres) and Glasgow the highest (70 per 100 million vehicle kilometres), as can be seen from the table;
- In the case, of East Renfrewshire table 41 shows that, in 2009, they had a lower number of slight casualties than their 2007-2011 annual average numbers,

whereas Glasgow had a slightly higher number than their 2007-2011 annual average;

- Orkney and Eilean Siar had the widest likely ranges of values. This is due to their having relatively few slight casualties (2007-2011 annual averages of 31 and 49, respectively). The smaller the casualty numbers are, the greater in *percentage* terms the potential random year-to-year variation (this is discussed in Section 1.4 and Appendix G). Edinburgh and Glasgow have much narrower likely ranges of values, because their numbers of slight casualties on local authority roads are much larger (2007-2011 annual averages of 1,194 and 1,432 respectively). The Scotland figure (at the foot of the chart) has a very narrow likely range of values, because it is based on an annual average of 9,938 in 2007-11.
- Few local authorities had slight casualty rates that were markedly outwith the likely range of values;
- Shetland had a slight casualty rate (33 per 100 million vehicle-kilometres) which was noticeably above the lower limit (of 15 per 100 million vehicle-kilometres) of the estimated likely range of values in other words, the slight casualty rate that year was unusually high, compared with what would have been expected on the basis of the casualty numbers for the five-year period. On the other hand Renfrewshire had a slight casualty rate (35 per 100 million vehicle-kilometres) which was noticeably below the upper limit of 48 per 100 million vehicle-kilometres which was unusually low. Table 41 shows that its number of slight casualties in 2009 was 267, compared with the annual average of 326 for the years 2007 to 2011.

4. Motorists, breath testing and drink-driving

4.1 Breath testing of drivers (see Tables 19, 20 and 21)

These tables cover all motorists who were known to be involved in injury road accidents (e.g. excluding those untraced drivers involved in hit and run accidents). Here, a motorist is defined as the driver or the rider of a motor vehicle (e.g. motorcycle)

In 2011, 59% of motorists involved in injury accidents were asked for a breath test (this ranged from 54% to around 80% across the police forces). The breath test proved positive (or the motorist refused to take the test) for 3.4% of those drivers breathalysed. This represented 2.0% of the total number of motorists involved (including those who were not asked for a breath test). There have been falls in these percentages in the last couple of years as seen in table 19.

Tables 20 and 21 show the time and day of the accident (Table 20) and for a number of years (Table 21). Table 21 shows that, in 2011, of the 321 positive / refused cases, 42% occurred between 9pm and 3am [18% between 9pm and midnight, plus 24% between midnight and 3am.] Table 20 shows that, using 2007 to 2011 averages, the number of positive / refused cases, expressed as a percentage of motorists involved in accidents, was highest (at around 16%) between midnight and 6am, but varied depending upon the day of the week, from 10% (the average for 3am to 6am for Mondays to Thursdays) to 22% (3am to 6am on Saturdays and Sundays). Table 20 shows that although the period from 9pm to midnight had the second highest number of positive / refused cases, the equivalent percentages were not as high, because between 9pm and midnight there were many more motorists involved in accidents than between midnight and 3am.

4.2 Drink-drive accidents and casualties (see Table 22)

Table 22 shows the estimates (made by the Department for Transport) of the numbers of injury road accidents involving illegal alcohol levels. They are higher than the number of drivers with positive breath test results (or who refused to take the breath test) because they include allowances for the numbers of cases where drivers were not breath tested because of the severity of their injuries, or because they left the scene of the accident. Information about the blood alcohol levels of road users who died within 12 hours of being injured in a road accident is supplied by the Procurators Fiscal.

The estimates show that the numbers of drink-drive accidents fell by 31% and the number of casualties by 35% between 2000 and 2010 (the latest year for which estimates are available): from a rounded estimate of 780 to roughly 530 (accidents) and from around 1,150 to some 750 (casualties). While fluctuating from year to year, the number of people killed as a result of drink-drive accidents is estimated to have halved, from about 40 in 2000 to around 20 in 2010. The number of serious casualties is estimated to have dropped by a similar amount (from roughly 240 in 2000 to some 120 in 2010).

5. Comparisons of Scottish figures against those of other countries

5.1 Casualty rates: against England & Wales (see Tables C to F on the pages which follow)

Historically, killed and seriously injured casualty rates per head of population in Scotland have been above those for England & Wales, whereas the total casualty rate is usually lower in Scotland than in England & Wales. In 2011, Scotland's casualty rates were 16% higher (killed), 6% lower (serious) and 29% lower (all severities). In the case of serious casualties, this represented an improvement on the position in Scotland relative to that in England & Wales (compared with the 2004-08 average).

Child rates

In 2011, the Scottish rates were 9% higher (serious) than those in England and Wales and 16% lower (all severities). This represented an improvement in Scotland's figures relative to England & Wales (compared with the 2004-08 average).

Due to the relatively small number of fatalities a 5 year average is used for comparison here. In the period 2007-2011, child fatality rates in Scotland were on average 28% higher than England and Wales, however, in 3 of the five years the rates were lower.

It should be noted that the ratio of the fatality rates for Scotland and for England and Wales can fluctuate markedly from year to year, particularly for the child fatality rates due to the relatively small numbers in Scotland, (which may be subject to year-to-year changes which are large in percentage terms). Therefore, subsequent paragraphs do not refer to the fatality rates for children using different modes of transport. In addition, it should be remembered that the rates for some other sub-groups may be affected by year-to-year fluctuations: for example, the numbers are

relatively small for most categories of child killed and seriously injured casualties in Scotland.

Mode of transport

The casualty rates of car users in Scotland have for many years been substantially higher than those of England & Wales for killed and seriously injured casualties, while for all severities the rate has been much lower. In 2011, Scotland's car user fatality rate was 20% higher than that of England & Wales, the seriously injured rate was 7% higher, while the all severity car user rate was 29% lower. For child car users, the seriously injured rate was 40% higher in Scotland and the all severities rate was 25% less than that of England and Wales.

In 2011, the pedestrian killed and serious rates per capita were 12% and 11% higher respectively in Scotland than England & Wales, and the all severities rate was 9% lower. The child pedestrian casualty rates in Scotland were 13% higher (seriously injured) and 4% higher (all severities) compared to those for England & Wales.

Pedal cyclists casualty rates (all ages) in Scotland were substantially lower than in England & Wales in 2011 for seriously injured (43% lower) and for all severities (52% lower). The child pedal cycle casualty all severities rate was also lower in Scotland than in England & Wales. These differences may reflect the fact that, according to the National Travel Survey, on average, people in Scotland do not travel as far by bicycle as people in England and Wales.

Further information about the numbers of casualties in England and Wales, and for Great Britain as a whole, can be found in *Reported Road Casualties Great Britain 2011*, which is published by the Department for Transport.

5.2 Road deaths: International comparison 2010 & 2011 (provisional) (see Tables G and H)

Introduction

This section compares Scotland's road death rates in 2010 and 2011 (provisional) with the fatality rates of some countries in Western Europe and some developed countries world-wide. The comparisons involve a total of up to 41 countries (including Scotland, and counting *each* of the UK, Great Britain, England, Wales and Northern Ireland as an individual country). The fatality rates were calculated on a per capita basis (the statistics given are rates per million population), and the countries were then listed in order of their fatality rates in Table G sections (a), (b), (c) and (d). In cases where two countries appear to have the same rate, the order takes account of decimal places which are not shown in the tables. A table of car user fatality rates which were calculated on a per motor vehicle basis is no longer shown due to a lack of consistent data.

Tables G and H were provided by the Department for Transport, which obtained the figures for foreign countries from the International Road Traffic and Accident Database (IRTAD) Web site, the address of which is: http://www.internationaltransportforum.org/irtad/index.html.

In accordance with the commonly agreed international definition, most countries define a fatality as being due to a road accident if death occurs within 30 days of the accident. However, the official road accident statistics of some countries limit the

fatalities to those occurring within shorter periods after the accident. The numbers of deaths, and the death rates, which appear in the IRTAD tables take account of the adjustment factors used by the Economic Commission for Europe and the European Conference of Ministers of Transport to represent standardised 30-day numbers of deaths.

Latest Results

In 2011, Scotland's provisional overall road death rate of 35 per million population was the fifth lowest of the 39 countries surveyed (counting each of Scotland, England, Wales and Northern Ireland as a separate country, but *not* counting the overall GB and UK figures).

Pedestrians

However, Scotland's overall road safety position does not appear as good when the fatality rates of pedestrians are considered separately. In 2010, Scotland's pedestrian fatality rate was 9 per million population. Scotland ranked fourteenth of the 31 countries for which figures are available (again counting Scotland, England, Wales and Northern Ireland separately, and again *not* counting the GB and UK figures).

Car Users

When the car user fatality rate is calculated on a per capita basis, Scotland has a low car user fatality rate (20 per million population: the ninth lowest of 36 countries, again *not* counting the GB and UK figures.

Age

The fatality rates per head of population for 30 countries (including Scotland, England, Wales and Northern Ireland as separate countries, but not counting the overall GB and UK figures) are shown, for each of four broad age-groups, in Table H. Again, the ordering takes account of decimal places not shown in the table. In most cases, Scotland has one of the lowest rates per capita. However, the Scottish rate is in thirteenth place for casualties aged 15-24. It was the fourth lowest for those aged 0-14 fifth lowest for 65+ and tenth lowest for those aged 25-64 (in each case, *not* counting the overall GB and UK figures).

International comparisons of road safety are based on road death rates, as this is the only basis for which there is an international standard definition. As indicated above, the OECD IRTAD tables provide comparable figures for each country, after making adjustments to the data for countries which do not collect their figures on the standard basis. One should not try to compare different countries' overall road accident casualty rates (i.e. the total numbers killed or injured, relative to the population of each country) because there is no internationally-adopted standard definition of a injury road accident. There are considerable differences between countries in the coverage of their injury road accident statistics. For example, many countries count only accidents which result in someone being admitted to hospital so their figures would not include the kinds of accident which, in Britain, are classified as causing only slight injuries or certain types of serious injury. Because many countries' definitions of injury road accidents are much narrower than the definition used in the UK, their reported numbers of injury road accidents will appear low relative to ours - so comparing the reported numbers of people injured in road accidents may provide a misleading impression of different countries' road safety records.

Table C: Reported casualties in Scotland, England & Wales by severity

	Scotland			E	England & Wales			
-			All		-	All		
	Killed	Serious	severities	Killed	Serious	severities		
1. All Ages								
(a) Numbers								
2004-08 ave	292	2,605	17,097	3,016	28,513	257,789		
2007	281	2,385	16,238	2,664	25,459	231,735		
2008	270	2,575	15,591	2,266	23,499	215,342		
2009	216	2,288	15,043	2,006	22,421	207,134		
2010	208	1,968	13,338	1,642	20,700	195,324		
2011	186	1,875	12,770	1,715	21,249	191,187		
2007-2011 ave	232	2,218	14,596	2,059	22,666	208,144		
(b) Per cent changes:								
2011 on 2010	-10.6	-4.7	-4.3	4.4	2.7	-2.1		
2011 on 2004-08 ave.	-36.3	-28.0	-25.3	-43.1	-25.5	-25.8		
2007-11 ave. on 04-08 ave	-20.4	-14.9	-14.6	-31.7	-20.5	-19.3		
	It!	1						
2. Reported child ca	sualti	es						
(a) Numbers								
2004-08 ave	15	325	2,019	144	3,169	26,090		
2007	9	269	1,817	112	2,707	22,009		
2008	20	279	1,689	104	2,413	20,306		
2009	5	253	1,473	76	2,338	19,181		
2010	4	223	1,378	51	2,225	18,194		
2011	7	203	1,315	53	2,149	18,159		
2007-2011 ave	9	245	1,534	79	2,366	19,570		
(b) Per cent changes:								
2011 on 2010	75.0	-9.0	-4.6	3.9	-3.4	-0.2		
2011 on 2004-08 ave.	-54.5	-37.6	-34.9	-63.2	-32.2	-30.4		
2007-11 ave. on 04-08 ave	-41.6	-24.6	-24.0	-45.1	-25.3	-25.0		

Number of casualties : All ages and child casualties

Table D: Reported casualties in Scotland, England & Wales by severity

Rates per 1,000 population : All ages and child casualties

	Scotland			En	England & Wales			Scotland % of England & Wales		
-	All				All			·		
	Killed	Serious	severities	Killed	Serious	severities	Killed	Serious	severities	
1. All Ages										
(a) Rates per 1,000 populat	ion									
2004-08 ave	.06	.51	3.34	.06	.53	4.80	102	96	70	
2007	.05	.46	3.16	.05	.47	4.29	111	98	74	
2008	.05	.50	3.02	.04	.43	3.96	126	115	76	
2009	.04	.44	2.90	.04	.41	3.80	113	107	76	
2010	.04	.38	2.55	.03	.37	3.54	134	101	72	
2011	.04	.36	2.43	.03	.38	3.40	116	94	71	
2007-2011 ave	.04	.43	2.81	.04	.41	3.79	119	103	74	
(b) Per cent changes:										
2011 on 2010	-11.1	-5.3	-4.9	2.7	1.0	-3.7				
2011 on 2004-08 ave.	-37.9	-29.9	-27.2	-45.6	-28.7	-29.1				
2007-11 ave. on 04-08 ave	-21.6	-16.1	-15.9	-33.1	-22.2	-20.9				
2. Reported child cas	sualti	es ¹								
(a) Rates per 1.000 populat	ion								-	
2004-08 ave	.02	.35	2.19	.01	.31	2.54	119	114	86	
2007	.01	.29	1.98	.01	.27	2.16	89	111	92	
2008	.02	.31	1.85	.01	.24	1.99	215	129	93	
2009	.01	.28	1.61	.01	.23	1.88	74	121	86	
2010	.00	.24	1.51	.00	.22	1.76	89	113	86	
2011	.01	.22	1.44	.01	.20	1.72	153	109	84	
2007-2011 ave	.01	.27	1.68	.01	.23	1.90	128	117	88	
(b) Per cent changes:										
2011 on 2010	74.7	-9.1	-4.7	1.3	-5.9	-2.8				
2011 on 2004-08 ave.	-54.0	-36.9	-34.2	-64.4	-34.3	-32.6				
2007-11 ave. on 04-08 ave	-40.9	-23.8	-23.2	-45.4	-25.7	-25.4				

¹ Child 0-15 years
		Scotland		England & Wales				
			All			All		
	Killed	Serious	severities	Killed	Serious	severities		
1. All ages								
Pedestrian	43	513	2,059	410	4,942	24,141		
Pedal cycle	7	156	824	100	2,929	18,390		
Car	89	756	7,770	793	7,561	116,939		
Bus/coach	1	51	503	6	275	5,675		
Other	46	399	1,614	406	5,542	26,042		
Total	186	1,875	12,770	1,715	21,249	191,187		
2. Child ca	sualties ¹							
Pedestrian	2	139	645	31	1,430	7,162		
Pedal cycle	0	23	135	6	369	2,745		
Car	5	34	460	16	281	7,104		
Bus/coach	0	4	53	0	17	879		
Other	0	3	22	0	52	269		
Total	7	203	1,315	53	2,149	18,159		

Table E: Reported casualties in Scotland, England & Wales by mode of transport and severity, 2011

Table F: Reported casualties in Scotland, England & Wales by mode of transport and severity, 2011Rate per 1,000 population : All ages and child casualties

	Scotland			Engla	and & Wale	S	Scotland % of England & Wales		
-			All			All			All
	Killed	Serious	severities	Killed	Serious	severities	Killed	Serious	severities
1. All ages									percentages
Pedestrian	.01	.10	.39	.01	.09	.43	112	111	91
Pedal cycle	.00	.03	.16	.00	.05	.33	75	57	48
Car	.02	.14	1.48	.01	.13	2.08	120	107	71
Bus/coach	.00	.01	.10	.00	.00	.10	178	198	95
Other	.01	.08	.31	.01	.10	.46	121	77	66
Total	.04	.36	2.43	.03	.38	3.40	116	94	71
2. Child cas	ualties ¹								
Pedestrian	.00	.15	.71	.00	.14	.68	75	113	104
Pedal cycle	-	.03	.15	.00	.03	.26	n/a	72	57
Car	.01	.04	.50	.00	.03	.67	362	140	75
Bus/coach	-	.00	.06	-	.00	.08	n/a	273	70
Other	-	.00	.02	-	.00	.03	n/a	67	95
Total	.01	.22	1.44	.01	.20	1.72	153	109	84

¹ Child 0-15 years

Table G: Fatality rates per capita, for (a) all road users 2011 (Provisional), (b) all road users 2010, (c) Pedestria and: (d) car users ranked by respective rates: International Comparisons^{1,2}

(a) All road users 2011 (Provisional)

(b) All road users 2010

	Per million population				population		
	Numbers killed	Rate	Index		Numbers killed	Rate	Index
England	1 50/	30	85	leeland	Q	25	63
Great Britain	1,094	31	88	Sweden	266	28	71
United Kingdom	1,901	31	88	Wales	200	30	74
Northern Ireland	1,900	33	92	England	1 552	30	75
Sweden	214	33	94	Northern Ireland	1,555	31	77
Norway	169	34	96	United Kingdom	1 005	31	77
Scotland	100	35	100	Great Britain	1,903	31	77
Iceland	12	38	106	Malta	1,000	36	91
Donmark	220	40	112	Nothorlands	640	39	97
Netherlands	220	40 40	112	Scotland	209	40	100
Wales	101	40	113	Switzerland	200	42	105
Switzerland	121	41	115	Norwoy	327	43	109
Malta	320	41	115	Norway	210	45	112
Irish Republic	17	42	117	Japan	3,001	45	114
	186	10	122		5,745	46	114
Japan Israel	5,449	43 44	123		352	47	119
Snain	341	45	126		212	48	120
Germany	2,056	40	120		265	50	120
	4,002		153	Finland	270	54	127
Finland	292	54 57	161	Spain	2,470	58	1/6
Slovakia	1,292	57	169	Estonia	78	60	140
Franco	324	61	100	Australia	1,366	62	152
Austria	3,970	60	172	France	3,992	64	100
Austria	523	62	170	Luxembourg	32	04 65	100
naiy	3,800	03	177	Siovakia	353	66	105
Hungary	638	64 64	180	Austria	552	00	100
Luxembourg	33	64	182	Italy	3,998	00 67	100
New Zealand	284	66	187	Slovenia	138	0/	169
Slovenia	141	69 70	194	Hungary	739	74	185
	773	73	207	Cyprus	60	75	188
Portugal	785	74	208	Czech Republic	802	76	192
Estonia	101	75	213	Belgium	840	77	195
Belgium	875	80	226	Portugal	845	79	199
Latvia	179	80	227	New Zealand	375	87	219
Bulgaria	658	88	248	Lithuania	300	90	226
Cyprus	71	88	249	Croatia	426	96	242
Lithuania	297	92	258	Latvia	218	97	243
Romania	2,018	94	266	Poland	3,907	102	257
Croatia	416	94	266	Bulgaria	775	102	257
Greece	1,087	96	271	United States of America	32,788	106	267
United States of America	32,310	105	295	Romania	2,377	111	278
Poland	4,189	110	310	Greece	1,281	113	284

1 In accordance with the commonly agreed international definition, most countries define a fatality as one being due to a road accident where death occurs within 30 days of the accident. The official road accident statistics of some countries however, limit the fatalities to those occurring within shorter periods after the accident. Numbers of deaths and death rates in the above table have been adjusted according to the factors used by the Economic Commission for Europe and the International Transport Forum (ITF) (formerly known as ECMT) to represent standardised 30-day deaths: Italy (7 days) +8%; France (6 days) +5.7%; Portugal (1 day) +14%; Republic of Korea (3 days) +15%.

2 Source: International Road Traffic and Accident Database (OECD), ETSC, EUROSTAT and CARE (EU road accidents database).

(c) Pedestrians				(d) Car users			
		Per	million			Perr	million
		popu	lation			ρορι	lation
	Numbers	Dete	la dess		Numbers	Dete	la da c
	Killeu	Rate	Index		Killeu	Rate	Index
Sweden	31	3	37	Japan	1,176	9	45
Netherlands	63	4	42	Iceland	4	13	61
Norway	24	5	55	Wales	38	13	62
Northern Ireland	10	6	62	England	690	13	64
Wales	17	6	63	Netherlands	219	13	64
Germany	476	6	65	Great Britain	835	14	67
Iceland	2	6	70	United Kingdom	867	14	68
England	341	7	73	Sweden	151	16	79
Finland	35	7	73	Switzerland	129	17	81
United Kingdom	415	7	74	Northern Ireland	32	18	87
Great Britain	405	7	74	Scotland	107	20	100
France	485	7	83	Malta	9	22	106
Australia	170	8	85	Germany	1,840	22	110
Denmark	44	8	88	Israel	172	23	112
New Zealand	35	8	90	Denmark	137	25	121
Scotland	47	9	100	Republic of Korea	1,228	25	123
Switzerland	75	10	107	Spain	1,197	26	127
Belgium	106	10	109	Norway	127	26	128
Italy	614	10	113	Irish Republic	129	29	141
Spain	471	10	114	Finland	159	30	145
Austria	98	12	130	Italy	1,817	30	147
Slovenia	26	13	141	Slovakia	171	32	154
United States of America	4,280	14	155	France	2,117	33	160
Japan	1,987	16	173	Hungary	330	33	161
Greece	179	16	176	Slovenia	68	33	162
Israel	119	16	177	Portugal	367	34	168
Czech Republic	168	16	178	Austria	292	35	170
Hungary	192	19	213	Czech Republic	403	38	187
Slovakia	126	23	258	Latvia	91	40	198
Poland	1.236	32	360	United States of America	12.435	41	198
Latvia	79	35	390	Belgium	444	41	200
Romania	868	40	449	Australia	919	41	202
Republic of Korea	2.082	43	475	Romania	973	45	221
	2,002			Greece	542	48	234
				Poland	1.853	49	237
				Luxembourg	27	54	262
				New Zealand	259	60	293

Table G: Fatality rates per capita, for (c) Pedestrians and (d) Car users - 2010;

Table H: Road accident fatality rates per capita, by age group, ranked by respective rates - 2010

	Per million					
(a) 0-14 years	рор	Index				
Iceland	0	0				
Luxemburg	0	0				
Northern Ireland	3	80				
Scotland	4	100				
United Kinadom	4	110				
Great Britain	4	111				
Wales	4	111				
England	4	112				
Norway	4	124				
Netherlands	5	156				
Ireland	6	179				
Sweden	6	183				
Switzerland	7	192				
Slovenia	7	197				
Japan	7	208				
Finland	8	224				
Austria	8	228				
Italy	8	231				
Denmark	9	255				
Germany	9	268				
Portugal	11	316				
France	11	320				
Czech Republic	11	323				
Spain	11	326				
Belgium	13	356				
Australia	13	376				
Hungary	14	384				
Greece	18	524				
Israel	19	533				
Poland	19	550				
United States	20	561				
New Zeeland	20	571				
Korea	20	574				
Norea	20	574				
(c) 25-64 years						
loolond	10	44				
Wales	10	44 67				
Swodon	20	68				
Netherlands	20	68				
lanan	20	7/				
England	30	74				
Great Britain	31	76				
United Kingdom	31	76				
Northern Ireland	34	83				
Switzerland	30 	94				
Germany	41	100				
Scotland	41	100				
Israel	43	105				
Denmark	40	106				
Norway	44	107				
Ireland	44	108				
Finland	48	117				
Spain	56	136				
Austria	59	143				
Australia	62	151				
France	64	155				
Italy	66	159				
Luxemburg	67	162				
Slovenia	69	168				
New Zealand	77	187				
Czech Republic	77	187				
Belgium	80	194				
Hungary	87	210				
Portugal	02	204				
Karaa	JZ	224				
	105	205				
Poland	105	255				
Greece	112	271				
United States	117	283				

	Per mil	lion
(b) 15-24 years	рор	Index
Sweden	44	56
Japan England	45	57
Switzerland	52	66
Netherlands	54	69
Great Britain	55	70
United Kingdom	55	70
Israel	62	79
Northern Ireland	63	80
Iceland	65	83
Hungary	66 74	84
Spain	74	94
Wales	78	99
Scotland	79	100
Norway	80	101
Korea	80	102
Portugal	84	106
Germany	86	108
Finiand	93	117
Australia	105	123
Czech Republic	108	137
Italy	109	138
Ireland	113	143
Austria	126	160
France	127	161
Poland	145	184
Belgium	146	186
United States	160	203
Luxemburg	169	215
New Zealand Greece	177 188	225 239
(d) 65+ years	23	58
Wales	23	59
United Kingdom	37	92
Great Britain	37	93
England	38	94
Sweden	38	95
Scotland	40	100
Luxemburg	43	108
Germany	54	132
Norway	54	136
Ireland	59	149
Netherlands	61	152
Spain	68	172
Finland	70	177
Australia	72	181
France	72	182
Switzerland	74	194
Belgium	82	207
Hungary	82	207
Italy	87	218
Slovenia	92	230
Austria	95	238
Israel	97	244
Japan Czach Bonublic	102	255
	103	258
	121	305
Greece	125	314
Poland	131	329
United States	136	341
Portugal Korea	142 307	357 822
NUICA	321	022

Article 1

Casualty Reduction Targets: Scotland's Road Safety Framework to 2020

Figure 8 Progress towards the 2020 casualty reduction targets



(A) Reported casualties killed

- Average annual rate of reduction required from 2009 - - - Average annual rate of reduction required from 2016







(B) Reported child seriously Injured casualties

Article 1: Casualty Reduction Targets: Scotland's Road Safety Framework to 2020

1. Introduction

Scotland's Road Safety Framework was launched in June 2009. It set out the vision for road safety in Scotland, the main priorities and issues and included Scotland-specific targets and milestones which were adopted from 2010.

Target	2015 milestone % reduction	2020 target % reduction
People killed	30%	40%
People seriously injured	43%	55%
Children (aged < 16) killed	35%	50%
Children (aged < 16) seriously injured	50%	65%

Each reduction target will be assessed against the 2004-08 average. In addition to the targets a 10 per cent reduction target in the slight casualty rate will continue to be adopted.

The four main targets differ to previous targets in that deaths have been separated out from serious injuries as, in recent years, trends have been different – serious injuries falling steadily but deaths declining at a lower rate.

The targets are deliberately challenging, particularly for child deaths as the child fatality rate in Scotland is higher than in England and Wales. The child fatality target itself will be monitored using a 3 year rolling average due to the small numbers of fatalities each year.

To illustrate the reductions necessary the following table show the level of casualties inferred by the 2015 milestones and 2020 targets above.

	2004-2008 average	2015 milestone	2020 target
People killed	292	204	175
People seriously injured	2,605	1,484	1,172
Children (aged < 16) killed	15	10	8
Children (aged < 16) seriously injured	325	163	114

Charts showing indicative lines of progress are in figure 8. More detail about the calculation of these indicative lines is included in section 5 below.

2 Summary of Progress

The 2011 figures show:

- 186 people were reported as killed in 2011, **36 per cent (106) below the 2004**-**2008 average** of 292 so the reduction is below the 2015 milestone.
- 1,875 people were reported as seriously injured in 2011, 28 per cent (730) below the 2004-2008 average of 2,605 so the reduction is just below the trajectory.
- 7 children were reported as killed in 2011, an average of 5 a year in the 2009-2011 period, **67 per cent (10) below the 2004-2008 average** of 15, and below the 2015 milestone and 2020 target of a 50 per cent fall.

- 203 children were reported as seriously injured in 2011, **60 per cent (122) below the 2004-2008 average** of 325 and below the trajectory for the 2015 milestone.
- The slight casualty rate of 24.68 casualties per 100 million vehicle kilometres in 2011 was **24 per cent below the 2004-2008 baseline** average of 32.47.

Figure 8 shows progress towards the casualty reduction targets for 2020.

3 Modes of Transport

Table Ib shows progress against the 2020 targets by mode of transport.

Numbers killed

As shown in Table Ia below, a reduction of 18 per cent compared to the baseline was required in 2011 to remain on the trajectory for this target. The overall reduction for 2011 is 36 per cent.

Percentage reductions are not recorded in Table Ib where the denominator is 50 or fewer so percentage changes on 2004-2008 have only been calculated for cars and pedestrian fatalities. Car fatalities are down 45 per cent on the baseline which exceeds the 2020 target. Pedestrian fatalities are down by a third from the baseline, a greater reduction than the trajectory.

Casualty numbers for all other modes in 2011 are below the numbers implied by the trajectory, except for the 'Other' category which includes taxis and minibuses. The numbers in this category are small and the 4 fatalities in 2011 is a reduction of one compared to 2010.

Numbers Seriously Injured

As shown in Table Ia below, a reduction of just under 27 per cent compared to the baseline was required in 2011 to remain on the trajectory for this target. The overall reduction for 2011 is 28 per cent.

Table Ib shows that only car serious injuries have fallen by a greater percentage than that implied by the trajectory. The numbers of car drivers and passengers seriously injured has fallen by 40 per cent since the baseline. All other modes have seen a fall when compared to the baseline, however pedestrian, pedal cycle and goods vehicle seriously injured casualties have seen an increase since 2010 of 12 per cent, 13 per cent and 5 per cent respectively. There has also been an increase in the numbers seriously injured in the 'other' category.

Children killed

The number of child fatalities is relatively small and the average of 5 over the last three years is below the 50 per cent reduction target set for 2020. Table Ib shows that the average number of child fatalities for 2009-2011 for each mode is below the 2004-2008 baseline.

Pedestrian fatalities have fallen from an average of 6 per year in 2004-2008 to an average of just over 1 per year in 2009-2011. Pedal Cycle fatalities has fallen from an average of 2 per year in the baseline period to an average of 1 in the last three years.

The number of fatalities as passengers in cars has fallen as well from an average of 6 per year in the baseline period to 3 per year in the 2009-2011 period, however numbers increased in 2011 to five fatalities.

Children seriously injured

As shown in Table Ia below, a reduction of just under 32 per cent compared to the baseline was required in 2011 to remain on the trajectory for this target. The overall reduction for 2011 is 38 per cent.

Table Ib shows that car and pedestrian serious injuries have fallen by a greater percentage than that implied by the trajectory, 45 per cent and 36 per cent respectively. Percentages have not been calculated for other modes due to small denominators. Comparing absolute values, pedal cycle serious injuries are above the figure implied by the trajectory (23 in 2011 compared to a trajectory figure of 10) as are bus / coach (4 in 2011 compared to 1 implied by the trajectory. The figures for all modes in 2011 are below the 2004-2008 baseline apart from bus / coach where there were 4 serious injuries in 2011 compared to an average of 3 in the baseline period.

Slightly injured casualties

Because of the limited availability of detailed reliable road traffic estimates for Scotland, Table Ia shows the *numbers* of slight casualties (rather than slight casualty *rates*) for categories of road user. The table also shows the overall total volume of traffic and the overall slight casualty rate.

Table Ib shows that slight injuries per million vehicle kilometres are 35 per cent below the 2004-2008 average.

The number of slight casualties has fallen compared to the baseline for all modes of transport. The largest reductions are seen for bus / coach, pedestrian and 'other', 35 per cent, 30 per cent and 29 per cent respectively. Car users make up two thirds of slight casualties and there has been a reduction of a quarter compared to the baseline period. Pedal cycles on the other hand have shown an 8 per cent increase on the 2004-2008 average. There is some evidence to suggest that this increase is smaller than the increase in cyclists on the road over the same period. All modes have seen a fall in slight casualty numbers on the previous year, except for pedal cycles which saw an increase of 4 per cent on the 2010 figures.

4. Other statistics for monitoring progress

Table 40 in the main section of this publication shows the baseline figures for each local authority area for the four targets relating to numbers killed and seriously injured (separately for trunk roads, local authority roads and all roads), along with the corresponding figures for each of the past 10 years and the latest five years' averages. **Table 41** provides figures for each local authority area related to the numbers slightly injured, and **Table 42** shows figures for each Police Force area related to all five targets. In addition, many other tables include the 2004-2008 baseline averages.

5. Assessing progress towards the casualty reduction targets

One way of assessing progress towards the targets is to compare actual casualty numbers in each year with an indicative line that starts at the baseline figure in 2006 (mid point of the 2004 to 2008 average) and falls, by a constant percentage reduction in each subsequent year, to the milestone for 2015 and from there to the target for 2020. This is the approach adopted by the GB Road Safety Advisory Panel. The indicative line starts at the baseline figure in 2006 as that is the middle year of the baseline period. Other approaches could have been used: there are many ways of producing lines that indicate how casualty numbers might fall fairly steadily to the targets for 2020.

The method adopted to produce the indicative target lines shown in Figure 8 involves a constant percentage reduction in each year after 2006 to the 2015 milestone, then a constant percentage reduction between 2015 and 2020. The resulting indicative target lines represent the percentages of the baseline averages which are shown in the table below. They are not straight lines, because of the compounding over the years effect of constant annual percentage reductions (to two decimal places, the falls are: 3.89% per annum for killed to meet the 2015 milestone and 3.02% between 2015 and 2020. For seriously injured casualties the falls are 6.06% and 4.61%. For child killed 4.67% and 4.37 or children seriously injured 7.41% and 6.90.

					Child		Child	
	Killed		Serious		killed		serious	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
	baseline	reduction	baseline	reduction	baseline	reduction	baseline	reduction
	(milestone	from	(milestone	from	(milestone	from	(milestone	from
	from	baseline	from	baseline	from	baseline	from	baseline
	2015)	(milestone)	2015)	(milestone)	2015)	(milestone)	2015)	(milestone)
2006	100%		100%		100%		100%	
2007	96.1%	3.9%	93.9%	6.1%	95.3%	4.7%	92.6%	7.4%
2008	92.4%	7.6%	88.3%	11.7%	90.9%	9.1%	85.7%	14.3%
2009	88.8%	11.2%	82.9%	17.1%	86.6%	13.4%	79.4%	20.6%
2010	85.3%	14.7%	77.9%	22.1%	82.6%	17.4%	73.5%	26.5%
2011	82.0%	18.0%	73.2%	26.8%	78.7%	21.3%	68.0%	32.0%
2012	78.8%	21.2%	68.7%	31.3%	75.0%	25.0%	63.0%	37.0%
2013	75.8%	24.2%	64.6%	35.4%	71.5%	28.5%	58.3%	41.7%
2014	72.8%	27.2%	60.7%	39.3%	68.2%	31.8%	54.0%	46.0%
2015	70.0%	30.0%	57.0%	43.0%	65.0%	35.0%	50.0%	50.0%
2015	100%		100%		100%		100%	
2016	97.0%	3.0%	95.4%	4.6%	95.6%	4.4%	93.1%	6.9%
2017	94.1%	5.9%	91.0%	9.0%	91.5%	8.5%	86.7%	13.3%
2018	91.2%	8.8%	86.8%	13.2%	87.5%	12.5%	80.7%	19.3%
2019	88.5%	11.5%	82.8%	17.2%	83.7%	16.3%	75.1%	24.9%
2020	85.8%	14.2%	79.0%	21.0%	80.0%	20.0%	69.9%	30.1%

Table la Constant percentage reductions needed to achieve 2015 and 2020 targets

Table Ib: Reported killed casualties by mode of transport

	Pedestrian	Pedal	Motor	Car	Bus/	Goods ¹	Other ²	All
		cycle	cycle		coach			road users
2004-08 average	65	9	42	162	1	12	2	292
2004	76	7	42	167	3	12	1	308
2005	66	16	34	153	-	15	2	286
2006	61	10	58	175	-	8	2	314
2007	60	4	40	160	-	15	2	281
2008	60	9	34	153	1	8	5	270
2009	47	5	43	116	-	5	-	216
2010	47	7	35	105	1	8	5	208
2011	43	7	33	89	1	9	4	186
07-11 ave	51	6	37	125	1	9	3	232
2020 target	39	6	25	97	0	7	1	175
Percent changes:								
2011 on 2010	*	*	*	-15	*	*	,	ʻ -11
2011 on 2004-08 average	-33	*	*	-45	*	*	1	-36

Reported seriously injured casualties by mode of transport Pedestrian Pedal Motor Bus/ Goods¹ Other² All Car cycle cycle oach road users 2004-08 average 2004 **55** 63 **2,605** 2,766 656 134 371 1,258 82 51 674 121 353 1.414 83 58 2005 677 116 371 1,304 63 83 52 2,666 688 594 1,258 1,110 1,203 57 33 91 87 58 33 52 2,635 2,385 2,575 2006 131 352 2007 147 381 2008 645 155 396 59 65 509 457 152 138 332 319 1,136 902 36 52 73 60 50 40 2,288 1,968 2009 2010 513 **544** 156 **150** 293 **344** 756 1,021 51 **46** 63 **70** 43 44 2011 1,875 07-11 ave 2.218 23 2020 target 295 60 167 566 25 37 1,172 Percent changes: 2011 on 2010 12 -16 -2 13 -8 5 -5 2011 on 2004-08 average -22 16 -21 -40 -7 -23 -15 -28

Reported children (0-15) killed by mode of transport Pedestrian Pedal Motor Car Bus/ Goods¹ Other² All cycle cycle coach road users 2004-08 average 15 6 2 0 6 0 0 2004 8 3 12 1 2005 5 9 4 1 1 11 2006 5 . 10 25 1 2007 2008 4 4 4 13 9 20 2 1 2009 1 3 1 5 4 7 2010 1 1 1 1 -. -2011 2 5 5 -07-11 ave 2 1 . _ 9 . -2020 target 3 0 3 -0 0 8 5 1 09-11 ave 1 3 1 Percent changes: 09-2011 on 2004-08 average * * * * * *

Reported child (0-15) seriously injured casualties by mode of transport

	Pedestrian	Pedal	Motor	Car	Bus/	Goods ¹	Other ²	All
		cycle	cycle		coach			road users
2004-08 average	218	29	8	62	3	1	3	325
2004	239	40	9	74	3	3	4	372
2005	239	26	11	68	6	2	5	357
2006	239	35	10	60	4	-	2	350
2007	181	28	4	51	1	1	3	269
2008	194	18	5	56	2	1	3	279
2009	155	26	2	62	2	1	5	253
2010	150	23	3	40	7	-	-	223
2011	139	23	2	34	4	-	1	203
07-11 ave	164	24	3	49	3	1	2	245
2020 target	76	10	3	22	1	0	1	114
Percent changes:								
2011 on 2010	-7	*	*	*	*	*	,	• -9
2011 on 2004-08 average	-36	*	*	-45	*	*	1	-38

Reported slight casualties by mode of transport

	Pedestrian	Pedal	Motor	Car	Bus/	Goods ¹	Other ²	All	Traffic	Slight
		cycle	cycle		coach			road users		casualty rate
								numbers	mill veh-km	per 100 mill veh-km
2004-08 average	2,135	613	637	9,187	693	503	431	14,200	37,653	37.71
2004	2,328	648	599	10,024	849	561	419	15,428	42,705	36.13
2005	2,308	649	677	9,532	794	495	478	14,933	42,718	34.96
2006	2,104	640	658	9,272	706	484	456	14,320	44,120	32.46
2007	2,049	563	640	8,793	590	506	431	13,572	44,666	30.39
2008	1,887	566	612	8,314	527	467	373	12,746	44,470	28.66
2009	1,643	647	646	8,327	437	423	416	12,539	44,219	28.36
2010	1,510	636	491	7,293	487	386	359	11,162	43,488	25.67
2011	1,503	661	482	6,925	451	382	305	10,709	43,390	24.68
07-11 ave	1,718	615	574	7,930	498	433	377	12,146	44,047	27.57
2020 target										33.94
Percent changes:										
2011 on 2010	0	4	-2	-5	-7	-1	-15	5 -4	0	-4
2011 on 2004-08 average	-30	8	-24	-25	-35	-24	-29	-25	15	-35

Light goods vehicles and heavy goods vehicles.
Taxis, minibuses and other modes of transport
Indicates that a percentage change is not shown because the denominator is 50 or fewer.

*

Article 2: Priorities in Scotland's Road Safety Framework to 2020 – An Assessment of Relative Levels and Trends

Article 2. Priorities in Scotland's Road Safety Framework to 2020 – An Assessment of Relative Levels and Trends

1. Background

- 1.1. Scotland's Road Safety Framework to 2020 was published in 2009. It sets out a policy framework for improving road safety in Scotland over the coming decade. It described the road safety vision for Scotland, aims and commitments, and the Scottish targets for reductions in road deaths and serious injuries to 2020. Analysis of progress towards the Road Safety Targets is looked at in Article 1 of this publication.
- 1.2. The Road Safety Framework to 2020 document also set out a number of national road safety priorities identified through public consultation, expert opinion, research and statistics, to be addressed in order to achieve the road safety targets. The priorities identified are:

- Leadership	- Rural Roads
- Sharing intelligence and good practice	- Drink Drive
- Children	- Seatbelts
- Drivers aged 17-25	- Speed

- 1.3. This paper takes the priorities in the Road Safety Framework as a starting point and presents an analysis of relative levels and trends in the priority areas. The analysis uses STATS19 data and other published statistics to look at the last six of these priorities in more detail, as it is not possible to analyse the impacts of the first two priorities (Leadership and Sharing intelligence and good practice) using the collected statistics.
- 1.4. Other issues have been identified in work with stakeholders since the publication of the Framework document and some of these are also be included in the analysis where data is collected through the STATS19 data collection. These are:

- Pedal Cycles	- Pedestrians	
- Motor cyclists	- Older drivers	
- Distraction	- Trunk Roads	
- Local Authority Roads		

i. Key messages

• **Progress is being made** towards the Framework targets as shown in this article and Article 1, as the long term trends are downwards for most priorities.

However there are areas that stand out within this overall trend and within some priority areas. The key points below are drawn from the text on the following pages. More detail and caveats are included in section 4 below. Priorities are listed here in the same order as in the rest of the paper.

<u>Roads</u>

- 1. Local Authority Roads account 95 per cent of the network and carry just under two thirds of traffic however 70 per cent of fatalities and 82 per cent of serious injuries occur on these roads.
- 2. **Rural Roads** account for a high proportion of fatalities, particularly cars and motorcycles but also pedal cycles.
- 3. Thirty per cent of fatalities occur on **Trunk Roads** however when traffic volumes are taken into account this rate is relatively low compared to Local Authority roads.

Mode of transport

- 4. Serious injuries to **Pedestrians** increased slightly in 2011, at least in part as a result of low figures in 2010 due to winter weather.
- 5. **Motor cycle casualties** have started to fall in recent years, however motorcyclists still account for 1 in 5 fatalities on rural roads and a high proportion of fatalities and serious injuries compared to distance travelled by motor cycle.
- 6. **Pedal cycle casualties** have increased slightly due to increases in cycling. Pedal cyclists account for 1 in 10 fatalities and less than one per cent of distance travelled. Less than one in five cycle casualties occur on rural roads, however 60 per cent of fatalities and a quarter of serious injuries occur in rural areas.

Road users

- 7. **Young drivers** and (young males in particular) have a much higher casualty rate than other road users, even before the rate of driving licence possession have been taken into consideration.
- 8. **Older driver** fatalities increased in 2011, though serious injuries and casualties of all severities fell.
- 9. For younger **Children** the casualty rate is highest for passenger casualties but for older children there is a higher casualty rate for pedestrians, particularly for males.

<u>Behaviour</u>

- 10. Speeding and inappropriate **speed** remain issues on the roads, highlighted by casualty numbers and the number of speeding offences recorded by the police.
- **11.Drink Drive** numbers continue to fall but drink drive still resulted in an average of 30 fatalities and 150 serious injuries over the last five years for which estimates are available (2006-2010).
- **12. Distraction** is recorded as a contributory factor in a relatively small number of serious and fatal accidents, however with almost 30,000 mobile phone offences recorded by the police in 2011-12, this remains an issue.

3. Priority Areas: Proportion of Fatalities and Serious Injuries

- 3.1. Charts A and B below show the proportion of fatalities and serious injuries for each of the priorities which have been grouped according to whether they are related to road type, mode of transport, road users or user behaviour. The groupings are to aid comparisons, as the relative casualty rates within the groups are more informative than comparing across groups, though each priority is analysed in relation to all fatalities and serious injuries.
- 3.2. In both charts, the longer the dark bar, the higher the proportion of casualties are attributed to that factor. Each bar is a percentage of all fatalities or serious injuries in 2011. Each priority is measured independently so for example a pedestrian fatality on a rural road would be counted against both priorities. This means that the bars will not add up to 100 per cent within categories, as for example, within the mode of transport section some modes of transport are missing from the list. Data for other modes is available in the casualties section of the publication. The only two bars that will add up to 100 per cent are Trunk Roads and Local Roads as all roads fall into one or other of these definitions.

Box 1: Rural and Country Roads

Several tables in Reported Road Casualties Scotland show casualty numbers in built up and non built up areas. This definition uses the speed limit of the road to identify roads in built up areas ie with a speed limit of 40 mph or less. Some roads running through towns and cities will have a speed limit of over 40 mph and would be counted as non built up.

The figures for <u>Rural roads</u> shown here use the Scottish Government Urban Rural Classification¹ to identify all roads and sections of road running through areas defined as rural. This will include all roads for example, motorways running through rural areas and roads in villages with 30mph speed limits could be included if the area is defined as rural.

<u>Country Roads</u> are defined as roads running through rural areas with a speed limit of over 40 mph and excluding dual carriageways and motorways, though single carriageway trunk roads would be included.

¹ <u>http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/About/Methodology/UrbanRuralClassification</u>

Chart A: Proportion of fatalities that involve each priority (2011)



Chart B: Proportion of serious injuries that involve each priority (2011)

		Percentage of serious injuries										
		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Roads	Local Authority											
	Roads in rural areas											
	Country roads (rural areas and >40 mph)					i	i	i	I	I	i	
	Trunk Roads											
Modes of transport	Cars											
	Pedestrians				i i	i			i I	 		
	Motorcycles										-	
	Pedal Cycles											
	Accidents involving younger drivers (17-25)				i I	i	i	i	i I	i I	i	
S	Young drivers (17-25)											
nsei	Accidents involving older drivers (70+)											
oad	Older drivers (70+)		i I	, I I	i I							
Ř	Child casualties											
	School pupils											
Behaviour	Speed as a contributory factor			i	i	i	1	i	i	1	1	
	Drink drive											
	Distraction as a contributory factor											

- 3.3. Charts A and B show that in 2011:
 - Local Authority Roads accounted for <u>70 per cent of fatalities</u> and <u>82 per</u> <u>cent of serious injuries</u>.
 - Roads in rural areas accounted for <u>over two thirds of fatalities</u> (69 per cent) and almost <u>half of serious injuries</u> (49 per cent).
 - **Country roads** accounted for <u>half of fatalities</u> (50 per cent) and <u>over a third of serious injuries</u> (37 per cent).
 - Trunk Roads accounted for <u>30 per cent of fatalities</u> and <u>18 per cent of serious injuries.</u>
 - **Pedestrians** make up <u>23 per cent of fatalities</u> and <u>27 per cent of serious</u> <u>injuries</u>.
 - Motor cyclists accounted for <u>18 per cent of fatalities</u> and <u>16 per cent of serious injuries</u>.
 - Pedal cycle casualties account for <u>4 per cent of fatalities</u> and <u>8 per cent of serious injuries</u>.
 - Accidents involving younger drivers (aged 17-25) accounted for over a quarter of fatalities and serious injuries.
 - Young drivers (aged 17-25) account for <u>9 per cent of fatalities</u> and <u>7 per cent</u> of serious injuries.
 - Accidents involving older drivers (aged 70+) accounted for <u>17 per cent of</u> <u>fatalities</u> and <u>11 per cent of serious injuries</u>.
 - Older drivers (aged 70+) account for <u>8 per cent of fatalities</u> and <u>3 per cent of serious injuries</u>.
 - Children accounted for <u>4 per cent of fatalities</u> and <u>11 per cent of serious</u> injuries.
 - Speed (inappropriate speed or speeding) was recorded as a contributory factor in accidents resulting in <u>26 per cent of fatalities</u> and <u>6 per cent of</u> <u>serious injuries</u>.
 - Drink drive accounted for <u>12 per cent of fatalities</u> and <u>6 per cent of serious</u> injuries.
 - **Distraction** was recorded as a contributory factor in accidents resulting in <u>3</u> per cent of fatalities and <u>4 per cent of serious injuries</u>.
- 3.4. The patterns above need to be considered in context and this is set out under the headings in Section 4 below.
- 3.5. In both Chart A and Chart B, the darker shaded bars are longest for the road type priorities showing that the majority of casualties can be attributed to one of these priorities. The majority of fatalities and serious injuries occur on Local Authority Roads. Some of this difference is explained by the distribution of the road network and traffic. Local Authority roads account for 94 per cent of the road network in Scotland and 63 per cent of road traffic. 54 per cent of the road network is in rural areas (excluding motorways).
- 3.6. Car users account for almost half of fatalities, however cars account for around three quarters of traffic on the road network so car users are relatively under represented as casualties. Other modes are over represented in the casualty numbers and these are looked at in Section 4 below.

3.7. Casualties by road user type and behaviour make up a much smaller proportion of casualties, though over a quarter of fatalities and serious injuries occur in accidents involving young drivers (though the young driver may not have been at fault).

4. Casualty figures by priority

- 4.1. This section looks at each of the priorities in turn, making links between the priorities where appropriate. The section for each priority starts with two boxes showing the relative proportions of killed and seriously injured casualties attributed to that factor, ie the more dark shading the box, the higher the proportion of casualties attributed to the factor. The text below the boxes provides the actual percentages.
- 4.2. Each section also includes a chart showing the trend in casualty numbers over time. These charts are indexed so that casualty numbers for each severity can appear on the same chart to enable the comparison of trends even though the absolute numbers are of different magnitudes.
- 4.3. The priorities are ordered in the same way as in the charts above. Within roads, mode of transport and behaviour, the priorities are grouped from highest number of fatalities to lowest. In the road users group, the priorities are grouped by age and ordered from highest to lowest in terms of number of fatalities.

Box 2: Index Numbers

Index numbers enable the analysis of trends where numbers are of different magnitude. They work by indexing all numbers around the same base line, usually 100.

In this article, the average for each severity for 2004-2008, the baseline period for the Road Safety Framework is set to 100 in each chart, and all other figures are adjusted around it.

A figure of less than 100 shows a fall compared to the baseline period and a figure of more than 100 shows an increase. For example Chart C shows that the number of fatalities on trunk roads has fallen by almost 40 per cent since the baseline period as the indexed figure is 62 compared to 100 in the baseline period.

4.1 Road types

Local Authority Roads



- 4.1.1. There were 130 fatalities and 1,546 serious injuries on Local Authority roads in 2011.
- 4.1.2. Local Authority Roads accounted for **70 per cent of fatalities** and **82 per cent of serious injuries** in 2011. Local Authority roads make up 94 per cent of the road network and almost two thirds of traffic (63 per cent).
- 4.1.3. The number of fatalities on Local Authority Roads has fallen by 36 per cent and the number of serious injuries has fallen by 27 per cent since the 2004-2008 baseline period for the Road Safety Framework. The number of slight injuries on Local Authority Roads has fallen by a quarter (25 per cent).
- 4.1.4. Between 2010 and 2011 fatalities on Local Authority Roads fell by 8 per cent, there was no change in serious injuries and slight injuries fell by 3 per cent.





Roads in rural areas and country roads

4.1.5. For the purposes of this analysis, roads in rural areas refers to all roads in rural areas for example it includes dual carriageways and roads in rural villages with speed limits of 30mph. Country roads refers to a subset of roads in rural areas excluding roads with a speed limit of 40 mph or less and excluding dual carriageways and motorways.



- 4.1.6. There were 129 fatalities and 920 serious injuries on roads in rural areas in 2011. There were 93 fatalities and 691 serious injuries on country roads in 2011.
- 4.1.7. Roads in rural areas account for over two thirds of fatalities (69 per cent) and almost half of serious injuries (49 per cent) in 2011. The non-motorway road network in rural areas accounts for 54 per cent of road length.
- 4.1.8. Half of fatalities (50 per cent) and over a third of serious injuries (37 per cent) occurred on country roads in 2011.
- 4.1.9. The number of **fatalities on roads in rural areas has fallen by 39 per cent** and the number of **serious injuries has fallen by 32 per cent** since the 2004-2008 baseline period for the Road Safety Framework. The number of **slight injuries on roads in rural areas has fallen by 29 per cent**.
- 4.1.10. The number of fatalities on country roads has fallen by 45 per cent and the number of serious injuries has fallen by 31 per cent since the 2004-2008 baseline period for the Road Safety Framework. The number of slight injuries on country roads has fallen by 30 per cent.
- 4.1.11. Between 2010 and 2011 fatalities on roads in rural areas fell by 18 per cent, serious injuries fell by 13 per cent and slight injuries fell by 10 per cent.
- 4.1.12. Between 2010 and 2011 fatalities on country roads fell by 25 per cent, serious injuries fell by 12 per cent and slight injuries fell by 10 per cent.



Chart D: Casualties on roads in rural areas over time.

Chart E: Casualties on country roads over time.



- 4.1.13. There is a higher proportion of fatalities on roads in rural areas as more of these roads will have higher speed limits than roads in urban areas and therefore accidents are likely to be more severe. Rural roads are becoming safer as the reductions in casualties of all severities shows and the proportion of casualties occurring on rural roads has been falling.
- 4.1.14. In the baseline period, 73 per cent of fatalities occurred on roads in rural areas and this has now fallen to 69 per cent. Serious injuries have fallen from 52 per cent to 49 per cent and slight injuries have fallen from 41 per cent to 39 per cent.
- 4.1.15. Cars and Motorcycles account for four out of every five casualties on roads in rural areas. In 2011, cars and motorcycles accounted for 82 per cent of fatalities, 81 per cent of serious injuries and 85 per cent of slight injuries. One in five deaths and serious injuries on roads in rural areas is a motorcyclist.

Trunk Roads



4.1.16. There were 56 fatalities and 329 serious injuries on Trunk roads in 2011.

- 4.1.17. Trunk Roads accounted for **30 per cent of fatalities** and **18 per cent of serious injuries** in 2011. Trunk roads make up 6 per cent of the road network in Scotland, so trunk roads are over represented in the casualty numbers based on road length, however the Trunk Roads carry 37 per cent of road traffic meaning that the rate of casualties per distance travelled on Trunk Roads is lower than that for the rest of the road network.
- 4.1.18. The number of fatalities on Trunk Roads has fallen by 38 per cent and the number of serious injuries has fallen by 33 per cent since the 2004-2008 baseline period for the Road Safety Framework. The number of slight injuries on Trunk Roads has fallen by a quarter (25 per cent).
- 4.1.19. Between 2010 and 2011 fatalities on Trunk Roads fell by 16 per cent, serious injuries fell by 21 per cent and slight injuries fell by 10 per cent.



Chart F: Casualties on trunk roads over time.

Chart G: Proportion of casualties by mode of transport in 2011



4.2 Mode of transport

4.2.1. Chart G shows the proportion of casualties by mode of transport, comparing car, pedestrian, pedal cycle and motor cycle. Car drivers / passengers make up 48 per cent of people killed on the roads, 40 per cent of serious injuries and 61 per cent of all severities. Pedestrians make up the second highest proportion, 23 per cent of fatalities, 27 per cent of serious injuries and 16 per cent of all casualties. Motor cyclists make up a high proportion of those killed and seriously injured compared to the proportion of all motor cycle casualties, 18 and 16 per cent compared to 6 per cent of all casualties. Pedal Cyclists make up a relatively small proportion of those killed but a much higher proportion of serious and slight injuries, 4 per cent compared to 8 per cent of serious injuries and 6 per cent of all casualties.

Pedestrians



- 4.2.2. There 43 fatalities and 513 serious injuries to pedestrians in 2011.
- 4.2.3. Pedestrian casualties make up **23 per cent of fatalities** and **27 per cent of serious injuries** in 2011.





4.2.4. The number of pedestrians killed has fallen by a third from the 2004-2008 Road Safety Framework baseline period. The number of pedestrians seriously injured has fallen by 22 per cent over the same period. Slight pedestrian casualties have fallen by 30 per cent. 4.2.5. The number of pedestrian casualties of all severities has increased by 2 per cent in the last year. The overall figure hides a fall of 9 per cent in the number killed, a half a percentage fall in the number of slight injuries and an increase of 12 per cent in the number of serious injuries. The increase in the number of serious injuries in 2011 takes the number back to 2009 levels. The 2010 figure will have been lower in part due to the winter weather in early and later 2009 which reduced travel in this period.

Motor Cycles



- 4.2.6. There were 33 fatalities and 293 serious injuries to motorcyclists in 2011.
- 4.2.7. Motor cyclists accounted for **18 per cent of fatalities** and **16 per cent of serious injuries** in 2011.
- 4.2.8. The number of **motor cyclists killed has fallen by 21 per cent** and the number of **motor cyclists seriously injured has also fallen by 21 per cent** since the 2004-2008 baseline period for the Road Safety Framework. The number of **slight injuries has fallen by almost a quarter** (24 per cent).
- 4.2.9. Between 2010 and 2011, motor cyclist fatalities fell by 6 per cent, serious injuries fell by 8 per cent and slight injuries fell by 2 per cent.
- 4.2.10. Traffic volume estimates published in Scottish Transport Statistics (Table 5.3) provide an indication of trends over time. Distance travelled by motorbike has fallen in the last couple of years from a peak in 2007 to 2009. There has been a 6 per cent reduction in distance travelled by motorcycle since the 2004 to 2008 baseline period for the Road Safety Framework. These trends are shown in Chart K.



Chart I: Changes in the numbers of motor cycle casualties over time.

- 4.2.11. Motor cyclist casualties per million kms travelled have fallen over the last couple of years by more than the fall in the distance travelled. The rate of motorcycle casualties per distance travelled has fallen 18 per cent and fatal and serious injuries have fallen 16 per cent. This shows that motor cycling has become relatively safer in the last couple of years. However the rates are still high compared to other modes.
- 4.2.12. Motorcycles make up less than 1 per cent of the distance travelled by road and yet account for more than one in five (22 per cent) of deaths and serious injuries on the roads. Chart L shows the rate of casualties per million vehicle kilometres for motorcycles is similar to that for pedal cycles. The fatality rate for motor cyclists is 5 times as high as for pedal cycles (0.11 per million vehicle kilometres compared to 0.02) and the rate of serious injury is twice as high (0.99 per million vehicle kilometres compared to 0.51).

Pedal Cycles



4.2.13. There were 7 fatalities and 156 serious injuries to pedal cyclists in 2011.

- 4.2.14. Pedal cycle casualties account for **4 per cent of fatalities** and **8 per cent of serious injuries** in 2011.
- 4.2.15. The number of **pedal cyclists killed has fallen by 22 per cent from the 2004-2008 baseline** for the Road Safety Framework, however the number of **pedal cyclists seriously injured has increased by 16 per cent** and the number of **slight injuries have increased by 8 per cent**.

4.2.16. Between 2010 and 2011, pedal cyclist fatalities remained the same (7 in each year), serious injuries increased by 13 per cent and slight injuries increased by 4 per cent. Some of this increase will be due to the severe winter weather in early and late 2010 reducing the number of cycling journeys made in that period.



Chart J: Changes in numbers of pedal cycle casualties over time.

4.2.17. Traffic volume estimates published in Scottish Transport Statistics (Table 5.3) provide an indication of trends over time. Pedal cycling has increased by more than a quarter (27 per cent) in the last five years (and 22 per cent compared to the Framework baseline) whilst car, motor cycle and all traffic has fallen from a peak in 2007. The falls have been 3 per cent, 10 per cent and 3 per cent respectively. These trends are shown in Chart K.



Chart K: Changes in traffic volumes over time.

- 4.2.18. Cycling casualties per million kilometres cycled have remained relatively stable over the last couple of years showing that the small increases seen in cycling casualties are likely to be a result of the large increases in the number of cyclists on the roads. The roads are not becoming more dangerous for cyclists but there are more on the roads. The rate of casualties per million vehicle kilometres for cars, motorcycles and pedal cycles are shown in Chart L.
- 4.2.19. Pedal cyclists are over represented in the casualty statistics though as Chart M shows. Pedal cycles account for less than 1 per cent of distance travelled but 10 per cent of deaths and serious injuries. Cars account for 77 per cent of traffic, 62 per cent of those killed and seriously injured and less than half of fatalities (48 per cent).
- 4.2.20. Table 23a of Reported Road Casualties Scotland 2011, provides casualty data by mode and road type. This table shows that over the period 2007 to 2011, 60 per cent of pedal cycle fatalities and a quarter of serious injuries are on rural roads ie roads in rural areas. Less than 1 in 5 casualties of all severities are on rural roads. Just under half of fatalities and 14 per cent of serious injuries are on rural roads with speed limits over 40 mph. This suggests that accidents involving pedal cyclist in towns and cities are likely to be less serious than accidents in rural areas probably as a result of lower traffic speeds in built up areas.



Chart L: Casualty rates per million vehicle kilometres travelled.





4.3 Road Users

Casualties by age

- 4.3.1. The Framework priorities segment road users by age, with particular focus on children, young adults and older people. These are looked at in turn after more general analysis.
- 4.3.2. Casualty rates have fallen between 2004-2008 and 2007-2011 for all age bands and types of road user and the fall has been greatest for younger people as shown in Chart N. The rate of pedestrian casualties for younger people has fallen greatest for those in the 12-15 age band. For young adults (aged 16-22) the fall has been greatest in the rate of casualties as drivers and as passengers.
- 4.3.3. The patterns shown in Chart N can be further split by gender. The trend over time remains, in that casualty rates by age for all modes are falling over time, however Chart O identifies differences by gender.
- 4.3.4. There is a higher rate of pedestrian casualties for males of all ages compared to females, though the pattern is the same in the peak at age 12-15.
- 4.3.5. There is a higher rate of driver / rider casualties for males of all ages with the largest differences in the 16-22 and 30-39 age groups. There is a higher rate of passenger / pillion casualties among women compared to men, with a peak at age 16-22 when young adults start to drive and ride motor bikes. The peak for male drivers aged 30-39 is interesting as it is mainly a result of a higher rate of car driver casualties in this age group compared to late twenties, as shown in Table 24 of Reported Road Casualties Scotland 2011. A similar pattern is seen for women, though it doesn't show up in the overall rates. Male motorcycle casualties peak in the 40-49 age band.
- 4.3.6. The rate of female passenger casualties increases with age and this is likely to be a reflection of the gender split of driving licence holders. Transport and Travel in Scotland 2011 reports Scottish Household Survey data showing 43 per cent of women aged 70-79 hold a driving licence compared to 79 per cent of men and 19 per cent of women aged 80 and over hold a driving licence compared to 60 per cent of men.
- 4.3.7. For children, males have a higher casualty rate than females, except for when travelling as a passenger where the female rate is higher. For females under 16 the casualty rate per thousand is similar for pedestrians and passengers at all ages where as for males, the casualty rate is much higher for pedestrians than it is for passengers. The male pedestrian casualty rate for 12-15s is almost twice as high as the passenger casualty rate but the difference between the male and female pedestrian casualty rate is similar for all ages.



Chart N: Casualty rates by age and road user type, change between 2004-2008 and 2007-2011.

Chart O: Casualty rates by age, mode and gender for all severities



Young Drivers



4.3.8. There were 50 fatalities and 485 serious injuries in accidents involving younger drivers (17-25) in 2011. There were 17 fatalities and 130 serious injuries to young drivers over the same period.

- 4.3.9. Accidents involving younger drivers (aged 17-25) accounted for **over a quarter of fatalities and serious injuries** in 2011. Accidents involving younger drivers accounted for 27 per cent of fatalities and 26 per cent of serious injuries, though obviously not all of these accidents will be the fault of the young driver.
- 4.3.10. Young drivers (aged 17-25) account for **9 per cent of fatalities** and **7 per cent of serious injuries** in 2011.
- 4.3.11. The proportion of casualties from accidents involving young drivers has fallen dramatically since the baseline period for the Road Safety Framework (2004-2008). The number of fatalities in accidents involving young drivers has halved. The number of serious injuries has fallen by 44 per cent and slight injuries have fallen by a third.
- 4.3.12. Large falls have also been seen over the last twelve months with a 22 per cent fall in fatalities, an 18 per cent fall in serious injuries and an 8 per cent reduction in slight injuries in accidents involving a driver aged 17-25 between 2010 and 2011.
- 4.3.13. The number of young driver fatalities has fallen by 51 per cent and the number of young drivers seriously injured has fallen by 45 per cent since the 2004-2008 baseline period for the Road Safety Framework. The number of young drivers slightly injured has fallen by over a third (35 per cent).
- 4.3.14. Between 2010 and 2011 young driver fatalities fell by 23 per cent, serious injuries fell by 13 per cent and slight injuries fell by 14 per cent.
- 4.3.15. Chart O shows casualty rates for young drivers by gender which peak for the 17-22 age band. The rates shown are per head of population and would be even higher if driving licence possession was taken into account. The Transport and Travel in Scotland

publication shows that for those aged 17-19, a third of males have a full driving licence and only 17 per cent of females.









Older Drivers



- 4.3.16. There were 31 fatalities and 198 serious injuries in accidents involving older drivers (70+) in 2011. There were 14 fatalities and 48 serious injuries to older drivers in the same period.
- 4.3.17. Accidents involving older drivers (aged 70+) accounted for **17 per cent of fatalities** and **11 per cent of serious injuries** in 2011.
- 4.3.18. Not all accidents involving an older driver will result in an older driver being injured. The proportion of fatalities and serious injuries that are drivers aged 70+ is relatively small. Older drivers (aged 70+) account for 8 per cent of fatalities and 3 per cent of serious injuries in 2011.
- 4.3.19. The number of fatalities in accidents involving older drivers has fallen by 7 per cent and the number of serious injuries by 1 per cent since the 2004-2008 baseline period for the Road Safety Framework. The number of slight injuries in accidents involving older drivers has fallen by 2 per cent.
- 4.3.20. Between 2010 and 2011 fatalities in accidents involving older drivers increased by 22 per cent, the number of serious injuries increased by 21 per cent and slight injuries increased by 7 per cent. The numbers in 2010 were very low so it is likely that the severe winter weather in 2010 impacted on these figures. 2011 figures are below those for 2009 except for fatalities as chart R shows.
- 4.3.21. The number of older driver fatalities has fallen by 13 per cent and the number of older drivers seriously injured has fallen by 24 per cent since the 2004-2008 baseline period for the Road Safety Framework. The number of older drivers slightly injured has fallen by 4 per cent.
- 4.3.22. Between 2010 and 2011 older driver fatalities increased by one to 14, serious injuries fell by 14 per cent and slight injuries increased by two to 268.



Chart R: Casualties in accidents involving older drivers

Chart S: Older driver casualties over time.



4.3.23. As Chart O shows, the casualty rate per population for drivers over 70 is lower than for any other age band. A part of this will be the result of fewer people driving. Only 60 per cent of males over 80 hold a driving licence compared to an average of 76 for all males. Older people also drive less often. Transport and Travel in Scotland 2011 shows that less than a quarter of adults aged 70 or over with a full driving licence drive every day compared to 41 per cent of the population as a whole. Even adjusting for driving licence possession, the rate is well below the rate for younger and middle aged drivers.
Children



- 4.3.24. There were 7 fatalities and 203 serious injuries to children in 2011.
- 4.3.25. Children accounted for **4 per cent of fatalities** and **11 per cent of serious injuries** in 2011.



Chart T: Change in the number of child casualties over time.

- 4.3.26. The number of child fatalities has fallen by 55 per cent and the number of child serious injuries has fallen by 38 per cent since the 2004-2008 baseline period for the Road Safety Framework. The number of children killed has fallen by 65 per cent using the three year average for the ``framework target. The number of children slightly injured has fallen by 34 per cent.
- 4.3.27. Between 2010 and 2011 child fatalities increased slightly from 5 to 7, however there is year to year fluctuation due to small numbers. The number of child serious injuries fell by 9 per cent and slight injuries fell by 4 per cent.
- 4.3.28. Child pedestrian fatalities have fallen faster than car passenger fatalities. In 1994-1998, 55 per cent of child fatalities were pedestrians, compared to 28 per cent car passengers. In 2004-2008 the proportions had evened out at 40 per cent pedestrian fatalities and 40 per cent car passenger fatalities. Data for the last three years (2009-2011) shows a swing the other way, a quarter of child fatalities were pedestrians and 56 per cent were car passengers. The proportions for serious injuries are 68 per cent pedestrian casualties and 17 per cent car passengers, though there has been little change in these proportions over time.

4.3.29. Charts N and O shows that the casualty rate for young children (aged 0-4) is higher for passenger casualties than it is for pedestrian casualties which is unsurprising given that a large proportion of this age group will only be pedestrians with a responsible adult. The rates then switch over for males with young males having a higher casualty rate as a pedestrian than as a passenger where as for females the rate is higher for passenger casualties than pedestrian casualties.

School Pupils



4.3.30. Over the last 5 years there has been an average of 2 fatalities and 31 serious injuries to school pupils.

4.3.31. School pupils account for 1 per cent of all fatalities (over the period 2007 to 2011) and 2 per cent of serious injuries in 2011. Twenty-two per cent of child fatalities and 18 per cent of serious injuries were recorded as being on their way to or from school over the period 2007-2011.

4.4 Road User behaviours

- 4.4.1. The STATS19 form only collects data on road side breath tests. Estimates of drink driving using STATS19 data and data from the procurator fiscal are calculated by DfT. These estimates are included in Table 22 of Reported Road Casualties Scotland along with more detail on the methodology.
- 4.4.2. The STATS19 form does include a section on contributory factors. This data provides an indication of the number of accidents where a particular factor plays a part, however they reflect the reporting officer's opinion at the time of reporting, and may not be the result of extensive investigation. Further details on Contributory Factors are included in Article 4 of Reported Road Casualties Scotland. Contributory factors have only been collected since 2005 so an average over 2005 to 2008 was calculated for comparisons with the baseline for the Road Safety Framework.

Speed



- 4.4.3. In 2011 there were 49 fatalities and 263 serious injuries where speed was recorded as a contributory factor. There were 125,221 speeding offences recorded by the police in 2011-12.
- 4.4.4. Accidents where speed (exceeding the speed limit or driving at inappropriate speed for the conditions) was considered a contributory factor accounted for **26 per cent of fatalities** and **6 per cent of serious injuries** in 2011.
- 4.4.5. It should be noted that fatal accidents will involve a full investigation where speed may be identified as a contributory factor and recorded on the STATS19 form. Where a full investigation does not take place it may not be possible for the officer at the scene to identify speed as a contributory factor.
- 4.4.6. The number of fatalities from accidents where speed is a contributory factor has fallen by 38 per cent and the number of serious injuries has fallen by 42 per cent since the baseline period for the Road Safety Framework. It is estimated that the number of slight injuries resulting from accidents where speed is a contributory factor has fallen by 30 per cent.
- 4.4.7. Between 2010 and 2011, it is estimated that fatalities in accidents where speed was a contributory factor fell by 18 per cent, serious injuries fell by 15 per cent and slight injuries fell by 12 per cent.



Chart U: Changes in casualty numbers where speed is a contributory factor.

Chart V: Motor vehicle offences recorded by the police in Scotland



- 4.4.8. The fall in casualties in accidents where speed is a contributory factor mirrors the fall in speeding offences recorded by the police as published by Scottish Government², and shown in Chart V. Speeding offences recorded by the police fell by 30 per cent between 2006-07 (the mid point of the road safety framework baseline) and 2009-10. Although the number of offences did increase between 2009-10 and 2011-12, it is now 23 per cent below the baseline period.
- 4.4.9. The majority of the offences relating to motor vehicles will be generated by police officers involved in proactive work, although there will be occasions when members of the public will report circumstances which they believe to be a Road Traffic Offence. Hence, the strength and deployment of the police forces will impact on the numbers of such

² Recorded Crime in Scotland 2011-12 provides data for the last ten years and can be accessed at: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/Statistics/Browse/Crime-Justice/PubRecordedCrime

offences recorded. An increase in recorded offences does not necessarily imply that the actual number of motorists speeding has increased, just that more are being caught.

Drink Drive



- 4.4.10. In 2011 it is estimated that there were 20 fatalities and 120 serious injuries as a result of drink driving. There were 7,445 offences of driving under the influence recorded by the police in 2011-12.
- 4.4.11. Drink drive accounted for **12 per cent of fatalities** and **6 per cent of serious injuries** in 2011.
- 4.4.12. The number of **drink drive fatalities has fallen by 36 per cent** and the number of **serious injuries resulting from drink drive has fallen by 29 per cent** since the 2004-2008 baseline period for the Road Safety Framework. It is estimated that the number of **slight injuries resulting from drink drive has fallen by 22 per cent**.
- 4.4.13. Between 2010 and 2011, it is estimated that drink drive fatalities fell by 20 per cent, serious injuries fell by 24 per cent and slight injuries fell by 17 per cent.
- 4.4.14. Chart V shows the trends in motoring offences recorded by the police in Scotland since 2003-04. There has been a steady fall in the number of offences of driving under the influence recorded since 2006-07 (the mid point of the Road Safety Framework baseline period). In 2011-12 recorded offences of driving under the influence were down 36 per cent on the baseline and 2 per cent on 2010-11. This supports the overall downward trends shown in Chart W.
- 4.4.15. The majority of the offences relating to drink drive will be generated by police officers involved in proactive or attendance at accidents, although there will be occasions when members of the public will report circumstances which they believe to be a Road Traffic Offence. If a large number of resources were targeted at drink drive, an increase in the number of recorded offences may be expected even if the actual number of people driving whilst over the limit remained unchanged.



Chart W: Casualties as a result of drink drive.

Distraction



4.4.16. In 2011 there were 5 fatalities and 70 serious injuries where distraction was recorded as a contributory factor.

- 4.4.17. Accidents where distraction (Distraction in vehicle, Distraction outside vehicle or Driver using mobile phone) was considered a contributory factor accounted for **3 per cent of fatalities** and **4 per cent of serious injuries** in 2011.
- 4.4.18. The number of fatalities from accidents where distraction is a contributory factor has fallen by 57 per cent since the baseline period for the Road Safety Framework, though the numbers are small which leads to large fluctuation from year to year as can be seen from Chart X. The number of serious injuries has increased by 12 per cent since the baseline and the number of slight injuries has fallen by 10 per cent.
- 4.4.19. Between 2010 and 2011, it is estimated that fatalities in accidents where distraction was a contributory factor fell by 50 per cent, where as serious injuries increased by 52 per cent and slight injuries increased by 5 per cent.



Chart X: Number of casualties in accidents where distraction is a contributory factor

- 4.4.20. It should be borne in mind that the contributory factors recorded will depend on the evidence available to the reporting officer. Some factors will be easier to determine than others so there could be some under recording for example in levels of distraction in car as this may not be obvious from witness reports.
- 4.4.21. Mobile phone offences recorded by the police are shown in Chart Y. This shows that number have been increasing in recent years and reached 29,800 in 2011-12. As noted above under speeding offences, the increase in numbers of mobile phone offences recorded does not necessarily indicate an increase in offenders as the numbers will depend on the level of police resource targeted at these offences.
- 4.4.22. There were over four times more speeding offences than mobile phone offences recorded in 2011-12. There was only a quarter as many offences of driving under the influence recorded compared to mobile phone offences.

Seatbelts

- 4.4.23. The use of seat belts is not recorded in the Stats 19 data, however some information is collected from police forces as part of the recorded crime statistical return. Chart Y shows that there were 32,700 seat belt offences recorded in 2011-12. The increase in numbers does not necessarily indicate an increase in actual offenders as the numbers will depend on the level of police resource targeted at these offences.
- 4.4.24. The number of seat belt offences recorded by the police in 2011-12 was just over a quarter of the number of speeding offences recorded. However over four times more seat belt offences than offences of driving under the influence were recorded in 2011-12.



Chart Y: Mobile phone and seat belt offences recorded by the police in Scotland

Article 3

Comparison with other sources

Article 3: Comparison of Police road casualty statistics with other sources

Summary

- Stats 19 figures are a reliable measure of the level of, and trends in, the number of road deaths – they are very similar to GROS figures, but not the same due to definitional differences;
- Stats 19 killed and seriously injured (KSI) figures have fallen by 36% between 1998 and 2008, compared with a fall of 31% in hospital admissions due to road traffic accidents;
- Stats 19 child KSI figures have fallen by 57% between 1998 and 2008, compared with a fall of 66% in child hospital admissions due to road traffic accidents;
- 37% of adults interviewed in the Scottish Household Survey who had been injured in a road accident in the past year said that it had not been reported to the police;
- The DfT have published estimates of total injury GB road accidents within their Road Casualties Great Britain publication – based on findings from the National Travel Survey.
- Article 3 of RRCS 2010 provided analysis to estimate a figure for the number of road casualties not included in the STATS 19 data for Scotland.

1. Introduction

This publication presents statistics on **reported injury road accidents** (i.e. road accidents where one or more people are injured) produced from police forces' Stats 19 returns. The police can only report details of the accidents of which they are aware.

Very few, if any, fatal accidents do not become known to the police. However there may be many non-fatal injury accidents not reported by the public to the police, which will not feature in the Stats 19 returns.

This article compares the official road casualty statistics for Scotland, produced from Stats 19 returns, with figures from some other sources. It refers to:

- General Register Office for Scotland road death figures (Section 2)
- numbers of emergency admissions to hospital as the result of road traffic accidents (Section 3);
- findings from two studies of casualties at a few individual hospitals (Section 4);
- Scottish Household Survey data (Section 5);
- **DfT GB level** analysis) (Section 6)
- Scotland estimates of under counting (section 7)
- Some other research and analysis (section 8)

2. Road Fatalities

National Records of Scotland data (Previously General Register Office for Scotland)

The NRS record the numbers of deaths registered in Scotland each year due to injuries sustained in motor vehicle (and other road vehicle) accidents. The definition is not identical to those used by the police, in particular there is no 30 day cut off point for fatalities associated with the road accident.

Figure 9 shows that the Stats 19 and NRS numbers of road deaths are similar in every year, that they tend to rise and fall together, and that, in 2010, they were at the lowest level that has been recorded for many years.

Table J shows that the Stats 19 figures fell by 47% and the NRS figures by 45% between 2001 and 2011. The table also shows that the difference has fluctuated year to year, but the Stats 19 figure has always been between 90% and 101% of NRS figures (with an average of 96%).

Due to definitional difference the two sets of numbers will not agree exactly (see *Figure 9* notes). However, it is clear that the net effect of such differences is not great, and this comparison provides strong evidence that most, if not all road deaths become known to the police and confirms that trends in fatalities recorded by the police are reliable.

Figure 9: Comparison of Police Stats19 and NRS road deaths



Figure 9: Comparison of Police Stats 19 and NRS figures for numbers of road deaths

NB: there are definitional changes between the data:

- NRS figures cover all deaths in accidents involving motor vehicles, wherever they occur, whereas Stats19 relate to those on public roads.
- The Stats19 do not include persons who die more than 30 days after the accidents whereas the NRS do.
- The Stats19 includes people who fatally injured in Scotland but who die in England less than 30 days later whereas the NRS would not.

3. Killed or seriously injured (KSI) road casualties

Hospital Admission Statistics

3.1 Introduction

On admission to hospital, patients who had been involved in road traffic accidents are recorded specifically as being injured in a road traffic accident, to differentiate them from those who were involved in accidents that occurred off-road (therefore numbers should be broadly comparable with the Stats 19 figures).

This section compares Stats 19 data with hospitals' numbers of emergency admissions as the result of road traffic accidents It looks at those classed as killed and seriously injured (KSIs) because, in the Stats 19 statistics:

- **serious** injuries include any for which a person is detained in hospital as an inpatient;
- a **fatal** injury results in death less than 30 days after the accident, so some hospital admissions will later be counted as road deaths (but other road deaths occur before reaching hospital).

However, some casualties recorded as slight at the scene of the accident may attend hospital and some may be admitted. Hospital admission figures are based on periods of care (episodes) under a particular consultant, so patients can be counted more than once (e.g. if they transfer to another consultant). However, this should *not* affect greatly the relationship between the *trends* which are shown by the two sets of figures *unless* there is a marked change in the proportion of casualties who transfer to other consultants.

3.2 Comparisons – overall trends

Figure 10 shows that both sets of figures have been falling over the past few decades, with the underlying numbers appearing in **Table J**. It is clear that:

- up to the mid-1990's the Stats 19 and hospital figures were broadly the same, and tended to fall at similar rates;
- since the mid-1990's the Stats 19 figures have been noticeably lower than the hospital figures, however reductions over the last 10 years are more similar. That is between 1998 and 2008:
 - o All ages:
 - Stats 19 KSI 36% fall
 - Hospital admissions 31% fall
 - o Children:
 - Stats 19 KSI 57% fall
 - Hospital admissions 66% fall

As a result, the Stats 19 figures represent a decreasing percentage of the hospital figures. Between 1980 and 1995, the overall average for Stats 19 KSI figures as a percentage of the hospital figures was 107%; between 1996 and 2008, it was only 76%. Possible reasons for this could be:

- reduced reporting of road accidents by the public to the police (and hence increased under-reporting in Stats 19);
- changes in the way in which Police Forces report accidents in their Stats 19 returns;
- an increase in the proportion of road casualties going to hospital;
- changes in hospitals' practices (which might result in an increased proportion of the casualties who go to A&E departments being admitted to hospital, or a larger proportion of admissions as a result of a road accident being identified as such in hospitals' data);
- road safety improvements which reduced the number of less serious injuries (those which are counted as serious in Stats 19 but which do *not* involve being *admitted* to hospital);

While some indications are beginning to emerge, it is not completely clear which (if any) of these reasons caused the different trends in the Stats 19 and hospitals figures. Further research may help.

Figure 10: Comparison of Police Stats 19 and hospital admissions as a result of a road traffic accident



The hospital admissions figures for 1980 to 1995 are Scottish Hospital In Patient System (SHIPS) figures for emergency hospital admissions as a result of a road traffic accident, as shown in a TRL research report (see Section 6); the figures for 1996 available from <u>www.isdscotland.org/unintentional_injuries</u>.

3.3 Comparisons - types of road user

Table K shows the Stats 19 KSI figures as percentages of the corresponding hospital admissions due to road traffic accidents figures. Because these comparisons are based on *overall* numbers they do not represent the full extent of the differences

between the two sources of data (a casualty counted in Stats 19 but not in the hospital admissions figures will off-set one counted in the hospital figures but not in Stats 19).

Table K covers *casualties of all ages*. The smallest differences between the sets of figures exist for pedestrians, motorcyclists and car users (the most numerous types of casualty), but the gap is widening (e.g. the Stats 19 number of car user casualties represented 99% of the number of hospital admissions in 1998, but only 75% in 2005).

The greatest difference exists for pedal cyclists with Stats 19 figures representing only about 30% of the numbers of hospital admissions. While many pedal cyclist accidents occur off-road and are therefore not within the scope of Stats 19, only on-road casualties were included in these hospitals figures.

Recent work by the Department for Transport (using data for England) suggests that on- road pedal cyclist accidents which do not involve other vehicles are very unlikely to be reported to the police (see section 6.3). As it happens, such under-reporting of pedal cyclist casualties has *not* caused the difference in trends between the Stats 19 and hospitals figures: the Stats 19 figure for pedal cyclists has remained at roughly 30% of the hospitals figure since 1997, fluctuating only slightly (between 27% and 33%) from year to year. The main cause of the different trends is the fall from around 100% to about 75% in the corresponding percentage for car users, who account for about half of all Stats 19 KSI casualties.

4. Studies of casualties at a few individual hospitals

4.1 Extent and Severity of Cycle Accident Casualties (2005)

Cyclists who reported to one of five Accident and Emergency Departments in the Lothian and Borders areas were asked to complete a questionnaire relating to their accident. 806 forms were collected from those (aged 5+) who had been involved in a pedal cycle accident between September 2003 and August 2004. The research found that many of the casualties who reported to hospital with a cycling injury serious enough for medical attention did not appear in the official road accident statistics.

A large proportion of the accidents (41%) occurred off-road and therefore were not within the scope of the Stats 19 returns. However, even when comparing only those who reported their accident as being on the road (excluding pavements), the Stats 19 data appeared to under-report the extent of on-road cycling accidents. (Note that which occur on the footway or pavement should be included in the Stats 19 returns.)

The cyclists attending A&E gave a wide range of causes for the accidents, and no single cause stood out. By contrast, Stats 19 data described a smaller range of causes, with the involvement of a motor vehicle being the predominant factor. The research also found that the official statistics on road accidents were much less likely to record pedal cycle accidents involving children than those involving adults.

4.2 Alcohol and the Pedestrian Road Casualty (1998)

This research investigated the link between pedestrian accidents and the consumption of alcohol. Five hospitals were included in the study between October 1996 and April 1997. Casualties at Accident and Emergency who had been involved in a road traffic accident were asked to take part in the study. As part of the research, pedestrian casualties only were linked with the Stats 19 data, and additional analysis carried out where a match was found. Of 145 pedestrian casualties in the sample, 98 (68%) resulted in a match with Stats 19 records. Two possible reasons were given for this: (a) insufficient information available to make a match or (b) some accidents resulting in the presentation of a casualty were not reported to the police.

5. Scottish Household Survey (SHS) Results

The Scottish Household Survey collects data via an interview with one randomly selected adult (aged 16+) per household in a sample spread across Scotland. The results are weighted to take account of differences in selection probabilities and response rates.

Were you injured in a road accident?

Between February 1999 and March 2003, respondents were asked whether they had been injured in a road accident in the past twelve months, and if so, how they were involved (driver/passenger/pedestrian/cyclist/other). The questions were then dropped from the survey, and reinstated in 2005 with an addition: respondents were also asked whether the accident had been reported to the police.

Table L compares the percentages of adults who had been injured (any severity) in an accident, using the SHS and Stats 19 data:

- All users: Stats 19 data suggest around 0.3% of the adult population is injured in a road accident per year, whereas the SHS figure suggest 1.3%. Stats 19 data accounts for around 23% of the SHS figure, and doesn't vary greatly with age (although slightly higher for the 70+ category at 32%);
- **Mode:** This is lowest for pedal cyclists (13%) and highest (43%) for pedestrians. The table does not subdivide the others between different types of motor vehicle (e.g. car, motorcycle, etc) as the SHS does not distinguish between them

Although the SHS and Stats 19 figures are not on the same basis, this shouldn't affect the conclusion greatly given the extent of the difference between the figures: it is clear that the SHS percentages are several times those obtained from Stats 19.

Was it reported?

In 2009/10, 42% of SHS respondents who said they had been injured in a road accident in the past year said that the accident had *not* been reported to the police compared to 37% in 2007/08. As this figure is based on only 280 adults who said that they had been injured in a road accident in the past year, it may be subject to a large sampling error (it has 95% confidence limits of +/- about 6 percentage points. However, whatever the true value is (i.e. 36%, or 48%), it is clear that a large percentage of accidents involving personal injury are *not* reported to the Police.

Further analysis and an estimate of those injury road accidents not reported to the police and therefore an approximation of total injury road accidents in Scotland is included in Article 3 of RRCS 2010.

6. Other research and analysis

6.1 DfT's estimation of total injury road accidents in Great Britain

In response to the UK Statistics Authority assessment of GB Stats 19, the DfT has begun to publish discussion articles within their annual Road Casualties Great Britain Annual reports comparing GB (police stats19) data with other sources.

http://www.dft.gov.uk/statistics?post_type=release&s=road-accidents-and-safetyseries&series=road-accidents-and-safety-series

The articles provide an overview of a number of sources, focusing on Government datasets with national coverage examining their strengths/weaknesses and drawing comparisons with the Stats 19 data. In a similar fashion to this article it looks at:

- Death registrations data;
- Hospital Episode data: inpatients and A & E attendances;
- DWP compensation Claims data;
- National Travel Survey data.

It concludes that although Stats 19 is the most detailed and useful source of information on road casualties at a national level, its isn't complete or perfect and complementary sources should be used to build a balanced picture.

It also attempts to quantify the total number of injury road accidents using the National Travel Survey which asks respondents (similar to the Scottish Household Survey) whether they were injured in a road accident in the last year. Although the NTS is a sample survey and is therefore subject to sampling variability, it is used as it is the only source providing complete coverage of casualties (particularly those who do not report to the police or hospital).

Grossing up the NTS survey estimate to the population suggests the total number of road injury accidents is between 610,000 and 780,000 per year, with a best estimate of around 700,000. This is over 3 times the 222,146 recorded casualties in Stats 19 in 2009.

It is clear that caution should be taken when looking at this provisional analysis, the DfT's article discusses the methodology in more detail and what the next steps will be. This work has also been considered in estimating a Scottish figure for all road casualties in Article 3.

6.2 Investigation of trends in emergency hospital admissions

DfT investigated the trends in the hospitals' figures for road casualties in England, and reported some findings in an article in *Road Casualties Great Britain 2006*. DfT found that there was a large percentage increase between 2002-03 and 2005-06 in the total number of short stay admissions, both following a road accident and for other reasons, and that the increase was proportionately much greater for the latter. The article that practice for patients requiring short periods of observation and assessment has been to use assessment or short-stay admission wards for monitoring and for the benefit of the patient. DfT concluded that the rise (in England) in road traffic emergency admissions via A&E did not therefore necessarily equate to an actual rise in the number of road traffic accidents, but more likely represented a change in practice over that time.

The Information Services Division (ISD) of the Scottish Health Service has provided the numbers of emergency hospital admissions in Scotland following a road traffic accident broken down by the length of stay. These show a 15% increase between 1996-97 and 2005-06 in the number of stays of length 0 days. Over the same period, there was a fall in the number of longer stays (both for 1 day and 2+ days in length): had the number of 0 day stays fallen at the same rate, there would have been roughly 240 fewer emergency hospital admissions following a road traffic accident in 2005-06, and the drop since 1996-67 would have been about 4-5%-points greater. However, there would still have been a marked difference between what would then be a fall of 19-20% in emergency hospital admissions and the fall of 33% in the Stats 19 KSI figure.

Hospital administrative procedures

It may be suggested that hospitals' figures may not provide reliable road casualty trends because they could be affected by national administrative changes – e.g. the introduction of targets for A&E waiting times could lead to casualties who would previously have left A&E following treatment after waiting more than (say) 4 hours now being admitted to hospital, and therefore now being counted as an admission following a road accident. On such points, it should be noted that:

- we understand that the A&E waiting time target for Scottish hospitals was introduced in December 2004 (and that it didn't have to be met until the end of 2007), so it cannot have caused the difference between the trends shown by the Stats 19 and hospitals figures between 1996 and 2004;
- ISD's figures show that stays of length 0 days have increased fairly gradually, as a proportion of all emergency admissions following a road traffic accident, from 13% in 1996-97 through 14% in 1999-00 and 16% in 2002-03 to 18% in 2005-06 there has not been the kind of sudden rise that might be expected if a significant change in practice had been applied across the country with effect from a particular date;
- ISD's figures also show a 15% increase, between 1996-97 and 2005-06, in the total number of stays of length 0 days for emergency admissions following all types of unintentional injury – over that period, they rose (again fairly gradually) from 18% to 23% of all such admissions, so again there is no evidence of a sudden change

These gradual increases in short stay emergency hospital admissions would be consistent with an increasing tendency to admit patients, of the kind that was mentioned in the DfT article.

The DfT article in *Road Casualties Great Britain 2006* also mentioned some other factors which may have affected the trend in the figures for hospital admissions in England:

- improvements in the coding of the English hospitals' data. Since 1996, there has been increased validation of external cause codes and other improvements in coding. In addition, an improved IT system was introduced in 2002/03, which allowed for 14 diagnosis codes (rather than the 7 used previously). Some road casualties with extensive injuries would require more than 7 codes and, as the external cause code is always the last in the sequence, would not have been identifiable as such in the data collected previously.
- the introduction of Payment by Results has increased the importance of the data, and hence of the accuracy and number of codes recorded, because each Primary Care Trust in England is charged for the hospital treatment of its residents according to factors such as the length of stay and the severity and number of their conditions

However, ISD advises that such factors are unlikely to have had any effect on the figures for Scotland: there has been no change in past few years in the number of diagnosis codes (six) which is used in the Scottish system, and there is no Scottish equivalent of Payment by Results.

6.3 Pedal cyclist casualties – DfT comparison of English Stats 19 and hospitals figures

As noted earlier, pedal cyclists are the type of casualty most under-reported in the Stats 19 returns. DfT's article in *Road Casualties Great Britain 2006* compared the Stats 19 and English Hospitals Episode Statistics (HES) data for pedal cyclist casualties. In England, in the 2005-06 financial year, HES had 7,065 admissions of pedal cyclists, whereas Stats 19 recorded only 2,092 seriously injured pedal cyclists. DfT found that

- almost all the difference was due to HES having 4,268 pedal cyclists who had *not* been involved in a collision (e.g. people who just fell, or were thrown from, a bicycle which had not collided with any other vehicle), whereas Stats 19 had only 101 such casualties.
- the figures for pedal cyclists who had been involved in a collision with another vehicle do not differ as greatly (the relevant figures are HES: 2,186; Stats 19: 1,899).
- there was little difference between the number of casualties in HES and Stats 19 for pedal cyclist accidents which also involved cars, motorcycles, goods vehicles or buses. The differences were proportionately much larger in the case of pedal cyclists who had collided with an object, a pedestrian or an animal, another cyclist or an other vehicle.
- the distributions by age of HES and Stats 19 pedal cyclist casualties differed greatly

 for example, in each of the 8-11 and 12-15 age-groups, HES had 1,000+ whereas
 Stats 19 had only a few hundred. However, when DfT excluded the no collision cases, it found clear similarities between the two distributions by age of pedal cyclist casualties who had been involved in a collision

DfT suggested that the differences might be due to two factors. First, if the location of an accident is not specified in the patient's records, it will be assumed that it was a traffic accident. This may mean that some off-road accidents are counted as traffic accidents, and non-collision pedal cycle accidents may be particularly vulnerable to this. Second, accidents in which a pedal cyclist is the only participant are relatively unlikely to be reported to the police.

The current definitions of the Stats 19 returns make it clear that accidents which involve no collision pedal cyclist casualties should be counted. However, DfT's analysis of the English HES data shows clearly that Stats 19 includes only a tiny proportion of no collision pedal cyclist casualties – presumably, those involved in such accidents are very unlikely to see any need to inform the Police about them, with the result that the Stats 19 returns include very few no collision pedal cyclist casualties.

The same may well be the case in Scotland. ISD has looked at the data for Scottish hospitals' emergency admissions of pedal cyclists in the 2005-06 financial year. There were 420:

- 102 had collided with another road user (e.g. a pedestrian, a car, another pedal cycle, etc);
- 18 had collided with a fixed object;
- 275 were non-collision cases; and
- 25 for whom such information was not recorded

The sum of the 120 who were known to be involved in a collision and a proportion of the 25 unknown cases would give a result which would be close to the Stats 19 figure of 132 pedal cyclists killed or seriously injured in the 2005 calendar year – so it seems likely that more detailed analysis of the Scottish hospitals' data for pedal cyclists would produce results similar to those which DfT has obtained from the English data.

7. Estimating under-counting of road casualties in Scotland

As part of the UK Statistics Authority assessment of Reported Road Casualties Scotland, it was required that Transport Scotland publish analysis showing the level of under counting of road casualties in Scotland. This analysis was published as Article 3 of Reported Road Casualties Scotland 2010. Using a combination of research findings and data from the Scottish Household Survey, it was concluded that in 2010 there were 4,200 people killed or seriously injured on Scotland's roads compared to a reported figure of 2,172, though as stated elsewhere in this article, there was little if any under counting of fatalities. It was estimated that there were 23,300 slight injuries in 2010 compared to a published figure of 11,162. Further details of the analysis and the caveats surrounding these estimates can be found in Reported Road Casualties Scotland 2010, Article 3.

8.1 Linkage of STATS 19 and Scottish hospital in-patient data

TRL Report 420 (published in 1999) contains a comparison of the police Stats 19 road accident statistics for serious injury (the definition of which includes any non-fatal-within-30-days-injury for which the casualty is detained in hospital as an in-patient) and Scottish Hospital In Patient System (SHIPS) figures for emergency hospital admissions as a result of a road traffic accident from 1980 until 1995. These sets of figures show similar downward trends (that report's series of SHIPS figures was used to produce the hospital 1980-1995 line in *Figure 10*).

SafetyNet

In addition TRL's work also contributed to SafetyNet – an Integrated Project part funded by the European Commission which ran for 4 years from May 2004. One task of the project dealt with the "estimation of the real number of road casualties". This was achieved by comparing in eight countries the details of road accident casualties recorded in the national road accident database with those who have been recorded in hospital records.

TRL carried out the UK contribution and compared Scottish STATS19 casualty records from 1997-2005 with medical records from the Scottish Hospital In-Patient System (SHIPS). This report is available at:

www.trl.co.uk/online_store/reports_publications/trl_reports/cat_road_user_safety/report linking_stats19_and_scottish_hospital_in-patient_data_for_the_safetynet_project.htm

8.2 Previous research

- Under-reporting of road accidents: Phase 1 (Road Safety Research Report 69) by Heather Ward, Ronan Lyons and Roselle Thoreau;
- Road Accident Casualties: a comparison of STATS19 data with Hospital Episodes Statistics.

	All ages								Children ⁴			
		Hospital	repor	ted road ca	Police Stats 19 statistics ³ ad casualties reported road deaths KSI					Police stati	Stats 19 stics ³	
	NRS: deaths from road traffic accidents1	emergency admissions resulting from Road Traffic Accidents ²	Killed	Seriously injured	Killed & Seriously Injured (KSI)	NRS: difference	NRS: %	% of hospitals emergency admiss.	admissions resulting from Road Traffic Accidents ²	Killed & Seriously Injured (KSI)	% of hospitals emergenc y admiss.	
1980	753	8 744	700	8 839	9 539	-53	93%	109%				
1981	732	9 080	677	8 840	9 5 1 7	-55	92%	105%				
1982	749	8 664	701	9,040	9 961	-48	94%	115%				
1983	656	7 512	624	7 633	8 257	-32	95%	110%				
1984	621	7,650	599	7 727	8,326	-22	96%	109%				
1985	614	7,000	602	7 786	8,388	-12	98%	112%				
1986	615	7,021	601	7 422	8 023	-14	98%	114%				
1987	586	6 349	556	6 707	7 263	-30	95%	114%				
1988	564	6 546	554	6 7 3 2	7,200	-10	98%	111%				
1989	564	6,665	553	6 998	7,200	-11	98%	113%				
1990	555	6 461	546	6 252	6 798	-9	98%	105%				
1991	521	6 148	491	5 638	6 1 2 9	-30	94%	100%				
1992	472	5 890	463	5 176	5 639	-9	98%	96%				
1993	410	5,399	399	4 454	4 853	-11	97%	90%				
1994	359	5 411	363	5 208	5 571	4	101%	103%				
1995	427	5 321	409	4 930	5 339	-18	96%	100%				
1996	367	5 106	357	4 041	4,398	-10	97%	86%	996	790	79%	
1997	389	5 316	377	4 047	4 4 2 4	-12	97%	83%	1 116	745	67%	
1998	390	5 289	385	4 072	4 457	-5	99%	84%	1 079	698	65%	
1999	324	4 941	310	3 765	4 075	-14	96%	82%	1 012	625	62%	
2000	343	4 904	326	3 568	3 894	-17	95%	79%	978	561	57%	
2001	369	4 881	348	3 410	3 758	-21	94%	77%	893	544	61%	
2001	321	4,001	304	3 229	3 533	-21	95%	75%	865	527	61%	
2002	351	4,700	336	2 957	3 293	-15	96%	70%	776	432	56%	
2000	326	4 373	308	2,307	3 074	-18	94%	74%	693	384	55%	
2005	294	4,389	286	2,666	2 952	-8	97%	67%	696	368	53%	
2006	327	4 304	314	2,635	2,002	-13	96%	69%	633	375	59%	
2000	295	3 902	281	2,000	2,545	-14	95%	68%	452	278	62%	
2008	200	3 656	270	2,575	2,865	-4	99%	78%	366	299	82%	
2009	241	0,000	216	2 288	2 504	-25	90%			258	0270	
2010	219		208	1,968	2 176	-11	95%			200		
2011	204		186	1,875	2 061	-18	91%			210		
Change	from 2001 to 2	011		1,010	2,001		0170			2.0		
<u>enange</u>	-45%		-47%	-45%	-45%					-61%		
Overall	averages											
1980 - 2	008						96%	93%				
1980 - 1	995						96%	107%				
1996 - 2	008						96%	76%			63%	
2							5070	1070			0070	

Table J Comparison of sources: NRS road deaths, hospitals emergency admissions & Police Stats 19 data

Deaths caused by road transport accidents (NRS Web site Table 6.10 Deaths from road transport accidents)
 Financial years from 1996 onwards (www.isdscotland.org/unintentional_injuries). Figures prior to 1996 raken from Table 1 of TRL report 42 *Linkage of STATS19 and Scottish hosp.* Figures on the same basis as the rest of this publication
 Children covers ages 0-15 inclusive in the Police (Stats 19) statistics, and ages 0-14 inclusive in the hospitals emergency admissions figures

	Hospital emergency admissions'													
			All a	ges		Children (0-14)								
						All types					All types			
	Pedest-	Pedal	Motor-			of road	Pedest-	Pedal	-	_	of road			
	rians	cyclists	cyclists	Car	Other	user 2	rians	cyclists	Car	Other	user 2			
1996-97	1,370	435	352	2,382	567	5,106	590	198	139	69	996			
1997-98	1,264	643	481	2,308	620	5,316	552	357	136	71	1,116			
1998-99	1,168	681	421	2,426	593	5,289	470	390	145	74	1,079			
1999-00	1,126	663	518	2,027	607	4,941	473	379	108	52	1,012			
2000-01	987	623	522	2,180	592	4,904	419	349	133	77	978			
2001-02	999	544	591	2,198	549	4,881	424	286	129	54	893			
2002-03	937	502	569	2,121	571	4,700	390	269	139	67	865			
2003-04	804	507	528	2,032	551	4,422	322	273	129	52	776			
2004-05	855	451	524	1,934	600	4,364	331	203	82	75	691			
2005-06	894	420	526	1,937	585	4,362	336	190	105	61	692			
	Reported	d killed ar	nd serious	sly injure	d (Polic	ce Stats 1	9 figures ¹)							
	All ages						Children	(0-15)						
						All types					All types			
	Pedest-	Pedal	Motor-			of road	Pedest-	Pedal			of road			
	rians	cvclists	cvclists	Car	Other	user	rians	cvclists	Car	Other	user			
1996	1 279	216	300	2 293	310	4 398	540	100	118	32	790			
1997	1,211	210	358	2,365	280	4.424	505	78	138	24	745			
1998	1 156	210	371	2 390	330	4 457	455	64	153	26	698			
1999	1 143	189	431	2 004	308	4 075	430	69	108	18	625			
2000	997	176	475	1,978	268	3 894	378	65	.00	24	561			
2001	918	171	454	1,952	263	3 758	353	56	110	25	544			
2002	893	152	456	1 782	250	3 533	340	46	111	30	527			
2003	775	139	417	1 700	262	3 293	273	48		18	432			
2004	750	128	395	1 581	220	3 074	247	40	77	20	384			
2005	743	132	405	1 457	215	2 952	244	30	69	25	368			
2006	749	141	410	1 433	216	2,002	248	40	70	17	375			
2007	654	151	421	1 270	170	2,666	185	29	55	9	278			
2008	705	164	430	1,356	190	2 845	198	20	69	12	299			
2009	556	157	375	1 252	164	2 504	156	27	65	10	258			
2010	504	145	354	1 007	166	2 176	151	24	41	11	200			
2011	556	163	326	845	171	2,061	141	23	39	7	210			
	<u>As a perc</u>	entage of	f hospital a	admission	<u>s</u>									
1996	93%	50%	85%	96%	55%	86%	92%	51%	85%	46%	79%			
1997	96%	33%	74%	102%	45%	83%	91%	22%	101%	34%	67%			
1998	99%	31%	88%	99%	56%	84%	97%	16%	106%	35%	65%			
1999	102%	29%	83%	99%	51%	82%	91%	18%	100%	35%	62%			
2000	101%	28%	91%	91%	45%	79%	90%	19%	71%	31%	57%			
2001	92%	31%	77%	89%	48%	77%	83%	20%	85%	46%	61%			
2002	95%	30%	80%	84%	44%	75%	87%	17%	80%	45%	61%			
2003	96%	27%	79%	84%	48%	74%	85%	18%	72%	35%	56%			
2004	88%	28%	75%	82%	37%	70%	75%	20%	94%	27%	56%			
2005	83%	31%	77%	75%	37%	68%	73%	16%	66%	41%	53%			
		20		2.0			/ 0							

Table K Comparison of sources: hospitals emergency admissions and Police Stats19 data

1 From ISD, identified using SMR admission type code 32 "Patient injury, Road Traffic Accident"

Road user type are bases on ICD10 diagnosis codes:

V01-V09 = "Pedestrian injured in transport accident"

V10-V19 = "Pedal cyclist injured in transport accident"

V20-V29 = "Motorcycle rider injured in transport accident"

V40-V49 = "Car occupant injured in transport accident"

the "Other" category includes users of (e.g.) buses, goods vehicles, etc - and any "road accident" deaths which are due to suicide or natural causes (which should not be counted in the "Police" figures)

Figures on the same basis as figures appearing on ISD Web site "Unintentional Injuries" Table 9b

2 May differ slightly from the overall total in Table J, due to late returns and amendments

	Road casualties - all severities (Police Stats 19 figures) ¹	Scottish Household Survey	Police Stats 19 as a % of SHS	Road casualties - all severities (Police Stats 19 figures) ¹	Scottish Household Survey	Police Stats 19 as a % of SHS
Age	2007-2011 average	2007 - 2011 average		2007-2011 average	2007 - 2011 average	
	percent	ages of adults	%	percent	ages of adults	%
All types of	of road user			Pedestrians		
16-22	0.604	2.835	21%	0.079	0.233	34%
23-29	0.415	1.768	23%	0.044	0.076	58%
30-39	0.360	1.448	25%	0.036	0.063	58%
40-49	0.293	1.352	22%	0.028	0.058	48%
50-59	0.223	1.092	20%	0.024	0.068	36%
60-69	0.167	0.749	22%	0.024	0.057	43%
70+	0.157	0.491	32%	0.036	0.071	50%
All adults	0.304	1.342	23%	0.037	0.085	43%
<u>Pedal cyc</u>	<u>lists</u>			<u>Others - driver</u>	s/riders and pa	assengers
16-22	0.017	0.094	18%	0.509	2.508	20%
23-29	0.023	0.168	13%	0.349	1.524	23%
30-39	0.025	0.176	14%	0.299	1.209	25%
40-49	0.019	0.158	12%	0.246	1.136	22%
50-59	0.010	0.105	10%	0.188	0.919	20%
60-69	0.005	0.051	10%	0.137	0.641	21%
70+	0.002	0.000	n/a	0.119	0.420	28%
All adults	0.014	0.109	13%	0.253	1.148	22%

Table L Comparison of sources: Scottish Household Survey & Police Stats 19

1 Derived from Table 32

Note that the SHS and Police Stats 19 figures are not on the same basis - for example:

- (a) the SHS respondent is asked whether he/she was injured in a road accident in the past year. An injury obtained 13-14 months ago might be counted, if the respondent couldn't remember exactly when, which could inflate the SHS figures
- (b) the word *injury* is subjective what an SHS respondent regards as an injury may differ from what the Police would count as an injury, which could also affect the comparison
- (c) the SHS data relate only to adult members of Scottish households; the Stats 19 data will include non-Scots who were injured in Scotland, and exclude Scots injured elsewhere

Article 4: Contributory Factors

Article 4. Contributory factors to reported road accidents

Summary

This article describes the scope and limitations of the information on contributory factors collected as part of the road accident reporting system and presents Scottish results from the sixth year of collection.

- Driver/rider errors or reactions were reported in 66 per cent of all reported accidents with failed to look properly the most common type (involved in 32%).
- Travelling too fast for the conditions or excessive speed was reported in 12% of all reported accidents and 26% of fatal accidents.
- Pedestrian only factors were reported in 18% of fatal accidents whilst loss of control and failed to look properly were the most frequently reported driver/rider factors (involved in 47% and 21% of fatal accidents respectively).

Note that some percentages used in this article in the 2009 and 2010 publications included a small amount of double counting of accidents where more than one contributory factor is recorded, this included the speeding and driver error/reaction percentages. For example some accidents will record both 'exceeding speed limit' and 'travelling to fast for conditions' as a contributory factors and simply adding the percentages in table M results in a small number of accidents being counted twice. The impact will be a couple of percentage points. Category totals in Table M and Table N of this publication remove double counting as do the figures for speeding.

1. Introduction

1.1 From 2005, all police forces across Great Britain reported contributory factors as part of the stats19 collection. These were developed to provide insight into why and how road accidents occur. Their aim is to help identify the key actions and failures that led directly to the actual impact: to aid investigation of how it might have been prevented. Care should always be taken when interpreting the factors as they:

- reflect the reporting officer's opinion at the time of reporting the accident (or the opinion of a person whose duties include deciding which CFs should be recorded based on the officer's report).
- are based on the information which was available at that time, so may not be the result of subsequent extensive investigation (indeed, subsequent enquiries could result in the reporting officer's opinion changing).

1.2 A reporting office attending the scene of a road accident may select up to 6 contributory factors (from a list of 77) to assign to that accident. Multiple factors may be listed against any participant or vehicles in the accident, (therefore percentages in the tables provided may not sum to 100).

1.3 Because of this, analysis of contributory factor information requires careful consideration; figures will differ depending on the focus of the analysis. Care should be taken when interpreting tables provided here which consider different aspects of the data (i.e. accidents, vehicles/participants, casualties and frequencies).

1.4 This article presents analysis from accidents in Scotland reported to the police in 2011, with the following background note describing the collection of the contributory factor system in more detail.

1.5 Note that most tables are by individual contributory factor so care needs to be taken when carrying out analysis. Adding together numbers for individual contributory factors will result in some double counting e.g. some accidents will have 'exceeding speed limit' and 'driving to fast for the conditions' recorded as a factor.

2. Accidents

Categories

2.2 Each of the 77 contributory factors fits into one of nine categories. Figure 11 shows the percentage of accidents reported to the police with associated contributory factors in each these categories.

- Driver/rider error was the most frequently reported category for each type of severity of accident and was reported in 66 per cent of fatal accidents reported to the police).
- Pedestrian contributory factors (where the factor has been attributed to an injured or uninjured pedestrian involved in the accident), were reported in 16 per cent of reported accidents, rising to 23 per cent of serious accidents.
- Injudicious action (including travelling too fast for conditions, following too close or exceeding speed limit) was involved in 23 per cent of all reported accidents, increasing to 30 per cent of fatal accidents.
- **Road environment** factors were reported in 19 per cent of reported accidents.

Figure 11: Contributory factor type: Reported accidents by severity, 2011



Factors

2.3 On average there were more than two contributory factors listed per reported accident with more factors recorded for fatal accidents and fewer for slight accidents. Table M shows the numbers (and percentages) of reported accidents in which each contributory factor was reported.

- Failed to look properly was the most frequently reported contributory factor, involved in 32 per cent of all reported accidents. This was followed by loss of control (17%) and failed to judge other person's path/speed (15%). Slippery road (13%) and careless/reckless or in a hurry, poor turn/manoeuvre and pedestrian failed to look properly (all 11%) were also in the top five.
- Travelling too fast for the conditions or excessive speed was reported in 12% of all reported accidents and 26% of fatal accidents.
- For fatal accidents, *failed to look properly* was the most frequently reported driver/rider factor involved in 21% of accidents. Travelling too fast for the conditions was involved in 19 per cent of these accidents.

2.4 Table M also shows how the incidence of some CFs varies with the severity of the accident. For example: loss of control is cited in 17% of all accidents for which CFs were recorded but 47% of fatal accidents; slippery road due to weather is cited in 13% of all accidents but 10% of fatal ones; travelling too fast for the conditions is cited in 9% of all accidents but 19% of fatal ones and exceeding speed limit is cited in 3% of all accidents but 13% of fatal ones.

2.5 Note that repeats of the same contributory factor within an accident are excluded from the table however an accident will appear more than once if more than one different contributory factor is reported.

Changes over time

2.6 Table N compares the top 10 contributory factors listed in 2011 against previous years. The ten factors remained the same in all five years, though the order and frequency changed over the 7 years of collection. The most frequently recorded factor, *failed to look properly is associated with a larger proportion of* accidents in 2011 than when the CF system was introduced in 2005.

2.7 It's not currently possible to identify whether changes are a result of reporting officers developing their understanding of the new system or a genuine change in the kinds of factors contributing to accidents reported to the police.

3. Vehicle & pedestrians

3.1 Tables O shows the number and percentage of vehicles assigned each type of contributory factor (for each vehicle involved in an accident reported to the police). Table P shows this for pedestrians only.

3.2 Tables O & P show that:

 Failed to look properly was the most frequently reported factor both overall (reported in 19% of all vehicles' factors), and for every vehicle except bus or coaches and motorcyclists.

- Sudden braking was the most frequently reported factor for bus or coaches (17%) whereas loss of control (24%) was the most commonly reported factor for motorcyclists.
- Loss of control and failed to judge other person's path/speed were the second most common factors reported for cars or taxis (10%).
- Failed to judge other person's speed/path was the second most common factor associated with cyclists (associated with 6% of bicycles).
- Failed to judge other person's speed/path was the second most common factor reported for good vehicles (reported in 12%).
- Travelling too fast for the conditions or excessive speed were associated with a total of 7% of all vehicles involved in reported accidents.
- Pedestrians involved in accidents were most likely to have *failed to look properly* as an associated contributory factor (recorded in 45% of all pedestrians), followed by careless/reckless or in a hurry (19%), impaired by alcohol (13%), crossed road masked by stationary/parked vehicle (12%) and failed to judge vehicle speed/path (11%).

3.3 Table O also shows that many contributory factors were rarely recorded for most vehicles, for example:

- **loss of control** was recorded for 24% of motorcycles but only 1% of vehicles in the bus/coach/minibus grouping;
- **sudden braking** was recorded for 17% of buses but for only 4% of all vehicles involved.

3.4 On average, fewer contributory factors were recorded for pedal cycles (an average of 0.68 per cycle involved in a reported accident) and bus or coaches (an average e of 0.76), compared to an overall average of 1.10 factors per vehicles.

3.5 Note that percentages differ from Tables M & N which presents the percentage of <u>accidents</u> with each contributory factor. As more than one vehicle may be involved in an accident, the average number of factors associated with an individual vehicle is generally lower.

Pairing of factors

3.5 Table Q shows the most frequent pairs of contributory factors assigned to the same reported road accident participant in 2011.

- The most frequently-occurring combination is *driver/rider failed to look properly* + (*driver/rider*) failed to judge other person's path/speed, which was recorded on 647 occasions.
- As would be expected, the CFs identified (earlier) as most frequent to appear in several of the most frequently-occurring combinations – for example, (driver/rider) failed to look properly occurs in four of the ten most frequently-occurring combinations.

3.6 However, the numbers indicate that even the most frequently-occurring combination of CFs arose in only a small proportion of all accidents.

4 Casualties

4.1 Tables R & S show the number (and percentage) of fatal and seriously injured casualties involved in accidents where each contributory factor was reported. Unsurprisingly the pattern is similar to that seen in Tables M & N showing the number of accidents with each factor reported. Comparison shows that accidents with *pedestrian only* factors reported had lower numbers of casualties per accident.

4.2 Note a casualty will appear in the tables against each (unique) factor associated with the accident (resulting in the casualty) and therefore may appear more than once. As with the accident tables, repeats of the same contributory factor within an accident are excluded.

Fatalities

4.3 Table R shows the Contributory Factors associated with the largest numbers of deaths were:

- loss of control 88 deaths (representing 47% of all deaths in accidents for which CFs were recorded);
- (driver/rider) failed to look properly 40 (22%);
- travelling too fast for the conditions 36 (19% of fatalities) and exceeding speed limit 25 (13% of fatalities) one or other (or both) were recorded in 26 per cent of fatalities in 2011;
- (driver/rider) careless / reckless /in a hurry 22 (12%);
- slippery road (due to weather) 18 deaths (10%)
- pedestrian failed to look properly 18 deaths (10%)

Seriously injured

4.4 Table S shows the CFs associated with the largest numbers of serious injured were:

- (driver/rider) failed to look properly 482 serious injuries (representing 26% of all serious injuries in accidents for which CFs were recorded);
- loss of control 440 serious injuries (23%);
- pedestrian failed to look properly 279 (15%)
- (driver/rider) careless / reckless / in a hurry 261 (14%);
- slippery road (due to weather) 200 (11%)
- travelling too fast for conditions 197 (11%)

5 Overall frequencies of recording

5.1 In 2011 at least one contributory factor was recorded in 99.9% of reported accidents (9,974) - there were 7 accidents without a contributory factor. A total of 21,357 factors were recorded, resulting in an average of 2.11 factors per accident.

5.2 Around 86% (18,385) of all factors listed were related to vehicles (and their drivers/rider) and the road environment). Around 13% (2,811) were related to pedestrians who were casualties. Relatively few were uninjured pedestrians (315 or 1.5%).

5.3 Table T presents a ranking of all 77 factors by the frequency of reporting in 2011. (Note that figures differ from earlier tables as repeats of factors within the same accident are counted). It is apparent that some CFs are not used often – for example, many were used fewer than 100 times.

5.4 Note that data relating to all reported CFs were used to produce Tables O to T. In cases where the same CF applies to more than one vehicle in the same accident, it is counted once for each of them. These tables therefore differ from Tables M & N (which exclude repeats of the same CF within an accident).

Possible vs. Very likely

5.5 Reporting officers record whether it was thought **very likely** or just **possible** that a factor contributed to the occurrence of the accident. Table T also shows how often each CF was described as very likely, and how often as possible.

5.6 Overall, almost three-quarters of CFs (71%) were described as very likely, but the percentage varied markedly between different CFs. Excluding those used fewer than 100 times, the following were described as **very likely** on at least 85% of occasions on which they were used:

- Crossed road masked by stationary/parked vehicle (88%)
- Pedestrian impaired by alcohol (85%);

and the following were described as very likely on fewer than 64% of the occasions on which they were used:

- Pedestrian failed to judge vehicles path or speed (64%)
- Dazzling sun (63%)
- Stationary or parked vehicle (63%)
- Road layout (e.g. bend, hill, narrow carriageway) (58%)
- Rain, sleet, snow or fog (48%)
- Distraction in vehicle (39%)

Conclusion

The collection of contributory factors has been part of the GB wide police reporting system for 7 years. It's clear that the contributory factor information can provide useful indications of the circumstances that may have led to a reported road accident. These can also be attributed to the different participants within the accident, which can help build a picture of how the accident may have occurred.

However there are limitations to the system and care should be taken when both analysing and interpreting the results. This should help ensure that the data is used in the correct manner and that consistent messages/results are achieved by users.

We welcome comments on the analysis presented here or any questions regarding the contributory factor system.

Transport Statistics Transport Scotland Victoria Quay Edinburgh EH6 6QQ Telephone: 0131 244 7254 Email: <u>Transtat@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk</u>

Background: The collection of Contributory Factor data

B1. Guidance on recording road accidents is provided in the Department for Transport's *Stats20* document which includes the following points on CFs:

- CFs reflect the reporting officer's opinion at the time of reporting, and may not be the result of extensive investigation;
- subsequent enquiries could result in a change in the reporting officer's opinion;
- the CFs are largely subjective, and depend upon the skill and experience of the investigating officer to reconstruct the events which led directly to the accident;
- the need to exercise judgement when recording CFs is unavoidable;
- CFs should be identified on the basis of evidence from sources such as witness statements and vehicle and site inspections;
- the evidence may be of variable quality, so the officer should record very likely or possible for each CF;
- when there is conflicting evidence (e.g. conflicting witness statements), the reporting officer should decide on the most credible account of the accident and base the codes on this, taking into account all other available evidence.

B2. Some CFs may be less likely than others to be recorded, since clear evidence of them may not be available, or may be very difficult to obtain, after an accident has occurred (e.g. in the case of the nervous, uncertain or panic factor). Participants and witnesses may provide incomplete or conflicting accounts of what happened. The CF data therefore depend upon the skill and experience of the reporting officer to reconstruct the events which led directly to the accident, and so are more subjective in nature than other Stats 19 data. This should be kept in mind when using these results.

B3. Regardless of the number of vehicles that were involved in the accident, *at most six* sets of CF data can be recorded per accident. Each set contains three pieces of information:

- a **factor** which is thought to have contributed to the occurrence of the accident selected from list of 77, such as:
 - exceeding speed limit (CF code 306);
 - o travelling too fast for the conditions (307);
 - o failed to look properly (405);
 - o impaired by alcohol (501);
 - o impaired by drugs (illicit or medicinal) (502)
- the **participant** in the accident to whom the factor is related:
 - o whether this is a:
 - Vehicle in which case the factor may relate to the driver/rider or to the road environment;
 - Casualty a pedestrian or a passenger in a vehicle; or
 - Uninjured pedestrian.
 - o if a Vehicle or a Casualty, the relevant Stats 19 reference
- whether it was thought very **likely** or just **possible** that this factor contributed to the occurrence of the accident

Therefore more than one factor may be recorded for the same participant and any given factor may be recorded for two or more different participants, subject to the limit of a maximum of six sets of CF data per accident.

B4. Appendix B of this publication illustrates the CF codes and their descriptions, including a brief set of completion instructions for the reporting officer. More detailed information is available in the DfT's Stats 20 document (pages 10; 84 -101) and the procedure for allocating them – for example:

- the CFs may be recorded in any order (so nothing can be inferred from the order in which they appear);
- more than one CF may be related to the same road user; and
- the same CF may be related to more than one road user.

Worked example

B5. Clearly, there could be a lot of CF information in the case of an accident which involved several vehicles, if it was thought that several of them contributed to its occurrence. The following is an example of the potential complexity of the CF data. Car 1 is rapidly travelling along a straight road when Car 2 suddenly appears in front of it, having emerged from a pub car park. The driver of Car 1 brakes sharply, to avoid a collision. As Car 2 drives off, Car 1 is hit from behind by a motorcycle, whose rider and passenger are both killed. The following *might* be recorded as the CF data for this accident:

CF no.	Participant	Contributory Factor	How likely?
1	Car 1	Exceeding speed limit	Possible
2	Car 2	Impaired by alcohol	Possible
3	Car 2	Failed to look properly	Very likely
4	Car 1	Sudden braking	Very likely
5	Motorcycle	Following too close	Very likely
6	Motorcycle	Exceeding speed limit	Possible

This accident has *three* participants and *six* CFs, two of which are the *same* (exceeding speed limit) but apply to *different* participants (Car 1 and Motorcycle). This example will be referred to from time to time, when describing some of the CF results.

Quality

B6. As the CFs were added to the Stats 19 data specification at the start of 2005, the results for 2005 could have been affected by teething troubles. In June 2006, the Liaison Group on Road Accident Statistics (LGRAS) discussed a paper on aspects of the quality of the data. It also remains the case the recording of CFs varies between Police Forces. In 2009, there were around 2.1 CFs per accident for Scotland; varying between 1.5 and 2.6 between Forces. In addition, while most Police Forces' CFs are allocated by the reporting officer, in one Force they are allocated by a small team of specialist crash investigators. It may be that a higher degree of accuracy exists for fatal and serious accidents than for slight accidents, as the former may be attended by more experienced road policing officers.

B7. On introduction inconsistencies arose between the CF code and the Type of Participant code (around 3-4% in 2005). The most frequent problem was the combination of the CF code for pedestrian failed to look properly with the Type of Participant code for a Vehicle. In such cases, it wasn't possible to deduce (from the data) which was incorrect. Since then additional quality assurance was introduced leading to an improvement in quality (currently around 1% of cases).

B8. There may be other changes in some of the patterns of the reporting of CFs, as a result of such discussions, the introduction of additional computer cross-checks of the data, Police Forces' increasing experience of the collection and recording of such information, and the use of the data by the Police, local authorities and central government.

Table M: Contributory Factors: Reported accidents^{1,2} by severity, 2011

	Fa	atal	Ser	ious	Sli	ght	All accidents	
Contributory factor reported in accident	Number	Per cent ³	Number	Per cent ³	Number	Per cent ³	Number	Per cent ³
Road environment contributed ⁵	24	. 14	291	16	1,571	19	1,886	19
Poor or defective road surface	1	1	19	1	86	1	106	1
Deposit on road (e.g oil, mud, chippings)	2	. 1	41	2	151	2	194	2
Slippery road (due to weather)	17	10	174	9	1,120	14	1,311	13
Inadequate/masked signs or road markings	1	1	5	0	59	1	65	1
Defective traffic signals	C	0	1	0	12	0	13	0
I raffic calming (e.g road humps, chicanes)	C	0	1	0	7	0	8	0
l emporary road layout (e.g contraflow)	0		6	0	29	0	35	0
Animal or other object in corriggowov	5) J	01	J 1	228	3	294	3
Animal of other object in carnageway	1	1	20	I	115	1	142	1
Vehicle defects	6	3	24	. 1	113	1	143	1
lyres illegal, detective or under-inflated	3	2	4	0	39	0	46	0
Defective lights or indicators	(0	3	0	10	0	13	0
Defective brakes	1	1	9	0	33	0	43	0
Overloaded or poorly loaded vehicle/trailer	1	1	4		10	0	23	0
		,				0	24	0
Injudicious action (driver/rider)	53	30	349	19	1,874	23	2,276	23
Disobeyed automatic traffic signal	1	1	16	1	140	2	157	2
Disobeyed Give way or Stop sign or markings	1	1	49	3	309	4	358	4
Disobeyed double while line Disobeyed podestrian crossing facility	1	1	10	1	11	0	19	0
Illegal turn or direction of travel	2	. 1	10	1	21	0	39 60	1
Exceeding speed limit	22	13	75	4	232	3	329	3
Travelling too fast for the conditions	34	. 19	166	9	705	9	905	9
Following too close	3	2	29	2	524	6	556	6
Vehicle travelling along pavement	C	0	7	0	12	0	19	0
Cyclist entering road from pavement	C	0	13	1	48	1	61	1
Driver/rider error or reaction ⁵	128	73	1,000	54	5,458	67	6,586	66
Junction overshoot	C	0	23	1	187	2	210	2
Junction restart	C	0	8	0	42	1	50	1
Poor turn or manoeuvre	16	9	192	10	905	11	1,113	11
Failed to signal / misleading signal	C	0	8	0	85	1	93	1
Failed to look properly (D/R)	37	21	439	24	2,673	33	3,149	32
Failed to judge other pers path/speed (D/R)	14	8	159	9	1,364	17	1,537	15
Passing too close to cyclist/horse/pedestrian	2	1	36	2	1/4	2	212	2
Sudden braking	C) J	/4	4	203	0	203	0
l oss of control	82	· 5 · 47	49 356	10	1 289		1 727	17
Impairment or distraction (driver/rider) ⁵	42		404	11	749	,0	054	10
Impairment of distraction (driver/hder)	42	24	194		274	9	904 370	10
Impaired by drugs (illicit/medicinal) (D/R)	10	2	, , 0	- 0	46	1	59	
Fatique	2	5	27	1	78	1	113	1
Uncorrected defective evesight	2	1	6	0	. 0	0	13	0
Illness or disability (mental/physic) (D/R)	13	7	31	2	99	1	143	1
Not display lights at night / in poor visib	C	0	7	0	14	0	21	0
Cyclist wearing dark clothing at night	1	1	8	0	17	0	26	0
Driver using mobile phone	C	0	5	0	10	0	15	0
Distraction in vehicle	5	3	36	2	144	2	185	2
Distraction outside vehicle	C	0	14	1	93	1	107	1
Behaviour or inexperience (driver/rider) ⁵	43	24	327	18	1,343	17	1,713	17
Aggressive driving	10	6	40	2	143	2	193	2
Careless / reckless /in a hurry (D/R)	22	13	218	12	885	11	1,125	11
Nervous / uncertain / panic	1	1	18	1	97	1	116	1
Driving too slow for condits / slow vehicle	C	0	1	0	6	0	7	0
Inexperienced or learner driver/rider	11	6	72	4	253	3	336	3
Inexperience of driving on the left	3	2	12	1	40	U	55	1
inexperience with type of vehicle	4	. 1	10		21	1	69	1

Fa	ital	Ser	ious	Sli	ght	All ac	cidents
Number	Per cent ³	Number	Per cent ³	Number	Per cent ³	Number	Per cent ³
17	10	140	8	643	8	800	8
2	1	35	2	148	2	185	2
1	1	4	0	9	0	14	0
1	1	28	2	63	1	92	1
0	0	3	0	14	0	17	0
1	1	2	0	15	0	18	0
4	2	29	2	179	2	212	2
6	3	24	1	172	2	202	2
2	1	1	0	18	0	21	0
0	0	2	0	4	0	6	0
2	1	19	1	68	1	89	1
32	18	416	23	1,129	14	1,577	16
2	1	75	4	203	2	280	3
18	10	276	15	791	10	1,085	11
12	7	73	4	167	2	252	3
0	0	33	2	65	1	98	1
7	4	41	2	99	1	147	1
14	8	97	5	197	2	308	3
2	1	13	1	23	0	38	0
7	4	111	6	334	4	452	5
10	6	47	3	51	1	108	1
2	1	16	1	39	0	57	1
14	8	65	4	329	4	408	4
2	1	8	0	37	0	47	0
1	1	4	0	18	0	23	0
2	1	3	0	21	0	26	0
0	0	4	0	25	0	29	0
10	6	47	3	235	3	292	3
176		1,847		8,127		9,974	100
481		3,742		17,134		21,357	
2.7		2.0		2.1		2.1	
-	Number 17 2 1 1 0 1 4 6 2 32 2 18 12 0 7 14 2 14 2 14 2 14 2 14 2 14 2 14 2 14 2 15 16 176 481 2.7	Patal Number Per cent ³ 17 10 2 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 4 2 6 3 2 1 0 0 2 1 32 18 2 1 18 10 12 7 0 0 7 4 10 6 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 0 0	PatalSerNumberPer cent³Number171014021351141128003111242422963242110022119321841621751810276127730033744111489721137411110647213004106471761,8474813,7422.72.0	PatalSeriousNumberPer cent3NumberPer cent317101408213521140112820030112042292632412119132184162321191321841623217541810276151277340033274411214897521131741116106473213000401064731761,8474812.72.02.0	PatalSeriousSinNumberPer cent3Number1710140864321 35 214811409112826300301411201542292179632411722110180020421191683218416231,1292175420318102761579112773416700332657441129914897519721131237411163341064735121803711401821302100422351064732351761,8478,1274813,74217,1342.72.02.1	PatalSeriousSlightNumberPer cent3NumberPer cent3Number Per cent317101408643821352148211409011282631003014011201504229217926324117222110180002040211916813218416231,12914217542032181027615791101277341672003326517441129911489751972211312307411163344106473511211302101486543294213021000402501064732353176<	ParalSeriousSlightAll acNumberPer cent3NumberPer cent3NumberPer cent3Number1710140864388002135214821851140901411282 63 192003014017112015018422921792202211018021002040621191 68 1893218416231,129141,577217542032280181027615791101,08512773416722520033265198744112991147148975197230821131230387411163344452106473511108218037047114018023 <tr< td=""></tr<>

¹ Includes only accidents where a police officer attended the scene.

² Includes only one count of a CF per accident.

³ Columns won't sum to 100 per cent as accidents can have more than one CF.

⁴ Includes all contributory factors eg if two cars are involved in the same accident and both are exceeding the speed limit this would count as 2 CFs.

⁵ Accidents with more than one CF in a category are only counted once in the category total.

	20	07	200)8	2009		201	10	201	1
Contributory factor reported in accident ²	Number	Per cent ³	Number	Per cent ³	Number	Per cent ³	Number	Per cent ³	Number	Per cent ³
Failed to look property (D/D)	2 2 4 2	07	0.074	20	2 202	20	2.050	20	2 4 4 0	22
Falled to look properly (D/R)	3,343	27	3,371	28	3,303	29	3,050	30	3,149	32
Loss of control	2,280	18	2,267	19	2,258	20	1,880	18	1,727	17
Failed to judge other pers path/speed (D/R)	1,881	15	1,997	16	1,906	16	1,699	17	1,537	15
Slippery road (due to weather)	1,479	12	1,662	14	1,688	15	1,690	16	1,311	13
Poor turn or manoeuvre	1,413	11	1,359	11	1,397	12	1,180	11	1,113	11
Careless / reckless /in a hurry (D/R)	1,664	13	1,520	13	1,357	12	1,115	11	1,125	11
Travelling too fast for the conditions	1,224	10	1,203	10	1,221	11	1,067	10	905	9
Pedestrian failed to look properly	1,460	12	1,389	11	1,170	10	1,059	10	1,085	11
Sudden braking	791	6	800	7	707	6	634	6	583	6
Following too close	689	6	608	5	646	6	613	6	556	6
Total reported accidents ¹	12,506	100	12,158	100	11,556	100	10,295	100	9,974	100

1 Includes only accidents where a police officer attended the scene and in which a contributory factor was reported.

2 Includes only the ten most frequently reported contributory factor citied in 2011. Factors not shown may also have been reported.

3 Columns won't sum to 100 per cent as accidents can have more than one CF

Table O: Contributory factors: vehicles, 2011

	Dedele		Matara		Cor 8 T		Bus, coad	:h &	0		04	_	A II	
	Number	ycie %	Number	ycie %	Number	axis %	Number	s %	Number	35 %	Number	er %	Number	%
Road environment contributed ³	19	2	144	17	1,497	12	29	4	39	3	110	30	1,838	11
Poor or defective road surface	8	1	19	2	71 120	1	1	0	3	0	1	0	103	1
Slippery road (due to weather)	6	1	60	7	1,158	9	16	2	88	7	25	7	1,353	8
Inadequate/masked signs or road markings	1	0	0	0	57	0	4	1	3	0	2	1	67	0
Defective traffic signals	0	0	1	0	14	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	16	0
Temporary road layout (eg contraflow)	2	0	2	0	э 27	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	36	0
Road layout (eg bend, hill, narrow c-way)	4	0	12	1	268	2	6	1	18	1	7	2	315	2
Animal or other object in carriageway	1	0	24	3	100	1	2	0	6	0	3	1	136	1
Vehicle defects ³	14	2	12	1	82	1	5	1	7	1	23	6	143	1
Tyres illegal, defective or under-inflated	0	0	2	0	40	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	46	0
Defective lights of indicators	4 11	1	6	1	18	0	2	0	5	0	1	0	43	0
Defective steering or suspension	0	0	1	0	18	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	23	0
Overloaded or poorly loaded vehicle/trailer	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	14	1	5	1	24	0
Injudicious action (driver/rider) ³	94	11	114	14	1,824	14	37	6	43	3	164	45	2,276	14
Disobeyed automatic traffic signal	9	1	6	1	133	1	5	1	9	1	6	2	168	1
Disobeyed Give way of Stop sign of markings Disobeyed double white line	0	0	2	0	13	2	0	0	19	2	1	2	19	2
Disobeyed pedestrian crossing facility	5	1	1	0	23	0	5	1	3	0	2	1	39	0
Illegal turn or direction of travel	4	0	3	0	49	0	0	0	4	0	1	0	61	0
Exceeding speed limit Travelling too fast for the conditions	1 18	2	29	4 8	282 746	2	3	0	14 64	1	6 15	2	335	2
Following too close	2	0	19	2	487	4	18	3	56	4	9	2	591	4
Vehicle travelling along pavement	6	1	2	0	8	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	19	0
Cyclist entering road from pavement	53	6	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	0
Driver/rider error or reaction ³	203	24	358	43	5,115	40	223	33	146	12	540	148	6,585	39
Junction overshoot	13	2	3	0	180	1	1	0	10	1	3	1	210	1
Poor turn or manoeuvre	27	3	62	7	43 860	7	43	6	120	10	33	9	1.145	7
Failed to signal / misleading signal	5	1	1	0	72	1	3	0	7	1	6	2	94	1
Failed to look properly (D/R)	133	16	86	10	2,614	20	74	11	250	20	77	21	3,234	19
Palled to judge other pers path/speed (D/R) Passing too close to cyclist/borse/pedestri	48	6 1	76 3	9	1,239	10	54 12	2	149	12	42	12	1,608	10
Sudden braking	4	0	63	8	383	3	111	17	35	3	16	4	612	4
Swerved	13	2	22	3	258	2	5	1	26	2	5	1	329	2
Loss of control	47	5	202	24	1,334	10	9	1	111	9	27	7	1,730	10
Impairment or distraction (driver/rider) ³	35	4	27	3	812	6	9	1	16	1	54	15	953	6
Impaired by alcohol (D/R)	/	1	19	2	323	3	2	0	13	1	1	2	3/1	2
Fatigue	0	0	1	0	92	1	0	0	18	1	3	1	114	1
Uncorrected defective eyesight	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0
Illness or disability (mental/physic) (D/R)	3	0	0	0	131	1	1	0	6	0	2	1	143	1
Cyclist wearing dark clothing at night	22	2 3	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0
Driver using mobile phone	1	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	15	0
Distraction in vehicle	0	0	1	0	168	1	3	0	13	1	0	0	185	1
	1	0	4	0	88	1	4	1	12	1	1	0	110	1
Behaviour or inexperience (driver/rider)	56	7	132	16 2	1,345	11	34	5	43	3	101	28	1,711	10
Careless / reckless /in a hurry (D/R)	40	5	58	7	900	7	28	4	84	7	28	2	1,138	7
Nervous / uncertain / panic	4	0	9	1	99	1	1	0	1	0	2	1	116	1
Driving too slow for condits / slow vehicle	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	7	0
Inexperienced of learner driver/rider	8	0	53 8	0 1	41	2	1	0	2	0	2	1	337	2
Inexperience with type of vehicle	4	0	16	2	42	0	2	0	3	0	3	1	70	0
Vision affected ³	16	2	24	3	652	5	15	2	18	1	75	21	800	5
Stationary or parked vehicle	6	1	6	1	164	1	5	1	13	1	3	1	197	1
Vegetation	2	0	1	0	10	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	17	0
Buildings, road signs, street furniture	4	0	9	0	69 16	0	3	0	10	0	5 0	0	100	0
Dazzling headlights	0	0	1	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0
Dazzling sun	5	1	6	1	185	1	5	1	14	1	2	1	217	1
Rain, sleet, snow or tog Sprav from other vehicles	3	0	/ 4	1	178	1	4	1	14	1	5	1	211	1
Visor or windscreen dirty or scratched	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	0
Vehicle blind spot	1	0	0	0	54	0	3	0	26	2	6	2	90	1
Special codes ³	5	1	15	2	212	2	46	7	22	2	34	9	334	2
Stolen vehicle	0	0	5	1	38	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	47	0
Emergency vehicle on call	0	0	1	0	19	0	1	0	1	0	1 0	2	23	0
Vehicle door opened or closed negligently	1	0	0	0	21	0	1	0	4	0	2	1	29	0
Other	4	0	9	1	127	1	44	7	30	2	10	3	224	1
	578	4000	1,061	4000	14,446	4000	508	4000	1,358	400-	434	4000	18,385	40000
Average number of CEs per vehicle ²	855	100%	828	100%	12,778	100%	000	100%	1,247	100%	365	100%	16,739	100%
Average number of CFS per venicle	0.68		1.28		1.13		0.76		1.09		1.19		1.10	

Excludes invalid codes or pedestrian only factors incorrectly assigned to a vehicle.
 Includes those without any CFs.
 Vehicles with more than one CF in a category are only counted once in the category total.

Table P: Contributory factors: pedestrians¹, 2011

	Number	%	
Pedestrian failed to look properly	1,079	45	
Ped. careless / reckless /in a hurry	450	19	
Pedestrian impaired by alcohol	305	13	
Crossed road masked by stationary/parked veh	280	12	
Ped. failed to judge vehicles path or speed	250	11	
Dangerous action in carriageway (eg playing)	147	6	
Pedestrian wearing dark clothing at night	107	5	
Wrong use of pedestrian crossing facility	98	4	
Ped. disability or illness, mental/physical	57	2	
Ped. impaired by drugs (illicit/medicinal)	38	2	
Number of Contributory Factors ²	2,811		
Total number of pedestrians involved ¹	2,374		
Average number of CFs per pedestrian	1.18		

Includes pedestrians injured and non injured in the accident
 Excludes pedestrians incorrectly attributed a vehicle factor or special code
Factor with lower code	Factor with higher code	Number
		0.47
Failed to look properly (D/R)	Failed to judge other pers path/speed (D/R)	647
Poor turn or manoeuvre	Failed to look properly (D/R)	513
Slippery road (due to weather)	Loss of control	455
Travelling too fast for the conditions	Loss of control	416
Failed to look properly (D/R)	Careless / reckless /in a hurry (D/R)	410
Slippery road (due to weather)	Travelling too fast for the conditions	328
Pedestrian failed to look properly	Ped. careless / reckless /in a hurry	314
Disobeyed Give Way or Stop sign or markings	Failed to look properly (D/R)	236
Poor turn or manoeuvre	Failed to judge other pers path/speed (D/R)	228
Crossed road masked by stationary/parked veh	Pedestrian failed to look properly	210
Loss of control	Careless / reckless /in a hurry (D/R)	207
Failed to judge other pers path/speed (D/R)	Careless / reckless /in a hurry (D/R)	204
Following too close	Failed to judge other pers path/speed (D/R)	189
Pedestrian failed to look properly	Ped. failed to judge vehicles path or speed	178
Following too close	Failed to look properly (D/R)	155
Poor turn or manoeuvre	Careless / reckless /in a hurry (D/R)	154
Loss of control	Impaired by alcohol (D/R)	150
Pedestrian failed to look properly	Pedestrian impaired by alcohol	147
Travelling too fast for the conditions	Careless / reckless /in a hurry (D/R)	138
Swerved	Loss of control	138
Exceeding speed limit	Loss of control	137
Poor turn or manoeuvre	Loss of control	125
Loss of control	Inexperienced or learner driver/rider	122
Slippery road (due to weather)	, Road layout (eg bend, hill, narrow c-way)	117
Exceeding speed limit	Careless / reckless /in a hurry (D/R)	113
Sudden braking	Loss of control	106
Travelling too fast for the conditions	Failed to look properly (D/R)	102
Slipperv road (due to weather)	Rain, sleet, snow or fog	100
Junction overshoot	Failed to look properly (D/R)	100

NOTE: the basis upon which the combinations are produce	ed is described in the text.						
However, an additional example may be helpful.							
Suppose that the "defective brakes" CF has been allocated	l to participant A,						
the "failed to look properly" CF has been allocated to two p	articipants A and B, and						
the "failed to judge other person's path/speed" CF has been allocated to participants A. B and C.							
The following combinations of CFs would be allocated to th	e same participant:						
Ŭ	A defective brakes + A failed to look						
	A defective brakes + A failed to judge						
	A failed to look + A failed to judge						
	B failed to look + B failed to judge						

Table R: Contributo	ry factors: Casualties in repo	rted accidents - fatalities, 2011
---------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------------

	Person who was killed						
	Pedestrian	pedalcyclist	motorcyclist	Car/taxi user	Other	All	as a % of all fatalities
Road environment contributed							
Poor or defective road surface	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Deposit on road (eg oil, mud, chippings)	0	0	1	1	0	2	1
Slippery road (due to weather)	0	0	0	15	3	18	10
Road layout (eg bend bill narrow c-way)	0	1	0	03	1	6	1
Animal or other object in carriageway	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Vehicle defects	0	0		°,	Ũ	-	
Tyres illegal, defective or under-inflated	0	0	1	2	0	3	2
Defective brakes	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Defective steering or suspension	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Overloaded or poorly loaded vehicle/trailer	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Injudicious action (driver/rider)							
Disobeyed automatic traffic signal	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
Disobeyed double white line	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
Disobeyed pedestrian crossing facility	2	0	0	0	0	2	1
Exceeding speed limit	0	0	7	17	0	25	13
Travelling too fast for the conditions	4	1	6	25	Ő	36	19
Following too close	0	0	2	0	1	3	2
Driver/rider error or reaction							
Poor turn or manoeuvre	0	0	6	9	2	17	9
Failed to look properly (D/R)	17	1	10	10	2	40	22
Failed to judge other pers path/speed (D/R)	4	0	2	6	2	14	8
Passing too close to cyclist/horse/pedestri	2	0	0	0	0	2	1
Sudden braking	0	0	3	2	1	6	3
Swerved	0	1	∠ 17	3 50	3	9	5 17
Impairment or distraction (driver/rider)	2	5	17		'	00	77
Impaired by alcohol (D/R)	1	0	1	16	2	20	11
Impaired by drugs (illicit/medicinal) (D/R)	1	0	0	3	1	5	3
Fatigue	0	0	0	8	0	8	4
Uncorrected defective eyesight	1	1	0	0	0	2	1
Illness or disability (mental/physic) (D/R)	1	0	0	13	1	15	8
Cyclist wearing dark clothing at night	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Distraction in vehicle	2	0	0	3	0	5	3
Behaviour or inexperience (driver/rider)	4	0		7	0	44	C
Aggressive driving Careless / reckless /in a burn/ (D/R)	1	0	6	/	1	22	0 12
Nervous / uncertain / panic	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Inexperienced or learner driver/rider	1	0	5	6	0	12	6
Inexperience of driving on the left	0	0	1	2	0	3	2
Inexperience with type of vehicle	0	0	0	3	0	3	2
Vision affected							
Stationary or parked vehicle	2	0	0	0	0	2	1
Vegetation	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Road layout (eg bend, winding rd, nill crest	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Dazzling headights	1	0	1	1	1	4	2
Rain, sleet, snow or fog	3	1	0	2	0	6	3
Spray from other vehicles	0	0	1	0	1	2	1
Vehicle blind spot	1	1	0	0	0	2	1
Pedestrian only							
Crossed road masked by stationary/parked veh	2	0	0	0	0	2	1
Pedestrian failed to look properly	18	0	0	0	0	18	10
Ped. failed to judge vehicles path or speed	12	0	0	0	0	12	6
Dangerous action in carriageway (eg playing)	1	0	0	0	0		4
Ped impaired by drugs (illicit/medicinal)	14	0	0	0	0	14	0
Ped_careless / reckless /in a hurry	7	0	0	0	0	7	4
Pedestrian wearing dark clothing at night	10	0	0	0	0	10	5
Ped. disability or illness, mental/physical	2	0	0	0	0	2	1
Special codes							
Stolen vehicle	1	0	1	0	0	2	1
Vehicle in course of crime	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Emergency vehicle on call	0	0	0	1	1	2	1
	3	0	2	2	3	10	5
Total Road fatalities	43	7	33	90	13	186	100%

 NB: As described in the text, an accident will be counted once for each combination of CF (excluding "repeats") and death.

 For example, an accident with four different CFs and three deaths would be counted twelve times in this table - each death would be counted against the first CF, then against the second CF, and so on. As a result, the percentages would total far more than 100%.

 However, "repeats" are excluded: if the same CF applies to two different participants, each death will be counted only once against that CF.

	Person who was seriously injured							
Road environment contributed	Pedestrian	pedalcyclist	motorcyclist	Car/taxi user	Other	All	casualties	
Poor or defective road surface	0	4	6	13	1	24	1	
Deposit on road (eg oil, mud, chippings)	2	0	17	37	1 20	57 200	3	
Inadequate/masked signs or road markings	10	0	0	4	20	200	0	
Defective traffic signals	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	
Traffic calming (eg road humps, chicanes) Temporary road layout (eg contraflow)	0	0	0	03	1	1	0	
Road layout (eg bend, hill, narrow c-way)	2	2	15	54	5	78	4	
Animal or other object in carriageway	0	2	14	11	0	27	1	
Vehicle defects							0	
Tyres liegal, defective or under-inflated	3	0	1	4	0	8	0	
Defective brakes	0	3	1	4	1	9	0	
Defective steering or suspension	0	0	0	2	4	6	0	
Diversided of poorly loaded vehicle/trailer	0	0	1	4	2	1	0	
Disobeved automatic traffic signal	5	1	5	5	1	17	0	
Disobeyed Give Way or Stop sign or markings	1	15	5	35	2	58	3	
Disobeyed double white line	0	0	3	8	1	12	1	
Disobeyed pedestrian crossing facility	9	1	1	0 18	0	11 24	1	
Exceeding speed limit	4	3	11	70	6	94	5	
Travelling too fast for the conditions	7	5	31	138	16	197	11	
Vehicle travelling along pavement	5	2	5	23	4	34	2	
Cyclist entering road from pavement	0	13	0	0	0	13	1	
Driver/rider error or reaction	_						0	
Junction overshoot	0	4	1	20	1	26	1	
Poor turn or manoeuvre	19	20	60	105	17	221	12	
Failed to signal / misleading signal	0	1	1	5	2	9	0	
Failed to look properly (D/R)	113	84	94	163	28	482	26	
Passing too close to cvclist/horse/pedestri	13	24	45		0	36	2	
Sudden braking	5	4	23	27	25	84	4	
Swerved	7	3	14	34	5	63	3	
Impoirment or distruction (driver/rider)	7	17	92	294	30	440	23	
Impairment or distraction (driver/rider) Impaired by alcohol (D/R)	5	2	8	76	3	94	5	
Impaired by drugs (illicit/medicinal) (D/R)	2	0	0	9	2	13	1	
Fatigue	3	0	2	30	6	41	2	
Illness or disability (mental/physic) (D/R)	2	2	2	4 30	7	ہ 41	2	
Not display lights at night / in poor visib	0	6	0	2	0	8	0	
Cyclist wearing dark clothing at night	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	
Distraction in vehicle	2	2	1	43	5	53	3	
Distraction outside vehicle	3	0	1	10	1	15	1	
Behaviour or inexperience (driver/rider)	6	4	0	29	5	51	2	
Careless / reckless /in a hurry (D/R)	32	20	46	150	13	261	14	
Nervous / uncertain / panic	1	3	2	14	1	21	1	
Driving too slow for condits / slow vehicle	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	
Inexperience of driving on the left	0	0	4	17	4	93 21	5	
Inexperience with type of vehicle	1	1	7	11	1	21	1	
Vision affected								
Vegetation	28	2	3	3	0	35 5	2	
Road layout (eg bend, winding rd, hill crest	1	2	9	14	5	31	2	
Buildings, road signs, street furniture	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	
Dazzling headigns	5	8	5	12	1	31	2	
Rain, sleet, snow or fog	7	0	5	12	3	27	1	
Spray from other vehicles	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	
Visor of windscreen diffy of scratched Vehicle blind spot	10	3	2	4	0	3 19	1	
Pedestrian only								
Crossed road masked by stationary/parked veh	75	0	1	0	0	76	4	
Pedestrian failed to look property Ped, failed to judge vehicles path or speed	269	4	0	3	2	279	15	
Wrong use of pedestrian crossing facility	33	1	0	0	0	34	2	
Dangerous action in carriageway (eg playing)	41	0	0	0	0	41	2	
Ped impaired by drugs (illicit/medicinal)	96 13	0	0	2	0	98 13	5	
Ped. careless / reckless /in a hurry	109	1	0	0	2	112	6	
Pedestrian wearing dark clothing at night	47	0	0	0	0	47	3	
Ped. disability or illness, mental/physical	14	0	0	2	0	16	1	
Stolen vehicle	1	0	2	4	2	9	0	
Vehicle in course of crime	3	0	0	0	1	4	0	
Emergency vehicle on call	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	
Other	0 12	3	0 5	1 18	0 13	4 50	0 3	
All serious injuries	513	156	293	779	134	1.875	100%	

 NB: As described in the text, an accident will be counted once for each combination of CF (excluding "repeats") and serious injury.

 For example, an accident with four different CFs and three serious injury would be counted twelve times in this table - each serious injury would be counted against the first CF, then against the second CF, and so on. As a result, the percentages would total far more than 100%.

 However, "repeats" are excluded: if the same CF applies to two different participants, each serious injury will be counted only once against that CF.

					As a % of all
					contributory
Rank	Contributory Factor reported in each accident	Very likely	Possible	Total	factors ¹
1	Failed to look properly (D/R)	2,498	737	3,235	15%
2	Loss of control	1,464	267	1,731	8%
3	Failed to judge other pers path/speed (D/R)	1.072	537	1,609	8%
4	Slipperv road (due to weather)	1 040	335	1 375	6%
5	Poor furn or manoeuvre	848	297	1 145	5%
6	Careless / reckless /in a burry (D/P)	700	/30	1 1 3 0	5%
0	Dedeetrion foiled to look properly	700	433	1,133	578
/	Pedestrian failed to look properly	915	175	1,090	5%
8	Travelling too fast for the conditions	489	424	913	4%
9	Sudden braking	431	181	612	3%
10	Following too close	333	258	591	3%
11	Ped. careless / reckless /in a hurry	357	97	454	2%
12	Impaired by alcohol (D/R)	299	72	371	2%
13	Disobeved Give Way or Stop sign or markings	309	50	359	2%
14	Inexperienced or learner driver/rider	212	125	337	2%
15	Exceeding speed limit	159	176	335	2%
16	Exceeding speed infin	220	00	220	270
10	Bood lovout (og bood bill porrow o wov)	239	107	329	2 /0
17	Road layout (eg bend, nill, narrow c-way)	186	137	323	2%
18	Pedestrian impaired by alcohol	262	46	308	1%
19	Other	258	42	300	1%
20	Crossed road masked by stationary/parked veh	249	33	282	1%
21	Ped. failed to judge vehicles path or speed	162	90	252	1%
22	Dazzling sun	137	80	217	1%
23	Passing too close to cvclist/horse/pedestri	150	62	212	1%
24	Rain sleet snow or fog	101	110	211	1%
25	lunction overshoot	159	51	210	1%
25	Stationary or parked vehicle	100	74	100	1 /0
20	Denesities read (as sil mud chinaiase)	124	74	190	1 70
27	Deposit on road (eg oil, mud, chippings)	143	55	198	1%
28	Aggressive driving	152	44	196	1%
29	Distraction in vehicle	72	113	185	1%
30	Disobeyed automatic traffic signal	126	42	168	1%
31	Dangerous action in carriageway (eg playing)	122	26	148	1%
32	Animal or other object in carriageway	113	33	146	1%
33	Illness or disability (mental/physic) (D/R)	90	53	143	1%
34	Nervous / uncertain / panic	53	63	116	1%
25	Fotiguo	51	62	110	10/
35	Distraction autoida unhiele	51	40	114	170
30	Distraction outside venicle	62	49	111	1%
37	Pedestrian wearing dark clothing at hight	89	19	108	1%
38	Poor or defective road surface	68	38	106	0%
39	Wrong use of pedestrian crossing facility	77	23	100	0%
40	Road layout (eg bend, winding rd, hill crest	55	45	100	0%
41	Failed to signal / misleading signal	50	44	94	0%
42	Vehicle blind spot	41	49	90	0%
43	Inexperience with type of vehicle	33	37	70	0%
44	Inadequate/masked signs or road markings	36	33	69	0%
45	Illegal turn or direction of travel	54	7	61	0%
46	Cyclict optoring road from payament	51	10	61	0%
40	Cyclist entering road from pavement	31	10	50	0 %
47	Impaired by drugs (illicit/medicinal) (D/R)	40	19	59	0%
48	Ped. disability or illness, mental/physical	34	23	57	0%
49	Inexperience of driving on the left	39	16	55	0%
50	Junction restart	37	13	50	0%
51	Stolen vehicle	42	5	47	0%
52	Tyres illegal, defective or under-inflated	26	20	46	0%
53	Defective brakes	21	22	43	0%
54	Disobeved pedestrian crossing facility	31	 8	30	0%
55	Ped impaired by drugs (illicit/medicinal)	10	10	38	0%
55	Temperany read layout (or controllow)	10	25	20	0%
50		13	25	30	0 %
57	venicle door opened or closed negligently	26	3	29	0%
58	Emergency vehicle on call	22	4	26	0%
59	Cyclist wearing dark clothing at night	21	5	26	0%
60	Overloaded or poorly loaded vehicle/trailer	11	13	24	0%
61	Vehicle in course of crime	21	2	23	0%
62	Defective steering or suspension	9	14	23	0%
63	Spray from other vehicles	13	10	23	0%
64	Not display lights at night / in poor visib	17	4	21	0%
65	Vehicle travelling along pavement	16	2	10	0%
60	Discheved double white line	10	1	10	0.0
00		18	1	19	0%
67	Dazzing neadignts	9	9	18	0%
68	Buildings, road signs, street furniture	10	7	17	0%
69	Vegetation	9	8	17	0%
70	Defective traffic signals	12	4	16	0%
71	Driver using mobile phone	5	10	15	0%
72	Defective lights or indicators	7	6	13	0%
73	Uncorrected defective evesight	4	9	13	0%
74	Traffic calming (eg road humps, chicanes)	4	4	8	0%
75	Driving too slow for condits / slow vehicle	4	3	7	0%
76	Visor or windscreen dirty or scratched	т 5	1	, e	0%
10	All	15 236	6 1 2 1	21 357	100%

 All
 1, Includes all contributory factors reported, even where the same CF is assigned more than once to an accident (i.e. to more than one particpant). Therefore the total differs from earlier tables.

 (D/R)
 indicates Driver/Rider

STATISTICAL TABLES

Reported Road Accidents

Population, vehicles licensed, road lengths, traffic on all roads and on M & A roads, reported injury accidents, vehicles involved and casualties: Years: 1953 to 2011

	Population	Vehicles	Road	Traffic on	Traffic on	Injury	Vehicles	
Year		licensed ^(1,2)	lengths	all roads	M & A roads	accidents	involved	Casualties
	Million	Million	Thousand km	Million vehicle km	Million vehicle km	Number	Number	Number
1052	E 100							40.040
1953	5.100							18,343
1955	5 111		44 1					20 899
1956	5 120		44.1					21,459
1957	5.125		44.6					21,417
1958	5.141		44.8					22.830
1959	5.163		45.0					25,011
1960	5.178		45.2					26,315
1961	5.184		45.4					27,362
1962	5.198	0.775	45.6					26,703
1963	5.205	0.836	45.8					27,728
1964	5.209	0.900	45.9					30,527
1965	5.210	0.951	46.2					31,827
1966	5.201	0.991	46.4			23,225		32,280
1967	5.198	1.035	46.4			22,838		31,760
1968	5.200	1.065	46.4			22,120		30,649
1969	5.208	1.106	47.0			21,863	31,885	31,056
1970	5.214	1.124	47.2			22,133	33,430	31,240
1971	5.236	1.135	47.5			22,332	32,165	31,194
1972	5.231	1.181	47.9			22,703	32,832	31,762
1973	5.234	1.232	40.0			22,560	32,951	31,404
1974	5 232	1.274	40.3			20,561	30,073	28,783
1976	5 233	1 314	48.9			21 751	32 547	20,021
1977	5 226	1.014	48.9			21,731	32,893	29,783
1978	5.212	1.308	48.9			22.107	33,965	30,506
1979	5.204	1.353	49.3			23,064	35,512	31,387
1980	5.193	1.398	49.4			21,788	33,626	29,286
1981	5.180	1.397	50.0			21,485	33,311	28,766
1982	5.165	1.416	50.2			20,850	32,192	28,273
1983	5.148	1.448	50.4			19,434	29,918	25,224
1984	5.139	1.489	50.6			19,974	31,236	26,158
1985	5.128	1.514	50.7		17,219	20,644	32,446	27,287
1986	5.112	1.546	50.8		17,647	19,819	30,983	26,117
1987	5.099	1.575	51.2		18,767	18,657	29,454	24,748
1988	5.077	1.657	51.3		20,098	19,097	30,465	25,425
1989	5.078	1.729	51.6		21,404	20,605	33,221	27,532
1990	5.081	1.788	51.7		21,786	20,171	32,423	27,228
1991	5.083	1.830	51.9		21,947	19,004	30,897	25,346
1992	5.086	1.884	52.0		22,575	18,008	29,306	24,173
1993	5.092	1.874	52.1	35,175	22,000	10,085	27,300	22,414
1994	5.102 5.104	1.900	52.3	36,000	23,300	16,708	27,094	22,573
1995	5.002	1.910	52.0	30,730	23,307	16.072	26,676	22,134
1990	5.092	2 023	53.1	38 582	24,039	16,073	20,070	21,710
1997	5.003	2.023	53.3	30,302	25,452	16,519	20,207	22,023
1999	5.072	2.073	53.5	39 770	26,000	15 415	25 834	21,407
2000	5.062	2.101	52.0	30,561	25,100	15,413	25,054	21,002
2000	5.003	2.100	55.9	39,301	25,957	13,132	23,337	20,518
2001	5.064	2.262	54.1	40,065	26,342	14,724	24,872	19,911
2002	5.055	2.330	54.6	41,535	27,263	14,343	24,154	19,275
2003	5.057	2.383	54.5	42,038	27,682	13,917	23,458	18,756
2004	5.078	2.448	54.5	42,705	28,209	13,919	23,403	18,502
2005	5 095	2 531	54.8	42 718	28 055	13 438	22 476	17 885
2005	5.033 E 117	2.551	54.0	44,110	20,000	12,110	21,470	17,005
2006	5.117	2.564	54.9	44,119	28,898	13,110	21,959	17,209
2007	5.144	2.627	55.1	44,666	28,986	12,506	20,803	16,238
2000	5.109 5.104	2.000	55.∠	44,470	20,01U 20.0€1	12,100	20,219	15,591
2009	5.194	2.004	50.4	44,219	20,901	10.205	17.044	10,043
2010	5.222	2.005	52.1	43,488	20,495	10,295 0 071	16,241	13,338
2011	5.255	2.091	0.00	43,390	20,000	3,314	10,739	12,110
2004-08 average	5.121	2.567	54.9	43,736	28,592	13,026	21,772	17,097
2007-2011 average	5.197	2.670	54.7	44,047	28,764	11,298	18,878	14,596
Dor cont changes:								
2011 on 2010	0.6	0.2	67	0.2	0.2	2.4	2.0	10
2011 011 2010	0.0	0.2	0.7	-0.2	0.2	-0.1	-2.9	-4.0
2011 00 2004-08 AVE	∠.b	4.8	1.3	-U.8	-0.1	-23.4	-23.1	-25.3

1. Figures from 1993 onwards are on a different basis from those for previous years, due to a change in the source of the data. 2. DfT have revised stock figures from 2006 to 2009 - see http://www.dft.gov.uk/pgr/statistics/datatablespublications/vehicles/licensing/latest/notesvls.pdf

Table 2(a): Reported accidents by severity,1950-2011

ACCIDENTS





Table 2(b): Reported casualties by severity,1950-2011





Reported accidents and casualties by severity Years: 1938 to 2010

_			Accidents					Casualties	5	
Voor	Fatal	Sariaua	Clight	Fatal &	All	Killod	Serious	Slight	Killed &	All
Tear	Falai	Serious	Silght	Serious	Seventies	Killed	injury	injury	Serious	numbers
1938						655	5,309	14,451	5,964	20,415
1947						554				14,655
1948						534				13,635
1949						535				14,706
1950				••		529	4,553	10,774	5,082	15,856
1951						544	4,545	11,806	5,089	16,895
1952						485	4,424	11,638	4,909	16,547
1953						579	5,170	12,594	5,749	18,343
1954				••		545	4,875	13,481	5,420	18,901
1955				•		610	5,096	15,193	5,706	20,899
1956						540	5,049	15,870	5,589	21,459
1957				••		55U 605	5,000	10,001	5,007	21,417
1959			••	••		604	6 336	18 071	6 940	25,030
1960				•		648	6 632	19 035	7 280	26,315
1961						671	7.228	19,463	7,899	27,362
1962						664	7.052	18,987	7,716	26.703
1963						712	7,227	19,789	7,939	27,728
1964						754	8,136	21,637	8,890	30,527
1965						743	8,744	22,340	9,487	31,827
1966					. 23,225	790	9,253	22,237	10,043	32,280
1967					. 22,838	778	9,258	21,724	10,036	31,760
1968					. 22,120	769	9,493	20,387	10,262	30,649
1969					. 21,863	892	9,831	20,333	10,723	31,056
1970	758	7,860	13,515	8,618	8 22,133	815	10,027	20,398	10,842	31,240
1971	785	7,867	13,680	8,652	2 22,332	866	9,947	20,381	10,813	31,194
1972	770	7,965	13,968	8,735	5 22,703	855	10,000	20,907	10,855	31,762
1973	783	8,056	13,741	8,839	22,580	855	10,094	20,455	10,949	31,404
1974	763	7,548	12,270	8,311	20,581	825	9,522	18,436	10,347	28,783
1975	699 697	6,912	13,041	7,011	20,032	709	8 ,119	19,073	9,548	28,021
1970	007 707	0,923	14,141	7,010	21,751	703	0,720	20,430	9,503	29,933
1977	730	7,003	13,000	8 181	27,078	820	0,000	20,122	10 160	29,703
1979	728	7,536	14 800	8 264	22,107	810	9 241	20,007	10,103	31 387
1980	644	7.218	13.926	7.862	21.788	700	8.839	19.747	9.539	29.286
1981	610	7.265	13.610	7.875	21,485	677	8.840	19.249	9.517	28,766
1982	640	7.421	12.789	8.061	20.850	701	9.260	18.312	9.961	28.273
1983	568	6,429	12,437	6,997	19,434	624	7,633	16,967	8,257	25,224
1984	537	6,547	12,890	7,084	19,974	599	7,727	17,832	8,326	26,158
1985	550	6,507	13,587	7,057	20,644	602	7,786	18,899	8,388	27,287
1986	537	6,182	13,100	6,719	9 19,819	601	7,422	18,094	8,023	26,117
1987	517	5,568	12,572	6,085	5 18,657	556	6,707	17,485	7,263	24,748
1988	499	5,602	12,996	6,101	19,097	554	6,732	18,139	7,286	25,425
1989	496	5,814	14,295	6,310	20,605	553	6,998	19,981	7,551	27,532
1990	491	5,237	14,443	5,728	8 20,171	546	6,252	20,430	6,798	27,228
1991	443	4,724	13,837	5,167	19,004	491	5,638	19,217	6,129	25,346
1992	426	4,268	13,314	4,694	18,008	463	5,176	18,534	5,639	24,173
1993	359	3,651	12,675	4,010	16,685	399	4,454	17,561	4,853	22,414
1994	319	4,324	12,125	4,643	5 16,768	363	5,208	17,002	5,571	22,573
1995	361	4,071	12,102	4,432	16,534	409	4,930	16,855	5,339	22,194
1996	316	3,315	12,442	3,631	16,073	357	4,041	17,318	4,398	21,716
1997	340	3,312	12,994	3,652	16,646	377	4,047	18,205	4,424	22,629
1998	339	3,318	12,802	3,007	16,519	385	4,072	18,010	4,457	22,467
1999	280	3,209	11,921	3,494	+ 15,415	310	3,700	10,927	4,075	21,002
2000	297	3,007	11,020	3,304	10,132	320	3,308	16,024	3,894	20,518
2001	309	2,840	11,070	3,149	14,724	348	3,410	16,103	3,758	19,911
2002	274	2,004	11,303	2,900	14,343	304	3,229	15,742	3,000	19,275
2003	301	2,495	11,121	2,790	13,917	330	2,957	15,403	3,293	18,750
2004	283	2,331	10,305	2,014	13,919	308	2,700	10,428	3,074	18,502
2005	204	2,232	10,922	2,310	13,438	280	2,000	14,933	2,932	17,885
2000	293	2,201	10,000	2,550		314	2,035	14,320	2,949	16,000
2007	255	2,049	10,202	2,304		∠ŏ1	2,385 0 575	10,0/2	2,000	10,238
2000	245	2,242	9,071	2,487	12,158	270	2,0/5	12,740	2,845	15,591
2009	190	1,999	9,301 8 204	2,195	10 205	210	∠,∠ŏŏ 1 069	12,009	2,304	10,043
2010	109	1,712	0,394 Q 197	1,901	10,295	208	1,900 1 975	10,102	2,170	10,000
2004-08 average	170	2 2 2 2	10 520	2 404	3,314	200	1,010	14 200	2,001	17.007
2004-00 average	200 212	2,220	9 151	2,494	11 2020	292 222	∠,000 2,219	12 1/6	2,097	1/ 506
D	212	1,000	3,101	۲,141	11,230	2.52	2,210	12,140	2,400	17,000
Per cent changes:	~ ~	<u> </u>	~ ~			10 -				
2011 on 2010	-6.9	-2.4	-3.2	-2.8	s -3.1	-10.6	-4.7	-4.1	-5.3	-4.3
∠uii un u4-uŏ average	-34.3	-24.9	-22.8	-25.9	, -23.4	-36.3	-28.0	-24.6	-28.9	-25.3

Accidents by police force area and severity Years:2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011

		Fatal	Serious	Slight	Fatal & Serious	All severities
Northern	2004-08 average	29	148	576	178	754
	2007	34	135	569	169	738
	2008	33	116	553	149	702
	2009	24	120	580	144	724
	2010	24	92	458	116	574
	2011	19	92	456	111	567
	2007-2011 average	27	111	523	138	661
Grampian	2004-08 average	41	238	926	279	1,206
	2007	35	227	952	262	1,214
	2008	28	338	1,033	366	1,399
	2009	28	286	1,016	314	1,330
	2010	33	266	791	299	1,090
	2011	22	269	726	291	1,017
	2007-2011 average	29	277	904	306	1,210
Tayside	2004-08 average	28	234	724	262	986
-	2007	30	205	692	235	927
	2008	29	211	691	240	931
	2009	21	201	687	222	909
	2010	28	154	559	182	741
	2011	23	166	561	189	750
	2007-2011 average	26	187	638	214	852
Fife	2004-08 average	15	134	514	149	663
	2007	10	120	476	130	606
	2008	13	95	468	108	576
	2009	6	100	482	106	588
	2010	13	88	455	101	556
	2011	11	80	357	91	448
	2007-2011 average	11	97	448	107	555
Lothian & Borders	2004-08 average	37	388	2,273	425	2,698
	2007	40	384	2,086	424	2,510
	2008	36	358	2,148	394	2,542
	2009	30	328	1,986	358	2,344
	2010	17	310	1,935	327	2,262
	2011	20	327	1,826	347	2,173
	2007-2011 average	29	341	1,996	370	2,366
Central	2004-08 average	14	140	525	154	679
	2007	8	122	545	130	675
	2008	11	148	521	159	680
	2009	10	109	515	119	634
	2010	7	104	427	111	538
	2011	9	94	442	103	545
	2007-2011 average	9	115	490	124	614
Strathclyde	2004-08 average	91	839	4,656	929	5,586
	2007	87	723	4,551	810	5,361
	2008	86	891	3,932	977	4,909
	2009	68	751	3,820	819	4,639
	2010	63	638	3,473	701	4,174
	2011	63	568	3,525	631	4,156
	2007-2011 average	73	714	3,860	788	4,648
Dumfries & Galloway	2004-08 average	12	106	337	118	455
	2007	11	133	331	144	475
	2008	9	85	325	94	419
	2009	9	104	275	113	388
	2010	4	60	296	64	360
	2011	9	75	234	84	318
	2007-2011 average	8	91	292	100	392

Reported accidents by road type and severity ⁽¹⁾ 2004-08 and 2007 to 2011 averages, 2007 to 2011

Severity/Year	Severity/Year Trunk Local Authority									
				Major Non built	roads	Minor Non Built	roads		All Roads	Trunk % of total
	Non built up	Built up	Total	up	Built up	up	Built up	Total		
(a) numbers										
Fatal										
200)7 84	2	86	52	31	48	38	169	255	34
20	08 59) 2	61	68	28	36	52	184	245	25
20)9 63	3 1	64	45	17	32	38	132	196	33
20	10 52	2 5	57	44	23	37	28	132	189	30
201	11 47	4	51	41	22	26	36	125	176	29
Serious										
20	07 283	50	333	363	326	267	760	1,716	2,049	16
200	08 290) 49	339	357	364	318	864	1,903	2,242	15
20)9 325	5 37	362	343	282	298	714	1,637	1,999	18
20	10 282	2 42	324	278	275	227	608	1,388	1,712	19
20	1 237	33	270	267	286	216	632	1,401	1,671	16
All Severities										
200)7 1,713	308	2,021	1,629	2,346	1,383	5,127	10,485	12,506	16
200	08 1,703	320	2,023	1,557	2,221	1,435	4,922	10,135	12,158	17
200	9 1,669	261	1,930	1,553	2,006	1,344	4,723	9,626	11,556	17
201	1,533	3 256	1,789	1,304	1,912	1,117	4,173	8,506	10,295	17
201	1,369	255	1,624	1,219	1,959	1,032	4,140	8,350	9,974	16
(b) annual averages										
Fatal										
2004-08 average ⁽¹⁾	75	5	79	67	30	45	45	91	268	30
2007 to 2011 average	e 61	3	64	50	24	36	38	148	212	30
Serious										
2004-08 average ⁽¹⁾	320	54	374	374	352	306	821	1,127	2,226	17
2007 to 2011 averag	e 283	42	326	322	307	265	716	1,609	1,935	17
All Severities										
2004-08 average ⁽¹⁾	1.763	326	2.089	1,699	2,436	1,457	5.345	6.802	13.026	16
2007 to 2011 average	1,700	200	1 077	1,000	2,100	1,107	4 6 4 7	0,002	11 200	17
2007 to 2011 averag	e 1,597	280	1,077	1,452	2,089	1,202	4,017	9,420	11,290	17
(c) Per cent changes										
2011 on 2010										
Fatal	-10	-20	-11	-7	-4	-30	29	-5	-7	
Serious	-16	-2 1	-17	-4	4	-5	4	1	-2	
All Severities	-11	0	-9	-7	2	-8	-1	-2	-3	
2011 on 2004-08 average	je									
Fatal	-37	′ -13	-36	-39	-28	-43	-21	38	-34	
Serious	-26	-38	-28	-29	-19	-29	-23	24	-25	
All Severities	-22	-22	-22	-28	-20	-29	-23	23	-23	
2007 to 2011 average o	n 2004-08 avera	ige								
Fatal	-18	-39	-20	-26	-20	-21	-15	63	-21	
Serious	-11	-21	-13	-14	-13	-13	-13	43	-13	
All Severities	-9	-14	-10	-15	-14	-13	-14	38	-13	
			· · · ·	-		-		'	-	

(1) based on the road network following the 1 April 1996 changes - see Annex E

(a) Reported accidents by severity and road class for built-up and non built-up roads Years: 2004-08 and 2007 to 2011 averages, 2001 to 2011

			Majo	or roads			Minor roads			All roads		
	Motor-	Trunk A		LA A			Bro	bads	C & Uncl	lassified	<u> </u>	
	ways	roads (1)		roads ⁽¹⁾								
						All					All	
		Non	Built	Non	Built	maior	Non		Non built		minor	
		built up	up	built up	up	roads	built up	Built up	up	Built up	roads	
Fatal												
2004-08 ave	9	66	5	67	30	177	32	9	14	36	91	268
2001	11	63	7	95	33	209	34	8	15	43	100	309
2002	17	70	4	71	24	186	31	12	14	31	88	274
2003	12	72	7	73	32	196	38	11	21	35	105	301
2004	8	68	7	71	32	186	35	13	11	38	97	283
2005	10	63	4	65	31	173	36	6	14	35	91	264
2006	8	74	8	81	30	201	33	5	14	40	92	293
2007	8	76	2	52	31	169	28	9	20	29	86	255
2008	9	50	2	68	28	157	27	14	9	38	88	245
2009	11	52	1	45	17	126	20	11	12	27	70	196
2010	4	48	5	44	23	124	27	9	10	19	65	189
2011	10	37	4	41 50	22	114	18	11	8	25	62 7 4	1/6
2007 to 2011 ave	8	53	3	50	24	138	24	11	12	20	74	212
Serious												
2004-08 ave	56	264	54	374	352	1,099	192	138	114	684	1,127	2,226
2001	62	365	69	491	421	1,408	228	179	137	888	1,432	2,840
2002	57	285	64	444	449	1,299	223	187	147	828	1,385	2,684
2003	61	295	71	425	397	1,249	193	165	132	756	1,246	2,495
2004	62	305	65	412	371	1,215	191	156	129	640	1,116	2,331
2005	62	294	48	347	329	1,080	209	132	116	715	1,172	2,252
2006	51	254	56	389	370	1,120	203	135	96	703	1,137	2,257
2007	60	223	50	363	326	1,022	159	131	108	629	1,027	2,049
2008	45	245	49	357	364	1,060	197	133	121	731	1,182	2,242
2009	53	272	37	343	282	987	166	105	132	609	1,012	1,999
2010	51	231	42	278	275	877	128	86	99	522	835	1,712
2011	38	199	33	267	286	823	139	113	77	519	848	1,671
2007 to 2011 ave	49	234	42	322	307	954	158	114	107	602	981	1,935
All severities												
2004-08 ave	452	1,311	326	1,699	2,436	6,224	906	873	551	4,471	6,802	13,026
2001	508	1,379	371	1,858	2,684	6,800	910	1,048	633	5,333	7,924	14,724
2002	467	1,315	340	1,824	2,723	6,669	870	1,043	682	5,079	7,674	14,343
2003	419	1,345	380	1,875	2,598	6,617	917	977	616	4,790	7,300	13,917
2004	467	1,393	384	1,818	2,650	6,712	944	926	589	4,748	7,207	13,919
2005	450	1,327	314	1,752	2,448	6,291	975	916	547	4,709	7,147	13,438
2006	452	1.311	305	1.739	2,517	6.324	884	921	527	4.454	6.786	13.110
2007	435	1.278	308	1.629	2,346	5,996	845	831	538	4.296	6.510	12.506
2008	456	1 247	320	1,557	2,221	5,801	883	773	552	4 149	6 357	12 158
2000	100	1 267	261	1 552	2 006	5 480	200 2/10	720	504	3 001	6 067	11 556
2009	402	1 1 1 2 0 7	201	1 204	1 010	5,-03	040	751	JU4 1E0	3 400	5 200	10.205
2010	400	004	200	1,304	1,912	3,003	COO	701	402	0,42Z	5,290	10,290
2011	3/5	994	200	1219	1929	4002	03/	/ 00	395	3354	51/2	9974
2007 to 2011 ave	415	1,183	280	1,452	∠,089	5,419	//4	115	488	5,842	5,879	11,298

(b) Reported accident rates by severity and road class for built-up and non built-up roads

rates per 100 million vehicle km

Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011

	Major roads								All			
	Motor-	Trun	k A	LA	Α	All	B ro	ads	C & Unc	lassified	All	roads
	ways	roa	ds	roa	ds	major					minor	
		Non		Non		roads	Non		Non		roads	
		built	Built	built	Built		built	Built	built	Built		
		up	up	up ⁽¹⁾	up		up	up ⁽¹⁾	up	up		
Fatal												
2004-08 ave	0.13	0.74	0.49	0.87	0.67	0.62	1.20	0.71	0.32	0.52	0.60	0.61
2001	0.20	0.76	0.77	1.32	0.75	0.79	1.41	0.61	0.42	0.67	0.73	0.77
2002	0.30	0.80	0.45	0.96	0.53	0.68	1.25	0.91	0.37	0.46	0.62	0.66
2003	0.20	0.82	0.76	0.96	0.71	0.71	1.53	0.83	0.56	0.52	0.73	0.72
2004	0.13	0.76	0.75	0.93	0.70	0.66	1.37	0.97	0.29	0.56	0.67	0.66
2005	0.16	0.71	0.43	0.86	0.68	0.62	1.39	0.45	0.36	0.51	0.62	0.62
2006	0.12	0.82	0.83	1.02	0.65	0.70	1.25	0.38	0.33	0.57	0.60	0.66
2007	0.12	0.84	0.22	0.66	0.69	0.58	1.02	0.67	0.45	0.41	0.55	0.57
2008	0.13	0.56	0.21	0.87	0.62	0.54	0.98	1.06	0.20	0.54	0.56	0.55
2009	0.17	0.58	0.10	0.57	0.38	0.44	0.75	0.86	0.27	0.39	0.46	0.44
2010	0.06	0.55	0.53	0.57	0.51	0.44	1.01	0.72	0.23	0.28	0.43	0.43
2011	0.15	0.42	0.42	0.53	0.49	0.40	0.70	0.88	0.19	0.37	0.42	0.41
2007 to 2011 ave	0.13	0.59	0.30	0.64	0.54	0.48	0.90	0.84	0.27	0.40	0.49	0.48
Serious												
2004-08 ave	0.88	2.96	5.71	4.80	7.73	3.84	7.23	10.37	2.71	9.83	7.44	5.09
2001	1.11	4.43	7.63	6.80	9.53	5.35	9.46	13.56	3.85	13.80	10.44	7.09
2002	0.99	3.27	7.18	6.01	9.89	4.76	8.96	14.16	3.92	12.33	9.70	6.46
2003	1.04	3.34	7.75	5.60	8.82	4.51	7.75	12.38	3.52	11.15	8.68	5.94
2004	1.02	3.41	6.93	5.40	8.06	4.31	7.49	11.70	3.36	9.44	7.70	5.46
2005	1.01	3.33	5.21	4.57	7.23	3.85	8.07	9.88	2.97	10.47	7.99	5.27
2006	0.79	2.83	5.80	4.91	8.05	3.88	7.67	10.29	2.23	10.11	7.47	5.12
2007	0.91	2.47	5.39	4.58	7.24	3.53	5.82	9.81	2.41	8.82	6.55	4.59
2008	0.67	2.76	5.20	4.57	8.10	3.68	7.17	10.12	2.68	10.33	7.55	5.04
2009	0.80	3.04	3.88	4.35	6.22	3.41	6.24	8.19	3.02	8.77	6.63	4.52
2010	0.78	2.63	4.44	3.59	6.08	3.08	4.81	6.90	2.27	7.75	5.57	3.94
2011	0.58	2.26	3.47	3.43	6.4	2.88	5.39	9.04	1.82	7.67	5.72	3.85
2007 to 2011 ave	0.75	2.63	4.47	4.11	6.81	3.32	5.90	8.84	2.45	8.69	6.42	4.39
All severities												
2004-08 ave	7.08	14.68	34.74	21.83	53.55	21.77	34.16	65.84	13.08	64.29	44.91	29.78
2001	9.12	16.74	41.02	25.75	60.78	25.81	37.76	79.40	17.78	82.90	57.75	36.75
2002	8.15	15.09	38.13	24.69	59.97	24.46	34.95	78.98	18.19	75.65	53.77	34.53
2003	7.16	15.24	41.48	24.73	57.74	23.90	36.83	73.32	16.40	70.66	50.85	33.11
2004	7.66	15.57	40.95	23.83	57.56	23.79	37.03	69.43	15.35	70.06	49.72	32.59
2005	7.32	15.02	34.06	23.06	53.79	22.42	37.67	68.55	14.00	68.93	48.74	31.46
2006	7.03	14.61	31.58	21.93	54.77	21.88	33.40	70.18	12.24	64.02	44.58	29.71
2007	6.61	14.13	33.19	20.54	52.08	20.69	30.91	62.24	12.01	60.23	41.52	28.00
2008	6.82	14.05	33.98	19.93	49.43	20.14	32.13	58.79	12.22	58.61	40.60	27.34
2009	6.06	14.14	27.40	19.70	44.28	18.95	31.56	57.06	11.53	57.49	39.76	26.13
2010	6.24	12.85	27.08	16.82	42.28	17.56	25.00	60.27	10.38	50.83	35.28	23.67
2011	5.71	11.3	26.83	15.67	43.82	16.81	24.72	62.89	9.33	49.57	34.89	22.99
2007 to 2011 ave	6.29	13.30	29.67	18.54	46.37	18.84	28.92	60.25	11.12	55.44	38.47	25.65

1. Traffic estimates are based on an "urban/rural" split which differs slightly from the "built-up/non built-up" classification used for the number of accidents. Therefore, these rates are approximations: the "non-built up" rate is the number of accidents on "non-built up" roads divided by the estimated volume of traffic on "rural" roads, for example. The figures given in this table take account of any revisions to the traffic estimates for previous years.

(c) Reported accident rates on all roads by police force area and severity Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages

Severity/ Police force area	Motorways	Trunk A roads	Local Authority A roads(1)	All Major Roads	Minor Roads	All Roads
Reported accident rate pe	er 100 million vehicl	e km - for 2	004-08 average			
Fatal						
Northern	-	2.5	2.4	0.7	2.5	1.0
Grampian	-	1.8	3.0	0.4	1.7	0.9
Tayside	0.3	1.8	2.4	0.3	1.4	0.7
Fife	0.2	1.1	1.7	0.2	1.5	0.5
Lothian & Borders	0.3	1.0	1.6	0.1	1.4	0.5
Central	0.5	2.2	1.9	0.2	0.8	0.5
Strathclyde	0.5	1.8	1.8	0.2	1.5	0.6
Dumfries & Galloway	0.4	2.3	1.6	0.4	1.9	0.6
Scotland	0.4	1.8	2.0	0.3	1.5	0.6
Serious						
Northern	-	11.0	14.6	2.4	18.7	4.8
Grampian	-	8.2	15.2	1.5	14.6	4.9
Tayside	3.2	7.6	17.9	1.6	23.4	5.5
Fife	2.5	5.7	12.7	1.0	19.1	4.7
Lothian & Borders	1.4	5.8	15.0	0.8	20.7	5.2
Central	2.5	17.0	16.9	1.2	15.7	4.7
Strathclyde	2.3	10.0	17.6	1.1	22.9	5.1
Scotland	2.8 2.3	11.1 9.0	17.4 16.2	2.3 1.3	30.0 20.6	5.4 5.1
All severities						
Northern	-	50.4	58.2	12.7	96.0	24.5
Grampian	-	36.6	71.7	7.6	74.2	24.7
Tayside	12.6	30.2	73.0	6.3	106.0	23.3
Fife	14.4	29.0	59.1	4.6	90.6	23.3
Lothian & Borders	16.9	34.6	102.1	5.2	152.7	36.4
Central	12.0	56.7	72.5	4.7	78.5	22.6
Strathclyde	21.6	53.1	103.2	8.0	136.2	34.3
Dumfries & Galloway	12.1	44.5	78.4	9.2	135.2	23.1
Scotland	17.9	42.6	87.2	7.3	118.8	29.8
Percentage above/below \$	Scottish average - f	or 2004-08 a	average			
Serious						
Northern	n/a	22	-10	83	-9	-5
Grampian	n/a	-8	-6	15	-29	-4
	37	-16	10	21	14	8
FIIE	8	-36	-21	-27	-7	-7
Control	-39	-30	-8 1	-43	24	3
Strathclyde	0	00	4	-11	-24	-9
Dumfries & Galloway	21	24	8	72	46	5
All severities						
Northern	n/a	18	-33	74	-19	-18
Grampian	n/a	-14	-18	4	-38	-17
Tayside	-29	-29	-16	-14	-11	-22
Fife	-19	-32	-32	-37	-24	-22
Lothian & Borders	-6	-19	17	-29	28	22
Central	-33	33	-17	-36	-34	-24
Strathclyde	21	25	18	9	15	15
Dumfries & Galloway	-32	4	-10	26	14	-23

(c) Reported accident rates on all roads by police force area and severity Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages

Severity/ Police force area	Motorways	Trunk A roads	Local Authority A roads(1)	All Major Roads	Minor Roads	All Roads
Reported accident rate pe	er 100 million vehicl	e km - for 2	007-2011 averag	e		
			•			
Northorn		0.0	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.0
Crampion	-	0.9	0.7	0.0	1.0	0.9
Grampian	- 0.1	0.4	1.0	0.2	0.5	0.6
Tayside Fito	0.1	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.6
File Lathian & Pardara	-	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.4
	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.1	0.4	0.4
Strathclyde	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.5
Dumfries & Galloway	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.5
Scotland	0.1	0.6	0.6	0.3 0.2	0.5 0.5	0.4 0.5
Serious						
Northern	-	2.8	3.6	1.8	5.0	3.5
Grampian	-	3.7	6.5	1.9	6.6	5.7
Tayside	1.1	2.3	5.5	1.2	6.9	4.4
Fife	0.6	1.3	3.6	0.5	5.0	3.4
Lothian & Borders	0.4	2.2	5.2	0.7	6.8	4.6
Central	0.9	5.2	4.7	1.0	4.6	3.8
Strathclyde	0.7	2.9	5.1	1.0	6.6	4.3
Dumfries & Galloway	1.3	3.7	6.8	1.9	10.4	4.6
Scotland	0.8	2.8	5.1	1.1	6.4	4.4
All severities						
Northern	-	17.6	18.9	11.2	31.0	21.1
Grampian	-	14.6	29.2	7.5	29.1	25.1
Tayside	4.5	10.4	23.5	5.7	32.8	20.0
Fife	4.3	9.1	18.6	3.7	29.3	19.3
Lothian & Borders	6.2	13.2	34.2	4.9	48.5	31.9
Central	4.1	19.4	24.2	4.2	28.3	20.1
Strathclyde	7.5	17.3	32.2	7.0	42.3	28.2
Dumfries & Galloway	4.4	16.0	28.2	1.1	47.5	19.6
Scotland	6.3	14.9	28.7	6.5	38.5	25.7
Percentage above/below	Scottish average - f	or 2007-11 a	average			
Serious		0	00	50	00	00
Northern	n/a	0	-29	58	-23	-20
Grampian	n/a	31	21	08	2	31
	44	-20	8	10	8	0
File Lathian & Pardara	-10	-00	-30	-00	-22	-23
	-49	-23	3	-41	0	C 1 4
Strathelyde	10	00	-0	-0	-20	-14
Dumfries & Galloway	77	30	34	66	62	-1
All severities						
Northern	n/a	18	-34	71	-20	-18
Grampian	n/a	-2	2	15	-24	-2
Tayside	-28	-30	-18	-13	-15	-22
Fife	-31	-39	-35	-43	-24	-25
Lothian & Borders	-2	-11	19	-25	26	25
Central	-35	30	-16	-36	-26	-22
Strathclyde	19	16	12	7	10	10
Dumfries & Galloway	-30	8	-2	18	23	-23

Accidents by severity, month and road type, 2007 to 2011 average (figures adjusted for 30 day months)

		Trunk M & A	M & A NBUP	Minor NBUP	M & A BUP	Minor BUP	Total	Trunk M & A	M & A NBUP	Minor NBUP	M & A BUP	Minor BUP	Total
								%	%	%	%	%	%
Fatal	January	8	4	2	3	4	21	12.3	8.6	4.9	12.2	10.2	9.8
	February	4	4	2	1	4	15	6.5	7.7	6.1	3.5	10.1	7.0
	March	5	4	2	1	4	15	7.4	8.6	4.4	2.4	10.2	7.1
	April	4	3	2	2	2	13	6.4	6.9	4.5	6.7	5.3	6.0
	Мау	5	4	3	2	3	17	8.0	8.2	9.9	8.1	7.1	8.2
	June	5	4	4	3	2	17	7.7	8.1	10.2	10.9	4.7	8.0
	July	6	4	5	2	3	20	10.2	7.5	14.3	6.5	9.2	9.6
	August	7	4	4	2	3	20	11.7	8.2	11.5	7.3	7.1	9.5
	September	4	5	4	1	3	18	7.0	11.0	10.2	5.9	7.9	8.5
	October	5	4	3	3	3	18	7.7	9.0	8.8	10.6	7.7	8.5
	November	5	5	3	3	5	22	8.3	10.5	7.4	13.5	14.2	10.3
	December	4	3	3	3	2	15	6.8	5.5	7.7	12.2	6.1	7.1
	Year total	63	49	35	24	38	209	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Serious													
	January	26	21	14	26	47	134	8.0	6.6	5.3	8.7	6.7	7.0
	February	22	23	22	24	60	151	7.0	7.3	8.3	8.1	8.5	7.9
	March	25	18	22	24	60	149	7.8	5.6	8.5	7.8	8.6	7.8
	April	27	29	20	26	57	160	8.5	9.2	7.8	8.6	8.1	8.4
	Мау	29	30	27	30	57	172	9.2	9.4	10.1	9.8	8.0	9.0
	June	30	33	31	23	60	176	9.2	10.4	11.8	7.5	8.5	9.2
	July	27	32	22	19	58	158	8.4	9.9	8.4	6.3	8.2	8.3
	August	33	33	26	21	56	169	10.3	10.4	9.8	7.1	7.9	8.8
	September	29	30	22	27	66	174	9.0	9.5	8.5	9.1	9.3	9.1
	October	28	27	21	24	68	168	8.8	8.4	7.8	8.1	9.7	8.8
	November	24	22	20	32	65	164	7.6	7.0	7.6	10.6	9.3	8.6
	December	20	20	16	26	51	133	6.2	6.3	6.1	8.4	7.3	7.0
	Year total	320	317	262	302	706	1,907	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total													
	January	161	115	103	161	340	879	8.7	8.0	8.2	7.8	7.5	7.9
	February	137	129	110	170	394	940	7.4	9.0	8.8	8.2	8.6	8.4
	March	142	105	99	175	387	907	7.7	7.3	7.9	8.5	8.5	8.1
	April	133	102	84	168	342	829	7.2	7.1	6.8	8.1	7.5	7.4
	Мау	153	117	98	177	376	922	8.3	8.2	7.9	8.6	8.3	8.3
	June	160	128	118	161	368	935	8.6	8.9	9.5	7.8	8.1	8.4
	July	164	125	108	154	358	909	8.9	8.7	8.7	7.5	7.9	8.2
	August	177	138	115	175	390	995	9.6	9.6	9.3	8.5	8.6	8.9
	September	156	122	104	183	413	977	8.5	8.5	8.3	8.9	9.1	8.8
	October	159	117	97	171	402	945	8.6	8.2	7.8	8.3	8.8	8.5
	November	152	119	109	201	420	1,001	8.2	8.3	8.8	9.8	9.2	9.0
	December	153	116	99	166	363	897	8.3	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.0	8.1
	Year total	1,848	1,433	1,245	2,059	4,553	11,138	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Accidents by light condition, road surface condition(1), severity Built-up and non built-up roads, 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011

			Built-up		N	lon Built-up)		Total	
		Fatal	Serious	Total	Fatal	Serious	Total	Fatal	Serious	Total
Daylight	2004-08 ave	46	813	5,813	119	704	3,468	166	1,517	9,281
	2007	43	759	5,576	129	651	3,437	172	1,410	9,013
	2008	47	853	5,424	101	692	3,315	148	1,545	8,739
	2009	26	693	5,095	88	703	3,304	114	1,396	8,399
	2010	32	655	4,840	88	573	2,881	120	1,228	7,721
	2011	28	647	4,735	81	532	2,602	109	1,179	7,337
	2007-11 ave	35	721	5,134	97	630	3,108	133	1,352	8,242
Darkness	2004-08 ave	34	413	2,294	68	296	1,451	102	709	3,745
	2007	28	377	2,205	55	262	1,288	83	639	3,493
	2008	35	424	2,039	62	273	1,380	97	697	3,419
	2009	30	340	1,895	52	263	1,262	82	603	3,157
	2010	24	270	1,501	45	214	1,073	69	484	2,574
	2011	34	304	1,619	33	188	1,018	67	492	2,637
	2007-11 ave	30	343	1,852	49	240	1,204	80	583	3,056
Dry	2004-08 ave	45	799	5,134	93	515	2,250	138	1,314	7,383
	2007	40	772	5,232	98	504	2,306	138	1,276	7,538
	2008	42	793	4,529	79	498	2,004	121	1,291	6,533
	2009	31	643	4,237	72	500	2,008	103	1,143	6,245
	2010	28	610	4,106	63	420	1,818	91	1,030	5,924
	2011	25	609	3,913	56	395	1,600	81	1,004	5,513
	2007-11 ave	33	685	4,403	74	463	1,947	107	1,149	6,351
Wet/damp/flood	2004-08 ave	34	409	2,803	88	431	2,321	122	840	5,123
	2007	29	353	2,417	81	377	2,153	110	730	4,570
	2008	39	455	2,701	75	405	2,253	114	860	4,954
	2009	24	354	2,435	61	403	2,074	85	757	4,509
	2010	24	252	1,708	52	269	1,413	76	521	3,121
	2011	34	311	2,238	55	272	1,598	89	583	3,836
	2007-11 ave	30	345	2,300	65	345	1,898	95	690	4,198
Snow/frost/ice	2004-08 ave	1	18	169	7	52	340	8	70	508
	2007	2	11	131	5	32	266	7	43	397
	2008	1	29	233	9	62	438	10	91	671
	2009	1	36	315	7	63	483	8	99	798
	2010	4	63	526	18	98	722	22	161	1,248
	2011	3	31	203	2	53	421	5	84	624
	2007-11 ave	2	34	282	8	62	466	10	96	748
All conditions	2004-08 ave	80	1,227	8,107	188	1,000	4,919	268	2,226	13,026
	2007	71	1,136	7,781	184	913	4,725	255	2,049	12,506
	2008	82	1,277	7,463	163	965	4,695	245	2,242	12,158
	2009	56	1,033	6,990	140	966	4,566	196	1,999	11,556
	2010	56	925	6,341	133	787	3,954	189	1,712	10,295
	2011	62	951	6,354	114	720	3,620	176	1,671	9,974
	2007-11 ave	65	1,064	6,986	147	870	4,312	212	1,935	11,298

1. Separate codes for the road surface conditions 'Oil or Diesel' and 'Mud' were used between 1999 and 2004, inclusive. With effect from 2005, 'Oil or diesel' and 'mud' have been recorded under 'Special Conditions at Site'. The accidents for which these codes were used are included in the 'All conditions' figures, but not under any of the categories 'Dry', 'Wet/Damp/Flood' or 'Snow/Frost/Ice', so these changes should have had very little or no effect on the time series.

Accidents by junction detail and severity separately for built-up and non built-up roads Years: 2007-2011 average

		Fatal	Serious	Sliaht	All severities	Fatal	Serious	Sliaht	All severities
				engin		%	%	%	%
Built-up	More than 20m from junction	38	485	2,268	2,791	58.1	45.5	38.7	39.9
•	Roundabout	1	58	504	564	1.5	5.5	8.6	8.1
	Mini-roundabout	0	9	57	66	0.3	0.8	1.0	0.9
	T/Y staggered junc	18	306	1,722	2,046	27.2	28.8	29.4	29.3
	Slip road	0	6	58	65	0.6	0.6	1.0	0.9
	Cross roads	4	101	626	731	6.4	9.5	10.7	10.5
	Multiple junction	1	23	152	176	1.8	2.2	2.6	2.5
	Private drive	1	20	75	96	1.5	1.9	1.3	1.4
	Other junction	2	56	394	451	2.4	5.2	6.7	6.5
	Total	65	1,064	5,856	6,986	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Non Built-up									
	More than 20m from junction	115	644	2,378	3,137	78.2	74.0	72.2	72.7
	Roundabout	1	20	178	199	0.8	2.3	5.4	4.6
	Mini-roundabout	0	0	2	3	0	0.0	0.1	0.1
	T/Y staggered junc	18	106	348	472	12.1	12.1	10.6	10.9
	Slip road	2	21	117	141	1.2	2.5	3.6	3.3
	Cross roads	3	22	68	93	2.0	2.6	2.1	2.2
	Multiple junction	0	2	16	18	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.4
	Private drive	5	28	82	115	3.5	3.2	2.5	2.7
	Other junction	3	27	106	135	1.9	3.1	3.2	3.1
	Total	147	870	3,295	4,312	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total bup/nbup									
	More than 20m from junction	153	1,129	4,646	5,927	72.0	58.3	50.8	52.5
	Roundabout	2	78	682	762	1.0	4.0	7.5	6.7
	Mini-roundabout	0	9	60	69	0.1	0.5	0.7	0.6
	T/Y staggered junc	36	412	2,070	2,518	16.8	21.3	22.6	22.3
	Slip road	2	28	176	206	1.0	1.4	1.9	1.8
	Cross roads	7	123	694	824	3.4	6.4	7.6	7.3
	Multiple junction	1	25	167	194	0.7	1.3	1.8	1.7
	Private drive	6	48	157	212	2.9	2.5	1.7	1.9
	Other junction	4	83	500	587	2.1	4.3	5.5	5.2
	Total	212	1,935	9,151	11,298	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Accident Costs: Details of Calculations

The Department for Transport estimate the values assigned to the cost of road casualties and accidents in Great Britain, for use in cost-benefit analysis of the prevention of road casualties and accidents in road schemes. Up-to-date accident and casualty related costs for 2011 are not available at the moment and 2010 costs have been used instead. An update will be made to the online version of the tables in due course.

The valuation of casualty costs calculated for Great Britain for all levels of severity are based on a willingness to pay human cost approach. This is intended to encompass all aspects of the costs of casualties including both the human cost and the direct economic cost.

Types of Costs

The human cost covers an amount to reflect the pain, grief and suffering to the casualty, relatives and friends, and, for fatal casualties, the intrinsic loss of enjoyment of life over and above the consumption of goods and services. The economic cost covers loss of output due to injury and medical costs.

The cost of an accident also includes:

- o the cost of damage to vehicles and property; and
- o the cost of police and insurance administration.

A summary of the DfT's latest findings can be found in Reported Road Casualties GB: 2011.

http://assets.dft.gov.uk/statistics/releases/road-accidents-and-safety-annual-report-2011/rrcgb2011-02.pdf

Scotland analysis

The average cost per accident in Scotland and the total cost of all accidents in Scotland are presented in Tables 10 and 11. These are calculated using the GB casualty costs and the number of casualties by severity in accidents in Scotland. The average costs per accident for Great Britain and Scotland differ because of differences in the average numbers of casualties per accident, and the proportions of fatal and serious casualties in an accident.

Also estimated are the number of damage only accidents and their average costs.

Figures are presented in constant 2010 prices. Therefore estimates of values in earlier years have been calculated by applying 2010 values to previous years.

Further information the methodology can be obtained from the DfT:

Integrated Transport Economics and Appraisal Division Department for Transport Zone 3/04 Great Minster House 76 Marsham Street LONDON SW1P 4DR

Email: <u>itea@dft.gsi.gov.uk</u> Tel: 020 7944 6177

(a) Cost per casualty by severity: average costs for Great Britain (£) at 2010 prices

	Killed	Seriously Injured	Slightly Injured	Average all casualties
Average cost per casualty for Great Britain	1,643,754	184,712	14,241	37,106

(b) Costs per accident by element of cost and severity

			Accident Severity		
		Fatal Serious		Slight	Damage only
Casualty related costs for	or GB:				
Lost output		605,429	24,190	3,059	
Medical/ambulance		5,464	14,516	1,297	
Pain, grief, suffering		1,190,417	164,786	14,574	
Police and damage to pro	operty costs for GB:				
Police/administration		17,212	2,016	522	34
Insurance		302	188	114	54
Damage to property	Total	11,135	5,024	2,984	1,888
	- Motorways	16,957	14,468	7,320	2,553
	- Non built-up roads	13,330	6,077	4,028	2,656
	- Built-up roads	7,860	4,212	2,485	1,777
Total costs per accident	for GB	1,829,959	210,720	22,550	1,976

Note: Police costs have been updated following a survey in 2011 of police forces in England, Scotland and Wales.

Table 10

Cost per accident by road type and severity in Scotland (£) for 2011 at 2010 prices

	Acc	ident Sever	ity	Average	Damage	Average	
Category of road	Fatal	Serious	Slight	for all only injury accidents		for all accidents	
Non built-up roads	2,013,425	229,863	23,380	138,568	2,744	18,179	
Built-up roads	1,784,344	201,995	20,250	62,342	1,865	5,099	
Motorways	1,678,225	221,735	28,080	68,664	2,641	10,318	
All roads	1,938,455	214,563	21,578	88,861	2,052	7,882	
Trunk roads only	1,923,020	225,743	23,854	117,949	2,458	13,629	

Table 11

Total estimated accident costs in Scotland (£ million) at 2010 prices, by severity Years: 2001 to 2011

		Ir	jury Road	Accidents				Damage	All
		Non		All injury				only	accidents
	Motorway	built-up	Built-up	accidents	Fatal	Serious	Slight		
2001	45.8	815.8	643.9	608.5	641.5	255.6	424.0	1,505.5	1,929.5
2002	65.8	726.5	598.7	536.2	604.1	250.7	413.0	1,391.0	1,804.0
2003	47.5	754.1	586.3	586.7	555.8	245.4	398.8	1,387.9	1,786.8
2004	38.0	704.6	562.7	538.7	519.4	247.2	398.4	1,305.3	1,703.7
2005	42.8	664.3	533.9	495.7	506.1	239.3	384.4	1,241.1	1,625.5
2006	37.2	694.9	540.0	545.0	497.1	230.0	375.1	1,272.1	1,647.3
2007	40.5	628.9	487.8	489.3	446.8	221.1	357.4	1,157.2	1,514.6
2008	40.6	599.7	521.4	468.8	485.8	207.1	346.2	1,161.7	1,507.9
2009	42.5	536.7	433.5	375.0	434.7	203.1	327.9	1,012.8	1,340.7
2010	27.9	491.6	395.3	366.4	367.3	181.1	293.4	914.8	1,208.2
2011	34.5	410.0	408.4	321.1	356.6	175.1	286.7	852.8	1,139.6

Note: Up-to-date accident and casualty related costs for 2011 are not available at the moment and 2010 costs

have been used instead. An update will be made to the online version of the tables in due course.

Vehicles involved in reported injury accidents by type Years: 2004-98 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011

	Pedal	Motor				Bus/	Light	Heavy		
Year	cycle	cycle ¹	Car	Taxi	Minibus	coach	goods	goods	Other	Total
										numbers
2004-08 average	782	1 076	16 306	440	84	956	931	707	490	21 772
average	102	1,070	10,000	440	04	550	551		430	21,112
2001	942	1,207	18,607	548	101	1,086	934	1,013	434	24,872
2002	852	1,200	18,194	504	114	1,059	858	999	374	24,154
2003	840	1,153	17,726	487	111	1,069	795	929	348	23,458
2004	794	1,033	17,718	477	109	1,131	976	800	365	23,403
2005	808	1,098	16,770	469	84	1,040	912	739	556	22,476
2006	801	1,091	16,398	474	87	979	923	697	509	21,959
2007	740	1,109	15,584	413	74	836	924	643	480	20,803
2008	768	1,050	15,060	367	65	796	918	654	541	20,219
2009	821	1,038	14,578	391	79	697	760	554	469	19,387
2010	809	859	12,805	355	57	611	752	546	447	17,241
2011	855	828	12,391	387	52	614	783	464	365	16,739
2007-2011										
average	799	977	14,084	383	65	711	827	572	460	18,878
Per cent changes:										
2011 on 2010	6	-4	-3	9	-9	0	4	-15	-18	-3
2011 on										
2004-08 average	9	-23	-24	-12	-38	-36	-16	-34	-26	-23

1. Motorcycle includes all two wheeled motor vehicles.

Vehicles involved in reported injury accidents, traffic volumes and vehicle involvement rates, by vehicle type and severity of accident Years: 2000 to 2011, and 1994-98 and 2007-2011 averages

		Pedal cycle	Motor cycle	Car or taxi	Bus / coach or	l ight goods	Heavy goods	А Ш ¹
(a)	vehicles involved in	fatal and serious a	inclidents		minous	Light goods		number
(u)	2004.08 200	151	420	2 751	159	165	172	3 025
	2004-06 ave.	131	429	2,731	130	105	173	5,925
	2000	180	503	3,724	200	206	242	5,162
	2001	178	473	3,558	206	182	272	4,966
	2002	161	479	3,423	185	196	230	4,747
	2003	149	438	3,179	193	167	246	4,449
	2004	132	410	2,975	167	1/1	193	4,134
	2005	138	411	2,772	173	167	194	3,960
	2006	148	431	2,850	168	162	173	4,029
	2007	159	440	2,492	119	164	157	3,618
	2008	179	451	2,668	164	161	149	3,883
	2009	165	381	2,445	121	131	134	3,463
	2010	152	359	1,979	108	134	150	2,966
	2011	172	337	1,891	122	127	113	2,838
	2007-11 ave.	165	394	2,295	127	143	141	3,354
(b)	vehicles involved - a	Il severities of rep	orted accident					
	2004-08 ave.	782	1,076	16,746	1,040	931	707	21,772
	2000	900	1,155	19,876	1,243	985	924	25,557
	2001	942	1,207	19,155	1,187	934	1,013	24,872
	2002	852	1,200	18,698	1,173	858	999	24,154
	2003	840	1,153	18,213	1,180	795	929	23,458
	2004	794	1,033	18,195	1,240	976	800	23,403
	2005	808	1,098	17,239	1,124	912	739	22,476
	2006	801	1,091	16,872	1,066	923	697	21,959
	2007	740	1,109	15,997	910	924	643	20,803
	2008	768	1,050	15,427	861	918	654	20,219
	2009	821	1,038	14,969	776	760	554	19,387
	2010	809	859	13,160	668	752	546	17,241
	2011	855	828	12,778	666	783	464	16,739
	2007-11 ave.	799	977	14,466	776	827	572	18,878
(c)	traffic volumes ⁽²⁾						million	vehicle kilometres
	2004-08 ave.	249	313	34,104	614	5,755	2,701	43,736
	2000	242	250	31,443	599	4,591	2,436	39,561
	2001	236	261	31,904	604	4,662	2,398	40,065
	2002	250	292	33,127	630	4,828	2,408	41,535
	2003	249	327	33,228	646	5,076	2,511	42,038
	2004	232	309	33,674	593	5,283	2,615	42,705
	2005	243	313	33,478	586	5,460	2,637	42,718
	2006	260	302	34,466	609	5,761	2,721	44,119
	2007	240	326	34,545	650	6,125	2,781	44,666
	2008	273	315	34,357	630	6,145	2,751	44,470
	2009	287	322	34,391	635	6,027	2,557	44,219
	2010	298	290	33,591	650	6,107	2,550	43,488
	2011	305	295	33,578	609	6,122	2,482	43,390
	2007-11 ave.	281	309	34,093	635	6.105	2,624	44.047

1. Includes a small number of 'unknown' and 'other' types of vehicles.

2. There may be slight differences between the vehicle types used for road accident statistics

and those used for the traffic estimates.

Vehicles involved in reported injury accidents, traffic volumes and vehicle involvement rates, by vehicle type and severity of accident Years: 2000 to 2011, and 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages

		Pedal cycle	Motor cycle	Car or taxi	Bus / coach or minibus	Light goods	Heavy goods	All ¹
(d)	vehicle involvem	nent rates: fatal	and serious acc	idents			per million vehicl	e kilometres
	2004-08 ave.	0.61	1.37	0.08	0.26	0.03	0.06	0.09
	2000	0.76	1.92	0.12	0.33	0.04	0.10	0.13
	2001	0.71	1.62	0.11	0.33	0.04	0.11	0.12
	2002	0.65	1.46	0.10	0.29	0.04	0.09	0.11
	2003	0.64	1.42	0.09	0.33	0.03	0.09	0.10
	2004	0.54	1.31	0.09	0.29	0.03	0.07	0.10
	2005	0.53	1.36	0.08	0.28	0.03	0.07	0.09
	2006	0.62	1.32	0.08	0.26	0.03	0.06	0.09
	2007	0.58	1.40	0.07	0.19	0.03	0.06	0.08
	2008	0.62	1.40	0.08	0.26	0.03	0.06	0.09
	2009	0.55	1.31	0.07	0.19	0.02	0.05	0.08
	2010	0.50	1.22	0.06	0.18	0.02	0.06	0.07
	2011	0.61	1.09	0.06	0.19	0.02	0.04	0.06
	2007-11 ave.	0.59	1.27	0.07	0.20	0.02	0.05	0.08
(e)	vehicle involvem	nent rates: all se	verities of accio	lent		per	million vehicle kil	ometres
	2004-08 ave.	3.13	3.44	0.49	1.70	0.16	0.26	0.50
	2000	3.82	4.42	0.62	2.06	0.21	0.39	0.64
	2001	3.77	4.13	0.58	1.89	0.19	0.42	0.60
	2002	3.42	3.66	0.56	1.82	0.17	0.40	0.57
	2003	3.63	3.73	0.54	1.99	0.15	0.36	0.55
	2004	3.27	3.30	0.54	2.12	0.18	0.30	0.55
	2005	3.11	3.64	0.50	1.85	0.16	0.27	0.51
	2006	3.34	3.35	0.49	1.64	0.15	0.25	0.49
	2007	2.71	3.53	0.47	1.44	0.15	0.23	0.47
	2008	2.67	3.26	0.45	1.36	0.15	0.26	0.46
	2009	2.75	3.58	0.45	1.19	0.12	0.22	0.45
	2010	2.65	2.91	0.39	1.10	0.12	0.22	0.40
	2011	3.05	2.68	0.37	1.05	0.13	0.18	0.38
	2007-11 ave.	2.85	3.16	0.42	1.22	0.14	0.22	0.43

1. Includes a small number of 'unknown' and 'other' types of vehicles.

2. There may be slight differences between the vehicle types used for road accident statistics

and those used for the traffic estimates.

(a) Vehicles involved in reported injury accidents by manoeuvre and type of vehicle

Separately for built-up and non built-up roads

Years: 2007-2011 average

	Pedal cycle	Motor cycle	Car	Taxi	Minibus	Bus/ coach	Light goods	Heavy goods	Other	Total ²
Built-up										
Reversing	2	-	208	10	1	2	24	7	14	268
Parked	3	3	462	9	2	30	30	13	18	570
Slowing or stopping	15	29	635	22	3	100	33	13	17	867
Moving off	25	13	432	27	3	101	23	13	15	652
Uturn	1	1	84	11	-	1	8	1	3	109
Turning/waiting turn left	18	16	333	12	2	19	23	12	9	444
Turning/waiting turn right	40	24	1,015	33	4	32	43	18	20	1,229
Changing lane	8	5	96	4	-	7	8	7	5	140
Overtaking	30	49	206	9	1	13	14	6	10	338
Going round bend	23	44	431	11	1	21	20	14	11	575
Waiting/going ahead	540	336	4,601	195	22	315	224	98	145	6,476
Total ⁽²⁾	705	520	8,509	342	40	640	451	202	268	11,676
Non built-up										
Reversing	-	1	12	-	-	-	1	2	2	19
Parked	-	1	54	1	1	2	7	12	4	83
Slowing or stopping	1	14	360	3	1	4	29	19	13	444
Moving off	1	4	73	1	-	2	5	4	4	95
U turn	-	1	15	-	-	-	2	1	1	20
Turning/waiting turn left	1	6	69	1	1	2	4	6	4	92
Turning/waiting turn right	7	9	330	3	2	4	22	16	22	414
Changing lane	1	6	97	1	-	1	7	23	5	141
Overtaking	1	50	223	1	2	3	16	9	9	313
Going round bend	14	177	1,438	10	6	14	71	70	35	1,836
Waiting/going ahead	67	187	2,903	19	12	40	213	209	93	3,745
Total ⁽²⁾	94	457	5,575	40	26	71	376	370	193	7,202
Total										
Reversing	2	2	220	10	1	2	26	10	15	288
Parked	3	5	517	9	3	32	37	24	23	653
Slowing or stopping	16	44	995	25	4	104	62	32	29	1,311
Moving off	27	17	505	28	3	103	28	17	18	746
U turn	1	2	99	11	-	1	10	1	4	129
Turning/waiting turn left	18	22	402	13	2	21	27	18	12	536
Turning/waiting turn right	47	33	1,345	35	6	36	65	34	42	1,643
Changing lane	10	10	193	5	1	8	15	29	10	281
Overtaking	31	98	429	10	3	16	29	15	20	651
Going round bend	37	221	1,869	21	7	34	91	84	46	2,411
Waiting/going ahead	607	523	7,505	214	35	354	437	307	239	10,221
Total ⁽²⁾	799	977	14,084	383	65	711	827	572	460	18,878

1. Motorcycle includes all two wheeled motor vehicles.

2. Totals include a small number of cases where the manoeuvre is unknown

(b) Vehicles involved in reported injury accidents by junction detail and type of vehicle

Separately for built-up and non built-up roads

Years: 2007-2011 average

	Pedal	Motor	-			Bus/	Light	Heavy		
	cycle	cycle	Car	Taxi	Minibus	coach	goods	goods	Other	Total
Built-up										
Over 20m from junction	193	182	3,145	126	15	291	170	84	115	4,321
Roundabout	83	55	739	20	4	40	31	22	18	1,013
Mini roundabout	12	4	81	4	-	7	5	2	3	118
T/Y or staggered junction	261	177	2,545	95	11	166	145	51	77	3,526
Slip road	5	5	91	3	-	3	5	3	2	116
Crossroads	68	45	993	57	5	64	45	19	30	1,327
Multiple junction	18	12	220	12	1	23	12	5	6	310
Private drive	15	10	125	2	1	3	7	5	5	172
Other junction	50	30	569	24	3	43	31	11	13	772
Total	705	520	8,509	342	40	640	451	202	268	11,676
Non built-up										
Over 20m from junction	60	314	3,836	28	17	47	251	271	130	4,953
Roundabout	12	24	271	2	2	6	19	18	7	360
Mini roundabout	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
T/Y or staggered junction	11	60	721	5	3	10	50	32	23	915
Slip road	2	11	210	2	-	2	14	17	7	265
Crossroads	2	9	149	1	1	1	13	7	6	188
Multiple junction	1	1	29	-	-	-	2	1	1	35
Private drive	3	18	161	1	1	3	13	14	11	225
Other junction	2	20	193	1	1	2	15	10	9	254
Total	94	457	5,575	40	26	71	376	370	193	7,202
Total										
Over 20m from junction	253	496	6,981	154	32	338	421	355	244	9,274
Roundabout	95	79	1,010	22	6	46	50	41	25	1,373
Mini roundabout	12	4	86	4	-	7	5	3	3	124
T/Y or staggered junction	272	237	3,266	99	14	176	195	83	100	4,441
Slip road	7	16	302	5	1	5	19	20	9	381
Crossroads	70	54	1.142	58	6	65	58	26	36	1.515
Multiple junction	19	13	250	12	1	23	15	6	7	345
Private drive	18	29	286	3	2	6	19	19	16	398
Other junction	52	50	761	25	4	45	46	21	22	1,027
Total	799	977	14,084	383	65	711	827	572	460	18,878

1. Motorcycle includes all two wheeled motor vehicles.

Cars involved in in reported injury accidents by manoeuvre and type of accident¹ Separately for built-up and non built-up roads

Years: 2007-2011 average

		Тур	e of Accio	lent			Type of Accident			
	Single	Single	Two	Three/	Total	Single	Single	Two	Three/	Total
	vehicle	vehicle &	vehicles	more		vehicle	vehicle &	vehicles	more	
		pedestrian		vehicles			pedestrian		vehicles	
Built-up					numbers				pe	rcentages
Devension	-	407	00	10	200	4	0	4	4	0
Reversing	5	127	00	10	208	1	8	1	1	2
Parkeu Slowing or stopping	ے 11	C	222	234 162	40Z	1	0	4	12	С 0
Slowing of stopping	10	80 07	302	103	030	2	5	0	12	0
	10	97	200	37	432	2	6	0	3	D 1
U Turning/wtg turn loft	10	/	242	0 25	04 222	0	0	1 5	0	1
Turning/wig turn rent	10	40	242	20	333	4	3	0 10	2	4
Turning/wtg turn right	24	97	809	85	1,015	5	6	10	6	12
	3	4	19	10	96	1	0	2	1	1
Overtaking	5	61	117	23	206	1	4	2	2	2
Going round bend	140	41	214	36	431	31	3	4	3	5
Going/waiting go ahead	232	991	2,624	754	4,601	51	64	51	55	54
Total	453	1,558	5,115	1,382	8,509	100	100	100	100	100
Non built-up										
Reversing	3	1	5	4	12	0	1	0	0	0
Parked	-	1	30	23	54	-	1	1	2	1
Slowing or stopping	10	2	186	162	360	1	3	7	14	7
Moving off	1	1	65	6	73	0	2	2	1	1
UTurn	-	-	13	1	15	-	- 1	1	0	0
Turning/wtg turn left	10	-	46	12	69	1	. 0	2	1	1
Turning/wtg turn right	10 Q	1	263	58	330	1	1	10	5	6
Changing lane	20	1	200 58	18	97	1	1	2	2	2
Overtaking	20	3	13/	52	223	2	5	5	1	4
Going round bend	824	5	507	102	1 / 38	52	8	10	4	26
	672	50	1 / 10	762	2,002	42	77	50	64	20 50
Total	1.584	65	2.725	1.201	2,903 5.575	43 100	100	52 100	100	100
Total	,		, -	, -	-,					
		400	70	4.5			•			
Reversing	8	128	70	15	220	0	8	1	1	2
Parked	2	5	252	257	517	0	0	3	10	4
Slowing or stopping	21	82	567	325	995	1	5	(13	1
Moving off	11	98	353	43	505	1	6	5	2	4
Ulurn	2	7	83	7	99	0	0	1	0	1
Turning/wtg turn left	29	48	289	37	402	1	3	4	1	3
Turning/wtg turn right	33	97	1,072	143	1,345	2	6	14	6	10
Changing lane	24	5	136	28	193	1	0	2	1	1
Overtaking	39	64	251	75	429	2	4	3	3	3
Going round bend	964	47	721	138	1,869	47	3	9	5	13
Going/waiting go ahead	905	1,041	4,043	1,516	7,505	44	64	52	59	53
Total	2,038	1,623	7,840	2,583	14,084	100	100	100	100	100

1. Totals include a small number of cases where the manoeuvre is unknown.

Estimated distance between the home of the driver or rider and the location of the

injury accident by type of vehicle and police force area in which the reported accident occurred¹

Year: 2011

					Lothian &			Dumfries &	
	Northern	Grampian	Tayside	Fife	Borders	Central	Strathclyde	Galloway	Total
Pedal cycle rider									
Postcode, invalid or not known	7	8	2	2	23	3	44	-	89
Driver from elsewhere in the UK	7	-	2	-	1	-	2	-	12
Scottish driver, distance not known	5	-	35	18	87	21	203	7	376
Vehicle parked and unattended	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non - UK driver	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
Up to 2 km	5	22	3	6	57	6	12	-	111
Over 2 up to 5 km	S	22	4	0	59	1	3	-	100
Over 5 up to 10 km	-	7	3	3	20	6	7	-	52
Over 10 up to 20 km	-	1	1	-	19	о С	1	-	59
Over 20 up to 50 km	3	2	4	2	34 2	3	3	5	21
Total	39	69	55	37	308	47	290	10	855
	00	00		01	000	-11	250	10	000
Motor cycle rider									
Postcode, invalid or not known	6	3	8	2	19	3	21	2	64
Driver from elsewhere in the UK	11	2	1	1	6	1	8	2	32
Scottish driver, distance not known	14	3	34	20	33	20	149	(280
Venicle parked and unattended		-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
Non - UK driver	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	9
Op to 2 km	4	17	5	-	24	4	0	2	02 70
Over 2 up to 5 km	2	30	2	2	33	2	0	1	10
Over 10 up to 20 km	2 /	20	- 5	4	24 17	5	14	2	65
Over 20 up to 50 km	10	20	7	4	30	5	10	5	105
Over 50 km	26	20	5	2	3	2	10	2	65
Total	86	126	67	36	198	43	244	28	828
Condition			•						
Destende involid er net known	27	80	110	64	205	FF	670	04	1 051
Driver from elsewhere in the LIK	37	80 16	110	10	205	55	073	21	1,201
Soottish driver, distance not known	24	10	10	270	- 5Z 400	271	2 210	172	۲۲4 ۲ م ۲
Vehicle parked and unattended	7	5	400	270	400		5,519	7	3,104
Non - LIK driver	26	7				- 2	14	2	52
Lin to 2 km	20 43	147	31	31	373	48	243	20	936
Over 2 up to 5 km	36	280	36	76	426	33	155	12	1 054
Over 5 up to 10 km	36	219	32	54	312	43	190	13	899
Over 10 up to 20 km	46	199	70	53	279	59	224	24	954
Over 20 up to 50 km	80	168	65	35	335	56	217	47	1.003
Over 50 km	140	44	92	9	64	48	289	11	697
Total	620	1,197	923	610	2,446	729	5,503	363	12,391
Other driver or rider ²							-		-
Postcodo, invalid or pot known	14	20	50	10	101	18	199	0	410
Driver from elsewhere in the LIK	14 Q	20	5	10	101	10	100	24	419
Scottish driver, distance not known	25	9 18	80	40	130	62	611	24 /3	1 018
Vehicle parked and unattended	20	-			-	- 02	8		1,010
Non - LIK driver	8	1	-	-	-	1	5	1	16
Up to 2 km	4	22	5	4	36	6	23	3	103
Over 2 up to 5 km	5	30	2	11	77	7	21	2	155
Over 5 up to 10 km	6	29	5	2	96	5	25	1	169
Over 10 up to 20 km	10	29	16	15	122	15	31	5	243
Over 20 up to 50 km	16	45	20	12	102	9	55	9	268
Over 50 km	31	20	21	-	20	6	51	5	154
Total	128	223	222	95	702	133	1,059	103	2,665
All drivers and riders									
Postcode invalid or not known	64	111	185	78	3/8	70	926	32	1 823
Driver from elsewhere in the LIK	50	27	23	12	77	19	162	58	428
Scottish driver, distance not known	189	53	624	356	650	474	4 282	230	6 858
Vehicle parked and upattended	201 8	55	- 10				77	200 R	0,000 QR
Non - UK driver	41	8 8	_	_	_	3	22	4	78
Up to 2 km	56	208	44	41	490	64	22	25	1 212
Over 2 up to 5 km	20 28	362	44	95	595	43	185	15	1.387
Over 5 up to 10 km	44	275	40	63	458	55	236	16	1,187
Over 10 up to 20 km	60	255	.92	69	437	84	230	32	1.301
Over 20 up to 50 km	109	238	96	53	510	73	285	66	1.430
Over 50 km	204	73	119	11	89	58	365	18	937
Total	873	1,615	1,267	778	3,654	952	7,096	504	16,739

1. The distance is estimated using the postcode of the house of the driver or rider, if this is available - please see Annex D.

2. 'Other' includes taxis, minibus, bus or coach, ridden horse, agricultural vehicles and goods vehicles.

3. Due to a small problem with a few records, some of the figures in this table will not match exactly those of other tables.

Estimated distance between the home of the driver or rider and the location of the reported injury accident by type of vehicle: Scottish residents only excluding cases for which the distance cannot be estimated Year: 2011







Motor cycles





Cars drivers involved in reported injury accidents by manoeuvre and age of driver Separately for built-up and non built-up roads Years: 2007-2011 average

		Ag	ge of Drive	ər		Age of Driver						
				60 and	not known or under					60 and	not known or under	
	17-25	26-34	35-59	over	17	Total	17-25	26-34	35-59	over	17	Total
						numbers					per	rcentages
Built-up												
								_			_	
Reversing	32	38	95	37	6	208	2	2	3	4	2	2
Parked	59	86	174	28	116	462	3	5	5	3	44	5
Slowing or stopping	136	122	301	68	8	635	/	8	8	6	3	8
Moving off	86	75	191	70	10	432	5	5	5	(4	5
U Turn	18	18	35	11	3	84	1	1	1	1	1	1
Turning/wtg turn left	69	61	154	38	11	333	4	4	4	4	4	4
I urning/wtg turn right	243	180	428	151	13	1,015	13	11	12	14	5	12
	21	22	37	11	5	96	1	1	1	1	2	1
Overtaking	52	36	83	29	7	206	3	2	2	3	3	2
Going round bend	148	79	150	49	5	431	8	5	4	5	2	5
Going/wtg go ahead	1,031	871	2,038	582	79	4,601	54	55	55	54	30	54
Total	1,897	1,589	3,685	1,073	264	8,509	100	100	100	100	100	100
Non built-up												
Reversing	3	2	6	1	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parked	9	9	24	7	6	54	1	1	1	1	14	1
Slowing or stopping	77	72	168	41	2	360	5	7	7	6	3	7
Moving off	9	11	34	18	1	73	1	1	2	3	1	1
U Turn	4	2	8	2	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
Turning/wtg turn left	16	9	33	10	0	69	1	1	1	2	1	1
Turning/wtg turn right	56	49	147	77	1	330	4	5	6	11	3	6
Changing lane	28	17	42	9	1	97	2	2	2	1	2	2
Overtaking	67	41	85	26	4	223	4	4	4	4	9	4
Going round bend	555	240	497	137	9	1,438	37	25	21	20	20	26
Going/wtg go ahead	699	526	1,287	369	21	2,903	46	54	55	53	46	52
Total ⁽¹⁾	1,524	978	2,330	697	46	5,575	100	100	100	100	100	100
Total												
Reversing	35	41	101	38	6	220	1	2	2	2	2	2
Parked	68	94	198	35	122	517	2	4	3	2	39	4
Slowing or stopping	213	195	469	109	10	995	6	8	8	6	3	7
Moving off	96	86	225	88	10	505	3	3	4	5	3	4
U Turn	21	20	42	13	4	99	1	1	1	1	1	1
Turning/wtg turn left	85	70	187	48	12	402	3	3	3	3	4	3
Turning/wtg turn right	299	229	575	228	15	1.345	9	9	10	13	5	10
Changing lane	49	39	79	20	5	193	1	2	1	1	2	1
Overtaking	120	77	168	54	11	429	4	3	3		- 3	3
Going round bend	703	319	647	186	14	1.869	21	12	11	11	5	13
Going/wtg go ahead	1.731	1.397	3.325	951	100	7.505	51	54	55	54	32	53
Total ⁽¹⁾	3,421	2,566	6,015	1,771	310	14,084	100	100	100	100	100	100

1. Totals include a small number of cases where the manoeuvre is unknown

Table 18a

Car drivers involved in reported injury accidents by age and severity of accident Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011

	Year		N	umbers			Percentages			es		
		17-25	26-34	35-59	60+	Total ¹	17-25	26-34	35-59	60+	Total ¹	
Fatal	2004-08 average	81	50	112	53	299	27.1	16.8	37.4	17.6	100	
	2001	88	62	133	36	324	27.2	19.1	41.0	11.1	100	
	2002	76	73	120	52	325	23.4	22.5	36.9	16.0	100	
	2003	78	70	145	49	346	22.5	20.2	41.9	14.2	100	
	2004	77	66	124	57	324	23.8	20.4	38.3	17.6	100	
	2005	91	40	104	46	284	32.0	14.1	36.6	16.2	100	
	2006	102	40	138	53	337	30.3	11.9	40.9	15.7	100	
	2007	70	52	98	47	268	26.1	19.4	36.6	17.5	100	
	2008	66	53	96	61	283	23.3	18.7	33.9	21.6	100	
	2009	61	22	87	35	205	29.8	10.7	42.4	17.1	100	
	2010	55	34	86	45	220	25.0	15.5	39.1	20.5	100	
	2011	41 50	28	84	42	196	20.9	14.3	42.9	21.4	100	
	2007 to 2011 average	29	30	90	40	234	25.0	10.1	30.5	19.0	100	
Serious	2004-08 average	615	393	1,004	319	2,387	25.8	16.4	42.1	13.4	100	
	2001	734	670	1,252	371	3,145	23.3	21.3	39.8	11.8	100	
	2002	688	596	1,231	378	3,017	22.8	19.8	40.8	12.5	100	
	2003	637	545	1,153	347	2,749	23.2	19.8	41.9	12.6	100	
	2004	640	451	1,098	329	2,587	24.7	17.4	42.4	12.7	100	
	2005	616	438	990	316	2,436	25.3	18.0	40.6	13.0	100	
	2006	630	380	1,085	289	2,435	25.9	15.6	44.6	11.9	100	
	2007	603	306	892	323	2,167	27.8	14.1	41.2	14.9	100	
	2008	587	388	956	338	2,311	25.4	16.8	41.4	14.6	100	
	2009	545	373	891	336	2,188	24.9	17.0	40.7	15.4	100	
	2010	421	292	707	255	1,714	24.6	17.0	41.2	14.9	100	
	2011	343	259	696	296	1,629	21.1	15.9	42.7	18.2	100	
	2007 to 2011 average	500	324	828	310	2,002	25.0	16.2	41.4	15.5	100	
Slight	2004-08 average	3,337	2,528	5,937	1,455	13,620	24.5	18.6	43.6	10.7	100	
•	2001	3,351	3,578	6,120	1,428	15,138	22.1	23.6	40.4	9.4	100	
	2002	3,308	3,272	6,273	1,452	14,852	22.3	22.0	42.2	9.8	100	
	2003	3,320	3,026	6,299	1,567	14,631	22.7	20.7	43.1	10.7	100	
	2004	3,436	2,942	6,423	1,564	14,807	23.2	19.9	43.4	10.6	100	
	2005	3,290	2,633	6,254	1,513	14,050	23.4	18.7	44.5	10.8	100	
	2006	3,372	2,497	5,991	1,390	13,626	24.7	18.3	44.0	10.2	100	
	2007	3,447	2,352	5,555	1,453	13,149	26.2	17.9	42.2	11.1	100	
	2008	3,139	2,217	5,460	1,353	12,466	25.2	17.8	43.8	10.9	100	
	2009	3,028	2,332	5,081	1,477	12,185	24.9	19.1	41.7	12.1	100	
	2010	2,471	2,088	4,744	1,338	10,871	22.7	19.2	43.6	12.3	100	
	2011	2,228	2,036	4,643	1,454	10,566	21.1	19.3	43.9	13.8	100	
	2007 to 2011 average	2,863	2,205	5,097	1,415	11,847	24.2	18.6	43.0	11.9	100	
Total	2004-08 average	4,033	2,971	7,053	1,826	16,306	24.7	18.2	43.3	11.2	100	
	2001	4,173	4,310	7,505	1,835	18,607	22.4	23.2	40.3	9.9	100	
	2002	4.072	3.941	7.624	1.882	18,194	22.4	21.7	41.9	10.3	100	
	2003	4 035	3 641	7 597	1,963	17 726	22.8	20.5	42.9	11 1	100	
	2004	4.153	3,459	7.645	1.950	17.718	23.4	19.5	43.1	11.0	100	
	2005	3,997	3,111	7,348	1,875	16,770	23.8	18.6	43.8	11.2	100	
	2006	4,104	2,917	7,214	1,732	16,398	25.0	17.8	44.0	10.6	100	
	2007	4,120	2,710	6,545	1,823	15,584	26.4	17.4	42.0	11.7	100	
	2008	3,792	2,658	6,512	1,752	15,060	25.2	17.6	43.2	11.6	100	
	2009	3,634	2,727	6,059	1,848	14,578	24.9	18.7	41.6	12.7	100	
	2010	2,947	2,414	5,537	1,638	12,805	23.0	18.9	43.2	12.8	100	
	2011	2,612	2,323	5,423	1,792	12,391	21.1	18.7	43.8	14.5	100	
	2007 to 2011 average	3,421	2,566	6,015	1,771	14,084	24.3	18.2	42.7	12.6	100	

1. Including drivers under 17 and those whose age is not known.

Car drivers involved in reported injury accidents by age and sex¹ Years:2004-08 and 2007 to 2011 averages, 2001 to 2011

	Year		N	umbers			Rates per thousand population					
		17-25	26-34	35-59	60+	Total ²	17-25	26-34	35-59	60+	Total ³	
Male	2004-08 average	2,609	1,737	4,131	1,280	9,800	8.5	6.2	4.6	2.6	5.0	
	2001	2,804	2,573	4,525	1,329	11,301	10.0	8.4	5.2	2.9	5.9	
	2002	2,757	2,356	4,572	1,369	11,138	9.7	7.9	5.2	3.0	5.8	
	2003	2,692	2,161	4,528	1,409	10,862	9.3	7.5	5.2	3.1	5.6	
	2004	2,740	2,026	4,608	1,376	10,810	9.2	7.3	5.2	2.9	5.6	
	2005	2,689	1,840	4,330	1,320	10,214	8.9	6.7	4.8	2.8	5.2	
	2006	2,660	1,688	4,184	1,183	9,753	8.6	6.1	4.7	2.4	4.9	
	2007	2,592	1,584	3,824	1,292	9,336	8.3	5.7	4.3	2.6	4.7	
	2008	2,363	1,549	3,708	1,229	8,888	7.5	5.5	4.2	2.4	4.4	
	2009	2,257	1,536	3,430	1,284	8,533	7.0	5.3	3.9	2.4	4.2	
	2010	1,765	1,379	3,116	1,125	7,414	5.4	4.7	3.6	2.1	3.6	
	2011	1,603	1,291	3,160	1,232	7,313	4.9	4.2	3.6	2.3	3.6	
20	07 to 2011 average	2,116	1,468	3,448	1,232	8,297	6.6	5.0	3.9	2.4	4.1	
Female	2004-08 average	1,367	1,174	2,719	531	5,804	4.6	4.1	2.9	0.8	2.7	
	2001	1,344	1,669	2,903	504	6,441	4.8	5.1	3.2	0.8	3.0	
	2002	1,284	1,508	2,956	510	6,275	4.6	4.8	3.2	0.8	2.9	
	2003	1,293	1,389	2,961	541	6,202	4.6	4.6	3.2	0.9	2.9	
	2004	1,389	1,367	2,859	524	6,151	4.8	4.6	3.1	0.8	2.9	
	2005	1,269	1,211	2,784	542	5,823	4.3	4.2	3.0	0.9	2.7	
	2006	1,407	1,171	2,779	546	5,914	4.7	4.1	2.9	0.9	2.7	
	2007	1,422	1,075	2,538	524	5,569	4.7	3.8	2.7	0.8	2.6	
	2008	1,350	1,047	2,636	520	5,563	4.4	3.7	2.8	0.8	2.5	
	2009	1,299	1,078	2,497	557	5,446	4.2	3.8	2.6	0.8	2.5	
	2010	1,142	976	2,258	503	4,887	3.7	3.4	2.4	0.7	2.2	
	2011	975	953	2,116	555	4,609	3.1	3.2	2.3	0.8	2.1	
20	07 to 2011 average	1,238	1,026	2,409	532	5,215	4.0	3.6	2.6	0.8	2.4	
Total ⁴	2004-08 average	4,033	2,971	7,053	1,826	16,306	6.7	5.3	3.8	1.6	3.8	
	2001	4,173	4,310	7,505	1,835	18,607	7.4	6.8	4.3	1.7	4.4	
	2002	4,072	3,941	7,624	1,882	18,194	7.2	6.4	4.3	1.8	4.3	
	2003	4,035	3,641	7,597	1,963	17,726	7.0	6.2	4.2	1.8	4.3	
	2004	4,153	3,459	7,645	1,950	17,718	7.1	6.0	4.2	1.8	4.2	
	2005	3,997	3,111	7,348	1,875	16,770	6.7	5.5	4.0	1.7	4.0	
	2006	4,104	2,917	7,214	1,732	16,398	6.8	5.2	3.9	1.5	3.9	
	2007	4,120	2,710	6,545	1,823	15,584	6.7	4.8	3.6	1.6	3.7	
	2008	3,792	2,658	6,512	1,752	15,060	6.1	4.7	3.6	1.5	3.5	
	2009	3,634	2,727	6,059	1,848	14,578	5.8	4.7	3.3	1.6	3.4	
	2010	2,947	2,414	5,537	1,638	12,805	4.6	4.1	3.0	1.4	3.0	
	2011	2,612	2,323	5,423	1,792	12,391	4.1	3.8	3.0	1.5	2.8	
20	07 to 2011 average	3,421	2,566	6,015	1,771	14,084	5.5	4.4	3.3	1.5	3.3	
Male	2004-08 average	1.9	1.5	1.5	2.4	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.6	3.3	1.9	
to	2001	2.1	1.5	1.6	2.6	1.8	2.1	1.6	1.6	3.6	2.0	
Female	2002	2.1	1.6	1.5	2.7	1.8	2.1	1.6	1.6	3.8	2.0	
Ratio	2003	2.1	1.6	1.5	2.6	1.8	2.0	1.6	1.6	3.4	1.9	
	2004	2.0	1.5	1.6	2.6	1.8	1.9	1.6	1.7	3.6	1.9	
	2005	2.1	1.5	1.6	2.4	1.8	2.1	1.6	1.6	3.1	1.9	
	2006	1.9	1.4	1.5	2.2	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.6	2.7	1.8	
	2007	1.8	1.5	1.5	2.5	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.6	3.3	1.8	
	2008	1.8	1.5	1.4	2.4	1.6	1.7	1.5	1.5	3.0	1.8	
	2009	1.7	1.4	1.4	2.3	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.5	3.0	1.7	
	2010	1.5	1.4	1.4	2.2	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.5	3.0	1.6	
	2011	1.6	1.4	1.5	2.2	1.6	1.6	1.3	1.6	2.9	1.7	
20	07 to 2011 average	1.7	1.4	1.4	2.3	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.5	3.0	1.7	

1. In some cases, a driver's age and/or sex was not known. Such drivers are counted in the table on the basis of whatever details are known - i.e. in the appropriate age-groups if their ages are known, and in the appropriate sex category if their sex is known. The 'all ages' totals include those whose ages were not traced, and the 'both sexes' totals include those of unknown sex. The grand totals include those for whom neither the age nor the sex was known, most of whom will be the drivers of cars which were parked at the time of the accident.

2. Including drivers whose age is not known.

3. Excludes drivers under 17 and those where ages and sex are not known.

4. Including drivers whose age is not known.

Car drivers involved in reported injury accidents by age and sex Years: 2001 to 2011



(b) 26-34







Motorists involved in reported injury accidents, breath tested and breath test results, by police force Years: 2004-08 and 2007 to 2011 averages, 2007 to 2011

						Lothian			Dumfries	
	Year	Northern	Grampian	Tayside	Fife	& Borders	Central	Strathclyde	& Galloway	Scotland
(a) Numbers										
Motorists involved	2004-08 average	1,141	1,882	1,587	1,100	4,289	1,111	9,142	719	20,972
	2007	1,098	1,866	1,494	1,038	3,965	1,081	8,771	734	20,047
	2008	1,053	2,104	1,494	956	4,064	1,085	8,035	642	19,433
	2009	1,086	2,026	1,474	994	3,693	1,028	7,655	600	18,556
	2010	853	1,664	1,152	912	3,524	868	6,852	587	16,412
	2011	834	1,545	1,212	741	3,343	904	6,801	494	15,874
	2007 to 2011 average	985	1,841	1,365	928	3,718	993	7,623	611	18,064
Breath test	2004-08 average	824	1.197	1.310	749	2.486	601	4.880	512	12.559
requested	2007	785	1,161	1.252	681	2.279	655	4.809	530	12,152
	2008	745	1.309	1.204	645	2.212	685	4,592	473	11.865
	2009	733	1.230	1.205	597	1.836	617	4.261	454	10.933
	2010	580	960	938	575	1.864	546	3.750	449	9.662
	2011	490	964	975	463	1,924	526	3,696	364	9,402
	2007 to 2011 average	667	1,125	1,115	592	2,023	606	4,222	454	10,803
Positive/ refused	2004-08 average	35	51	36	32	71	26	203	19	474
	2007	32	55	27	30	69	34	204	18	469
	2008	39	69	29	29	63	26	157	22	434
	2009	25	67	20	30	61	19	203	5	430
	2010	30	46	24	32	43	18	139	15	347
	2011	20	49	22	15	47	13	141	14	321
	2007 to 2011 average	29	57	24	27	57	22	169	15	400
(b) Percentages	S									
Breath test	2004-08 average	72.2	63.6	82.5	68.1	58.0	54.1	53.4	71.1	59.9
requested as	2007	71.5	62.2	83.8	65.6	57.5	60.6	54.8	72.2	60.6
percent of	2008	70.8	62.2	80.6	67.5	54.4	63.1	57.1	73.7	61.1
motorists involved	2009	67.5	60.7	81.8	60.1	49.7	60.0	55.7	75.7	58.9
	2010	68.0	57.7	81.4	63.0	52.9	62.9	54.7	76.5	58.9
	2011	58.8	62.4	80.4	62.5	57.6	58.2	54.3	73.7	59.2
	2007 to 2011 average	67.7	61.1	81.7	63.8	54.4	61.0	55.4	74.3	59.8
Positive/refused	2004-08 average	3.1	2.7	2.3	2.9	1.7	2.3	2.2	2.7	2.3
as percent of	2007	2.9	2.9	1.8	2.9	1.7	3.1	2.3	2.5	2.3
motorists involved	2008	3.7	3.3	1.9	3.0	1.6	2.4	2.0	3.4	2.2
	2009	2.3	3.3	1.4	3.0	1.7	1.8	2.7	0.8	2.3
	2010	3.5	2.8	2.1	3.5	1.2	2.1	2.0	2.6	2.1
	2011	2.4	3.2	1.8	2.0	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.8	2.0
	2007 to 2011 average	3.0	3.1	1.8	2.9	1.5	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.2
Positive/refused	2004-08 average	4.2	4.3	2.8	4.3	2.9	4.3	4.2	3.8	3.8
as percent of	2007	4.1	4.7	2.2	4.4	3.0	5.2	4.2	3.4	3.9
those where	2008	5.2	5.3	2.4	4.5	2.8	3.8	3.4	4.7	3.7
breath test	2009	3.4	5.4	1.7	5.0	3.3	3.1	4.8	1.1	3.9
requested	2010	5.2	4.8	2.6	5.6	2.3	3.3	3.7	3.3	3.6
- 1	2011	4.1	5.1	2.3	3.2	2.4	2.5	3.8	3.8	3.4
	2007 to 2011 average	4.4	5.1	2.2	4.6	2.8	3.6	4.0	3.3	3.7

Motorists involved in reported injury accidents, breath tested and breath test results,

by day and time, 2007-2011 average

	Time (24 hr clock)	Monday- Thursday (average day)	Friday	Saturday	Sunday	Total ¹
	electry	(urorugo uuj)	. naay	eatarday	cunauy	
(a) Numbers	00.02	E 4	70	100	101	605
wolonsis involved	00-03	0 I 0 1	79	100	181	020
	03-00	205	34 255	151	97	320 2 172
	00-09	395	355	204	240	2,172
	10 15	417	419	304 509	249	2,720
	12-13	479	769	590 E44	400	3,307
	10-10	720	700	044	422	4,030
	10-21	393	409	300	301	2,711
	21-24	166	242	225	155	1,287
	lotal	2,658	2,972	2,502	1,959	18,064
Breath test requested	00-03	35	52	101	112	405
	03-06	20	23	48	61	212
	06-09	234	215	97	56	1,304
	09-12	240	239	246	156	1,598
	12-15	274	331	356	279	2,062
	15-18	424	445	333	270	2,743
	18-21	232	288	236	191	1,643
	21-24	110	154	147	94	835
	Total	1,568	1,747	1,564	1,219	10,803
Positive/refused	00-03	7	11	28	31	97
	03-06	3	3	16	21	53
	06-09	2	3 4	9	8	29
	09-12	2	2	8	6	25
	12-15	2	2	6	7	25
	15-18	5	5 ۸	10	8	20 /1
	18-21	5	4	10	11	52
	21-24	8	14	12	11	77
	Total	35	50	107	102	400
(b) Percentages						
Breath test requested	00-03	68	66	63	62	65
as a percentage of	03-06	64	67	67	63	65
motorists involved	06-09	59	61	64	63	60
	09-12	57	57	64	62	59
	12-15	57	55	60	60	58
	15-18	58	58	61	64	59
	18-21	59	61	64	64	61
	21-24	66	64	65	61	65
	Total	59	59	62	62	60
Positive/refused	00-03	14	14	17	17	16
as a percentage of	03-06	10	9	22	22	16
motorists involved	06-09	1	1	6	9	1
	09-12	0	1	2	2	1
	12-15	1	0	1	2	1
	15-18	1	0	2	2	1
	18-21	1	2	3	4	2
	21-24	5	6	8	7	6
	Total	1	2	4	5	2
Positivo/refused as a	00.02	20	04	77	20	24
r usilive/iteluseu as a	00-03	20	∠ I 10	21	20	24 25
broath tast requested	03-00	10	10	აა ი	04 1 A	20
breath test requested	00-09	1	<u>ک</u>	3	14	2
	09-12	1	1	3	4	2
	12-15	1	1	2	3	1
	15-18	1	1	う デ	3	2
	18-21	2	3	C AD	0	<u>న</u>
	Z1-24	1	9	13	12	9
	Total	۷.	3	1	0	4

1. Includes four times the daily average for Monday - Thursday.

Motorists involved in injury road accidents, breath tested and breath test results, by time of day Years: 2004-98 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011

					Time of da	у				
	Year	00.00 to 02.59	03.00 to 05.59	06.00 to 08.59	09.00 to 11.59	12.00 to 14.59	15.00 to 17.59	18.00 to 20.59	21.00 to 23.59	Total
(a) Numbers										
Motorists involved	2004-08 average	754	391	2,518	2,994	4,122	5,396	3,199	1,597	20,972
	2007	776	321	2,318	2,921	3,839	5,252	3,073	1,547	20,047
	2008	655	381	2,492	2,942	3,779	4,919	2,942	1,323	19,433
	2009	600	324	2,165	2,752	3,738	4,664	2,836	1,477	18,556
	2010	558	338	1,945	2,552	3,401	4,203	2,352	1,063	16,412
	2011	535	275	1,939	2,432	3,176	4,141	2,353	1,023	15,874
	2007 to 2011 average	625	328	2,172	2,720	3,587	4,636	2,711	1,287	18,064
Breath tests requested	2004-08 average	490	248	1,496	1,769	2,400	3,178	1,958	1,020	12,559
	2007	503	211	1,403	1,712	2,239	3,175	1,908	1,001	12,152
	2008	442	249	1,537	1,796	2,292	2,955	1,737	857	11,865
	2009	383	206	1,239	1,569	2,154	2,755	1,686	941	10,933
	2010	372	210	1,180	1,460	1,853	2,431	1,450	706	9,662
	2011	324	184	1,163	1,455	1,774	2,399	1,435	668	9,402
	2007 to 2011 average	405	212	1,304	1,598	2,062	2,743	1,643	835	10,803
Positive/refused	2004-08 average	118	63	33	26	30	47	66	91	474
	2000	135	66	27	17	26	62	88	107	528
	2001	133	67	33	22	18	64	71	99	507
	2002	131	75	21	23	30	54	92	83	509
	2003	128	81	29	26	20	45	78	96	503
	2004	106	67	34	27	25	48	76	102	485
	2005	115	67	33	22	27	42	60	106	472
	2006	144	72	30	20	24	59	83	76	508
	2007	115	54	28	27	43	55	57	90	469
	2008	108	57	38	36	29	32	54	80	434
	2009	97	55	27	23	27	41	69	91	430
	2010	89	54	24	18	15	43	38	66	347
	2011	76	44	26	19	18	36	44	58	321
	2007 to 2011 average	97	53	29	25	26	41	52	77	400
(b) Percentages										
Breath test requested	2004-08 average	65.0	63.5	59.4	59.1	58.2	58.9	61.2	63.8	59.9
as percent of motorists	2007	64.8	65.7	60.5	58.6	58.3	60.5	62.1	64.7	60.6
involved	2008	67.5	65.4	61.7	61.0	60.7	60.1	59.0	64.8	61.1
	2009	63.8	63.6	57.2	57.0	57.6	59.1	59.4	63.7	58.9
	2010	66.7	62.1	60.7	57.2	54.5	57.8	61.6	66.4	58.9
	2011	60.6	66.9	60.0	59.8	55.9	57.9	61.0	65.3	59.2
	2007 to 2011 average	64.8	64.7	60.1	58.8	57.5	59.2	60.6	64.9	59.8
Positive/refused as	2004-08 average	15.6	16.2	1.3	0.9	0.7	0.9	2.1	5.7	2.3
percent of motorists	2007	14.8	16.8	1.2	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.9	5.8	2.3
involved	2008	16.5	15.0	1.5	1.2	0.8	0.7	1.8	6.0	2.2
	2009	16.2	17.0	1.2	0.8	0.7	0.9	2.4	6.2	2.3
	2010	15.9	16.0	1.2	0.7	0.4	1.0	1.6	6.2	2.1
	2011	14.2	16.0	1.3	0.8	0.6	0.9	1.9	5.7	2.0
	2007 to 2011 average	15.5	16.1	1.3	0.9	0.7	0.9	1.9	6.0	2.2
Positive/refused as	2004-08 average	24.0	25.5	2.2	1.5	1.2	1.5	3.4	8.9	3.8
percent of those where	2007	22.9	25.6	2.0	1.6	1.9	1.7	3.0	9.0	3.9
breath test requested	2008	24.4	22.9	2.5	2.0	1.3	1.1	3.1	9.3	3.7
	2009	25.3	26.7	2.2	1.5	1.3	1.5	4.1	9.7	3.9
	2010	23.9	25.7	2.0	1.2	0.8	1.8	2.6	9.3	3.6
	2011	23.5	23.9	2.2	1.3	1.0	1.5	3.1	8.7	3.4
	2007 to 2011 average	24.0	24.9	2.2	1.5	1.3	1.5	3.2	9.2	3.7

Motorists involved in reported injury road accidents with positive or refused breath test Years: 2000 to 2011



(a) Late afternoon/evening to night time (3pm-3am)

(b) Early morning to early afternoon (3am-3pm)



Table 22 (a) Estimated number of reported drink drive accidents

Years: 2000 to 2010



(b) Estimated number of reported drink drive casualties

Years: 2000 to 2010


Drink-drive accidents and casualties Drink-drive estimates: background

1. The Department for Transport (DfT) annually estimates the number of reported drink drive accidents: i.e. those reported injury road accidents involving drivers with illegal alcohol levels (above the current drink-drive limit of 80 milligrams (mg) of alcohol per 100 millilitres (ml) of blood). DfT published GB estimates in *Reported Road Casualties Great Britain 2011* in September 2012. Scotland estimates are presented in Table 22. Because of the uncertainty involved figures are rounded to the nearest ten.

http://www.dft.gov.uk/statistics/releases/road-accidents-and-safety-annual-report-2011/

2. The DfT's publication outlines the estimation methods in detail. It draws on Stats 19 reported road accident data (where motor vehicle drivers or riders failed or refused to provide a sample of breath) and Procurators Fiscal (and Coroners in England and Wales) data on blood alcohol levels of drivers who died within 12 hours of being injured in a road accident. The estimates include allowances for the numbers of cases where drivers or riders are not breath tested. Drink drive casualties are defined here as any casualties resulting from a drink drive accident.

3. Estimates for 2011 are not yet available because of the timing of the provision of the data regarding blood alcohol levels of fatalities from Procurators Fiscal (and Coroners in England and Wales) to DfT.

4. There are no estimates for Scotland of the number of alcohol-related injury road accidents which involve *legal* alcohol levels (i.e. alcohol levels up to and including the current drink-drive limit of 80mg of alcohol per 100ml of blood), nor are there any estimates for Scotland of the numbers of *non*-injury (damage only) road accidents involving illegal alcohol levels.

5. The figures here differ from the number of drivers with positive (or refused) breath tests. While the Police aim to breath test all drivers involved in an accident this isn't always possible (e.g. hit and run drivers or due to severity of casualty). Recently, just under two thirds of motorists involved in injury road accidents in Scotland have been breath tested.

 Table 22 Estimated number of reported drink drive accidents and casualties, 2000 to 2010

					Num	ber of accid	ents/casua	lties
-		Accide	ents			Casua	lties	
	Fatal	Serious	Slight	Total	Killed	Serious	Slight	Total
2004-08 Average	30	130	520	690	30	170	790	990
2000	40	190	550	780	40	240	860	1,150
2001	60	180	560	800	70	250	870	1,190
2002	40	160	620	820	50	240	970	1,270
2003	40	180	530	750	50	230	850	1,130
2004	30	140	540	710	40	170	850	1,060
2005	30	130	500	660	30	170	790	990
2006	30	130	550	720	30	160	780	980
2007	20	120	530	670	30	150	760	940
2008	30	140	490	660	40	170	760	960
2009	20	120	520	660	30	160	730	920
2010	20	80	440	530	20	120	610	750
2006-10 average	30	120	510	650	30	150	730	910

Note: individual columns may not sum to totals due to rounding

Reported Road Casualties

Reported casualties: Pedestrians, car users and other road users, on built-up/non built-up roads by severity Years: 2001 to 2011





Other road users

(b) Serious







(c) All Severities





Other road users



All

Severities

2,855

3,405

3,316

2,990

3,078

3,051

2,853

2,703

2,592

2,199

2,014

2,059

2,313

1,049

1,178

1,167

1,114

1,082

1,068

1,061

1,042

1,021

10,606

12,294

11,832

11,755

11,605

10,989

10,705

10,063

9,670

9,579

8,300

7,770

9,076

Total

1,258

1,758

1,628

1,511

1,414

1,304

1,258

1,110

1,203

1,136

1,021

5,844

6,563

6,285

6,368

6,434

6,133

5,859

5,449

5,345

5,331

4,436

4,012

4,915

Reported casualties by mode of transport and severity Separately for built-up and non built-up roads Yea

	.	-,	Built-	up		Non bu	ilt-up	Tota		
Mode of				All			All			
transport	Year	Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	
(a) Numbers										
Pedestrian	2004-08 average	46	609	2,723	18	47	133	65	656	
	2001	51	784	3,246	25	58	159	76	842	
	2002	49	767	3,144	24	53	172	73	820	
	2003	43	654	2,847	20	58	143	63	712	
	2004	55	611	2,921	21	63	157	76	674	
	2005	45	633	2,918	21	44	133	66	677	
	2006	44	638	2,719	17	50	134	61	688	
	2007	44	560	2,588	16	34	115	60	594	
	2008	43	603	2,468	17	42	124	60	645	
	2009	33	481	2,107	14	28	92	47	509	
	2010	33	432	1,912	14	25	102	47	457	
	2011	35	477	1,957	8	36	102	43	513	
	2007 to 2011 average	38	511	2,206	14	33	107	51	544	
Pedal cycle	2004-08 average	5	111	673	4	23	83	9	134	
	2001	4	123	792	6	38	124	10	161	
	2002	-	125	727	8	19	101	8	144	
	2003	6	98	707	8	27	95	14	125	
	2004	3	104	697	4	17	79	7	121	
	2005	8	99	696	8	17	85	16	116	
	2006	7	106	695	3	25	86	10	131	
	2007	4	123	633	-	24	81	4	147	
	2008	4	125	644	5	30	86	9	155	
	2009	3	123	704	2	29	100	5	152	
	2010	1	115	688	6	23	93	7	138	
	2011	3	120	733	4	36	91	7	156	
	2007 to 2011 average	3	121	680	3	28	90	6	150	
Motor cycle ¹	2004-08 average	6	159	561	36	212	489	42	371	
	2001	7	153	612	42	252	566	49	405	
	2002	8	174	631	38	236	536	46	410	

Car	

2007 to 2011 average

2007 to 2011 average

2004-08 average

4,762

5,731

5,547

5,387

5,171

4,856

4,846

4,614

4,325

4,248

3,864

3,758

4,162

1,251

1,147

1,034

1,066

Reported casualties by mode of transport and severity Separately for built-up and non built-up roads Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011

		, , ·	Built-	up		Non bui	lt-up		Tota	
Mode of				All			All			All
transport	Year	Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities
Тахі	2004-08 average	0	10	191	0	5	37	0	15	228
	2001	1	14	254	-	8	53	1	22	307
	2002	1	16	218	-	2	33	1	18	251
	2003	1	28	252	-	2	52	1	30	304
	2004	-	11	205	-	10	35	-	21	240
	2005	-	9	213	-	2	37	-	11	250
	2006	-	15	194	1	6	54	1	21	248
	2007	1	6	188	-	3	37	1	9	225
	2008	-	8	153	-	6	24	-	14	177
	2009	-	6	185	-	4	40	-	10	225
	2010	-	8	162	1	2	43	1	10	205
	2011	1	13	151	-	10	47	1	23	198
	2007-2011 average	0	8	168	0	5	38	1	13	206
	-									
Minibus ²	2004-08 average	0	1	30	1	7	44	1	8	74
	2001	-	7	37	4	10	57	4	17	94
	2002	-	2	38	-	9	76	-	11	114
	2003	-	2	32	1	7	62	1	9	94
	2004	-	- 3	32	-	6	48	-	9	80
	2005	-	1	25	1	9	44	1	10	69
	2006		1	38		8	56		9	94
	2000		1	26	_	3	44		1	70
	2008	1	1	30	2	7	28	з	8	58
	2000	-	1	16	2	1/	20 60	5	15	76
	2009	-	1	10	-	14	00	-	15	70
	2010	-	I	19	I	ו ר	25	I	2	44
	2011 2007 to 2011 average	-	-	21	-	2	22	-	2	54
	2007 to 2011 average	Ū		21		5			U	54
Bus/coach	2004-08 average	0	50	669	0	5	80	1	55	749
Busiceden	2004 00 average		51	707		11	116		62	823
	2007		53	782	_	6	78		50	860
	2002	1	57	731	_	12	161	1	60	802
	2000	1	53	795	2	10	120	3	63	915
	2005		55	790	-	2	75	0	63	857
	2005	_	50	608	_	7	65	_	57	763
	2000		33	550	_	'	64		33	623
	2007	-	53	513	-	-	74	-	50	50ZJ
	2008	1	27	420	-	2	14	I	29	107
	2009	-	20	430	-	12	43	-	50	473 540
	2010	-	39	410	I	13	124	1	52	540
	2011 2007 to 2011 overage	. 0	40	411	-	5	92 70	1	51	505
	2007 to 2011 average	Ū	41	400	U	5	19	I	40	545
Light goods	2004 09 2001200	4	11	121	7	40	256	•	50	207
Light goods	2004-06 average	1		147	<i>'</i>	40	250	0	50	307
	2001	-	9	147	0	50	204	0	59	411
	2002	2	12	138	9	57	254	11	69	392
	2003	1	13	109	10	40	239	11	53	348
	2004	2	10	138	5	35	268	7	45	406
	2005	-	17	136	8	36	242	8	53	378
	2006	2	3	116	4	54	276	6	57	392
	2007	1	11	126	12	43	285	13	54	411
	2008	2	12	140	4	30	209	6	42	349
	2009	-	12	99	4	39	239	4	51	338
	2010	-	6	100	3	33	192	3	39	292
	2011	1	6	114	5	29	196	6	35	310
	2007 to 2011 average	1	9	116	6	35	224	6	44	340

Reported casualties by mode of transport and severity Separately for built-up and non built-up roads

Years: 2004-08 and 2007 to 2011 averages, 2001 to 2011

10013. 2004 0		iges, 200	Built-u	p		Non buil	t-up		Total	
Mode of			• •	All			All			All
transport	Year	Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities
Heavy goods	2004-08 average	1	9	57	3	23	151	4	32	209
	2001	1	10	82	5	46	231	6	56	313
	2002	0	.0	116	10	42	254	10	51	370
	2003	0	21	100	3	40	217	3	61	317
	2004	2	- 8	70	3	30	180	5	38	250
	2005	2	10	63	5	20	152	7	30	215
	2006	0	9	48	2	25	143	2	34	191
	2007	0	8	52	2	25	145	2	33	197
	2008	0	9	54	2	14	137	2	23	191
	2009	1	5	57	0	17	106	1	22	163
	2010	1	5	28	4	16	134	5	21	162
	2011	0	3	32	3	25	112	3	28	144
	2007 to 2011 average	0	6	45	2	19	127	3	25	171
Other ²	2004-08 average	1	12	80	0	16	103	1	27	182
	2001	0	10	87	0	18	83	0	28	170
	2002	0	5	73	1	14	72	1	_0 19	145
	2003	1	9	62	2	11	78	3	20	140
	2004	0	11	65	- 1	17	93	1	28	158
	2005	1	12	88	0	19	125	1	31	213
	2006	1	11	75	0	17	99	1	28	174
	2007	1	9	80	0	11	91	1	20	171
	2008	2	16	90	0	14	105	2	30	195
	2009	0	8	78	0	17	87	0	25	165
	2010	3	11	92	0	17	63	3	28	155
	2011	2	13	77	1	5	55	3	18	132
	2007 to 2011 average	2	11	83	0	13	80	2	24	164
Total	2004-08 average	82	1,309	9,877	209	1,297	7,220	292	2,605	17,097
	2001	96	1,668	11,695	252	1,742	8,216	348	3,410	19,911
	2002	74	1,644	11,414	230	1,585	7,861	304	3,229	19,275
	2003	87	1,506	10,818	249	1,451	7,938	336	2,957	18,756
	2004	96	1,301	10,623	212	1,465	7,879	308	2,766	18,502
	2005	79	1,325	10,353	207	1,341	7,532	286	2,666	17,885
	2006	84	1,344	10,002	230	1,291	7,267	314	2,635	17,269
	2007	71	1,220	9,448	210	1,165	6,790	281	2,385	16,238
	2008	82	1,354	8,960	188	1,221	6,631	270	2,575	15,591
	2009	63	1,082	8,423	153	1,206	6,620	216	2,288	15,043
	2010	59	972	7,681	149	996	5,657	208	1,968	13,338
	2011	64	1,000	7,674	122	875	5,096	186	1,875	12,770
	2007 to 2011 average	68	1,126	8,437	164	1,093	6,159	232	2,218	14,596

1. Motor cycle includes all two wheeled motor vehicles

Table 23 (continued)

Reported casualties by mode of transport and severity Separately for built-up and non built-up roads

Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011

Mode of		Built-up)		Non built	-up	Total		
Transport	Killod	Sorious	All	Killod	Sorious	All	Killod	Sorious	All
	Killed	Serious	Seventies	Killeu	Serious	Seventies	Killeu	Serious	Seventies
(b) Change in numb	pers: 2011 on 20	10							
Pedestrian	2	45	45	-6	11	-	-4	56	45
Pedal cycle	2	5	45	-2	13	-2	-	18	43
Motor cycle ¹	3	-8	27	-5	-18	-64	-2	-26	-37
Car	-3	-25	-106	-13	-121	-424	-16	-146	-530
Taxi	1	5	-11	-1	8	4	-	13	-7
Minibus	-	-1	-5	-1	1	-17	-1	-	-22
Bus/coach	1	7	-5	-1	-8	-32	-	-1	-37
Light goods	1	-	14	2	-4	4	3	-4	18
Heavy goods	-1	-2	4	-1	9	-22	-2	7	-18
Other	-1	2	-15	1	-12	-8	-	-10	-23
Total	5	28	-7	-27	-121	-561	-22	-93	-568
(c) Per cent change	es: ²								
2011 0	on 2010								
Pedestrian	6	10	2	-43	44	-	-9	12	2
Pedal cycle	200	4	7	-33	57	-2	-	13	6
Motor cycle ⁽¹⁾	50	-7	7	-17	-9	-14	-6	-8	-4
Car	-20	-11	-3	-14	-18	-10	-15	-16	-6
Тахі	n/a	63	-7	-100	400	9	-	130	-3
Minibus	n/a	-100	-26	-100	100	-68	-100	-	-50
Bus/coach	n/a	18	-1	-100	-62	-26	-	-2	-7
Light goods	n/a	-	14	67	-12	2	100	-10	6
Heavy goods	-100	-40	14	-25	56	-16	-40	33	-11
Other	-33	18	-16	n/a	-71	-13	-	-36	-15
Total	8	3	0	-18	-12	-10	-11	-5	-4
2011 0	on 2004-08 avera	age							
Pedestrian	-24	-22	-28	-57	-23	-23	-33	-22	-28
Pedal cycle	-26	-35	-32	-45	-43	-33	-32	-35	-32
Motor cycle ¹	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100
Car	-88	-83	-76	-80	-61	-42	-85	-81	-75
Тахі	400	33	-21	-100	85	26	150	51	-13
Minibus	-60	-41	-34	n/a	-38	-28	-40	-40	-33
Bus/coach	-100	-100	-100	n/a	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100
Light goods	-80	-95	-88	n/a	230	-15	-20	-73	-76
Heavy goods	-100	-66	-44	7	10	-26	-17	-11	-31
Other	-60	-40	-46	-56	-58	-45	-57	-55	-45
Total	n/a	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100	-100

1. Motor cycle includes all two wheeled motor vehicles

2. Care should be taken when using per cent changes due to the small numbers involved.

CASUALTIES

Reported casualties by mode of transport and severity For rural roads

Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011

	-	Rur	al no dual	ge 41mph	All rural		iral	All roads		
Mode of transport	Year	Killed	Serious	All Severities	Killed	Serious	All Severities	Killed	Serious	All Severities
transport	lear	Rinea	ocnous	ocventies	TAIlea	Ochous	ocventies	Tunea	Ochous	ocventies
(a) Numbers										
Pedestrian	2004-08 average	11	26	82	20	79	287	65	656	2,855
	2001	14	33	89	27	104	338	76	842	3,405
	2002	10	33	101	22	96	350	73	820	3,316
	2003	12	40	94	19	88	297	63	712	2,990
	2004	11	45	103	22	92	313	76	674	3,078
	2005	11	20	80	20	78	286	66	677	3,051
	2006	11	28	88	19	96	313	61	688	2,853
	2007	10	16	67	19	63	260	60	594	2,703
	2008	12	19	72	18	68	261	60	645	2,592
	2009	8	18	58	14	59	220	47	509	2,199
	2010	7	14	61	17	49	193	47	457	2,014
	2011	2	24	64	9	54	197	43	513	2,059
	2007 to 2011 average	8	18	64	15	59	226	51	544	2,313
Pedal cvcle	2004-08 average	3	16	57	5	35	132	9	134	756
	2001	4	24	83	7	49	182	10	161	916
	2002	6	13	67	7	26	148	8	144	828
	2003	5	19	68	9	35	159	14	125	802
	2004	3	13	55	4	32	139	7	121	776
	2005	7	12	60	10	29	145	16	116	781
	2006	3	20	61	3	39	140	10	131	781
	2007	-	16	53	2	35	120	4	147	714
	2008	3	20	55	5	38	117	9	155	730
	2009	2	25	74	2	38	140	5	152	804
	2010	5	19	70	6	31	139	7	138	781
	2011	4	26	61	4	40	129	7	156	824
	2007 to 2011 average	3	21	63	4	36	129	6	150	771
Motor cycle ¹	2004-08 average	32	174	393	36	225	530	42	371	1,049
	2001	37	215	451	42	268	605	49	405	1,178
	2002	35	196	428	40	250	575	46	410	1,167
	2003	34	182	413	39	242	577	50	367	1,114
	2004	34	180	393	37	221	498	42	353	994
	2005	28	177	402	31	229	537	34	371	1,082
	2006	40	158	397	47	211	543	58	352	1,068
	2007	34	175	375	36	226	520	40	381	1,061
	2008	23	182	398	27	236	550	34	396	1,042
	2009	34	177	435	40	223	565	43	332	1,021
	2010	26	167	359	32	206	477	35	319	845
	2011	22	152	313	27	181	408	33	293	808
	2007 to 2011 average	28	171	376	32	214	504	37	344	955
Car	2004-08 average	117	721	4,105	140	922	5,788	162	1,258	10,606
	2001	128	1,009	4,654	164	1,254	6,424	194	1,758	12,294
	2002	101	937	4,501	131	1,161	6,195	154	1,628	11,832
	2003	130	821	4,565	164	1,078	6,323	189	1,511	11,755
	2004	111	866	4,621	147	1,063	6,355	167	1,414	11,605
	2005	114	752	4,394	130	966	6,083	153	1,304	10,989
	2006	137	728	4,081	154	912	5,752	175	1,258	10,705
	2007	116	599	3,739	137	796	5,419	160	1,110	10,063
	2008	105	661	3,691	132	873	5,333	153	1,203	9,670
	2009	80	649	3,826	100	842	5,346	116	1,136	9,579
	2010	79	522	3,053	91	679	4,429	105	902	8,300
	2011	59	434	2,764	79	563	3,971	89	756	7,770
	2007 to 2011 average	88	573	3,415	108	751	4,900	125	1,021	<u>9,07</u> 6

Reported casualties by mode of transport and severity For rural roads

Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2001 to 2011

2007 to 2011 average

		Rı	iral no dual	ge 41mph		All ru	ral		All roa	ds
Mode of transport	Year	Killed	Serious	All Severities	Killed	Serious	All Severities	Killed	Serious	All Severities
transport	i cui	Tunea	Ochous	ocventics	Rifed	ocnous	ocventics	Ttilled	Ochous	ocventies
Тахі	2004-08 average		4	20	0	6	35	0	15	228
TUNI	2004 00 average	-	- 4	23	-	8	40	1	22	307
	2007		- 2	19	1	7	33	1	18	251
	2002	-	2	27		3	40	1	30	304
	2004	-	9	22	-	9	30		21	240
	2005	-	1	21	-	2	33	-	11	250
	2006	-	5	23	1	- 7	46	1	21	248
	2007	-	2	_0 19	-	4	37	1		225
	2008	-	4	14	-	6	27	-	14	177
	2009	-	4	26	-	4	41	-	10	225
	2010	-	2	21	1	4	38	1	10	205
	2011	-	7	22	-	9	36	1	23	198
	2007-2011 average	-	4	20	0	5	36	1	13	206
Minibus	2004-08 average	1	5	31	1	7	48	1	8	74
Minibus	2004 00 average	4	10	44	4	13	68	4	17	94
	2002		6	50		10	64		11	114
	2002	1	6	48	1	7	68	1	9	94
	2004	-	5	40		7	50		9	80
	2005	1	7	38	1	9	51	1	10	69
	2006		. 1	24		8	62		.0	94
	2007	-	3	28	-	3	45	-	4	70
	2008	2	7	_0 27	2	7	30	3	. 8	58
	2009	-	14	55	-	14	59	-	15	76
	2010	-	1	19	-	1	23	1	2	44
	2011	-	1	5	-	2	_0	-	2	22
	2007 to 2011 average	0	5	27	0	5	33	1	6	54
Buo/ooooh	2004.08 рустово		2	46	0	7	02		EE	740
Bus/coach	2004-06 average	-	3 11	40	U	1	9Z	1	55	149
	2001	-	5	92	-	10	120	-	02 50	023
	2002	-	10	113	-	12	1/12	-	59	802
	2003	_	10	70	-	12	140	3	63	092
	2004	_	5	75	1	12	123	5	63	913
	2005	-	1	35 42		12	80	-	57	763
	2000		-	42		1	62		33	623
	2007		- 2	36		1	90	- 1	50	587
	2008		2	36		4	90 61	'	36	رمر 173
	2000	1	13	115	1	16	150	1	52	540
	2010		3	51		5	81	1	51	503
	2007 to 2011 average	0	4	55	0	6	89	1	46	545
	2004.08 рустала	F	20	475	7	20	256	0	50	207
Light goods	2004-06 average	5	30	175	7	39	200	o	50	387
	2001	0	30	170	7	47	209	0	59	411
	2002	9	5Z 21	100	9	59 42	249	11	69 52	392
	2003	1 5	31 20	203	7	43 25	241 200	7	53 AF	340 10e
	2004	5	20	203	<i>'</i>	30	200	<i>'</i>	40	400
	2005	с 0	20 25	100	0	37	∠00 260	6 0	53	300 200
	2000	5 6	30	109	11	49	200	12	57	392
	2007	2 V	30 24	1/4	5	39	212	13	104 10	411 240
	2000	3 1	24 20	162	2	33 12	22 I 228	о И	42 51	349 338
	2000	י 2	29 19	117	2	42	100	7	30	330 202
	2011	2 5	23	145	5	30	212	6	35	202
		5	20	145	0	52	210	0	55	510

Reported casualties by mode of transport and severity

For rural roads

Years: 2004-08 and 2007 to 2011 averages, 2001 to 2011

		Rur	al no dual g	ge 41mph		All rur	al		All road	S
Mode of	-			All			All			All
transport	Year	Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities
Heavy goods	2004-08 average	1	14	100	3	26	157	4	32	209
	2001	3	26	131	5	43	219	6	56	313
	2002	4	28	165	8	40	258	10	51	370
	2003	0	20	130	3	42	221	3	61	317
	2004	0	15	113	5	33	189	5	38	250
	2005	4	15	109	5	20	157	7	30	215
	2006	1	14	92	2	30	143	2	34	191
	2007	0	18	102	2	31	156	2	33	197
	2008	1	8	86	2	16	142	2	23	191
	2009	0	12	74	1	19	129	1	22	163
	2010	4	10	85	5	20	137	5	21	162
	2011	1	17	67	3	26	116	3	28	144
	2007 to 2011 average	1	13	83	3	22	136	3	25	171
Other	2004-08 average	0	12	75	1	18	104	1	27	182
	2001	0	17	68	0	23	97	0	28	170
	2002	1	10	57	1	12	73	1	19	145
	2003	1	8	59	2	12	86	3	20	140
	2004	1	13	65	1	21	93	1	28	158
	2005	0	15	92	0	18	120	1	31	213
	2006	0	14	76	0	20	98	1	28	174
	2007	0	8	62	1	13	95	1	20	171
	2008	0	12	79	1	18	112	2	30	195
	2009	0	11	63	0	14	89	0	25	165
	2010	0	16	52	2	20	83	3	28	155
	2011	0	4	41	2	8	61	3	18	132
	2007 to 2011 average	0	10	59	1	15	88	2	24	164
Total	2004-08 average	169	1,006	5,084	212	1,362	7,428	292	2,605	17,097
	2001	196	1,384	5,805	256	1,824	8,358	348	3,410	19,911
	2002	166	1.282	5.637	219	1.673	8.098	304	3.229	19.275
	2003	190	1,139	5.690	248	1.562	8.160	336	2.957	18,756
	2004	165	1,183	5.694	224	1.522	8.080	308	2.766	18.502
	2005	171	1.028	5,389	205	1,400	7.754	286	2,666	17.885
	2006	195	1,007	5.073	231	1,380	7,437	314	2,635	17,269
	2007	166	872	4,657	208	1,211	6 986	281	2,385	16 238
	2008	149	939	4,608	192	1,299	6 883	270	2,575	15 591
	2009	125	941	4,809	160	1,261	6 888	216	2,288	15 043
	2010	124	782	3 952	158	1 059	5 859	208	1 968	13 338
	2011	93	691	3,533	129	920	5 218	186	1,875	12 770
	2007 to 2011 average	131	845	4,312	169	1,150	6.367	232	2.218	14.596

1. Motor cycle includes all two wheeled motor vehicles

Reported casualties by mode of transport, age-group, severity and sex Years:2004-08 average, 2011

	2004-08 average All severities						2011 All severities					
Mode of	A.g.o	Killod	Sorious	Mala	Fomalo		Killod	Sorious	Mala	Fomalo	A 11 ¹	
Pedestrian	0-4	- Killed	24	64	34	99	- Killed	18	44	26	70	
	5-7	1	41	115	53	168	1	28	78	33	111	
	8-11	2	62	184	105	289	-	40	122	74	196	
	12-15	2	91	252	189	441	1	53	149	119	268	
	16-19	4	57	166	108	274	2	37	109	74	183	
	20-24	4	47	148	91	239	2	45	124	73	197	
	25-29	2	35	106	60	166	1	25	84	42	126	
	30-39	6	63	195	110	305	6	52	147	63	210	
	40-49	5	53	147	100	247	6	50	145	74	219	
	50-59	5	51	112	82	194	6	38	85	67	152	
	60-69	6	48	85	77	162	5	42	79	50	129	
	70-79	12	47	66	75	141	8	48	55	55	110	
	80+	14	36	54	67	122	5	36	34	48	82	
	All ages ²	65	656	1,699	1,152	2,855	43	513	1,258	801	2,059	
	Child 0-15	6 59	218 437	615 1.080	381 769	997 1 850	2	139 373	393 862	252 546	645 1 408	
	Addit 10+	55	437	1,000	703	1,000	41	575	002	540	1,400	
Pedal cycle	0-4 5-7	-	- 5	5 27	1 8	5 35	-	- 4	3 23	1	4 29	
	8-11	1	10	60	19	79	-	9	37	12	49	
	12-15	1	13	72	12	84	-	10	48	5	53	
	16-19	1	8	35	6	42	-	2	24	5	29	
	20-24	-	7	44	14	58	1	9	50	22	72	
	25-29	1	12	59	15	74	-	13	72	26	98	
	30-39	1	26	129	28	157	4	31	138	37	175	
	40-49	2	26	102	19	121	-	35	159	22	181	
	50-59	1	14	47	12	58	1	26	73	14	87	
	60-69	-	7	22	3	26	-	10	30	-	30	
	70-79	-	3	9	2	11	1	5	12	2	14	
	80+	1	1	3	-	4	-	2	3	-	3	
	All ages ²	9	134	616	140	756	7	156	672	152	824	
	Child 0-15	2	29	163	40	203	-	23	111	24	135	
	Adult 16+	7	104	452	99	551	7	133	561	128	689	
Motor cycle ³	0-4	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	2	-	2	
-	5-7	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	
	8-11	-	1	2	1	3	-	1	2	1	3	
	12-15	-	6	13	4	17	-	1	2	1	3	
	16-19	1	42	140	12	152	1	23	81	9	90	
	20-24	4	33	93	14	107	3	25	83	10	93	
	25-29	4	39	94	10	104	3	17	67	11	78	
	30-39	14	100	241	32	273	11	57	124	23	147	
	40-49	12	97	229	27	255	8	93	186	31	217	
	50-59	4	39	90	11	101	4	54	109	13	122	
	60-69 70 70	1	10	26	2	28	2	19	38	2	40	
	70-79 80+	-	-	4	-	1	-	-	0 1	-	1	
	All ages ²	42	371	934	115	1 049	33	293	703	105	808	
	Child 0-15	-	8	15	6	21	-	233	6	2	8	
	Adult 16+	41	362	917	109	1,026	33	291	697	102	799	
Car/taxi driver	0-4	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	
	5-7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	8-11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	12-15	-	1	3	-	4	-	1	1	-	1	
	16-19	14	97	512	268	780	5	49	257	202	459	
	20-24	18	123	590	461	1,050	11	73	399	312	711	
	25-29	10	76	422	357	779	4	43	275	276	552	
	30-39	18	135	776	722	1,498	7	84	546	562	1,108	
	40-49	13	137	696	611	1,307	13	81	566	490	1,056	
	50-59	10	104	457	378	835	8	75	387	320	707	
	60-69	8	64	271	165	437	4	50	253	171	424	
	70-79	9	42	165	89	254	11	31	142	90	232	
	408	7	21	73	30	103	3	17	73	25	98	
	All ages ²	107	801	3,968	3,082	7,053	66	506	2,903	2,451	5,357	
	Child 0-15	-	1	4	1	6	-	1	2	-	2	
	Adult 16+	106	800	3,961	3,080	7,043	66	503	2,898	2,448	5,347	

1. Includes those whose sex was 'not known'.

Includes those whose age was 'not known'.
 Motorcycles includes all two wheeled motor vehicles.

Reported casualties by mode of transport, age-group, severity and sex Years:1994-98 average, 2010

			2	004-08 ave	erage		2011				
				All	severities				All	severities	
Mode of Transport	Age	Killed	Serious	Male	Female		Killed	Serious	Male	Female	
Car/taxi passenger	0-4	2	10	67	58	127	2	11	60	48	109
	5-7	1	10	57	58	115	1	5	32	37	69
	8-11	1	12	89	94	182	-	6	62	54	116
	12-15	3	29	100	149	249	2	11	60	111	171
	16-19	17	106	364	393	757	3	53	194	236	430
	20-24	8	68	242	275	517	4	24	174	199	373
	25-29	2	35	139	156	295	1	17	106	124	230
	30-39	5	43	168	260	428	5	25	118	147	265
	40-49	3	40	119	234	353	2	22	94	156	250
	50-59	3	38	73	226	299	-	29	67	143	210
	60-69	3	33	46	176	222	2	27	46	133	179
	70-79	5	30	31	128	159	1	25	25	110	135
	80+	3	16	16	54	70	1	18	14	53	67
	All ages ²	55	472	1,514	2,263	3,781	24	273	1,053	1,555	2,611
	Child 0-15	6	61	312	359	673	5	33	214	250	465
	Adult 16+	49	410	1,198	1,901	3,099	19	240	838	1,301	2,139
Duele each /minihus	0.4		4	45	40	00			10	7	40
Bus/coach/minibus	0-4	-	1	15	13	29	-	.1	12	7	19
	5-7	-	I	1	1	14	-	-	4	0	10
	0-11	-	-	9	11	20	-	1	2	3	с 20
	12-15	-	2	10	19	30	-	2	10	10	20
	10-19	-	2	12	20	33	-	-	6	14	20
	20-24	-	3	10	23	39	-	4	10	14	30
	20-29	-	2	18	22	41	-	-	8	17	25
	30-39	I	4	44	54	99	-	2	24	28	52
	40-49	-	0	42	50	91	-	2	29	30	00 55
	50-59	-	8	38	59	97	-	9	24	31	22
	00-09 70 70	-	9	30	02	112	-	12	22	02 59	04 77
	70-79	I	10	21	70	123	I	15	19	50	62
	00+	-	12	10	70	07	-	5	107	52	505
		2	03	289	533	823	1	53	167	330	525
		-	4	49	50	99 704	-	4	28	20	54 474
	Adult 16+	1	59	238	482	721	1	49	159	312	471
Goods vehicles	0-4	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
Coods venicies	5-7	-	-	2	1	2		-	_	-	-
	8-11	-	-	1	-	1		-	_	-	-
	12-15	-	1	2	1	3	-	-	1	1	2
	16-19	-	2	22	3	25	-	-	14	2	16
	20-24	2	7	52	4	55	-	3	39	2	41
	25-29	1	9	66	6	72	1	6	42	3	45
	30-39	2	19	148	9	158	1	16	85	9	94
	40-49	2	19	135	11	146	2	13	113	9	122
	50-59	2	15	85	6	91	3	13	86	7	93
	60-69	1	8	32	2	35	2	10	35	2	37
	70-79	-	1	3	1	5	-	1	2	-	2
	80+	-	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	2	2
	All ages ²	12	82	549	45	596	9	63	417	37	454
	Child 0-15	-	1	5	3	8	-	-	1	1	2
	Adult 16+	11	80	544	42	587	9	63	416	36	452
All users ⁴	0-4	2	36	151	108	263	2	30	122	82	205
	5-7	2	58	208	129	337	2	37	138	82	220
	8-11	4	87	347	231	579	-	57	226	144	370
	12-15	6	145	464	376	840	3	79	271	249	520
	16-19	37	318	1,262	813	2,074	11	168	689	543	1,232
	20-24	36	289	1,200	884	2,084	21	185	894	633	1,527
	25-29	19	211	919	631	1,551	10	121	663	502	1,166
	30-39	48	393	1,733	1,224	2,957	34	268	1,201	871	2,072
	40-49	37	382	1,501	1,059	2,560	31	301	1,315	827	2,142
	50-59	26	274	920	777	1,697	22	245	854	599	1,453
	60-69	20	181	519	511	1,030	17	172	514	423	937
	70-79	28	142	302	398	701	23	129	267	318	585
	80+	25	87	165	224	391	10	80	137	182	319
	All ages ²	292	2,605	9,709	7,372	17,097	186	1,875	7,298	5,466	12,770
	Child 0-15	15	325	1,171	844	2,019	7	203	757	557	1,315
	Adult 16+	276	2,276	8,521	6,521	15,046	179	1,669	6,534	4,898	11,433

1. Includes those whose sex was 'not known'.

Includes those whose age was 'not known'.
 Motorcycles includes all two wheeled motor vehicles.

4. Includes other types of road user not shown separately

Child and adult pedestrian, pedal cycle, car and other casualties by severity Years: 2004-08, 2007-2011 averages, 2007-2011

			Child (0-15)		Adult		
				All			All
		Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities
Pedestrian	2004-08 average	6	218	997	59	437	1,850
	2007	4	181	882	56	413	1,816
	2008	4	194	831	56	451	1,754
	2009	1	155	674	46	354	1,519
	2010	1	150	643	46	307	1,369
	2011	2	139	645	41	373	1,408
	2007-11 average	2	164	735	49	380	1,573
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-67	-36	-35	-30	-15	-24
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-60	-25	-26	-16	-13	-15
Pedal cycle	2004-08 average	2	29	203	7	104	551
	2007	1	28	174	3	119	539
	2008	2	18	150	7	137	578
	2009	1	26	148	4	126	652
	2010	1	23	146	6	115	635
	2011	0	23	135	7	133	689
	2007-11 average	1	24	151	5	126	619
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	0	-22	-33	3	28	25
-	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-58	-20	-26	-21	21	12
Car	2004-08 average	6	62	670	155	1,194	9,923
	2007	4	51	633	156	1,058	9,420
	2008	13	56	569	140	1,147	9,092
	2009	3	62	548	113	1,074	9,011
	2010	1	40	505	104	861	7,777
	2011	5	34	460	84	720	7,295
	2007-11 average	5	49	543	119	972	8,519
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-19	-45	-31	-46	-40	-26
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-16	-21	-19	-23	-19	-14
Other	2004-08 average	1	16	149	56	541	2,722
	2007	0	9	128	57	522	2,617
	2008	1	11	139	47	559	2,456
	2009	0	10	103	48	480	2,351
	2010	1	10	84	48	461	2,154
	2011	0	(/5	47	443	2,041
	2007-11 average	0	9	106	49	493	2,324
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	0	-56	-50	-15	-18	-25
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-50	-41	-29	-11	-9	-15
All road users	2004-08 average	15	325	2,019	2/6	2,276	15,046
	2007	9	269	1,817	272	2,112	14,392
	2008	20	279	1,689	250	2,294	13,880
	2009	5	253	1,473	211	2,034	13,533
	2010	4	223	1,3/8	204	1,744	11,935
	2011	1	203	1,315	1/9	1,669	11,433
	2007-11 average	9	245	1,534	223	1,9/1	13,035
	% cn on 04-08 av: 2011	-55	-38	-35	-35	-27	-24
	% cn on 04-08 av: 0711	-42	-25	-24	-19	-13	-13

This table does not include any casualties whose ages were unknown. The 'other' category includes all road users excluding pedestrians, pedal cyclists and car users.

Reported casualties by mode of motor transport, casualty class and severity Years: 2004-08 and 2007-11 averages, 2007-2011

		Driver or rider			Passenger - vehicle/pillion			
				All	J		All	
		Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities	
Motor cycle	2004-08 ave	41	344	978	1	27	71	
	2007	40	359	999	-	22	62	
	2008	34	370	969	-	26	73	
	2009	39	315	956	4	17	65	
	2010	33	300	801	2	19	44	
	2011	32	279	/5/	1	14	51	
0	2007-11 ave	36	325	896	1	20	59	
Car	2004-08 ave	106	794	6,950	55	403	3,03/	
	2007	94	712	0,000	60 57	390	3,397	
	2008	90	700	0,400	35	423	3,202	
	2009	70	579	5 568	35	323	2 7 3 2	
	2010	65	497	5 267	24	259	2,702	
	2007-11 ave	81	659	6 063	43	362	3 013	
Taxi	2004-08 ave	0	7	104	0	8	124	
	2007	-	5	96	1	4	129	
	2008	-	7	82	-	7	95	
	2009	-	4	110	-	6	115	
	2010	1	5	101	-	5	104	
	2011	1	9	90	-	14	108	
	2007-11 ave	0	6	96	0	7	110	
Minibus	2004-08 ave	-	2	22	1	6	52	
	2007	-	2	23	-	2	47	
	2008	-	1	11	3	7	47	
	2009	-	4	16	-	11	60	
	2010	1	2	15	-	-	29	
	2011	-	2	9	-	-	13	
- / /	2007-11 ave	0	2	15	1	4	39	
Bus/coach	2004-08 ave	0	3	52	1	52	697	
	2007	-	-	27	-	33	596	
	2008	-	5	43	1	54	544	
	2009	-	1	33	- 1	35	440	
	2010	-	4	32 30	1	40 50	000 464	
	2011 2007-11 avo	-	2	39	1	50	404 510	
l ight goods	2007-11 ave	-	36	285	2	14	102	
Light goods	2004-00 400	10	35	200	3	19	117	
	2008	5	30	266	1	10	83	
	2009	3	41	267	1	10	71	
	2010	3	28	219	-	11	73	
	2011	4	28	245	2	7	65	
	2007-11 ave	5	32	258	1	12	82	
Heavy goods	2004-08 ave	3	27	176	1	5	33	
	2007	2	30	172	-	3	25	
	2008	1	18	163	1	5	28	
	2009	1	19	142	-	3	21	
	2010	5	15	131	-	6	31	
	2011	3	25	126	-	3	18	
	2007-11 ave	2	21	147	0	4	25	
Other	2004-08 ave	1	20	122	0	7	60	
	2007	-	14	105	1	6	66	
	2008	1	21	129	1	9	66	
	2009	-	15	106	-	10	59	
	2010	1	28	116	2	-	39	
	2017	2	15	89	1	3	43	
All modes of transport	2007-11 ave	1	19	109	1	500	55	
An modes of transport	2004-08 ave	15/	1,234	ö,ööy	01 74	58Z	4,196	
	2007	140	1,10/	0,002	11	40/ E42	4,439	
	2000	107	1,202	0,131 7 077	0 4 40	543	4,100 1 062	
	2003	124	1,127 061	6 082	40	<u>⊿1</u> 2	3 560	
	2011	107	856	6 622	29	350	3 265	
	2007-11 ave	126	1 067	7 619	49	458	3 893	
			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,			0,000	

'Other' includes a small number of casualties who were using a 'non-motor' mode of transport. '0' represents 0.1 to 0.4 and '-'=zero.

Reported child ¹ casualties by time of day and mode of transport Separately for weekdays/weekends Years: 2007-2011 average

Day/hour	Pedes- trian	Pedal cycle	Motor cycle ²	Car	Taxi	Minibus	Bus/ coach	Light goods	Heavy goods	Other	Total
Total for Weekda	ys										
00.00 to 00.59	1	0	-	5	-	-	-	0	-	-	7
01.00 to 01.59	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
02 00 to 02 59	0	-	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
03.00 to 03.59	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
04.00 to 04.59	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
05.00 to 05.59	1	-	-	1	0	-	-	-	-	-	2
06.00 to 06.59	-	0	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	0	2
07.00 to 07.59	3	2	-	3	-	-	0	-	-	-	9
08.00 to 08.59	65	7	0	25	1	2	10	0	-	-	111
09.00 to 09.59	14	1	-	16	0	-	4	-	-	-	35
10.00 to 10.59	7	0	-	13	-	-	2	0	-	-	22
11.00 to 11.59	9	2	0	12	-	-	1	-	0	-	25
12.00 to 12.59	27	4	1	21	-	1	4	0	0	0	58
13.00 to 13.59	50	6	-	21	0	-	4	0	-	1	82
14.00 to 14.59	17	4	0	20	0	2	2	0	-	1	47
15.00 to 15.59	118	13	1	35	0	1	7	0	-	1	175
16.00 to 16.59	80	15	1	39	0	-	13	0	-	1	150
17.00 to 17.59	68	18	1	32	1	1	2	0	0	1	124
18.00 to 18.59	53	14	1	29	1	1	2	0	-	0	101
19.00 to 19.59	37	13	0	25	-	-	1	-	-	-	76
20.00 to 20.59	27	6	0	24	-	0	0	0	-	1	60
21.00 to 21.59	13	3	0	12	-	-	0	-	-	0	29
22.00 to 22.59	5	1	0	8	-	0	-	0	-	-	15
23.00 to 23.59	2	0	0	4	1	1	0	-	-	0	9
Total	597	111	8	352	5	7	52	3	1	7	1,143
lotal for Weeken	ds										
00.00 to 00.59	-	0	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
01.00 to 01.59	0	-	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
02.00 to 02.59	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
03.00 to 03.59	0	0	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
04.00 to 04.59	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
05.00 to 05.59	0	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
06.00 to 06.59	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
07.00 to 07.59	0	0	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
08.00 to 08.59	0	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
09.00 to 09.59	1	1	-	6	-	-	-	0	-	-	8
10.00 to 10.59	2	1	-	9	-	-	1	-	-	-	12
11.00 to 11.59	5	2	0	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
12.00 to 12.59	9	3	0	16	0	-	1	0	-	-	31
13.00 to 13.59	11	3	-	19	0	-	2	-	-	-	35
14.00 to 14.59	14	5	-	18	0	-	2	-	-	0	40
15.00 to 15.59	14	4	1	16	-	0	2	1	-	1	37
16.00 to 16.59	17	5	0	20	0	-	1	0	-	0	44
17.00 to 17.59	17	4	1	19	-	1	1	-	-	-	41
18.00 to 18.59	17	4	-	11	-	-	0	1	-	0	33
19.00 to 19.59	13	4	0	9	-	-	1	0	-	1	27
20.00 to 20.59	9	2	0	8	0	-	-	-	-	0	19
21.00 to 21.59	5	1	1	6	0	-	-	-	-	0	13
22.00 to 22.59	2	1	1	5	0	-	0	-	-	-	9
23.00 to 23.59	1	0	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Total	138	39	4	191	2	1	11	2	-	3	392

Child 0-15 years
 Motor cycle includes all two wheeled motor vehicles
 'o' represents 0.1 to 0.4 and '-'=zero.

Reported child casualties by time of day Years: 2007 - 2011 average



Reported adult casualties by time of day and mode of transport, Separately for weekdays/weekends Years: 2007-2011 average

Day/hour	Pedes- trian	Pedal cycle	Motor cycle ²	Car	Taxi	Minibus	Bus/ coach	Light goods	Heavy goods	Other	Total
Total for Week	days										
00.00 to 00.59	14	3	6	102	6	1	1	3	2	1	140
01.00 to 01.59	6	1	2	62	3	-	1	2	2	1	79
02.00 to 02.59	10	1	2	37	1	-	-	2	2	1	55
03.00 to 03.59	6	1	1	29	2	-	-	2	1	1	43
04.00 to 04.59	2	1	2	30	2	-	-	2	3	1	44
05.00 to 05.59	2	3	5	37	1	1	10	6	5	2	72
06.00 to 06.59	8	14	11	114	3	-	1	12	6	3	171
07.00 to 07.59	30	46	32	281	5	5	14	29	9	7	457
08.00 to 08.59	62	56	42	441	7	1	24	27	12	11	682
09.00 to 09.59	56	30	22	356	7	1	25	25	12	8	543
10.00 to 10.59	59	22	22	264	4	1	29	18	13	8	439
11.00 to 11.59	66	16	32	295	7	3	30	23	14	10	494
12.00 to 12.59	84	20	32	334	4	3	33	17	14	9	550
13.00 to 13.59	74	23	44	362	8	2	41	18	11	9	591
14.00 to 14.59	77	21	39	386	7	2	39	16	9	10	607
15.00 to 15.59	89	25	47	421	8	4	37	19	10	9	668
16.00 to 16.59	105	50	64	518	8	3	39	23	10	10	830
17.00 to 17.59	106	61	76	523	7	2	24	18	7	7	830
18.00 to 18.59	72	48	48	375	7	2	18	8	5	5	588
19.00 to 19.59	60	32	39	318	5	-	9	5	2	2	472
20.00 to 20.59	47	15	30	267	6	1	5	5	2	3	382
21.00 to 21.59	38	12	20	231	5	-	4	3	2	2	317
22.00 to 22.59	37	5	14	173	5	-	4	3	2	2	245
23.00 to 23.59	35	5	8	157	9	1	2	2	-	2	221
Total	1,146	510	639	6,113	126	33	390	286	154	122	9,519
Total for Week	ends										
00.00 to 00.59	32	2	-	95	6	1	1	2	-	1	140
01.00 to 01.59	33	1	3	76	6	2	-	1	-	1	123
02.00 to 02.59	21	1	1	52	5	1	-	1	-	1	82
03.00 to 03.59	20	1	2	45	8	-	-	1	1	1	77
04.00 to 04.59	7	-	-	36	2	1	-	1	1	-	49
05.00 to 05.59	2	1	1	37	2	-	-	1	2	-	45
06.00 to 06.59	3	1	2	34	3	1	-	2	1	1	48
07.00 to 07.59	3	2	3	56	1	-	-	2	1	1	70
08.00 to 08.59	4	4	5	66	1	-	2	5	1	1	89
09.00 to 09.59	7	7	10	88	2	1	2	3	1	1	122
10.00 to 10.59	12	8	17	111	3	-	5	2	1	2	161
11.00 to 11.59	18	10	22	128	3	-	7	2	1	2	195
12.00 to 12.59	17	9	28	167	2	-	10	3	-	2	239
13.00 to 13.59	18	11	31	171	1	-	12	3	1	3	252
14.00 to 14.59	20	9	33	176	2	-	12	3	1	1	257
15.00 to 15.59	21	8	29	167	2	1	9	2	1	3	243
16.00 to 16.59	21	7	31	170	2	1	8	2	1	2	243
17.00 to 17.59	29	8	26	145	3	1	7	1	-	1	221
18.00 to 18.59	25	8	20	132	2	-	4	2	-	2	195
19.00 to 19.59	22	4	11	128	4	1	3	2	2	3	181
20.00 to 20.59	22	3	10	99	4	-	3	1	-	1	142
21.00 to 21.59	23	2	8	87	3	-	2	1	1	1	127
22.00 to 22.59	21	1	5	78	4	-	2	2	-	1	112
23.00 to 23.59	25	1	3	64	3	-	1	2	-	1	101
Total	427	109	303	2 406	73	12	91	47	17	31	3 516

1. Motor cycle includes all two wheeled motor vehicles

Reported adult casualties by time of day Years: 2007 - 2011 average



Total for Weekends



163

		Pedest rian	Pedal cycle	Motor cycle	Car	Taxi	Minibu s	Bus/ coach	Light goods	Heavy goods	Other	Total
Child (0-15)	January	51	4	-	37	1	1	5	0	-	1	100
	February	70	6	1	38	0	0	5	0	-	1	122
	March	68	9	0	41	0	3	6	1	-	1	128
	April	64	12	1	39	-	-	5	-	-	1	122
	Мау	65	18	2	43	1	-	7	0	-	2	138
	June	67	18	2	43	1	1	4	0	-	1	137
	July	46	19	2	51	1	-	4	1	0	1	125
	August	62	23	2	62	1	2	6	1	0	1	158
	September	73	21	2	44	-	0	11	0	-	1	152
	October	58	10	1	51	0	0	4	0	-	0	126
	November	59	5	0	44	0	0	3	0	-	0	112
	December	44	2	0	42	1	0	2	0	0	0	92
	Year Total	726	148	12	534	7	8	62	5	1	10	1,513
Adult												
	January	143	39	27	693	16	3	29	34	16	13	1,013
	February	146	38	39	726	16	3	36	30	17	12	1,062
	March	128	41	62	688	16	4	45	31	15	12	1,043
	April	115	48	98	581	15	1	42	24	9	13	946
	Мау	107	57	110	687	16	3	40	21	11	11	1,063
	June	107	61	106	703	10	4	42	28	14	11	1,086
	July	109	54	112	690	16	5	36	25	13	12	1,071
	August	115	60	107	763	20	5	43	28	14	16	1,171
	September	124	62	107	691	15	5	47	26	14	13	1,105
	October	131	57	77	707	14	3	39	26	14	11	1,079
	November	171	59	55	753	22	4	40	27	14	15	1,161
	December	157	33	26	716	20	3	34	29	18	12	1,048
	Year Total	1,552	610	927	8,397	196	45	474	329	168	151	12,848
Total												
	January	194	43	27	732	17	4	34	34	16	13	1,116
	February	216	44	40	764	17	3	41	30	17	13	1,184
	March	196	50	62	730	17	7	52	32	15	13	1,173
	April	179	60	99	621	15	1	48	24	9	14	1,069
	Мау	172	75	112	731	17	3	47	21	11	13	1,204
	June	174	79	108	746	11	5	47	29	14	12	1,224
	July	155	73	114	741	17	5	40	26	13	14	1,198
	August	177	84	109	825	21	7	48	30	14	16	1,332
	September	197	84	110	736	15	5	58	26	14	14	1,259
	October	190	67	78	761	14	4	44	27	14	12	1,209
	November	231	64	56	798	22	4	42	28	14	15	1,275
	December	202	35	26	759	21	4	36	29	19	13	1,142
	Year Total	2,283	759	940	8,946	203	53	538	335	169	161	14,387

Reported child/adult casualties by month and mode of transport Years: 2007 to 2011 average (figures adjusted for 30 day months)

NB: As the figures in this table have been adjusted to be for '30 day' months, they will differ slightly from those appearing in other tables. Includes those whose ages were not known

Reported child/adult casualties by day of the week and mode of transport Years: 2007 to 2011 average

		Pedestr ian	Pedal cycle	Motor cycle	Car	Taxi	Minibus	Bus/ coach	Light goods	Heavy goods	Other	Total
Child (0-15)	Monday	115	24	2	72	1	0	8	0	-	1	224
	Tuesday	112	19	1	68	1	1	15	1	0	2	221
	Wednesday	118	23	2	70	1	2	10	0	-	1	226
	Thursday	117	21	1	63	1	1	11	1	0	2	218
	Friday	135	25	2	78	2	3	7	0	-	1	253
	Saturday	85	21	2	110	2	1	8	1	-	2	232
	Sunday	53	18	2	82	0	0	3	1	-	1	160
	Total	735	151	12	543	7	8	63	5	1	10	1,534
Adult												
	Monday	220	95	124	1,185	23	10	74	61	30	20	1,842
	Tuesday	206	110	124	1,199	23	6	75	56	32	24	1,854
	Wednesday	216	115	112	1,193	23	10	87	58	31	25	1,869
	Thursday	231	102	137	1,193	21	4	64	57	31	27	1,865
	Friday	273	88	142	1,343	37	4	90	55	31	26	2,089
	Saturday	261	54	154	1,305	41	5	71	30	12	17	1,949
	Sunday	166	54	149	1,101	32	7	20	18	5	14	1,566
	Total	1,573	619	942	8,519	199	45	481	333	171	153	13,035
Total (1)												
	Monday	336	118	126	1,259	24	10	83	62	30	22	2,070
	Tuesday	318	130	126	1,270	24	7	90	57	32	25	2,079
	Wednesday	335	138	114	1,264	23	12	98	59	31	26	2,101
	Thursday	349	123	137	1,258	22	5	75	57	31	29	2,087
	Friday	409	114	144	1,424	38	7	97	55	31	27	2,347
	Saturday	347	76	156	1,416	42	6	79	31	12	19	2,184
	Sunday	219	72	151	1,184	33	7	23	19	5	16	1,730
	Total	2,313	771	955	9,076	206	54	545	340	171	164	14,596

Population estimates, number of reported casualties and casualty rates per thousand population

by age groups

Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007 to 2011

Year	0-4	5-11	12-15	16-22	23-29	30-39	40-49	50-59	60-69	70+	All Ages ¹
Population											thousands
2004-08 average	271.0	399.2	253.2	469.1	445.8	701.1	781.0	672.9	532.3	594.9	5,120.6
2007	275.2	391.7	250.1	476.7	458.0	680.6	790.9	674.4	545.3	601.3	5,144.2
2008	283.0	386.7	243.9	477.9	475.1	662.3	795.0	675.8	560.2	608.7	5,168.5
2009	289.0	382.8	240.5	477.5	487.7	650.8	795.3	681.6	572.3	616.4	5,194.0
2010	293.5	381.3	237.0	477.9	497.5	646.1	791.6	690.2	582.3	624.7	5,222.1
2011	297.7	381.3	234.3	475.7	508.3	643.8	787.2	702.1	593.5	630.9	5,254.8
2007-2011 average	287.7	384.7	241.2	477.1	485.3	656.7	792.0	684.8	570.7	616.4	5,196.7
Casualties											number
2004-08 average	263	916	840	3,431	2,279	2,957	2,560	1,697	1,030	1,092	17,097
2007	229	829	759	3,419	2,231	2,630	2,429	1,639	1,003	1,041	16,238
2008	234	753	702	3,174	2,179	2,519	2,451	1,557	953	1,047	15,591
2009	201	682	590	3,084	2,098	2,425	2,390	1,539	997	1,000	15,043
2010	170	632	576	2,491	1,885	2,191	2,185	1,451	877	855	13,338
2011	205	590	520	2,239	1,686	2,072	2,142	1,453	937	904	12,770
2007-2011 average	208	697	629	2,881	2,016	2,367	2,319	1,528	953	969	14,596
2011 Male	122	364	271	1,273	973	1,201	1,315	854	514	404	7,298
2011 Female	82	226	249	966	712	871	827	599	423	500	5,466
Casualty rates									rates per t	thousand	population
2004-08 average	0.97	2.30	3.32	7.31	5.11	4.22	3.28	2.52	1.94	1.83	3.34
2007	0.83	2.12	3.03	7.17	4.87	3.86	3.07	2.43	1.84	1.73	3.16
2008	0.83	1.95	2.88	6.64	4.59	3.80	3.08	2.30	1.70	1.72	3.02
2009	0.70	1.78	2.45	6.46	4.30	3.73	3.01	2.26	1.74	1.62	2.90
2010	0.58	1.66	2.43	5.21	3.79	3.39	2.76	2.10	1.51	1.37	2.55
2011	0.69	1.55	2.22	4.71	3.32	3.22	2.72	2.07	1.58	1.43	2.43
2007-2011 average	0.72	1.81	2.61	6.04	4.15	3.60	2.93	2.23	1.67	1.57	2.81
Male											
2004-08 average	1.09	2.72	3.59	8.54	5.96	5.12	3.98	2.78	2.05	1.98	3.93
2007	0.92	2.49	3.34	8.38	5.61	4.73	3.87	2.66	2.00	1.91	3.74
2008	0.87	2.27	3.26	7.65	5.21	4.62	3.72	2.62	1.78	1.92	3.54
2009	0.71	2.04	2.45	7.56	4.83	4.45	3.66	2.47	1.86	1.78	3.36
2010	0.73	1.93	2.77	5.98	4.15	4.02	3.35	2.43	1.65	1.48	2.98
2011	0.80	1.87	2.25	5.26	3.76	3.77	3.49	2.51	1.80	1.56	2.86
2007-2011 average	0.81	2.12	2.82	6.97	4.69	4.32	3.62	2.54	1.81	1.72	3.29
Female											
2004-08 average	0.82	1.85	3.04	6.04	4.25	3.38	2.62	2.27	1.83	1.73	2.78
2007	0.72	1.72	2.71	5.90	4.11	3.06	2.33	2.21	1.69	1.60	2.60
2008	0.77	1.61	2.47	5.58	3.93	3.03	2.49	2.00	1.63	1.59	2.52
2009	0.68	1.51	2.46	5.30	3.76	3.04	2.40	2.05	1.63	1.52	2.46
2010	0.42	1.38	2.08	4.41	3.41	2.79	2.22	1.79	1.38	1.29	2.15
2011	0.56	1.21	2.18	4.13	2.85	2.68	2.02	1.66	1.38	1.34	2.02
2007-2011 average	0.63	1.49	2.39	5.07	3.60	2.92	2.29	1.94	1.54	1.47	2.35

1. Includes those whose ages were 'not known'.

Reported casualty rates per thousand population, by age and sex Year: 2011



Males



Females

Reported casualties by age and severity, separately for each mode of transport Numbers and rates per thousand population Years: 2007-2011 average

Note of Transpor Age group Killed Series Number of transport Number of transport Pedestrian 0 - 4 - 18 57 768 - 0.06 0.20 0.208						All				All
Pedestrian 0 -4 - 18 57 76 - 0.66 72.0 0.28 12-15 2 67 248 343 - 0.20 0.28 0.89 16-22 7 72 297 376 0.01 0.15 0.82 0.39 0.52 28-29 2 24 78 104 0.01 0.12 0.39 0.62 28-29 2 24 78 104 0.01 0.08 0.27 0.36 30-39 5 37 167 219 0.01 0.08 0.27 0.36 30-39 5 37 167 219 0.01 0.08 0.18 0.24 60-39 5 37 167 219 0.03 0.42 0.20 0.20 0.27 0.37 Pedal Cycle 0 - - 4 4 - - 0.02 0.02 0.27 0.37 <th>Mode of Transport</th> <th>Age group</th> <th>Killed</th> <th>Serious</th> <th>Slight</th> <th>Severities</th> <th>Killed</th> <th>Serious</th> <th>Slight</th> <th>Severities</th>	Mode of Transport	Age group	Killed	Serious	Slight	Severities	Killed	Serious	Slight	Severities
Pedestrian 0 - 4 - 18 57 76 - 0.06 0.20 0.26 5 - 11 12 - 15 2 67 248 317 0.01 0.12 0.32 0.32 0.32 0.32 0.33 0.52 232 24 78 10.01 0.11 0.08 0.27 0.36 30 - 39 5 33 124 107 0.01 0.06 0.12 0.28 40 - 49 5 47 167 219 0.01 0.06 0.11 0.24 0.28 60 - 69 5 39 124 107 0.01 0.06 0.16 0.24 70 & Kovert 18 76 128 221 0.03 0.16 0.24 70 & Kovert 18 76 128 221 0.03 0.14 0.17 71 & 1 12 66 735 - 0.02 0.24 0.27 0.37 71 & 1	· · · · · ·				•	numbers			rates per thousa	nd population
5 - 11 1 78 264 343 - 0.20 0.89 0.89 16 - 22 7 72 297 376 0.01 0.12 0.38 0.32 23-25 2 25 84 111 0.01 0.12 0.38 24-25 2 24 78 104 0.01 0.06 0.27 0.36 30 -39 5 39 124 167 0.01 0.06 0.21 0.28 60 -69 5 43 91 139 0.01 0.08 0.16 0.24 70 & 0.04 7 0.56 0.33 0.12 0.20 0.86 Child 15 2 164 569 7.35 -<	Pedestrian	0 - 4	-	18	57	76	-	0.06	0.20	0.26
12 - 15 2 0' 248 31' 0.01 0.28 1.03 1.31 16 - 22 7 72 297 376 0.01 0.15 0.02 0.73 23-25 2 24 78 104 0.01 0.02 0.28 30 - 39 6 55 177 238 0.01 0.08 0.27 0.35 40 - 49 5 30 124 197 0.01 0.08 0.64 0.24 50 - 69 5 30 124 197 0.01 0.09 0.16 0.24 0.27 0.37 70.8 over 18 76 156 2.21 0.03 0.04 0.02 0.02 70.8 over 18 76 116 56 7.57 0.01 0.06 0.22 0.27 0.37 716 - 22 1 14 65 79 0.03 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.24 0.27 0.37		5 - 11	1	78	264	343	-	0.20	0.69	0.89
No.22 2 25 2 25 2 25 24 78 104 0.01 0.12 0.03 0.12 23:25 2 24 78 104 0.01 0.02 0.23 0.38 30:39 6 53 179 228 0.01 0.06 0.21 0.28 40:49 5 47 167 219 0.01 0.06 0.18 0.24 60:69 5 49 91 139 0.01 0.06 0.18 0.24 Child 0:15 2 164 659 7.35 - 0.18 0.22 0.00 0.01 0.09 0.27 0.37 Pedal Cycle 0:-4 - - 4 4 - - 0.02 0.02 0.22 0.27 0.37 Pedal Cycle 0:-4 - - - - - 0.04 0.02 0.22 0.07 0.10 0.02 <t< td=""><td></td><td>12 - 15</td><td>2</td><td>67</td><td>248</td><td>317</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.28</td><td>1.03</td><td>1.31</td></t<>		12 - 15	2	67	248	317	0.01	0.28	1.03	1.31
23-29 2 29 84 111 0.01 0.02 0.029 0.038 30-39 6 53 179 238 0.01 0.08 0.29 0.38 30-39 6 53 179 238 0.01 0.06 0.18 0.24 60-69 5 43 91 139 0.01 0.06 0.18 0.24 60-69 5 43 91 139 0.01 0.08 0.12 0.20 0.36 70.8 ever 18 76 126 221 0.03 0.42 0.00 0.03 0.42 0.20 0.35 70.8 ever 18 76 126 211 0.01 0.09 0.27 0.03 70.4 ever 1 12 69 735 - 0.18 0.21 0.20 0.25 71 1 12 69 70 0.03 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.24 0.3 <td></td> <td>16 - 22</td> <td>1</td> <td>72</td> <td>297</td> <td>376</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.62</td> <td>0.79</td>		16 - 22	1	72	297	376	0.01	0.15	0.62	0.79
26/29 2 24 78 004 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.06 0.21 0.28 60-69 5 43 91 139 0.01 0.06 0.01 0.03 0.16 0.24 Child 0-15 2 164 1.718 2.21 0.03 0.10 0.03 0.02 0.02 0.02 Child 0-15 2 164 1.718 7.33 0.01 0.09 0.27 0.03 Child 0-15 2 164 1.15 1.573 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.02 Child 0-15 1 1 1 1 0.3 0.41 0.16 0.21 0.23 0.21 0.23 0.21 0.21		23-25	2	25	84	111	0.01	0.12	0.39	0.52
adol 49 5 179 238 0.01 0.08 0.21 0.08 40:49 5 47 167 219 0.01 0.06 0.21 028 50:59 5 39 124 167 0.01 0.06 0.18 0.24 70.6.over 18 76 126 221 0.03 0.01 0.08 0.12 0.20 0.35 Total 15 14 178 226 221 0.03 0.01 0.08 0.21 0.20 0.35 Adult 15+ 49 380 1.145 1.573 0.01 0.09 0.27 0.37 716:22 1 14 65 79 0.03 0.04 0.20 0.24 22-52 - 11 53 66 - 0.04 0.20 0.24 30:39 2 29 132 163 - 0.04 0.20 0.24 22-52 - <td></td> <td>26-29</td> <td>2</td> <td>24</td> <td>78</td> <td>104</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>0.29</td> <td>0.38</td>		26-29	2	24	78	104	0.01	0.09	0.29	0.38
No. 1-19 5 41 107 219 0.01 0.08 0.18 0.24 0.05 0.08 0.18 0.24 0.02 0.06 0.18 0.24 0.06 0.18 0.24 0.06 0.18 0.24 0.20 0.38 0.24 0.06 0.18 0.24 0.06 0.18 0.24 0.20 0.38 0.45 Total ' 51 544 1,778 2,213 0.01 0.03 0.16 0.02 0.07 0.37 0.41 0.4 - - 0.02 0.07 0.03 0.18 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.18 0.02 0.03 0.18 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.13 0.04 0.21 0.22 0.03 0.11		30 - 39	0	53	179	238	0.01	0.08	0.27	0.30
B0 - 09 5 43 91 100 0.01 0.03 0.10 0.24 70 & over 18 76 126 221 0.03 0.12 0.20 0.24 70 & over 18 76 126 221 0.03 0.12 0.20 0.26 70 & over 18 76 126 221 0.03 0.14 0.00 0.27 0.00 0.27 0.03 0.44 0.62 0.00 Adult 164 49 380 1.145 1.573 0.10 0.09 0.27 0.03 0.14 0.11 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 Fedal Cycle 0 - 4 - - 4 4 - - 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.11 0.11 </td <td></td> <td>40 - 49 50 - 50</td> <td>5</td> <td>47</td> <td>107</td> <td>219</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>0.21</td> <td>0.28</td>		40 - 49 50 - 50	5	47	107	219	0.01	0.06	0.21	0.28
Object 15 1-25 91 135 0.03 0.105 0.20 0.03 0.045 0.041 0.017 0.021 0.041 0.017 0.021 0.041 0.017 0.021 0.041 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011 0.011		50 - 59 60 - 69	5	39	124	107	0.01	0.00	0.16	0.24
Total 10 70 120 121 0.01 0.10 0.21 0.21 <th0.21< th=""> 0.21<</th0.21<>		70 & over	18	43	126	221	0.01	0.08	0.10	0.24
Iotal 51 544 (7)18 2,313 0.01 0.18 0.62 0.80 Addut 16+ 49 360 1,145 1,573 0.01 0.09 0.27 0.37 Pedal Cycle 0 - 4 - - 4 - - 0.02 0.02 12 - 15 - 11 12 69 82 - 0.03 0.14 0.17 12 - 25 - 14 65 79 - 0.03 0.04 0.20 0.24 30 - 39 2 29 132 1183 - 0.04 0.20 0.24 40 - 49 1 33 119 153 - 0.04 0.20 0.24 40 - 49 1 23 119 153 - 0.04 0.02 0.24 40 - 4 1 20 50 711 - 0.03 0.11 0.01 70 & cver - 4 8			10	70	120	221	0.03	0.12	0.20	0.30
Child C+13 2 104 369 1/35 0.16 0.62 0.00 Pedal Cycle 0 - 4 - - 4 4 - - 0.02 0.02 0.02 12 - 15 - 11 53 65 - 0.03 0.18 0.21 12 - 15 - 11 53 66 - 0.04 0.017 0.21 23 - 25 - 8 36 44 - 0.04 0.020 0.22 40 - 49 1 33 119 153 - 0.04 0.020 0.22 40 - 49 1 33 119 153 - 0.04 0.020 0.22 40 - 49 1 20 50 71 - 0.03 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.04 0.05 50 - 59 1 22 0 - - - - - - - - <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>51</td><td>544</td><td>1,718</td><td>2,313</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.10</td><td>0.33</td><td>0.45</td></th<>			51	544	1,718	2,313	0.01	0.10	0.33	0.45
Pedal Cycle 0 4 - - 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.33 Pedal Cycle 0 - - 1 12 69 82 - 0.03 0.18 0.21 12 - 15 - 11 153 66 - 0.04 0.17 0.21 0.22 0.22 0.27 16 - 22 1 14 65 79 - 0.03 0.14 0.17 26-29 - 11 55 66 - 0.04 0.20 0.24 30 - 39 2 29 33 119 153 - 0.04 0.20 0.24 40 - 49 1 33 119 153 - 0.04 0.02 0.24 10 0.1 20 20 17 - 0.03 0.12 0.10 10 21 126 150 615 771 - 0.03 0.11 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td>164</td><td>569</td><td>135</td><td>-</td><td>0.18</td><td>0.62</td><td>0.80</td></th<>			2	164	569	135	-	0.18	0.62	0.80
Pedai Cycle 0.4 - - 4 4 - - 0.02 0.02 5 - 11 1 12 69 52 - 0.03 0.018 0.21 12 - 15 - 11 53 66 - 0.03 0.14 0.17 22-25 - 8 36 44 - 0.04 0.20 0.24 30 - 39 2 29 132 163 - 0.04 0.20 0.24 40 - 49 1 33 119 153 - 0.04 0.05 0.17 50 - 59 1 20 50 771 - 0.03 0.012 0.01 0.02 708 over - 4 8 12 - 0.01 0.02 0.04 . - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 0.01 0.01		Adult 16+	49	380	1,145	1,573	0.01	0.09	0.27	0.37
5 - 11 1 12 69 82 - 0.03 0.18 0.21 16 - 22 1 14 65 79 - 0.03 0.14 0.17 2525 - 8 66 4 - 0.04 0.20 0.23 30 - 39 2 29 132 163 - 0.04 0.20 0.25 40 - 49 1 33 119 153 - 0.04 0.20 0.25 50 - 59 1 20 50 71 - 0.03 0.07 0.10 60 - 69 9 21 30 - 0.02 0.04 0.05 708 over - 4 8 12 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 708 over - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 0.01 0.03	Pedal Cycle	0 - 4	-	-	4	4	-	-	0.02	0.02
12 - 15 - 11 53 65 - 0.05 0.22 0.27 16 - 22 1 14 65 79 - 0.03 0.014 0.17 28-25 - 8 36 44 - 0.04 0.20 0.24 30 - 39 2 29 132 163 - 0.04 0.25 0.17 0.03 0.07 0.10 60 - 69 - 9 21 30 - 0.02 0.04 0.05 0.17 0.03 0.012 0.01 0.02 708 over - 4 8 12 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 Total 1 6 150 615 771 - 0.03 0.14 0.11 Aduit 16+ 5 126 487 619 - 0.03 0.11 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.11 0.14 0.14 0.14 0.11 0.11 0.11 <		5 - 11	1	12	69	82	-	0.03	0.18	0.21
Notorcycle ² 1 14 65 79 - 0.03 0.14 0.17 23-25 - 8 36 44 - 0.04 0.20 0.24 30-39 2 29 132 163 - 0.04 0.20 0.25 40-49 1 33 119 153 - 0.04 0.15 0.19 50-59 1 20 50 71 - 0.03 0.01 0.02 708.over - 4 8 12 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 708.over - 4 8 12 - 0.03 0.14 0.16 Adult 16+ 5 126 487 619 - 0.03 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.11 0.17		12 - 15	-	11	53	65	-	0.05	0.22	0.27
23-25 - 8 36 44 - 0.04 0.17 0.21 26-29 - 11 55 66 - 0.04 0.20 0.24 30 - 39 2 29 132 163 - 0.04 0.15 0.19 50 - 553 1 20 50 71 - 0.03 0.07 0.10 60 - 69 - 9 21 30 - 0.02 0.04 0.05 70 & 6 ver - 4 8 12 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.04 0.05 Adult 16+ 5 126 487 619 - 0.03 0.14 0.16 12 - 15 - 3 6 10 - - - - - - - - 0.01 0.03 0.14 0.16 227 0.41 Adult 16+ 5 131 194 0.01 0.01 </td <td></td> <td>16 - 22</td> <td>1</td> <td>14</td> <td>65</td> <td>79</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>0.14</td> <td>0.17</td>		16 - 22	1	14	65	79	-	0.03	0.14	0.17
26-29 - 11 55 66 - 0.04 0.20 0.25 40 - 49 1 33 119 153 - 0.04 0.15 0.19 50 - 59 1 20 50 71 - 0.03 0.07 0.10 60 - 69 - 9 21 30 - 0.03 0.12 0.16 70 & over - 4 8 12 - 0.03 0.11 0.02 Total ' 6 150 615 771 - 0.03 0.14 0.16 Adult 16+ 5 126 487 619 - 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.04 12 - 15 - 3 6 10 - 0.01 0.03 0.04 12 - 15 - 3 6 10 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.12 0.17 0.30 12 - 15 3 21		23-25	-	8	36	44	-	0.04	0.17	0.21
30 - 39 2 29 132 163 - 0.04 0.20 0.25 40 - 49 1 33 119 163 - 0.03 0.07 0.10 60 - 69 - 9 21 30 - 0.02 0.04 0.05 70 & over - 4 8 12 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 Total ¹ 6 150 615 771 - 0.03 0.11 0.14 0.16 Adut 16+ 5 12 487 619 - 0.03 0.11 0.14 Motorcycle ² 0 - 4 - 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01		26-29	-	11	55	66	-	0.04	0.20	0.24
40 - 49 1 33 119 153 - 0.04 0.15 0.19 50 - 59 1 20 50 71 - 0.03 0.07 0.10 60 - 69 - 9 21 30 - 0.02 0.04 0.05 Total ¹ 6 150 615 771 - 0.03 0.12 0.15 Child 0-15 1 24 126 151 - 0.03 0.14 0.16 Adult 16+ 5 126 487 619 - 0.03 0.11 0.14 12 - 15 - 3 6 10 - 0.01 0.02 0.27 0.41 23-25 3 21 36 59 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.22 0.27 30 - 39 10 76 123 208 0.02 0.12 0.19 0.32 26-29 3 24 48 75 <td></td> <td>30 - 39</td> <td>2</td> <td>29</td> <td>132</td> <td>163</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.04</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>0.25</td>		30 - 39	2	29	132	163	-	0.04	0.20	0.25
S0 - 59 1 20 50 71 - 0.03 0.07 0.10 60 - 69 - 9 21 30 - 0.02 0.04 0.05 70 & over - 4 8 12 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 Total ¹ 6 150 615 771 - 0.03 0.12 0.15 Child 0-15 1 24 126 151 - 0.03 0.11 0.14 Motorcycle ² 0 -4 - - - - - - - - 0.03 0.11 0.14 12 - 15 - 3 6 10 - 0.01 0.03 0.04 16 - 22 3 59 131 194 0.01 0.12 0.17 0.30 23-25 3 24 48 75 0.01 0.01 0.11 0.16 0.27 30 - 39		40 - 49	1	33	119	153	-	0.04	0.15	0.19
60 - 69 - 9 21 30 - 0.02 0.04 0.05 Tota 1 ¹ 6 150 615 771 - 0.03 0.12 0.12 Child 0-15 1 24 126 151 - 0.03 0.14 0.16 Aduit 16+ 5 126 - 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.01 0.01		50 - 59	1	20	50	71	-	0.03	0.07	0.10
70 & over - 4 8 12 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 Total ¹ 6 150 6115 771 - 0.03 0.12 0.15 Child 0-15 1 24 126 151 - 0.03 0.11 0.14 Motorcycle ² 0 -4 - 0.01 0.03 0.014 0.01 0.03 0.014 0.01 0.03 0.014 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 <t< td=""><td></td><td>60 - 69</td><td>-</td><td>9</td><td>21</td><td>30</td><td>-</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.05</td></t<>		60 - 69	-	9	21	30	-	0.02	0.04	0.05
Total 1 6 150 615 771 - 0.03 0.12 0.15 Adult 16+ 5 126 151 - 0.03 0.14 0.16 Motorcycle ² 0 - 4 - 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.1 0.01 <td< td=""><td></td><td>70 & over</td><td>-</td><td>4</td><td>8</td><td>12</td><td>-</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.02</td></td<>		70 & over	-	4	8	12	-	0.01	0.01	0.02
Child 0-15 1 24 126 151 - 0.03 0.14 0.16 Motorcycle ² 0 - 4 - - - - - - - - - 0.03 0.14 0.16 5 - 11 - - 2 2 - - - 0.01 10.03 0.04 12 - 15 - 3 6 10 - 0.01 0.03 0.04 16 - 22 3 59 131 194 0.01 0.12 0.27 0.41 23-25 3 24 48 75 0.01 0.09 0.18 0.27 30 - 39 10 76 123 208 0.02 0.12 0.17 0.30 50 - 59 5 50 64 120 0.01 0.07 0.01 0.07 70 & over - 4 6 10 - 0.01 0.01 0.02		Total ¹	6	150	615	771	-	0.03	0.12	0.15
Adult 16+ 5 126 487 619 - 0.03 0.11 0.14 Motorcycle ² 0 - 4 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 0.01 0.03 0.04 12 - 15 - 3 59 131 194 0.01 0.12 0.27 0.41 26-29 3 24 48 75 0.01 0.09 0.18 0.27 30 - 39 10 76 123 208 0.02 0.12 0.19 0.32 40 - 49 11 91 137 239 0.01 0.07 0.99 0.17 60.69 1 15 21 37 - 0.03 0.04 0.07 70 & over - 4 6 10 - 0.01 0.07 0.11 0.18 70 & over - 3 8 <		Child 0-15	1	24	126	151	-	0.03	0.14	0.16
Motorcycle 0 -4 - - - - - - - - - - 0.01 12 - 15 - 3 6 10 - 0.01 0.03 0.04 16 - 22 3 59 131 194 0.01 0.12 0.27 0.41 23-25 3 21 36 59 0.01 0.00 0.18 0.27 30 - 39 10 76 123 208 0.02 0.12 0.19 0.32 40 - 49 11 91 137 239 0.01 0.07 0.09 0.17 50 - 59 5 50 64 120 0.01 0.07 0.01 0.02 70 & over - 4 6 10 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 Adult 16+ 37 340 565 942 0.01 0.08 0.13 0.22 Car 0		Adult 16+	5	126	487	619	-	0.03	0.11	0.14
Notorcycle 0 - 4 1 - 2 2 - - - 0.01 12 - 15 - 3 6 10 - 0.01 0.03 0.04 16 - 22 3 59 131 194 0.01 0.12 0.27 0.41 23-25 3 21 36 59 0.01 0.00 0.17 0.28 26-29 3 24 48 75 0.01 0.09 0.18 0.27 30 - 39 10 76 123 208 0.02 0.12 0.19 0.32 40 - 49 11 91 137 239 0.01 0.12 0.17 0.30 50 - 59 5 50 64 120 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.02 70 & over - 4 6 10 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 Car 0 - 4 1 10 91 102	Motorcyclo ²	0 4								
12-15 - 3 6 10 - 0.01 0.03 0.04 16-22 3 59 131 194 0.01 0.12 0.27 0.41 22-25 3 21 36 59 0.01 0.10 0.17 0.28 26-29 3 24 48 75 0.01 0.09 0.18 0.27 30 - 39 10 76 123 208 0.02 0.12 0.19 0.32 40 - 49 11 91 137 239 0.01 0.07 0.09 0.17 60 - 69 1 15 21 37 - 0.03 0.04 0.07 70 & over - 4 6 10 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 Total 37 344 574 955 0.01 0.07 0.11 0.18 Adult 16+ 37 340 565 942 0.01 0	WOLDICYCIE	0-4 5 11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12 13 13 14 0.0 0.01 0.03 0.03 0.04 16 -22 3 59 131 194 0.01 0.12 0.27 0.41 23-25 3 21 36 59 0.01 0.10 0.17 0.28 26-29 3 24 48 75 0.01 0.09 0.18 0.27 30 - 39 10 76 123 208 0.02 0.12 0.19 0.32 40 - 49 11 91 137 239 0.01 0.07 0.09 0.17 60 - 69 1 15 21 37 - 0.03 0.04 0.07 70 & cver - 4 6 10 - - 0.01 0.07 0.11 0.18 Child 0-15 - 3 8 12 - - 0.01 0.06 0.57 0.63 12 - 15 2		12 - 15	-	- 3	2	10	-	- 0.01	-	0.01
Car 0 -4 1 10 91 102 - 0.03 0.12 0.17 0.28 26-29 3 24 48 75 0.01 0.09 0.18 0.27 30 - 39 10 76 123 208 0.02 0.12 0.19 0.32 40 - 49 11 91 137 239 0.01 0.12 0.17 0.30 50 - 59 5 50 64 120 0.01 0.07 0.09 0.17 60 - 69 1 15 21 37 - 0.03 0.04 0.07 70 & over - 4 6 10 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 Total 1 37 344 574 955 0.01 0.07 0.11 0.18 Car 0 -4 1 10 91 102 - 0.03 0.31 0.32 Car 0 -4 1 10 91 102 - 0.03 0.31 0.35 12 - 15 <		16 - 22	3	59	131	10/	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.04
Z6-29 3 24 48 75 0.01 0.09 0.18 0.27 30 - 39 10 76 123 208 0.02 0.12 0.19 0.32 40 - 49 11 91 137 239 0.01 0.12 0.17 0.30 50 - 59 5 50 64 120 0.01 0.07 0.09 0.17 60 - 69 1 15 21 37 - 0.03 0.04 0.07 70 & over - 4 6 10 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 Total ¹ 37 344 574 955 0.01 0.07 0.11 0.18 Child 0-15 - 3 8 12 - - 0.01 0.08 0.13 0.22 Car 0 - 4 1 10 91 102 - 0.03 0.31 0.35 5 - 11 2 20		23-25	3	21	36	59	0.01	0.12	0.27	0.41
Car 0 10 76 123 208 0.02 0.12 0.19 0.32 40 - 49 11 91 137 239 0.01 0.12 0.19 0.32 50 - 59 5 50 64 120 0.01 0.07 0.09 0.17 60 - 69 1 15 21 37 - 0.03 0.04 0.07 70 & vorer - 4 6 10 - 0.01 0.01 0.02 Total 1 37 344 574 955 0.01 0.07 0.11 0.18 Child 0-15 - 3 8 12 - - 0.01 0.08 0.13 0.22 Car 0 - 4 1 10 91 102 - 0.03 0.31 0.35 5 - 11 2 20 220 241 0.01 0.05 0.57 0.63 12 - 15 2 19		26-29	3	24	48	75	0.01	0.10	0.17	0.20
Car 0 - 4 1 91 137 239 0.01 0.12 0.17 0.30 50 - 59 5 50 64 120 0.01 0.07 0.09 0.17 60 - 69 1 15 21 37 - 0.03 0.04 0.07 70 & over - 4 6 10 - 0.01 0.07 0.11 0.08 Total 1 37 344 574 955 0.01 0.07 0.11 0.01 Adult 16+ 37 340 565 942 0.01 0.08 0.13 0.22 Car 0 - 4 1 10 91 102 - 0.03 0.31 0.35 5 - 11 2 20 220 241 0.01 0.06 0.74 0.83 16 - 22 33 252 1.804 2.089 0.07 0.53 3.78 4.38 23-25 11 70		30 - 39	10	76	123	208	0.02	0.00	0.19	0.32
Sol 10 Sol 10<		40 - 49	10	91	137	239	0.01	0.12	0.17	0.30
Car 0 - 4 1 <th1< th=""> 1<td></td><td>50 - 59</td><td>5</td><td>50</td><td>64</td><td>120</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.07</td><td>0.09</td><td>0.17</td></th1<>		50 - 59	5	50	64	120	0.01	0.07	0.09	0.17
Total - - - - - - - 0.01 0.01 0.02 Total 37 344 574 955 0.01 0.07 0.11 0.18 Child 0-15 - 3 8 12 - - 0.01 0.01 Adult 16+ 37 340 565 942 0.01 0.08 0.13 0.22 Car 0 -4 1 10 91 102 - 0.03 0.31 0.35 5 - 11 2 20 220 241 0.01 0.05 0.57 0.63 12 - 15 2 19 179 200 0.01 0.08 0.74 0.83 16 - 22 33 252 1.804 2.089 0.07 0.53 3.78 4.38 23-25 11 70 575 655 0.05 0.33 2.69 3.07 26-29 6 70 6		60 - 69	1	15	21	37	-	0.03	0.04	0.07
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		70 & over	-	4	6	10	-	0.01	0.01	0.02
Child 0-15 - 3 8 12 - - 0.01 0.01 Adult 16+ 37 340 565 942 0.01 0.08 0.13 0.22 Car 0 - 4 1 10 91 102 - 0.01 0.03 0.31 0.35 5 - 11 2 20 220 241 0.01 0.05 0.57 0.63 12 - 15 2 19 179 200 0.01 0.08 0.74 0.83 16 - 22 33 252 1,804 2,089 0.07 0.53 3.78 4.38 23-25 11 70 575 655 0.05 0.33 2.69 3.07 26-29 6 70 653 729 0.02 0.26 2.40 2.88 30 - 39 17 144 1,339 1,500 0.03 0.22 2.04 2.28 40 - 49 12 130 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Total¹</td><td>37</td><td>344</td><td>574</td><td>955</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.07</td><td>0.11</td><td>0.18</td></t<>		Total ¹	37	344	574	955	0.01	0.07	0.11	0.18
Adult 16+ 37 340 565 942 0.01 0.08 0.13 0.22 Car 0 - 4 1 10 91 102 - 0.03 0.31 0.35 5 - 11 2 20 220 241 0.01 0.05 0.57 0.63 12 - 15 2 19 179 200 0.01 0.08 0.74 0.83 16 - 22 33 252 1,804 2,089 0.07 0.53 3.78 4.38 23-25 11 70 575 655 0.05 0.33 2.69 3.07 26-29 6 70 653 729 0.02 0.26 2.40 2.88 30 - 39 17 144 1,339 1,500 0.03 0.22 2.04 2.28 40 - 49 12 130 1,290 1,432 0.02 0.16 1.63 1.81 50 - 59 10 117 832 <td></td> <td>Child 0-15</td> <td>-</td> <td>3</td> <td>8</td> <td>12</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.01</td>		Child 0-15	-	3	8	12	-	-	0.01	0.01
Car 0 - 4 1 10 91 102 - 0.03 0.31 0.35 5 - 11 2 20 220 241 0.01 0.05 0.57 0.63 12 - 15 2 19 179 200 0.01 0.08 0.74 0.83 16 - 22 33 252 1,804 2,089 0.07 0.53 3.78 4.38 23 - 25 11 70 575 655 0.05 0.33 2.69 3.07 26 - 29 6 70 653 729 0.02 0.26 2.40 2.68 30 - 39 17 144 1,339 1,500 0.03 0.22 2.04 2.28 40 - 49 12 130 1,290 1,432 0.02 0.16 1.63 1.81 50 - 59 10 117 832 959 0.02 0.15 0.88 1.04 70 & over 21 107 431		Adult 16+	37	340	565	942	0.01	0.08	0.13	0.22
Car $0 - 4$ 11091102-0.030.310.35 $5 - 11$ 2202202410.010.050.570.63 $12 - 15$ 2191792000.010.080.740.83 $16 - 22$ 332521,8042,0890.070.533.784.38 $23 - 25$ 11705756550.050.332.693.07 $26 - 29$ 6706537290.020.262.402.68 $30 - 39$ 171441,3391,5000.030.222.042.28 $40 - 49$ 121301,2901,4320.020.161.631.81 $50 - 59$ 101178329590.020.171.211.40 $60 - 69$ 9835045960.020.150.881.04 70 & over211074315590.030.170.700.91Total 11251,0217,9309,0760.020.201.531.75Child 0-155494895430.010.050.540.59Adult 16+1199727.4288.5190.030.231.731.99	0	0.4		10		100		0.00	0.04	0.05
5 - 11 2 20 220 241 0.01 0.05 0.57 0.63 $12 - 15$ 2 19 179 200 0.01 0.08 0.74 0.83 $16 - 22$ 33 252 $1,804$ $2,089$ 0.07 0.53 3.78 4.38 $23 - 25$ 11 70 575 655 0.05 0.33 2.69 3.07 $26 - 29$ 6 70 653 729 0.02 0.26 2.40 2.68 $30 - 39$ 17 144 $1,339$ $1,500$ 0.03 0.22 2.04 2.28 $40 - 49$ 12 130 $1,290$ $1,432$ 0.02 0.16 1.63 1.81 $50 - 59$ 10 117 832 959 0.02 0.17 1.21 1.40 $60 - 69$ 9 83 504 596 0.02 0.15 0.88 1.04 70 & over 21 107 431 559 0.03 0.17 0.70 0.91 $Total$ 125 $1,021$ $7,930$ $9,076$ 0.02 0.20 1.53 1.75 Child $0 - 15$ 5 49 489 543 0.01 0.05 0.54 0.59 Adult $16+$ 119 972 7428 8519 0.03 0.23 1.73 1.99	Car	0 - 4	1	10	91	102	-	0.03	0.31	0.35
12 - 15 2 19 179 200 0.01 0.08 0.74 0.83 16 - 22 33 252 1,804 2,089 0.07 0.53 3.78 4.38 23-25 11 70 575 655 0.05 0.33 2.69 3.07 26-29 6 70 653 729 0.02 0.26 2.40 2.68 30 - 39 17 144 1,339 1,500 0.03 0.22 2.04 2.28 40 - 49 12 130 1,290 1,432 0.02 0.16 1.63 1.81 50 - 59 10 117 832 959 0.02 0.17 1.21 1.40 60 - 69 9 83 504 596 0.02 0.15 0.88 1.04 70 & over 21 107 431 559 0.03 0.17 0.70 0.91 Total ¹ 125 1,021 7,930 9,076 0.02 0.20 1.53 1.75 Child 0-15 5		5 - I I 40 45	2	20	220	241	0.01	0.05	0.57	0.63
16 - 22 33 232 1,804 2,089 0.07 0.53 3.78 4.38 23-25 11 70 575 655 0.05 0.33 2.69 3.07 26-29 6 70 653 729 0.02 0.26 2.40 2.68 30 - 39 17 144 1,339 1,500 0.03 0.22 2.04 2.28 40 - 49 12 130 1,290 1,432 0.02 0.16 1.63 1.81 50 - 59 10 117 832 959 0.02 0.17 1.21 1.40 60 - 69 9 83 504 596 0.02 0.15 0.88 1.04 70 & over 21 107 431 559 0.03 0.17 0.70 0.91 Total ¹ 125 1,021 7,930 9,076 0.02 0.20 1.53 1.75 Child 0-15 5 49 489 543 0.01 0.05 0.54 0.59 Adult 16+ 119 </td <td></td> <td>12 - 15</td> <td>2</td> <td>19</td> <td>179</td> <td>200</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>0.74</td> <td>0.83</td>		12 - 15	2	19	179	200	0.01	0.08	0.74	0.83
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		10-22	33	252	1,604	2,009	0.07	0.55	3.70	4.30
20-29 6 70 653 729 0.02 0.26 2.40 2.68 30 - 39 17 144 1,339 1,500 0.03 0.22 2.04 2.28 40 - 49 12 130 1,290 1,432 0.02 0.16 1.63 1.81 50 - 59 10 117 832 959 0.02 0.17 1.21 1.40 60 - 69 9 83 504 596 0.02 0.15 0.88 1.04 70 & over 21 107 431 559 0.03 0.17 0.70 0.91 Total ¹ 125 1,021 7,930 9,076 0.02 0.20 1.53 1.75 Child 0-15 5 49 489 543 0.01 0.05 0.54 0.59 Adult 16+ 119 972 7.428 8.519 0.03 0.23 1.73 1.99		23-25	11	70	575	200	0.05	0.33	2.69	3.07
40 - 49 12 130 1,290 1,432 0.02 0.16 1.63 1.81 50 - 59 10 117 832 959 0.02 0.17 1.21 1.40 60 - 69 9 83 504 596 0.02 0.15 0.88 1.04 70 & over 21 107 431 559 0.03 0.17 0.70 0.91 Total ¹ 125 1,021 7,930 9,076 0.02 0.20 1.53 1.75 Child 0-15 5 49 489 543 0.01 0.05 0.54 0.59 Adult 16+ 119 972 7.428 8.519 0.03 0.23 1.73 1.99		20-29 30 - 30	0 17	10	1 220	1 500	0.02	0.20	2.40	∠.00 റ_ററ
40 - 40 12 130 1,200 1,452 0.02 0.10 1.03 1.01 50 - 59 10 117 832 959 0.02 0.17 1.21 1.40 60 - 69 9 83 504 596 0.02 0.15 0.88 1.04 70 & over 21 107 431 559 0.03 0.17 0.70 0.91 Total ¹ 125 1,021 7,930 9,076 0.02 0.20 1.53 1.75 Child 0-15 5 49 489 543 0.01 0.05 0.54 0.59 Adult 16+ 119 972 7.428 8.519 0.03 0.23 1.73 1.99		40 - 40	17	144	1,009	1,000	0.03	0.22	2.04	∠.∠0 1 Q1
60 - 69 9 83 504 596 0.02 0.17 1.21 1.40 60 - 69 9 83 504 596 0.02 0.15 0.88 1.04 70 & over 21 107 431 559 0.03 0.17 0.70 0.91 Total ¹ 125 1,021 7,930 9,076 0.02 0.20 1.53 1.75 Child 0-15 5 49 489 543 0.01 0.05 0.54 0.59 Adult 16+ 119 972 7.428 8.519 0.03 0.23 1.73 1.99		-0 - 49 50 - 50	12 10	130	1,290 220	1,432	0.02	0.10	1.03	1.01
Total 1 125 1,021 7,930 9,076 0.02 0.13 0.86 1.04 Child 0-15 5 49 489 543 0.01 0.05 0.54 0.99 Adult 16+ 119 972 7,428 8,519 0.03 0.22 0.13 0.06 1.04		60 - 69	0	۱۱ <i>۲</i> وې	50Z	509	0.02	0.17	1.21	1.40
Total ¹ 125 1,021 7,930 9,076 0.02 0.20 1.53 1.75 Child 0-15 5 49 489 543 0.01 0.05 0.54 0.59 Adult 16+ 119 972 7.428 8.519 0.03 0.23 1.73 1.99		70 & over	9 21	107	/21	550	0.02	0.13	0.00	0.04
I Child 0-15 5 49 489 543 0.01 0.05 0.54 0.59 Adult 16+ 119 972 7.428 8.519 0.03 0.23 1.73 1.99		Total ¹	405	4 004	7 0 20	0.076	0.00	0.17	4 60	4.7F
Adult 16+ 119 972 7 428 8 519 0.03 0.23 1 73 1 99			123	1,021	1,93U	9,070 543	0.02	0.20	0.54	0.50
			110	43	7 /09	2 5 1 0	0.01	0.03	1 72	1 00

1. Includes those whose age was 'not known'

2. Motorcycle includes all two wheeled motor vehicles

Table 32 (continued)

Reported casualties by age and severity, separately for each mode of transport Numbers and rates per thousand population Years: 2007-2011 average

Road User	Age group	Killed	Serious	Slight	All Severities	Killed	Serious	Slight	All Severities
					numbers			rates per thous	and population
Taxi	0 - 4	-	-	2	3	-	-	0.01	0.01
	5 - 11	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	12 - 15	-	-	3	3	-	-	0.01	0.01
	16 - 22	-	2	25	27	-	-	0.05	0.06
	23-25	-	1	11	12	-	-	0.05	0.05
	26-29	-	1	14	15	-	-	0.05	0.06
	30 - 39	-	1	36	37	-	-	0.05	0.06
	40 - 49	-	3	40	43	-	-	0.05	0.05
	50 - 59	-	2	36	39	-	-	0.05	0.06
	60 - 69	-	2	17	19	-	-	0.03	0.03
	70 & over	-	1	6	7	-	-	0.01	0.01
	Total ¹	1	13	192	206	-	-	0.04	0.04
	Child 0-15	-	1	6	7	-	-	0.01	0.01
	Adult 16+	1	12	186	199	-	-	0.04	0.05
Minibus	0 - 4	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	5 - 11	-	-	3	3	-	-	0.01	0.01
	12 - 15	-	-	4	4	-	-	0.02	0.02
	16 - 22	-	1	4	5	-	-	0.01	0.01
	23-25	-	-	4	4	-	-	0.02	0.02
	26-29	-	1	3	4	-	-	0.01	0.01
	30 - 39	1	1	7	9	-	-	0.01	0.01
	40 - 49	-	1	8	9	-	-	0.01	0.01
	50 - 59	-	1	6	7	-	-	0.01	0.01
	60 - 69	-	-	3	3	-	-	0.01	0.01
	70 & over	-	-	3	4	-	-	-	0.01
	Total ¹	1	6	47	54	-	-	0.01	0.01
	Child 0-15	-	-	8	8	-	-	0.01	0.01
	Adult 16+	1	6	38	45	-	-	0.01	0.01
Bus/Coach	0 - 4	-	1	18	19	-	-	0.06	0.07
	5 - 11	-	-	20	20	-	-	0.05	0.05
	12 - 15	-	2	21	23	-	0.01	0.09	0.10
	16 - 22	-	2	39	41	-	-	0.08	0.09
	23-25	-	1	16	17	-	-	0.07	0.08
	26-29	-	1	18	19	-	-	0.07	0.07
	30 - 39	-	2	52	54	-	-	0.08	0.08
	40 - 49	-	3	58	61	-	-	0.07	0.08
	50 - 59	-	5	56	61	-	0.01	0.08	0.09
	60 - 69	-	10	72	82	-	0.02	0.13	0.14
	70 & over	-	19	127	146	-	0.03	0.21	0.24
	Total ¹	1	46	498	545	-	0.01	0.10	0.10
	Child 0-15	-	3	60	63	-	-	0.07	0.07
	Adult 16+	1	43	437	481	-	0.01	0.10	0.11
Light goods	0 - 4	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	5 - 11	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	0.01
	12 - 15	-	-	2	2	-	-	0.01	0.01
	16 - 22	1	5	37	43	-	0.01	0.08	0.09
	23-25	1	4	24	29	-	0.02	0.11	0.13
	26-29	1	3	26	30	-	0.01	0.09	0.11
	30 - 39	2	9	66	77	-	0.01	0.10	0.12
	40 - 49	1	10	66	77	-	0.01	0.08	0.10
	50 - 59	1	8	43	51	-	0.01	0.06	0.08
	60 - 69	-	3	18	22	-	0.01	0.03	0.04
	70 & over	-	1	3	4	-	-	-	0.01
	Total ¹	6	44	289	340	-	0.01	0.06	0.07
	Child 0-15	-	1	4	5	-	-	-	0.01
	Adult 16+	6	43	284	333	-	0.01	0.07	0.08

1. Includes those whose age was 'not known'

Reported casualties by age and severity, separately for each mode of transport Numbers and rates per thousand population

Years: 2007-2011 average

Road User	Age group	Killed	Serious	Slight	All Severities	Killed	Serious	Slight	All Severities
					numbers			rates per ti	housand population
Heavy goods	0 - 4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	5 - 11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	12 - 15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	16 - 22	-	1	6	6	-	-	0.01	0.01
	23-25	-	1	6	6	-	-	0.03	0.03
	26-29	-	2	13	15	-	0.01	0.05	0.05
	30 - 39	-	7	40	47	-	0.01	0.06	0.07
	40 - 49	1	5	44	50	-	0.01	0.06	0.06
	50 - 59	1	6	24	30	-	0.01	0.03	0.04
	60 - 69	1	4	9	14	-	0.01	0.02	0.03
	70 & over	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
	Total ¹	3	25	143	171	-	-	0.03	0.03
	Child 0-15	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	Adult 16+	3	25	143	171	-	0.01	0.03	0.04
Other	0 - 4	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
	5 - 11	-	-	3	3	-	-	0.01	0.01
	12 - 15	-	1	5	6	-	-	0.02	0.03
	16 - 22	-	5	17	22	-	0.01	0.03	0.05
	23-25	-	1	9	10	-	-	0.04	0.05
	26-29	-	1	12	14	-	0.01	0.05	0.05
	30 - 39	-	4	30	34	-	0.01	0.05	0.05
	40 - 49	-	5	31	36	-	0.01	0.04	0.04
	50 - 59	-	3	19	22	-	-	0.03	0.03
	60 - 69	-	2	7	10	-	-	0.01	0.02
	70 & over	-	2	4	6	-	-	0.01	0.01
	Total ¹	2	24	138	164	-	-	0.03	0.03
	Child 0-15	-	2	8	10	-	-	0.01	0.01
	Adult 16+	2	23	129	153	-	0.01	0.03	0.04
Total	0 - 4	2	30	176	208	0.01	0.10	0.61	0.72
	5 - 11	3	111	582	697	0.01	0.29	1.51	1.81
	12 - 15	4	104	521	629	0.02	0.43	2.16	2.61
	16 - 22	45	413	2,424	2,881	0.09	0.87	5.08	6.04
	23-25	17	131	799	946	0.08	0.61	3.74	4.43
	26-29	12	137	921	1,069	0.04	0.50	3.39	3.93
	30 - 39	38	325	2,004	2,367	0.06	0.50	3.05	3.60
	40 - 49	31	329	1,960	2,319	0.04	0.42	2.47	2.93
	50 - 59	22	251	1,255	1,528	0.03	0.37	1.83	2.23
	60 - 69	18	171	764	953	0.03	0.30	1.34	1.67
	70 & over	41	214	714	969	0.07	0.35	1.16	1.57
	Total ¹	232	2,218	12,146	14,596	0.04	0.43	2.34	2.81
	Child 0-15	9	245	1,280	1,534	0.01	0.27	1.40	1.68
	Adult 16+	223	1,971	10,841	13,035	0.05	0.46	2.53	3.04

(1) Includes those whose age was 'not known'

Reported casualty rates per thousand population by mode of transport, age group and severity Years: 2007-2011 average









Reported casualty rates per thousand population by mode of transport, age group and severity Years: 2007-2011 average



Reported casualties by speed limit,	mode of transport and severity
2007 to 2011 average	

		30 mph	40 mph	50 mph	60 mph	70 mph	Other	Total
Killed	Pedestrians	33	4	2	8	4	1	51
	Pedal cycle	2	1	0	3	0	0	6
	Motor cycle	4	2	1	28	2	0	37
	Car users	12	5	2	90	16	-	125
	Bus/coach	0	-	-	0	-	-	1
	Other	2	1	0	6	3	-	12
	Total	53	13	6	134	24	1	232
Serious								
	Pedestrians	479	17	5	21	6	14	544
	Pedal cycle	111	7	2	25	2	3	150
	Motor cycle	119	16	10	181	15	4	344
	Car users	228	46	27	608	108	5	1,021
	Bus/coach	38	1	1	3	0	2	46
	Other	28	8	1	61	15	1	113
	Total	1,003	94	47	899	147	28	2,218
All Severities								
	Pedestrians	2,061	57	16	73	18	88	2,313
	Pedal cycle	630	32	6	79	5	18	771
	Motor cycle	426	53	23	402	40	11	955
	Car users	3,598	512	244	3,746	924	52	9,076
	Bus/coach	438	21	10	63	6	7	545
	Other	369	58	25	363	114	6	935
	Total	7,522	733	326	4,726	1,107	182	14,596

Reported casualties by age, severity and sex, separately for each casualty class Numbers and rates per thousand population

Years: 2007-2011 average

	Male			Female		Total ⁽¹⁾			
Casualty			All			All			All
class/age	Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities
(a) Numbers									
Pedestrian									
0.4		10	19		6	20		19	76
0-4 5 11	-	12	40	-	0 27	122	-	10	242
12 - 15	1	13	187	- 1	21	123	2	70 67	343
12 - 15	I F	43	220	1	20	130	2	70	276
10-22	1	15	230	1	19	137	2	72	111
25-25	1	10	68	_	10	40	2	20	104
20-29	2	10	152	-	19	30	2	24 52	229
30 - 39	4	30	102	<u>∠</u>	10	00	5	53	230
40 - 49	4	26	05	י ר	10	72	5	47	219
50 - 59	3 2	20	90	2	12	67	5	39	107
70 8 ovor	10	20	13	3	23	121	19	43	221
	10	32	99	0	44	121	10	70	221
	33	338	1,385	19	205	926	51	544	2,313
Child 0-15	2	106	455	1	58	280	2	164	735
Adult 16+	31	233	927	18	147	645	49	380	1,574
Driver or rider									
0 - 4	-	-	3	-	-	1	-	-	4
5 - 11	1	9	61	-	3	20	1	12	81
12 - 15	1	12	62	-	2	9	1	14	72
16 - 22	18	172	986	4	46	541	22	218	1,527
23 - 25	10	60	358	1	20	243	11	80	601
26 - 29	8	64	435	1	22	283	9	86	719
30 - 39	23	174	1,062	4	56	658	27	230	1,720
40 - 49	18	191	1,093	4	53	613	22	244	1,706
50 - 59	12	125	653	3	48	380	15	173	1,034
60 - 69	8	65	355	1	21	168	9	86	522
70 & over	11	50	262	5	21	124	16	71	386
Total ¹	109	923	5,336	23	292	3,041	132	1,215	8,381
Child 0-15	1	21	127	-	5	30	1	26	157
Adult 16+	108	900	5,204	23	287	3,009	131	1,188	8,215
Passenger									
veniele, pilleri									
0 - 4	1	6	67	1	5	60	1	12	129
5 - 11	2	11	136	1	10	137	2	21	273
12 - 15	1	10	99	1	13	142	2	23	241
16 - 22	12	68	473	4	55	506	16	122	979
23 - 25	2	15	112	2	11	123	4	26	235
26 - 29	1	16	118	-	11	130	1	27	248
30 - 39	3	22	172	2	20	238	5	42	410
40 - 49	2	15	147	2	23	247	4	38	395
50 - 59	1	14	99	1	25	229	3	39	327
60 - 69	1	10	69	3	32	222	4	42	292
70 & over	2	12	69	5	55	294	7	67	363
	27	198	1,565	22	261	2,331	49	459	3,901
Child 0-15	3	27	303	2	28	339	5	56	644
Adult 16+	24	170	1.259	20	233	1.988	43	403	3.248

1. Includes those whose sex and/or age was not known.

Reported casualties by age, severity and sex, separately for each casualty class Numbers and rates per thousand population

Years: 2007-2011 average

		Male			Female		Total ⁽¹⁾						
Casualty			All			All			All				
class/age	Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities	Killed	Serious	Severities				
(b) Rates per tho	ousand popu	lation											
Pedestrian													
0 - 4	-	.08	.33	.00	.04	.20	.00	.06	.26				
5 - 11	.00	.26	1.12	-	.15	.65	.00	.20	.89				
12 - 15	.01	.35	1.51	.01	.21	1.10	.01	.28	1.31				
16 - 22	.02	.22	.98	.01	.08	.59	.01	.15	.79				
23 - 25	.01	.14	.60	.00	.10	.44	.01	.12	.52				
26 - 29	.01	.13	.49	.00	.04	.27	.01	.09	.38				
30 - 39	.01	.11	.47	.01	.05	.26	.01	.08	.36				
40 - 49	.01	.08	.36	.00	.04	.20	.01	.06	.28				
50 - 59	.01	.08	.29	.01	.04	.20	.01	.06	.24				
60 - 69	.01	.07	.27	.01	.08	.22	.01	.08	.24				
70 & over	.04	.13	.40	.02	.12	.33	.03	.12	.36				
Total ¹	.01	.13	.55	.01	.08	.35	.01	.10	.45				
Child 0-15	00	23	97	00	13	63	00	18	80				
Adult 16+	.00	.20	.07	.00	07	20	.00	.10	.00				
Addit 10+	.02	.11	.45	.01	.07	.25	.01	.09	.57				
Driver or rider													
0 - 4	-	-	.02	-	-	.01	-	-	.01				
5 - 11	.00	.05	.31	-	.02	.11	.00	.03	.21				
12 - 15	.00	.10	.51	-	.02	.08	.00	.06	.30				
16 - 22	.07	.71	4.05	.02	.20	2.31	.05	.46	3.20				
23 - 25	.09	.55	3.30	.01	.19	2.32	.05	.37	2.82				
26 - 29	.06	.47	3.15	.01	.16	2.11	.03	.32	2.64				
30 - 39	.07	.54	3.31	.01	.17	1.96	.04	.35	2.62				
40 - 49	.05	.50	2.87	.01	.13	1.49	.03	.31	2.15				
50 - 59	.04	.37	1.96	.01	.14	1.08	.02	.25	1.51				
60 - 69	.03	.24	1.30	.00	.07	.56	.02	.15	.92				
70 & over	.04	.20	1.05	.01	.06	.34	.03	.12	.63				
Total ¹	.04	.37	2.12	.01	.11	1.13	.03	.23	1.61				
Child 0-15	.00	.04	.27	-	.01	.07	.00	.03	.17				
Adult 16+	.05	.44	2.54	.01	.13	1.35	.03	.28	1.92				
Passenger													
vehicle/pillion													
0 - 4	.01	.04	.46	.00	.04	.43	.00	.04	.45				
5 - 11	.01	.06	.69	.00	.05	.73	.01	.05	.71				
12 - 15	.01	.08	.80	.01	.11	1.21	.01	.10	1.00				
16 - 22	.05	.28	1.94	.02	.23	2.17	.03	.26	2.05				
23 - 25	.02	.14	1.03	.02	.11	1.17	.02	.12	1.10				
26 - 29	.00	.11	.85	.00	.08	.97	.00	.10	.91				
30 - 39	.01	.07	.54	.01	.06	.71	.01	.06	.62				
40 - 49	.00	.04	.39	.01	.06	.60	.01	.05	.50				
50 - 59	.00	.04	.30	.00	.07	.65	.00	.06	.48				
60 - 69	.00	.04	.25	.01	.11	.75	.01	.07	.51				
70 & over	.01	.05	.28	.01	.15	.80	.01	.11	.59				
Total ¹	.01	.08	.62	.01	.10	.87	.01	.09	.75				
Child 0-15	.01	.06	.65	.00	.06	.76	.01	.06	.70				
Adult 16+	.01	.08	.61	.01	.10	.89	.01	.09	.76				

1. Includes those whose sex and/or age was not known.

Reported child/adult pedestrian casualties in single vehicle accidents, by pedestrian action, pedestrian crossing details 2004-08, 2007-11 averages and 2007 to 2011

Child pedestrian

		On ped crossing	In zig zag crossing	In 50 metres crossing	Crossing elsewhere	Other/ unknown	All locations
Crossing road-not concealed by vehicle	2004-08 average	62	6	49	410	47	574
	2007	58	5	42	389	32	526
	2008	55	9	38	325	38	465
	2009	51	9	32	244	37	373
	2010	49	3	28	233	38	351
	2011	48	5	41	271	17	382
	2007-11 average	52	6	36	292	32	419
Crossing road-concealed by vehicle	2004-08 average	10	1	25	202	18	255
	2007	9	2	17	163	15	206
	2008	11	-	16	169	10	206
	2009	12	2	13	155	9	191
	2010	11	2	24	149	13	199
	2011	11	5	14	138	8	176
	2007-11 average	11	2	17	155	11	196
Standing/walking	2004-08 average	-	-	-	-	52	52
	2007	-	-	-	-	47	47
	2008	-	-	-	-	39	39
	2009	-	-	-	-	33	33
	2010	-	-	-	-	37	37
	2011	-	-	-	-	29	29
	2007-11 average	-	-	-	-	37	37
Other/unknown	2004-08 average	1	-	2	10	76	89
	2007	4	-	-	13	67	84
	2008	-	-	2	13	79	94
	2009	3	-	-	4	51	58
	2010	-	-	-	4	40	44
	2011	1	-	1	5	33	40
	2007-11 average	2	-	1	8	54	64
Total							
	2004-08 average	72	7	76	622	193	970
	2007	71	7	59	565	161	863
	2008	66	9	56	507	166	804
	2009	66	11	45	403	130	655
	2010	60	5	52	386	128	631
	2011	60	10	56	414	87	627
	2007-11 average	65	8	54	455	134	716

Reported child/adult pedestrian casualties in single vehicle accidents, by pedestrian action, pedestrian crossing details 2004-08, 2007-11 averages and 2007 to 2011

Adult pedestrian

		On ped crossing	In zig zag crossing	In 50 metres crossing	Crossing elsewhere	Other/ unknown	All locations
Crossing road-not concealed by vehicle	2004-08 average	155	9	145	624	97	1,030
	2007	138	10	146	618	100	1,012
	2008	173	11	143	539	68	934
	2009	132	13	122	507	69	843
	2010	110	11	105	430	55	711
	2011	129	10	123	442	58	762
	2007-11 average	136	11	128	507	70	852
Crossing road-concealed by vehicle	2004-08 average	16	1	37	118	11	182
	2007	15	-	30	125	11	181
	2008	22	1	47	118	8	196
	2009	14	3	29	87	9	142
	2010	17	2	24	86	13	142
	2011	15	4	29	105	8	161
	2007-11 average	17	2	32	104	10	164
Standing/walking	2004-08 average	-	-	-	-	221	221
	2007	-	-	-	-	197	197
	2008	-	-	-	-	198	198
	2009	-	-	-	-	169	169
	2010	-	-	-	-	196	196
	2011	-	-	-	-	191	191
	2007-11 average	-	-	-	-	190	190
Other/unknown	2004-08 average	6	0	8	39	256	309
	2007	9	1	10	36	265	321
	2008	6	-	6	46	266	324
	2009	4	-	4	54	211	273
	2010	7	-	4	42	165	218
	2011	2	-	4	36	179	221
	2007-11 average	6	0	6	43	217	271
Total							
	2004-08 average	176	11	190	782	584	1,743
	2007	162	11	186	779	573	1,711
	2008	201	12	196	703	540	1,652
	2009	150	16	155	648	458	1,427
	2010	134	13	133	558	429	1,267
	2011	146	14	156	583	436	1,335
	2007-11 average	159	13	165	654	487	1,478

Table 36 Casualties by council, severity and road type Years: 2004-2008 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007-11

				Killed						Serious	s			All severities							
		Trunk	Local Auth. Non Built Up	Local Auth. Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	
Highland	2004-08 average	18	8	2	10	28	81	30	24	4	21	80	160	484	149	152	21	137	458	942	
	2007	19	12	3	15	34	65	29	30	5	24	88	153	493	147	150	20	119	436	929	
	2008	18	13	3	16	34	61	17	15	4	17	53	114	432	126	135	18	135	414	846	
	2009	20	7	1	8	28	75	22	17	1	13	53	128	501	143	138	9	152	442	943	
	2010	13	8	5	13	26	49	21	15	2	15	53	102	384	101	113	16	111	341	725	
	2011	9	8	4	12	21	41	25	11	1	20	57	98	313	123	90	18	141	372	685	
	2007-11 average	16	10	3	13	29	58	23	18	3	18	61	119	425	128	125	16	132	401	826	
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-49	-	-	20	-24	-49	-18	-55	-	-6	-28	-39	-35	-17	-41	-13	3	-19	-27	
	07-11 av	-11	-	-	28	3	-28	-25	-28	-	-16	-24	-26	-12	-14	-18	-21	-4	-12	-12	
Orkney Islands	2004-08 average	-	1	-	1	1	-	4	1	1	1	7	7	-	24	8	6	10	47	47	
	2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	2	2	-	17	3	4	13	37	37	
_	2008	-	2	-	2	2	-	4	1	-	2	7	7	-	21	8	6	9	44	44	
78	2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	-	1	6	6	-	24	3	4	4	35	35	
	2010	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	1	1	5	5	-	24	4	5	5	38	38	
	2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	2	2	-	13	9	3	1	26	26	
	2007-11 average	-	0	-	0	0	-	2	1	0	1	4	4	-	20	5	4	6	36	36	
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-45	-	-	-90	-45	-45	
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-16	-	-	-37	-24	-24	
Shetland Islands	2004-08 average	-	1	1	2	2	-	5	1	0	2	8	8	-	31	8	4	8	51	51	
	2007	-	4	1	5	5	-	2	2	-	2	6	6	-	32	4	5	10	51	51	
	2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	1	5	5	-	15	5	2	2	24	24	
	2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	-	2	5	5	-	38	14	13	7	72	72	
	2010	-	1	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	2	3	3	-	34	11	4	6	55	55	
	2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	1	-	5	5	-	24	8	8	6	46	46	
	2007-11 average	-	1	0	1	1	-	2	1	0	1	5	5	-	29	8	6	6	50	50	
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-22	-	-	-	-9	-9	
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-7	-	-	-	-2	-2	

Table 36 Casualties by council, severity and road type Years: 2004-2008 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007-11

		Killed									s				All severities							
		Trunk	Local Auth. Non Built Up	Local Auth. Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS		
Eilean Siar	2004-08 average	-	1	1	2	2	-	8	1	3	2	14	14	-	32	11	13	15	71	71		
	2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	1	5	11	11	-	19	12	10	18	59	59		
	2008	-	-	1	1	1	-	9	-	2	5	16	16	-	52	12	16	16	96	96		
	2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	2	-	1	7	7	-	28	12	2	7	49	49		
	2010	-	1	1	2	2	-	8	1	1	-	10	10	-	34	6	7	8	55	55		
	2011	-	1	-	1	1	-	3	-	1	-	4	4	-	18	1	8	11	38	38		
	2007-11 average	-	0	0	1	1	-	6	1	1	2	10	10	-	30	9	9	12	59	59		
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-71	-71	-	-44	-91	-40	-25	-46	-46		
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-29	-29	-	-6	-22	-36	-18	-16	-16		
Aberdeen City	2004-08 average	2	1	3	4	6	8	3	7	22	42	74	82	62	15	35	124	261	434	496		
	2007	-	2	3	5	5	8	3	4	14	36	57	65	62	16	32	100	255	403	465		
→	2008	1	-	2	2	3	10	3	14	31	75	123	133	68	18	52	146	309	525	593		
79	2009	1	2	1	3	4	11	2	8	11	50	71	82	64	20	46	109	259	434	498		
	2010	2	2	3	5	7	17	2	6	19	31	58	75	72	13	24	93	205	335	407		
	2011	2	1	5	6	8	16	7	5	15	55	82	98	62	13	25	91	219	348	410		
	2007-11 average	1	1	3	4	5	12	3	7	18	49	78	91	66	16	36	108	249	409	475		
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-31	32	11	20	0	-13	-28	-27	-16	-20	-17		
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-17	18	6	10	6	7	3	-13	-4	-6	-4		
Aberdeenshire	2004-08 average	7	25	2	27	33	35	54	50	8	19	131	166	162	251	252	40	119	662	824		
	2007	3	22	-	22	25	31	55	52	7	18	132	163	148	254	268	34	118	674	822		
	2008	3	21	2	23	26	52	60	73	19	28	180	232	178	235	280	62	141	718	896		
	2009	4	16	2	18	22	43	65	81	14	21	181	224	170	280	296	54	107	737	907		
	2010	4	19	3	22	26	49	63	68	3	19	153	202	169	221	262	32	110	625	794		
	2011	4	5	2	7	11	34	60	67	8	21	156	190	120	197	225	36	85	543	663		
	2007-11 average	4	17	2	18	22	42	61	68	10	21	160	202	157	237	266	44	112	659	816		
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-80	-	-74	-67	-2	11	35	-	13	19	15	-26	-21	-11	-10	-29	-18	-20		
	07-11 av	-	-34	-	-31	-34	20	12	37	-	15	22	22	-3	-5	6	8	-6	-0	-1		

Table 36 Casualties by council, severity and road type Years: 2004-2008 and 2007-2011 averages, 2007-11

				Killed						Serious	s				All severities							
		Trunk	Local Auth. Non Built Up	Local Auth. Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS		
Moray	2004-08 average	2	5	1	5	7	10	8	11	1	9	30	41	61	48	58	17	46	169	230		
	2007	2	5	-	5	7	6	7	11	3	10	31	37	42	50	70	12	42	174	216		
	2008	2	4	-	4	6	10	7	21	1	9	38	48	50	47	56	27	52	182	232		
	2009	2	1	2	3	5	18	10	6	3	4	23	41	79	59	49	16	66	190	269		
	2010	1	1	2	3	4	11	6	8	2	7	23	34	48	25	45	13	40	123	171		
	2011	1	3	-	3	4	10	1	5	3	5	14	24	41	34	38	15	36	123	164		
	2007-11 average	2	3	1	4	5	11	6	10	2	7	26	37	52	43	52	17	47	158	210		
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-4	-	-56	-	-	-54	-41	-33	-30	-34	-11	-21	-27	-29		
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-11	-	-	-15	-9	-14	-11	-11	-1	3	-6	-8		
Dundee City	2004-08 average	1	-	2	2	3	8	2	1	9	45	56	65	46	8	3	52	243	306	351		
	2007	1	-	1	1	2	10	1	1	7	33	42	52	40	8	1	43	220	272	312		
	2008	1	-	3	3	4	5	1	1	8	44	54	59	44	10	3	50	213	276	320		
80	2009	3	1	1	2	5	9	3	-	10	43	56	65	34	14	1	52	242	309	343		
	2010	2	-	3	3	5	7	-	-	4	30	34	41	33	8	2	27	184	221	254		
	2011	-	1	1	2	2	5	-	1	13	33	47	52	27	6	2	74	188	270	297		
	2007-11 average	1	0	2	2	4	7	1	1	8	37	47	54	36	9	2	49	209	270	305		
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-26	-17	-20	-41	-	-	42	-23	-12	-15		
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-18	-17	-17	-22	-	-	-5	-14	-12	-13		
Angus	2004-08 average	3	7	2	9	12	12	23	23	10	15	71	83	52	102	100	57	91	349	401		
	2007	5	4	4	8	13	4	10	21	18	18	67	71	44	103	85	72	85	345	389		
	2008	2	9	2	11	13	8	22	17	8	9	56	64	35	102	92	48	85	327	362		
	2009	1	6	-	6	7	7	14	15	11	13	53	60	46	62	88	38	74	262	308		
	2010	1	2	3	5	6	9	13	15	6	11	45	54	44	52	67	35	49	203	247		
	2011	1	3	1	4	5	9	9	15	13	11	48	57	40	65	64	52	69	250	290		
	2007-11 average	2	5	2	7	9	7	14	17	11	12	54	61	42	77	79	49	72	277	319		
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-58	-24	-62	-34	-	-27	-32	-31	-23	-36	-36	-8	-24	-28	-28		
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-27	-37	-42	-27	-	-17	-24	-26	-20	-25	-20	-13	-20	-20	-20		
				Killed						Serious	5					All	severi	ties				
--------------------	------------------------	-------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------	--------------	-------	---	---	--	--	--------------------	--------------	-------	---	---	--	--	--------------------	--------------		
		Trunk	Local Auth. Non Built Up	Local Auth. Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS		
Perth & Kinross	2004-08 average	8	6	1	7	15	43	35	23	14	16	88	131	175	116	105	65	78	364	539		
	2007	13	6	1	7	20	33	26	23	19	10	78	111	174	106	84	71	70	331	505		
	2008	7	6	1	7	14	34	40	19	6	17	82	116	157	117	96	50	68	331	488		
	2009	3	5	1	6	9	37	37	16	5	14	72	109	188	129	88	44	72	333	521		
	2010	12	7	-	7	19	24	21	16	10	9	56	80	154	91	79	69	57	296	450		
	2011	10	7	1	8	18	36	25	15	4	10	54	90	147	91	59	43	60	253	400		
	2007-11 average	9	6	1	7	16	33	30	18	9	12	68	101	164	107	81	55	65	309	473		
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	17	-16	-28	-34	-72	-37	-38	-31	-16	-22	-44	-34	-23	-30	-26		
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	4	-24	-14	-22	-39	-24	-22	-23	-6	-8	-23	-15	-16	-15	-12		
Fife	2004-08 average	4	9	5	15	18	21	39	34	17	48	139	159	112	195	157	113	295	760	872		
	2007	1	9	4	13	14	13	38	22	11	53	124	137	88	160	117	109	306	692	780		
<u> </u>	2008	1	9	4	13	14	9	27	32	14	32	105	114	94	150	158	85	245	638	732		
8	2009	-	4	2	6	6	8	25	31	16	34	106	114	88	147	132	103	296	678	766		
	2010	5	5	3	8	13	25	23	21	16	34	94	119	114	130	117	95	269	611	725		
	2011	-	10	1	11	11	8	20	14	16	34	84	92	76	115	87	90	229	521	597		
	2007-11 average	1	7	3	10	12	13	27	24	15	37	103	115	92	140	122	96	269	628	720		
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-25	-40	-61	-49	-59	-5	-29	-39	-42	-32	-41	-44	-20	-22	-31	-32		
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-30	-37	-39	-32	-30	-13	-22	-26	-28	-18	-28	-22	-15	-9	-17	-17		
Edinburgh, City of	2004-08 average	1	1	7	8	9	7	6	5	71	97	180	188	109	57	38	632	837	1,564	1,673		
	2007	-	1	4	5	5	11	7	4	78	91	180	191	109	46	42	640	759	1,487	1,596		
	2008	1	1	11	12	13	5	3	6	70	99	178	183	119	46	21	540	807	1,414	1,533		
	2009	-	1	6	7	7	2	6	7	46	80	139	141	94	24	30	470	784	1,308	1,402		
	2010	1	1	2	3	4	4	3	6	45	74	128	132	108	27	37	498	724	1,286	1,394		
	2011	2	2	6	8	10	3	5	3	54	101	163	166	73	19	20	477	782	1,298	1,371		
	2007-11 average	1	1	6	7	8	5	5	5	59	89	158	163	101	32	30	525	771	1,359	1,459		
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-24	4	-10	-12	-33	-66	-48	-25	-7	-17	-18		
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-18	-9	-13	-13	-8	-43	-21	-17	-8	-13	-13		

				Killed						Serious	s					Al	severi	ties		
		Trunk	Local Auth. Non Built Up	Local Auth. Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS
West Lothian	2004-08 average	1	5	3	8	9	5	23	14	4	32	73	78	53	150	99	52	305	606	659
	2007	3	4	4	8	11	6	19	13	4	29	65	71	52	137	89	45	276	547	599
	2008	3	4	2	6	9	3	21	19	8	21	69	72	51	162	98	60	290	610	661
	2009	2	-	4	4	6	4	18	15	7	23	63	67	41	128	117	60	249	554	595
	2010	-	1	-	1	1	1	20	6	3	30	59	60	35	120	54	34	262	470	505
	2011	-	2	-	2	2	4	13	5	8	33	59	63	60	101	70	50	216	437	497
	2007-11 average	2	2	2	4	6	4	18	12	6	27	63	67	48	130	86	50	259	524	571
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-44	-64	-	4	-19	-19	12	-33	-29	-4	-29	-28	-25
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-22	-16	-	-14	-14	-14	-10	-13	-14	-4	-15	-14	-13
Midlothian	2004-08 average	0	1	1	3	3	9	8	4	4	17	33	41	47	53	38	39	118	249	297
	2007	-	2	2	4	4	10	7	7	4	19	37	47	35	50	37	35	107	229	264
_	2008	-	-	3	3	3	5	6	4	6	13	29	34	54	51	34	51	103	239	293
8 2	2009	1	2	-	2	3	7	10	2	6	10	28	35	39	48	31	35	127	241	280
	2010	-	1	-	1	1	7	7	-	2	13	22	29	41	49	25	35	113	222	263
	2011	-	-	3	3	3	1	5	2	2	17	26	27	30	39	15	43	97	194	224
	2007-11 average	0	1	2	3	3	6	7	3	4	14	28	34	40	47	28	40	109	225	265
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-1	-21	-35	-37	-27	-61	9	-18	-22	-25
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-16	-13	-17	-16	-11	-26	1	-7	-10	-11
East Lothian	2004-08 average	2	2	1	3	4	4	8	8	3	12	32	36	43	49	58	23	95	225	267
	2007	4	-	1	1	5	4	8	6	4	13	31	35	50	45	44	25	97	211	261
	2008	2	1	-	1	3	1	6	6	1	6	19	20	37	55	37	30	82	204	241
	2009	-	7	1	8	8	10	8	12	1	8	29	39	34	37	59	24	76	196	230
	2010	-	2	1	3	3	8	6	6	2	12	26	34	43	44	55	33	72	204	247
	2011	-	-	1	1	1	5	9	4	2	9	24	29	36	44	32	25	70	171	207
	2007-11 average	1	2	1	3	4	6	7	7	2	10	26	31	40	45	45	27	79	197	237
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-25	-24	-19	-16	-10	-45	8	-26	-24	-23
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-20	-18	-12	-7	-8	-22	18	-16	-12	-11

				Killed						Serious	s					All	severi	ties		
		Trunk	Local Auth. Non Built Up	Local Auth. Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS
Scottish Borders	2004-08 average	3	9	1	10	12	21	38	22	1	13	74	95	121	194	141	16	84	435	557
	2007	3	13	-	13	16	18	37	15	2	12	66	84	100	165	103	11	76	355	455
	2008	2	7	-	7	9	23	33	20	2	13	68	91	136	170	133	21	70	394	530
	2009	5	7	1	8	13	25	30	19	3	14	66	91	130	148	126	11	90	375	505
	2010	3	6	-	6	9	20	31	20	4	11	66	86	94	121	91	29	63	304	398
	2011	1	5	-	5	6	17	31	9	1	6	47	64	77	151	75	10	55	291	368
	2007-11 average	3	8	0	8	11	21	32	17	2	11	63	83	107	151	106	16	71	344	451
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-52	-17	-18	-59	-	-55	-37	-32	-36	-22	-47	-36	-35	-33	-34
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-15	0	-14	-24	-	-16	-16	-12	-11	-22	-25	5	-16	-21	-19
Clackmannanshire	2004-08 average	-	2	1	2	2	-	6	3	4	7	20	20	-	32	13	24	49	117	117
	2007	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	1	3	6	11	11	-	36	9	16	50	111	111
	2008	-	1	1	2	2	-	5	2	4	12	23	23	-	18	9	29	54	110	110
8 3	2009	-	3	-	3	3	-	7	1	2	4	14	14	-	25	9	21	42	97	97
	2010	-	2	-	2	2	-	6	3	2	8	19	19	-	18	9	22	42	91	91
	2011	1	1	-	1	2	-	4	-	6	-	10	10	4	17	5	28	34	84	88
	2007-11 average	0	2	0	2	2	-	5	1	3	6	15	15	1	23	8	23	44	99	99
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-51	-51	-	-47	-63	19	-30	-28	-25
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-25	-25	-	-28	-39	-2	-9	-16	-15
Stirling	2004-08 average	3	4	0	4	7	26	31	8	7	10	56	82	101	139	37	47	69	292	392
	2007	3	2	-	2	5	23	26	10	6	7	49	72	91	132	50	45	75	302	393
	2008	3	3	-	3	6	21	30	7	5	13	55	76	115	119	28	49	72	268	383
	2009	1	4	-	4	5	16	22	7	5	4	38	54	81	123	31	29	68	251	332
	2010	1	2	1	3	4	25	21	3	3	5	32	57	91	88	31	36	64	219	310
	2011	1	4	1	5	6	18	20	5	7	7	39	57	82	88	26	49	49	212	294
	2007-11 average	2	3	0	3	5	21	24	6	5	7	43	63	92	110	33	42	66	250	342
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-30	-35	-	-	-33	-30	-30	-19	-37	-29	4	-29	-27	-25
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-20	-23	-	-	-31	-24	-23	-9	-21	-10	-12	-5	-14	-13

				Killed						Serious	s					Al	severi	ties		
		Trunk	Local Auth. Non Built Up	Local Auth. Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS
Falkirk	2004-08 average	1	2	2	4	5	5	14	9	13	26	61	66	35	67	45	86	167	366	401
	2007	1	-	1	1	2	6	10	11	9	25	55	61	37	56	48	84	165	353	390
	2008	-	1	3	4	4	4	13	8	16	28	65	69	31	64	42	81	183	370	401
	2009	-	2	1	3	3	8	12	9	6	20	47	55	35	90	43	68	159	360	395
	2010	-	1	-	1	1	8	5	6	7	17	35	43	30	43	31	88	107	269	299
	2011	1	-	-	0	1	4	10	2	13	14	39	43	30	53	32	76	144	305	335
	2007-11 average	0	1	1	2	2	6	10	7	10	21	48	54	33	61	39	79	152	331	364
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-29	-	2	-45	-36	-35	-13	-21	-30	-12	-14	-17	-16
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-29	-	-20	-19	-21	-18	-6	-9	-14	-8	-9	-10	-9
Glasgow City	2004-08 average	1	0	16	17	18	14	4	3	74	186	267	281	211	35	17	637	1,431	2,120	2,332
	2007	-	-	14	14	14	10	10	2	69	157	238	248	190	47	14	579	1,349	1,989	2,179
_	2008	-	-	15	15	15	8	1	4	78	230	313	321	213	19	12	553	1,213	1,797	2,010
84	2009	1	-	17	17	18	11	1	2	64	146	213	224	174	27	14	480	1,185	1,706	1,880
	2010	1	1	9	10	11	11	4	-	68	127	199	210	232	28	3	430	1,000	1,461	1,693
	2011	3	1	9	10	13	6	1	-	64	106	171	177	171	22	8	454	923	1,407	1,578
	2007-11 average	1	0	13	13	14	9	3	2	69	153	227	236	196	29	10	499	1,134	1,672	1,868
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-44	-40	-26	-57	-	-	-13	-43	-36	-37	-19	-38	-54	-29	-35	-34	-32
	07-11 av	-	-	-21	-20	-19	-34	-	-	-7	-17	-15	-16	-7	-19	-41	-22	-21	-21	-20
Argyll & Bute	2004-08 average	8	4	1	5	12	38	23	9	8	10	49	87	185	100	44	47	52	242	427
	2007	11	3	-	3	14	24	12	7	9	5	33	57	162	82	41	52	36	211	373
	2008	7	5	1	6	13	54	31	7	9	10	57	111	207	92	36	54	47	229	436
	2009	3	2	-	2	5	33	20	8	3	9	40	73	174	84	42	44	43	213	387
	2010	8	5	2	7	15	34	19	6	2	5	32	66	174	85	43	46	48	222	396
	2011	5	-	-	0	5	32	9	5	8	4	26	58	158	55	26	38	39	158	316
	2007-11 average	7	3	1	4	10	35	18	7	6	7	38	73	175	80	38	47	43	207	382
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-59	-16	-61	-	-	-	-47	-33	-15	-45	-41	-19	-25	-35	-26
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-15	-7	-20	-	-	-	-23	-16	-5	-20	-14	0	-18	-15	-11

				Killed						Serious	s					Al	severi	ties		
		Trunk	Local Auth. Non Built Up	Local Auth. Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS
West Dunbartonshire	2004-08 average	2	1	1	3	4	7	5	1	8	14	28	34	49	34	1	85	102	222	271
	2007	1	-	1	1	2	7	8	2	6	5	21	28	40	37	2	84	88	211	251
	2008	-	2	-	2	2	7	1	1	6	9	17	24	39	14	2	48	72	136	175
	2009	-	1	-	1	1	5	4	-	5	12	21	26	53	15	-	59	86	160	213
	2010	-	-	1	1	1	4	4	-	8	9	21	25	32	31	2	65	71	169	201
	2011	3	1	-	1	4	2	1	-	2	17	20	22	40	13	1	54	72	140	180
	2007-11 average	1	1	0	1	2	5	4	1	5	10	20	25	41	22	1	62	78	163	204
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	-28	-36	-18	-62	-	-36	-29	-37	-33
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-25	-28	-27	-16	-36	-	-27	-24	-26	-25
East Dunbartonshire	2004-08 average	-	1	1	2	2	-	2	4	8	12	26	26	-	23	27	70	101	222	222
_	2007	-	-	3	3	3	-	5	2	6	12	25	25	-	19	24	54	91	188	188
80 СЛ	2008	-	2	-	2	2	-	3	4	6	9	22	22	-	25	30	53	75	183	183
	2009	-	-	2	2	2	-	7	2	7	5	21	21	-	23	30	62	70	185	185
	2010	-	-	4	4	4	-	2	1	9	10	22	22	-	23	11	65	83	182	182
	2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	5	10	16	16	-	15	12	72	79	178	178
	2007-11 average	-	0	2	2	2	-	3	2	7	9	21	21	-	21	21	61	80	183	183
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-17	-39	-39	-	-36	-56	3	-22	-20	-20
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-23	-19	-19	-	-10	-21	-12	-21	-17	-17
Inverclyde	2004-08 average	1	-	1	1	2	9	3	4	2	17	27	36	62	11	17	28	138	194	256
	2007	1	-	2	2	3	15	4	-	2	13	19	34	73	11	14	33	136	194	267
	2008	-	-	2	2	2	10	4	2	3	20	29	39	62	10	12	23	155	200	262
	2009	-	1	1	2	2	6	2	2	3	13	20	26	36	9	4	22	111	146	182
	2010	1	-	-	0	1	3	-	2	1	15	18	21	41	11	6	28	119	164	205
	2011	-	-	1	1	1	7	-	2	2	15	19	26	56	4	10	16	122	152	208
	2007-11 average	0	0	1	1	2	8	2	2	2	15	21	29	54	9	9	24	129	171	225
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-13	-29	-27	-10	-65	-40	-42	-12	-21	-19
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-12	-22	-18	-14	-21	-45	-12	-7	-12	-12

				Killed						Serious	5					Al	lseveri	ties		
		Trunk	Local Auth. Non Built Up	Local Auth. Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS
Renfrewshire	2004-08 average	2	1	5	6	8	9	4	9	18	31	61	70	97	30	45	134	261	470	567
	2007	3	-	4	4	7	8	6	7	14	24	51	59	87	27	50	123	261	461	548
	2008	2	-	7	7	9	6	4	7	11	38	60	66	76	22	36	112	214	384	460
	2009	1	1	-	1	2	10	12	6	8	30	56	66	68	32	23	85	184	324	392
	2010	2	-	-	0	2	10	5	3	12	32	52	62	72	41	24	86	191	342	414
	2011	2	-	5	5	7	7	4	7	7	27	45	52	82	58	30	91	222	401	483
	2007-11 average	2	0	3	3	5	8	6	6	10	30	53	61	77	36	33	99	214	382	459
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-60	-12	-26	-26	-15	92	-33	-32	-15	-15	-15
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-41	-2	-14	-13	-20	19	-27	-26	-18	-19	-19
East Renfrewshire	2004-08 average	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	6	4	9	22	24	13	11	23	39	79	152	165
	2007	-	-	4	4	4	1	-	2	2	11	15	16	9	6	16	44	74	140	149
`	2008	-	-	1	1	1	4	5	3	5	8	21	25	19	11	11	28	64	114	133
	2009	-	-	2	2	2	4	2	2	4	7	15	19	15	15	10	26	58	109	124
	2010	-	1	-	1	1	5	4	3	3	10	20	25	16	12	15	25	54	106	122
	2011	-	1	1	2	2	-	-	-	4	8	12	12	13	4	18	55	64	141	154
	2007-11 average	-	0	2	2	2	3	2	2	4	9	17	19	14	10	14	36	63	122	136
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-45	-49	0	-63	-20	42	-19	-7	-6
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-24	-18	11	-11	-38	-8	-21	-20	-17
North Lanarkshire	2004-08 average	2	4	5	10	12	10	10	15	21	50	96	107	121	95	99	230	467	891	1,012
	2007	1	8	3	11	12	8	10	19	16	68	113	121	113	88	102	218	499	907	1,020
	2008	5	3	5	8	13	17	9	10	25	37	81	98	104	68	76	200	403	747	851
	2009	3	2	5	7	10	8	6	5	19	56	86	94	112	74	75	216	403	768	880
	2010	-	-	2	2	2	7	3	8	15	44	70	77	84	52	61	217	348	678	762
	2011	1	2	8	10	11	4	3	6	11	35	55	59	82	51	65	159	390	665	747
	2007-11 average	2	3	5	8	10	9	6	10	17	48	81	90	99	67	76	202	409	753	852
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-7	-62	-	-61	-49	-29	-43	-45	-32	-46	-34	-31	-16	-25	-26
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-19	-15	-	-38	-20	-3	-16	-16	-18	-30	-23	-12	-13	-15	-16

				Killed						Seriou	S					AI	l severi	ties		
		Trunk	Local Auth. Non Built Up	Local Auth. Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS
South Lanarkshire	2004-08 average	4	8	4	12	16	21	28	16	16	40	100	121	193	161	107	150	349	767	960
	2007	3	7	4	11	14	24	35	16	17	32	100	124	216	162	99	150	319	730	946
	2008	2	9	6	15	17	22	28	18	10	48	104	126	178	138	105	120	328	691	869
	2009	4	5	9	14	18	24	15	22	14	46	97	121	144	117	92	104	303	616	760
	2010	1	7	4	11	12	19	14	13	16	21	64	83	130	114	77	127	257	575	705
	2011	1	5	5	10	11	13	16	19	11	19	65	78	107	125	80	138	220	563	670
	2007-11 average	2	7	6	12	14	20	22	18	14	33	86	106	155	131	91	128	285	635	790
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-14	-29	-38	-43	20	-32	-53	-35	-36	-45	-22	-26	-8	-37	-27	-30
	07-11 av	-	-	-	5	-8	-3	-23	11	-16	-17	-14	-12	-20	-18	-16	-15	-18	-17	-18
North Ayrshire	2004-08 average	1	3	2	5	6	17	7	14	6	20	47	64	95	40	66	47	139	292	387
	2007	2	3	1	4	6	11	10	7	8	13	38	49	86	41	47	44	141	273	359
1	2008	2	2	2	4	6	10	6	7	4	26	43	53	77	21	41	42	123	227	304
37	2009	2	1	1	2	4	12	6	19	5	20	50	62	82	25	55	27	123	230	312
	2010	1	3	1	4	5	6	3	6	5	5	19	25	62	23	50	22	73	168	230
	2011	-	3	1	4	4	6	3	8	4	18	33	39	71	20	35	55	100	210	281
	2007-11 average	1	2	1	4	5	9	6	9	5	16	37	46	76	26	46	38	112	222	297
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-66	-	-44	-	-11	-29	-39	-26	-49	-47	17	-28	-28	-27
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-48	-	-35	-	-19	-22	-29	-21	-34	-31	-19	-19	-24	-23
East Ayrshire	2004-08 average	3	4	1	5	8	8	15	12	5	15	48	56	50	82	73	34	99	288	338
	2007	5	1	1	2	7	4	7	8	7	8	30	34	57	64	73	36	93	266	323
	2008	1	7	-	7	8	11	15	14	5	14	48	59	47	75	69	34	71	249	296
	2009	3	2	-	2	5	11	12	6	5	10	33	44	63	80	50	28	65	223	286
	2010	1	3	1	4	5	12	10	8	8	12	38	50	57	67	39	40	67	213	270
	2011	-	3	1	4	4	5	14	8	7	9	38	43	37	74	51	37	67	229	266
	2007-11 average	2	3	1	4	6	9	12	9	6	11	37	46	52	72	56	35	73	236	288
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-8	-33	-	-42	-21	-23	-25	-10	-30	8	-32	-21	-21
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-	-24	-27	-	-31	-22	-18	5	-12	-23	2	-27	-18	-15

				Killed						Serious	S					AI	I severi	ties		
		Trunk	Local Auth. Non Built Up	Local Auth. Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS	Trunk	Local Auth. Major Non Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Non Built Up	Local Auth. Major Built Up	Local Auth. Minor Built Up	All LA roads	ALL ROADS
South Ayrshire	2004-08 average	3	3	2	5	8	15	8	10	9	11	38	53	89	41	76	61	87	264	353
	2007	4	2	3	5	9	13	13	6	4	16	39	52	95	42	68	51	101	262	357
	2008	2	3	1	4	6	11	4	10	10	15	39	50	54	31	74	46	70	221	275
	2009	2	-	1	1	3	10	13	8	15	9	45	55	99	55	50	65	93	263	362
	2010	4	3	3	6	10	18	9	5	11	7	32	50	73	44	40	58	56	198	271
	2011	-	-	3	3	3	11	3	10	5	9	27	38	66	35	56	40	89	220	286
	2007-11 average	2	2	2	4	6	13	8	8	9	11	36	49	77	41	58	52	82	233	310
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-27	-	0	-	-20	-29	-28	-26	-14	-26	-34	2	-17	-19
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-	-16	-	-22	-	0	-4	-8	-13	2	-24	-14	-6	-12	-12
Dumfries & Galloway	2004-08 average	9	5	1	6	14	48	24	29	8	18	79	127	232	108	141	47	93	389	621
	2007	8	4	-	4	12	61	35	28	8	26	97	158	245	125	132	45	97	399	644
188	2008	5	3	2	5	10	35	25	28	8	9	70	105	201	93	143	42	73	351	552
	2009	8	1	1	2	10	47	26	24	6	17	73	120	202	107	109	41	74	331	533
	2010	3	2	-	2	5	25	9	21	5	7	42	67	146	87	113	35	78	313	459
	2011	8	1	-	1	9	25	15	30	8	6	59	84	146	73	122	26	56	277	423
	2007-11 average	6	2	1	3	9	39	22	26	7	13	68	107	188	97	124	38	76	334	522
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-38	-48	-38	2	-	-66	-25	-34	-37	-32	-13	-45	-40	-29	-32
	07-11 av	-	-	-	-	-36	-20	-8	-11	-	-26	-13	-16	-19	-10	-12	-20	-19	-14	-16
Scotland	2004-08 average	90	125	77	202	292	492	479	384	383	867	2,113	2,605	3,060	2,482	2,092	3,040	6,423	14,037	17,097
	2007	97	115	69	184	281	434	447	339	364	801	1,951	2,385	2,938	2,330	1,930	2,894	6,146	13,300	16,238
	2008	72	118	80	198	270	446	447	380	385	917	2,129	2,575	2,878	2,197	1,946	2,726	5,844	12,713	15,591
	2009	70	84	62	146	216	461	426	357	305	739	1,827	2,288	2,846	2,230	1,867	2,421	5,679	12,197	15,043
	2010	67	87	54	141	208	418	345	277	295	633	1,550	1,968	2,579	1,861	1,547	2,415	4,936	10,759	13,338
	2011	56	70	60	130	186	329	321	259	306	660	1,546	1,875	2,247	1,760	1,397	2,431	4,935	10,523	12,770
	2007-11 average	72	95	65	160	232	418	397	322	331	750	1,801	2,218	2,698	2,076	1,737	2,577	5,508	11,898	14,596
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-38	-44	-22	-36	-36	-33	-33	-32	-20	-24	-27	-28	-27	-29	-33	-20	-23	-25	-25
	07-11 av	-19	-24	-16	-21	-20	-15	-17	-16	-14	-13	-15	-15	-12	-16	-17	-15	-14	-15	-15

Reported casualties by police force, council and severity Years: 2004-08, 2007-11 averages and 2011

		200)4-08 avera	age	Nur	mbers in 2	011	200)7-11 avera	ige
		Killed	Serious	All severiti es	Killed	Serious	All severiti es	Killed	Serious	All severiti es
Force	Council									
Northern	Total for Northern	33	189	1,111	22	109	795	31	138	971
	Highland	28	160	942	21	98	685	29	119	826
	Orkney Islands	1	7	47	-	2	26	0	4	36
	Shetland Islands	2	8	51	-	5	46	1	5	50
	Eilean Siar	2	14	71	1	4	38	1	10	59
Grampian	Total for Grampian	46	288	1,550	23	312	1,237	33	330	1,501
	Aberdeen City	6	82	496	8	98	410	5	91	475
	Aberdeenshire	33	166	824	11	190	663	22	202	816
	Moray	7	41	230	4	24	164	5	37	210
Tayside	Total for Tayside	30	278	1,291	25	199	987	28	216	1,097
	Dundee City	3	65	351	2	52	297	4	54	305
	Angus	12	83	401	5	57	290	9	61	319
	Perth & Kinross	15	131	539	18	90	400	16	101	473
Fife	Fife	18	159	872	11	92	597	12	115	720
Lothian & Bord	Total for Lothian & Bord	38	437	3,453	22	349	2,667	31	378	2,984
	Edinburgh, City of	9	188	1,673	10	166	1,371	8	163	1,459
	West Lothian	9	78	659	2	63	497	6	67	571
	Midlothian	3	41	297	3	27	224	3	34	265
	East Lothian	4	36	267	1	29	207	4	31	237
	Scottish Borders	12	95	557	6	64	368	11	83	451
Central	Total for Central	15	168	911	9	110	717	9	133	806
	Clackmannanshire	2	20	117	2	10	88	2	15	99
	Stirling	7	82	392	6	57	294	5	63	342
	Falkirk	5	66	401	1	43	335	2	54	364
Strathclyde	Total for Strathclyde	97	958	7,288	65	620	5,347	79	802	5,995
	Glasgow City	18	281	2,332	13	177	1,578	14	236	1,868
	Argyll & Bute	12	87	427	5	58	316	10	73	382
	West Dunbartonshire	4	34	271	4	22	180	2	25	204
	East Dunbartonshire	2	26	222	-	16	178	2	21	183
	Inverclyde	2	36	256	1	26	208	2	29	225
	Renfrewshire	8	70	567	7	52	483	5	61	459
	East Renfrewshire	2	24	165	2	12	154	2	19	136
	North Lanarkshire	12	107	1,012	11	59	747	10	90	852
	South Lanarkshire	16	121	960	11	78	670	14	106	790
	North Ayrshire	6	64	387	4	39	281	5	46	297
	East Ayrshire	8	56	338	4	43	266	6	46	288
	South Ayrshire	8	53	353	3	38	286	6	49	310
Dumfries & Gal	Dumfries & Galloway	14	127	621	9	84	423	9	107	522
Scotland	Total Scotland	292	2,605	17,097	186	1,875	12,770	232	2,218	14,596

Table 37 (continued)

Reported casualties by police force area, council and severity Percent changes and rates per 1,000 population, Years: 2004-08, 2007-11 averages and 2011

		2011 %	change on ave	2004-08	-2007 2	11 % chan 2004-08 ave	ge on e	2011	rates per 1 population	1,000
		Killed	Serious	All severiti es	Killed	Serious	All severiti es	Killed	Serious	All severiti es
Force	Council									
Northern	Total for Northern	-33	-42	-28	-6	-27	-13	0.08	0.37	2.73
	Highland	-24	-39	-27	3	-26	-12	0.09	0.44	3.08
	Orkney Islands	-100	-71	-45	-100	-37	-24	-	0.10	1.29
	Shetland Islands	-100	-38	-9	-100	-40	-2	-	0.22	2.04
	Eilean Siar	-58	-71	-46	-67	-29	-16	0.04	0.15	1.46
Grampian	Total for Grampian	-50	8	-20	-29	14	-3	0.04	0.56	2.23
	Aberdeen City	43	20	-17	-4	10	-4	0.04	0.44	1.86
	Aberdeenshire	-67	15	-20	-34	22	-1	0.04	0.77	2.68
	Moray	-44	-41	-29	-28	-9	-8	0.05	0.28	1.88
Tayside	Total for Tayside	-17	-28	-24	-6	-22	-15	0.06	0.49	2.43
	Dundee City	-29	-20	-15	29	-17	-13	0.01	0.36	2.04
	Angus	-58	-31	-28	-27	-26	-20	0.05	0.52	2.62
	Perth & Kinross	17	-31	-26	4	-23	-12	0.12	0.60	2.68
Fife	Fife	-40	-42	-32	-37	-28	-17	0.03	0.25	1.63
Lothian & Bord	Total for Lothian & Bord	-42	-20	-23	-19	-13	-14	0.02	0.36	2.77
	Edinburgh, City of	11	-12	-18	-13	-13	-13	0.02	0.34	2.77
	West Lothian	-79	-19	-25	-38	-14	-13	0.01	0.36	2.87
	Midlothian	0	-35	-25	-7	-17	-11	0.04	0.33	2.72
	East Lothian	-77	-19	-23	-9	-12	-11	0.01	0.30	2.11
	Scottish Borders	-52	-32	-34	-15	-12	-19	0.05	0.57	3.25
Central	Total for Central	-39	-35	-21	-36	-21	-12	0.03	0.37	2.42
	Clackmannanshire	-9	-51	-25	-9	-25	-15	0.04	0.20	1.73
	Stirling	-19	-30	-25	-30	-23	-13	0.07	0.63	3.24
	Falkirk	-81	-35	-16	-58	-18	-9	0.01	0.28	2.17
Strathclyde	Total for Strathclyde	-33	-35	-27	-18	-16	-18	0.03	0.28	2.40
	Glasgow City	-26	-37	-32	-19	-16	-20	0.02	0.30	2.64
	Argyll & Bute	-59	-33	-26	-15	-16	-11	0.06	0.65	3.53
	West Dunbartonshire	-5	-36	-33	-52	-27	-25	0.04	0.24	1.99
	East Dunbartonshire	-100	-39	-20	-100	-19	-17	-	0.15	1.70
	Inverclyde	-38	-27	-19	13	-18	-12	0.01	0.33	2.63
	Renfrewshire	-10	-26	-15	-31	-13	-19	0.04	0.30	2.83
	East Renfrewshire	0	-49	-6	0	-18	-17	0.02	0.13	1.71
	North Lanarkshire	-7	-45	-26	-19	-16	-16	0.03	0.18	2.29
	South Lanarkshire	-29	-36	-30	-8	-12	-18	0.04	0.25	2.14
	North Ayrshire	-38	-39	-27	-22	-29	-23	0.03	0.29	2.08
	East Ayrshire	-47	-23	-21	-24	-18	-15	0.03	0.36	2.21
	South Ayrshire	-63	-28	-19	-24	-8	-12	0.03	0.34	2.56
Dumfries & Gal	Dumfries & Galloway	-38	-34	-32	-36	-16	-16	0.06	0.57	2.86
Scotland	Total Scotland	-36	-28	-25	-20	-15	-15	0.04	0.36	2.43

Reported pedestrian casualties by police force, council and severity Years: 2004-08, 2007-11 averages and 2011

		200	04-08 avera	age	Nur	nbers in 2	011	200)7-11 avera	ige
		Killed	Serious	All severiti es	Killed	Serious	All severiti es	Killed	Serious	All severiti es
Force	Council									
Northern	Total for Northern	3	21	89	4	17	73	3	17	76
	Highland	3	16	69	4	16	66	3	13	60
	Orkney Islands	0	2	9	-	1	1	0	1	6
	Shetland Islands	0	1	5	-	-	5	-	1	6
	Eilean Siar	-	2	6	-	-	1	0	2	4
Grampian	Total for Grampian	7	52	234	3	63	181	6	55	219
	Aberdeen City	3	33	144	1	46	121	2	36	140
	Aberdeenshire	4	13	61	2	13	44	2	13	51
	Moray	1	6	29	-	4	16	1	6	27
Tayside	Total for Tayside	5	56	192	4	43	149	6	46	162
	Dundee City	2	28	98	2	27	82	3	24	82
	Angus	1	12	46	-	8	28	1	11	40
	Perth & Kinross	2	16	48	2	8	39	2	11	40
Fife	Fife	4	28	128	-	25	87	2	23	100
Lothian & Bord	Total for Lothian & Bord	10	123	586	4	101	472	7	99	479
	Edinburgh, City of	5	78	388	3	61	325	4	63	318
	West Lothian	2	16	73	-	20	62	1	14	60
	Midlothian	1	11	41	-	12	30	1	9	33
	East Lothian	1	8	40	1	2	30	1	5	32
	Scottish Borders	1	11	44	-	6	25	1	8	36
Central	Total for Central	4	28	133	2	20	91	2	19	108
	Clackmannanshire	0	4	24	-	1	14	-	4	20
	Stirling	1	10	40	1	9	32	1	6	34
	Falkirk	2	14	69	1	10	45	1	10	55
Strathclyde	Total for Strathclyde	30	331	1,432	26	230	962	25	270	1,123
	Glasgow City	12	149	631	8	105	370	9	127	480
	Argyll & Bute	0	7	32	-	8	26	0	6	27
	West Dunbartonshire	2	13	59	-	11	35	0	9	41
	East Dunbartonshire	1	9	40	-	6	20	0	6	27
	Inverclyde	1	13	54	-	10	34	0	9	43
	Renfrewshire	3	23	100	2	15	83	2	18	82
	East Renfrewshire	1	6	28	1	5	21	1	6	26
	North Lanarkshire	4	39	183	4	19	128	3	30	152
	South Lanarkshire	3	32	145	5	21	115	4	27	121
	North Ayrshire	1	16	64	2	16	56	2	11	49
	East Ayrshire	1	12	50	1	5	33	0	9	37
	South Ayrshire	2	12	46	3	9	41	2	12	38
Dumfries & Gal	Dumfries & Galloway	1	17	62	-	14	44	1	14	47
Scotland	Total Scotland	65	656	2,855	43	513	2,059	51	544	2,313

Table 38 (continued)

Reported pedestrian casualties by police force area, council and severity Percent changes and rates per 1,000 population, Years: 2004-08, 2007-11 averages and 2011

		2011 % (change on ave	2004-08	-2007 2	11 % chan 2004-08 ave	ge on e	2011	rates per 1 population	1,000
		Killed	Serious	All severiti es	Killed	Serious	All severiti es	Killed	Serious	All severiti
Northern	Total for Northern	33	-18	-18	0	-17	-15	0.01	0.06	0.25
	Highland	54	3	-4	0	-19	-13	0.02	0.07	0.30
	Orkney Islands	-100	-50	-88	-100	-30	-33	-	0.05	0.05
	Shetland Islands	-100	-100	0	-100	-100	12	-	-	0.22
	Eilean Siar	-	-100	-84	-	-100	-32	-	-	0.04
Grampian	Total for Grampian	-57	22	-23	-20	6	-7	0.01	0.11	0.33
	Aberdeen City	-64	41	-16	-29	11	-3	0.00	0.21	0.55
	Aberdeenshire	-44	-2	-28	-33	-2	-15	0.01	0.05	0.18
	Moray	-100	-33	-45	-100	-3	-6	-	0.05	0.18
Tayside	Total for Tayside	-23	-23	-22	15	-18	-16	0.01	0.11	0.37
	Dundee City	25	-4	-16	63	-16	-16	0.01	0.19	0.56
	Angus	-100	-33	-39	-100	-8	-14	-	0.07	0.25
	Perth & Kinross	-9	-49	-19	9	-28	-17	0.01	0.05	0.26
Fife	Fife	-100	-11	-32	-100	-19	-22	-	0.07	0.24
Lothian & Bord	Total for Lothian & Bord	-62	-18	-19	-29	-19	-18	0.00	0.10	0.49
	Edinburgh, City of	-42	-22	-16	-23	-19	-18	0.01	0.12	0.66
	West Lothian	-100	28	-15	-100	-12	-18	-	0.12	0.36
	Midlothian	-100	13	-26	-100	-11	-20	-	0.15	0.36
	East Lothian	-17	-75	-25	-50	-43	-20	0.01	0.02	0.31
	Scottish Borders	-100	-44	-43	-100	-22	-17	-	0.05	0.22
Central	Total for Central	-44	-29	-31	-56	-31	-18	0.01	0.07	0.31
	Clackmannanshire	-100	-77	-41	-100	-18	-15	-	0.02	0.28
	Stirling	25	-8	-20	25	-41	-16	0.01	0.10	0.35
	Falkirk	-58	-28	-35	-75	-29	-20	0.01	0.06	0.29
Strathclyde	Total for Strathclyde	-14	-31	-33	-18	-18	-22	0.01	0.10	0.43
	Glasgow City	-31	-30	-41	-21	-15	-24	0.01	0.18	0.62
	Argyll & Bute	-100	8	-18	-100	-14	-15	-	0.09	0.29
	West Dunbartonshire	-100	-13	-40	-100	-25	-30	-	0.12	0.39
	East Dunbartonshire	-100	-36	-50	-100	-40	-32	-	0.06	0.19
	Inverclyde	-100	-22	-37	-100	-27	-19	-	0.13	0.43
	Renfrewshire	-38	-36	-17	-38	-23	-18	0.01	0.09	0.49
	East Renfrewshire	0	-11	-26	0	0	-9	0.01	0.06	0.23
	North Lanarkshire	0	-51	-30	-25	-22	-17	0.01	0.06	0.39
	South Lanarkshire	47	-34	-21	29	-16	-16	0.02	0.07	0.37
	North Ayrshire	100	-2	-13	60	-30	-25	0.01	0.12	0.41
	East Ayrshire	25	-59	-34	-50	-23	-27	0.01	0.04	0.27
	South Ayrshire	88	-25	-11	13	-3	-17	0.03	0.08	0.37
Dumfries & Gal	Dumfries & Galloway	-100	-18	-29	-100	-18	-24	-	0.09	0.30
Scotland	Total Scotland	-33	-22	-28	-20	-17	-19	0.01	0.10	0.39

Table 39a

Estimated distance ¹ between the home of the reported casualty and the location of the accident, by road user type and police force area in which the accident occurred Year: 2011

	Northern	Grampian	Tavside	Fife	Lothian & Borders	Central	Strathclyde	Dumfries & Galloway	Total
Pedestrian	Northern	Grampian	Tayside	1110	Dorders	Central	otratheryde	Galloway	Total
Postcode blank, invalid or not known	26	25	6	5	63	5	193	2	325
Casualty from elsewhere in the LIK	5	0	0	0	4	0	2	2	13
Scottish casualty, distance not known	13	2	92	46	95	56	568	24	896
Non - UK casualty	2	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	8
Up to 2 km	- 7	68	17	3	117	13	72	7	304
Over 2 up to 5 km	5	56	5	27	68	3	17	1	182
Over 5 up to 10 km	0	15	0	21	30	1	19	2	69
Over 10 up to 20 km	1	4	7	2	38	4	34	2	92
Over 20 up to 50 km	9	5	18	1	49	7	15	4	108
Over 50 km	5	4	4	1	.0	2	.38	0	62
Total	73	181	149	87	472	91	962	44	2,059
Pedal cycle user									
Postcode blank invalid or not known	5	10	3	1	16	1	30	0	66
Casualty from elsewhere in the UK	6	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	10
Scottish casualty distance not known	6	0	34	18	88	21	204	7	378
Non - LIK casualty	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	1
Lin to 2 km	5	22	3	6	58	5	11	0	110
Over 2 up to 5 km	4	20	4	6	57	1		0	95
Over 5 up to 10 km	0	5	3	3	26	6	8	0	51
Over 10 up to 20 km	0	5	1	0	18	6	6	0	36
Over 20 up to 50 km	3	2	4	2	36	3	3	3	56
Over 50 km	7	1	1	0	2	2	8	0	21
Total	36	65	55	36	302	45	275	10	824
Motor cycle user									
Postcode blank invalid or not known	7	5	٩	1	14	2	20	1	59
Casualty from elsewhere in the LIK	10	2	1	1	7	- 1	20	3	34
Scottish casualty distance not known	14	2	35	22	31	20	111	6	275
Non - LIK casualty	8	1	0	0	0	20	2	0	11
In to 2 km	3	17	1	0	24	0	2	2	60
$O_{VOT} 2 up to 5 km$	2	26	4	2	24	4	6	2	72
Over 5 up to 10 km	2	20	2	2	23	2	16	2	68
Over 10 up to 20 km	2	20	5	-1	17	5	10	2	67
Over 30 up to 50 km	4	23	3	1	20	5	9	3	102
Over 50 km	24	20	5	4	30	2	16	2	60
Total	84	123	68	37	188	42	239	27	808
	•			•	100		200		
Car user	24	10	70	14	60	10	245	10	604
Convolte from alcowhere in the LIK	34	49	73	14	60	13	340	10	004
Casualty from elsewhere in the UK	24	18	11	11	40	14	71	31	220
Scottish casualty, distance not known	123	15	290	195	221	249	1,900	140	3,227
Non - OK casually	10	7	0	0	105	1	100	3	30
	27	67	20	20	195	20	130	11	504
Over 5 up to 5 km	28	140	20	57	274	20	142	13	672
	31	174	33	51	207	31	142	13	682
	42	154	44	29	150	42	159	10	030
Over 50 km	69	120	40	25	160	35	143	37	649 530
	138	31	74	9	33	40	205	8	538
Iotal	534	783	613	391	1,360	479	3,312	298	7,770
Other ²	_					_		-	
Postcode blank, invalid or not known	5	15	10	3	37	5	107	2	184
Casualty from elsewhere in the UK	7	3	13	1	11	3	15	15	68
Scottish casualty, distance not known	15	5	54	23	61	30	317	17	522
Non - UK casualty	6	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	9
Up to 2 km	0	7	1	3	38	3	25	0	77
Over 2 up to 5 km	2	5	3	5	54	4	11	1	85
Over 5 up to 10 km	5	13	1	1	48	3	11	0	82
Over 10 up to 20 km	6	9	9	6	35	4	14	4	87
Over 20 up to 50 km	7	19	7	4	52	5	24	4	122
Over 50 km	15	9	4	0	9	3	32	1	73
Total	68	85	102	46	345	60	559	44	1,309
All casualties									
Postcode blank, invalid or not known	77	104	101	24	190	26	695	21	1,238
Casualty from elsewhere in the UK	52	23	27	13	63	18	98	51	345
Scottish casualty, distance not known	171	25	505	304	496	376	3,219	202	5,298
Non - UK casualty	34	10	0	0	0	1	17	3	65
Up to 2 km	42	181	45	32	432	51	252	20	1,055
Over 2 up to 5 km	41	255	42	77	484	38	153	16	1,106
Over 5 up to 10 km	38	227	37	61	334	42	196	17	952
Over 10 up to 20 km	53	195	66	38	258	61	222	27	920
Over 20 up to 50 km	98	166	76	36	355	55	196	55	1,037
Over 50 km	189	51	88	12	55	49	299	11	754
Total	795	1,237	987	597	2,667	717	5,347	423	12,770

Estimated using the postcode of the casualty's home, if available - please see Annex B.
 'Other' includes taxis, minibus, bus or coach, etc.

Table 39b

Casualties¹ involved in reported accidents 2011: Council of residence vs. council of accident location

Percentages

194

									LOCATIO	ON OF ACCIDEN	Т						
		Aberdeen City	Aberdeenshire	Angus	Argyll & Bute	Clackman nanshire	Dumfries & Galloway	Dundee City	East Ayrshire	East Dunbartonshir e	East Lothian	East Renfrewshire	Edinburgh, City	Eilean Siar	Falkirk	Fife	Glasgow City
			15.0													Colui	nn Percentages
	Aberdeen City	78.2	15.8	1.5	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	-	0.3	0.2	0.2
	Aberdeensnire	17.6	74.4	3.4	-	-	-	0.4	-	-	0.5	-	0.1	-	-	-	-
	Angus	-	1.6	79.0	-	-	0.3	12.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-
	Argyll & Bute	-	-	-	59.5	-	-	-	-	0.7	-	-	0.2	-	-	-	0.4
	Clackmannanshire	-	0.2	-	-	81.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	2.7	0.2	0.6
	Dumfries & Galloway	-	-	-	0.4	-	78.2	-	0.5	-	-	-	0.2	-	-	-	0.1
	Dundee City	0.3	-	8.8	-	-	-	76.3	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	-	1.1	-
	East Ayrshire	-	0.2	-	0.4	-	0.5	-	68.5	-	-	6.3	0.1	-	0.3	-	0.5
	East Dunbartonshire	-	0.2	-	1.2	-	0.3	0.4	0.5	65.3	-	0.8	-	-	-	0.4	4.3
	East Lothian	0.3	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	70.9	-	3.7	-	-	0.2	0.1
	East Renfrewshire	-	-	-	1.2	-	-	-	1.4	-	-	56.7	0.2	-	-	0.2	3.6
	Edinburgh, City of	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.4	1.2	0.8	-	-	-	14.6	-	74.1	-	1.7	2.7	0.7
	Eilean Siar	0.3	-	-	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	93.9	-	-	-
ы	Falkirk	-	0.3	-	1.2	-	0.3	-	-	0.7	0.5	-	0.6	3.0	81.4	0.7	0.2
- No	Fife	0.3	0.3	1.1	1.6	3.6	1.0	4.3	-	-	0.5	-	2.7	-	2.0	87.9	0.3
SI	Glasgow City	-	-	0.8	7.0	-	1.0	-	3.2	21.5	-	15.0	0.5	-	-	0.7	67.7
R	Highland	0.3	0.7	-	0.8	-	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0	-	0.2	0.1
5	Inverclyde	0.3	-	0.4	1.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.6
Ň	Midlothian	-	-	-	-	1.2	0.3	-	-	-	3.5	-	6.6	-	-	0.2	-
Š	Moray	0.3	2.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	North Ayrshire	-	-	0.4	0.8	-	-	-	7.8	-	-	2.4	-	-	-	0.2	0.6
	North Lanarkshire	-	-	0.4	1.6	1.2	2.0	-	2.3	2.8	-	-	0.2	-	0.7	0.5	4.6
	Orknev Islands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Perth & Kinross	0.6	-	2.3	0.4	-	-	5.8	-	-	-	-	0.6	-	0.7	1.1	0.1
	Renfrewshire	-	-	-	1.9	2.4	-	-	-	0.7	0.5	2.4	0.1	-	0.7	0.2	4.0
	Scottish Borders	-	_	-	-		0.5	-	-	-	4.5		1.5	-	0.7	0.5	-
	Shetland Islands	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	South Avrshire		_		0.4		1.0		Q 1		-	7 1	0.1		_	_	0.5
	South Lanarkshire		0.2		0. 1 3.1		1.0		23	14	-	7.1	0.1		1.0	_	6.4
	Stirling	-	0.2	-	0.0	0 /	1.0	-	2.5	1.4	-	7.5	0.4	-	1.0	-	0.4
	West Dunbartenshire	0.5	0.2	-	7.0	0.4	0.5	-	-	4.0	-	-	0.0	-	4.5	-	0.2
	West Lethion	-	0.2	-	7.0	-	0.5	-	-	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.6
		0.3	-	0.4	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.8	-	3.0	-	0.2
		1000	2.3	100%	1000/	-	1000/	-	4.1	2.1	4.0	1.0	1.3	-	100%	2.4	1.5
_		100%	0 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	5 100%	0 100%	5 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	0 100%
Tot	al casualties '	33	5 575	262	257	83	394	278	219) 144	199) 127	1.234	33	301	552	1.213

1. Where postcode of casualty is known.

Table 39b (Continued) Casualties involved in reported accidents 2011:Council of residence vs council of accident location

									LOCATIO	N OF ACCIDENT							
																West	
						North	North	Orkney	Perth &		Scottish	Shetland	South	South		Dunbarton-	
		Highland	Inverciyde	Midlothian	Moray	Ayrshire	Lanarkshire	Islands	Kinross	Renfrew-shire	Borders	Islands	Ayrshire	Lanarkshire	Stirling	shire	West Lothian
																Colun	n Percentages
	Aberdeen City	0.5	-	-	1.4	-	0.2	-	1.2	-	-	-	-	0.2	0.4	-	0.2
	Aberdeenshire	1.4	-	-	9.5	-	0.3	-	0.9	-	0.3	-	0.9	-	0.7	-	-
	Angus	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	0.4	0.7	-
	Argyll & Bute	0.4	-	-	-	0.9	-	-	0.9	0.3	-	-	1.8	-	3.0	5.0	0.4
	Clackmannanshire	0.4	0.7	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	-	-	-	-	0.2	11.2	-	-
	Dumfries & Galloway	0.2	-	0.5	-	-	0.2	-	-	-	1.2	-	2.2	1.7	1.5	-	-
	Dundee City	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2
	East Ayrshire	-	-	-	-	5.8	-	-	-	0.3	0.3	-	12.8	0.2	0.4	-	-
	East Dunbartonshire	-	0.7	-	-	0.4	0.8	4.3	0.3	1.9	-	-	0.9	-	2.2	4.3	0.2
	East Lothian	0.7	-	3.3	-	-	-	-	0.6	-	2.0	-	-	-	0.4	-	0.4
	East Renfrewshire	0.4	0.7	-	-	0.4	0.2	-	0.6	2.7	-	-	-	1.1	-	2.2	-
	Edinburgh, City of	0.9	-	16.7	-	0.4	0.3	-	3.0	-	6.4	-	0.4	0.6	0.4	-	6.1
	Eilean Siar	0.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ŋ	Falkirk	0.2	0.7	0.9	-	0.4	1.7	-	1.2	-	0.3	-	-	0.2	10.8	-	3.3
Ш	Fife	0.5	-	1.9	-	-	0.5	-	4.4	-	1.2	-	-	-	1.9	0.7	1.1
ESI	Glasgow City	1.1	2.0	-	0.7	1.3	5.2	-	3.0	8.4	0.6	-	1.8	8.0	3.7	12.2	0.9
ΥR	Highland	78.0	-	-	4.1	-	0.2	-	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	2.6	-	-
Ļ	Inverclyde	-	89.2	-	-	2.7	0.5	-	-	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	0.2
SU/	Midlothian	0.4	-	61.9	-	-	0.5	-	0.9	-	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	0.7
Š	Moray	2.7	-	-	79.7	-	-	-	0.3	-	0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
	North Ayrshire	0.4	0.7	-	-	75.4	-	-	0.3	4.9	-	-	5.8	0.8	0.7	-	0.2
	North Lanarkshire	1.1	-	0.9	-	1.3	78.2	-	1.2	1.1	-	-	0.4	8.7	4.8	0.7	2.9
	Orkney Islands	0.4	-	-	-	-	-	91.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Perth & Kinross	0.4	-	0.5	-	-	0.2	-	59.5	-	0.3	-	-	-	1.9	-	-
	Renfrewshire	0.5	2.7	-	-	6.3	0.8	-	0.3	69.2	-	-	1.3	0.4	0.4	1.4	0.4
	Scottish Borders	-	-	9.8	-	-	-	-	0.9	-	72.5	-	-	0.2	-	-	0.2
	Shetland Islands	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	-	-	-	-	-
	South Ayrshire	0.2	0.7	-	-	3.1	0.2	-	-	0.3	-	-	69.0	0.8	1.5	-	-
	South Lanarkshire	0.2	-	0.9	0.7	0.9	8.5	-	1.8	0.8	1.8	-	0.9	74.2	1.9	1.4	3.9
	Stirling	0.7	-	-	-	-	0.2	-	0.9	-	-	-	-	-	42.4	0.7	0.4
	West Dunbartonshire	0.2	0.7	-	-	0.4	0.2	-	0.3	2.7	-	-	-	0.4	1.9	63.3	-
	West Lothian	0.5	0.7	1.9			1.0		0.6	0.5	1.2	-	0.4	0.6	1.5	1.4	77.9
	Elsewhere in UK	6.9	0.7	0.9	4.1	-	0.3	4.3	6.5	0.8	8.8	-	1.3	1.9	3.7	4.3	0.2
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	4	/*	/ -							/ •							
Tota	al casualties ¹	564	148	215	148	224	600	23	338	367	342	41	226	528	269	139	456

1. Where postcode of casualty is known.

		Chi	ild (0-15) kille	d	Chil	d (0-15) serio	us	Α	ll ages killed		All	ages seriou	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads									
Highland	2004-08			•			40	40	40				400
	average	1	1	2	4	6	10	18	10	28	81	80	160
	2001	-	-	-	10	20	30	12	19	31	163	149	312
	2002	-	-	-	11	17	28	10	13	23	104	80	190
	2003	2	-	2	3	10	13	16	14	30	93	113	206
	2004	-	1	1	6	9	15	18	7	25	114	90	204
	2005	-	-	-	1	4	11	13	/	20	101	78	179
	2006	2	-	2	1	8	9	21	5	26	62	89	151
	2007	1	1	2	2	10	12	19	15	34	65	88	153
	2008	2	1	3	3	1	4	18	16	34	61	53	114
	2009	2	-	2	2	3	5	20	8	28	75	53	128
	2010	-	-	-	5	7	12	13	13	26	49	53	102
	2011	-	-	-	-	2	2	9	12	21	41	57	98
	2007-11 average	1	0	1	2	5	7	16	13	29	58	61	119
196	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-69	-80	-49	20	-24	-49	-28	-39
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	0	-33	-13	-37	-28	-31	-11	28	3	-28	-24	-26
Orkney Islands	2004-08 average	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	7	7
	2001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	10
	2002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	9
	2003	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	8	8
	2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	9
	2005	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	8	8
	2006	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	2	-	9	9
	2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	2	2
	2008	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	7	7
	2009	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	_	6	6
	2010	-	_	_	-	1	1	_	_	-	_	5	5
	2010	-	_	_	-			_	_	-	_	2	2
	2007-11											2	-
	average	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	4	4
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-71	-71
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-	-	-	-67	-67	-	-50	-50	-	-37	-37

		Ch	ild (0-15) kille	ed	Chil	d (0-15) serio	ous	A	ll ages killed		All	ages seriou	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads
Shetland Islands	2004-08		0	0		0	0		2	2		0	0
	2001	-	U	Ū	-	U	U 2	-	2	2	-	13	13
	2001	-	-	-	-	2	5	-	2	2	-	13	13
	2002			_		5	5		2	2		5	5
	2003	-	-	-	-	- 1	-		2	2	-	5	5
	2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ו א	3	-	12	12
	2005	-	-	- 1	-	-	-		1	1	-	12	12
	2000	-	1	I	-	-	-	-	I E	-	-	11	11
	2007	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	6	0
	2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5 F
	2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5
	2010	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	3	3
	2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5
	2007-11 average	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	1	1	-	5	5
197	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-38	-38
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-100	-100	-	0	0	-	-40	-40	_	-40	-40
Eilean Siar	2004-08					· ·	·						
	average	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	2	-	14	14
	2001	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	5	5	-	18	18
	2002	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	2	-	19	19
	2003	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	3	3	-	16	16
	2004	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	6	-	18	18
	2005	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	4	4	-	16	16
	2006	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	7	7
	2007	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	11	11
	2008	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	1	1	-	16	16
	2009	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	7	7
	2010	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	10	10
	2011	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	4	4
	2007-11 average	-		-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	10	10
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-58	-58	-	-71	-71
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-	-	-	20	20	-	-67	-67	-	-29	-29

Killed & Serious casualties for all ages and child casualties by council and road type Years:2004-08, 2007-2011 averages and 2001-2011

		Chi	ild (0-15) kille	ed	Chil	d (0-15) serio	ous	A	II ages killed		All	ages seriou	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads									
Aberdeen City *	2004-08 average	_	_		-	10	10	2	4	6	8	74	82
	2001					0		2	-	10	10	19	59
	2001	-	-	-	-	0	0 3	2	8	10	0	40 54	50
	2002		- 1	- 1	1	10	11	- 1	4	0	8	67	75
	2003	_		-		9	9	2	3		10	72	82
	2004	_	_	_	_	9	9	- 1	6	7	8	67	75
	2006		_	_	_	10	10	5	3	8	6	49	55
	2000					<u>10</u> 6	6		5	5	 8	57	 65
	2007	_	_	_	_	16	16	1	2	3	10	123	133
	2009	-	-	_	-	.0	5	1	- 3	4	11	71	82
	2010	-	-	_	3	10	13	2	5	7	17	58	75
	2011	-	2	2	-	10	10	2	6	8	16	82	98
	2007-11 average	-	0	0	1	10	10	1	4	5	12	78	91
198	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	10	10	11	58	43	90	11	20
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-	-	-	-4	2	-33	11	-4	48	6	10
Aberdeenshire *	2004-08 average	0	2	2	2	10	13	7	27	33	35	131	166
	2001	-	-	-	1	12	13	7	25	32	32	125	157
	2002	-	1	1	-	12	12	4	27	31	21	136	157
	2003	-	1	1	-	13	13	15	26	41	34	121	155
	2004	-	1	1	3	12	15	8	26	34	28	120	148
	2005	-	1	1	1	11	12	7	29	36	38	122	160
	2006	-	1	1	4	9	13	13	33	46	25	101	126
	2007				1	7	8	3	22	25	31	132	163
	2008	1	5	6	3	12	15	3	23	26	52	180	232
	2009	-	1	1	3	17	20	4	18	22	43	181	224
	2010	-	-	-	2	6	8	4	22	26	49	153	202
	2011	-	-	-	1	13	14	4	7	11	34	156	190
	2007-11 average	0	1	1	2	11	13	4	18	22	42	160	202
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-58	27	11	-41	-74	-67	-2	19	15
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	0	-25	-22	-17	8	3	-47	-31	-34	20	22	22

* Grampian police force data underwent a data quality review from 2007 onwards. Data prior to that may not be comparable.

Killed & Serious casualties for all ages and child casualties by council and road type Years:2004-08, 2007-2011 averages and 2001-2011

		Chi	ild (0-15) kille	d	Chil	d (0-15) seric	ous	Α	ll ages killed		All	ages seriou	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads									
Moray *	2004-08	_	1	1	0	4	4	2	5	7	10	30	41
	average	-			1	7	-	-	5	1	10	40	
	2001	-	-	-	1	1	8	1	1	8	18	40	58
	2002	2	-	2	ა ი	5	0	0	0	12	14	39	23
	2003	-	-	-	3	0	9	4	2	6	15	34	49
	2004	-	-	-	-	0	6	-	5	5	15	30	50
	2005	-	1	1	1	3	4	2	8	10	12	17	29
	2000		2	Z		3	4	3_	 	<u>8</u>	9	30	
	2007	-	-	-	-	0	0	2	5	7	0	31	37
	2008	-	1	I	-	2	2	2	4	6	10	38	48
	2009	-	-	-	1	-	1	2	3	D A	18	23	41
	2010	-	-	-	-	5	5	1	3	4	10	23	34
	2011	-	-	-	-	1	1	T	3	4	10	14	24
	2007-11 average	-	0	0	0	3	3	2	4	5	11	26	37
192	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011					75	77	11	11	11	4	54	11
	2011 % ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	- -75	-75	- -50	-30	-77	-44	-44	-44	-4	-54	-41
Dundee City	2004-08		70	70	00	00	02		00	20	Ū	10	0
	average	0	-	0	1	14	15	1	2	3	8	56	65
	2001	-	-	-	1	18	19	1	4	5	16	77	93
	2002	-	-	-	-	20	20	-	3	3	2	69	71
	2003	-	-	-	1	11	12	1	2	3	9	57	66
	2004	-	-	-	1	18	19	-	1	1	9	62	71
	2005	-	-	-	1	15	16	2	5	7	5	53	58
	2006	-	-	-	1	15	16	-	-	-	12	71	83
	2007	-	-	-	1	11	12	1	1	2	10	42	52
	2008	1	-	1	-	10	10	1	3	4	5	54	59
	2009	-	-	-	1	13	14	3	2	5	9	56	65
	2010	-	-	-	1	10	11	2	3	5	7	34	41
	2011	-	-	-	-	11	11	-	2	2	5	47	52
	2007-11 average	0	-	0	1	11	12	1	2	4	7	47	54
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-20	-25	-	0	-29	-39	-17	-20
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	0	-	0	-25	-20	-21	75	10	29	-12	-17	-17

* Grampian police force data underwent a data quality review from 2007 onwards. Data prior to that may not be comparable.

Angus	2004-08 average	Trunk roads	Local Authority			Local			Local				
Angus	2004-08 average		roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Authority roads	All roads
	average		•	•			•	•	•	40	40	74	
	2004	-	0	U	-	0	0	ა	9	12	12	71	03
	2001	-	1	I	1	10	17	3	11 E	14	19	C8	104
	2002	-	-	-	-	12	12	2	5	7	9	80	09
	2003	-	1	I	2	1	9	1	0	1	10	01	10
	2004	-	-	-	-	10	10	4	12		12	90	120
	2005	-	-	-	-	10	10	1	0	1	10	67	00 70
	2006	-	-	-	-	10	10	2	9	11	12	67	79
	2007	-	2	2	-	6	6	5	8	13	4	67	/1
	2008	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	11	13	8	56	64
	2009	-	-	-	-	5	5	1	6	1	(53	60
	2010	-	-	-	2	4	6	1	5	6	9	45	54
	2011	-	-	-	1	6	7	1	4	5	9	48	57
	2007-11 average	-	0	0	1	5	5	2	7	9	7	54	61
200	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-21	-8	-64	-57	-58	-24	-32	-31
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711		0	0		20	22	20	26	27	27	24	26
Porth & Kinross	2004.08	-	0	0	-	-59	-52	-29	-20	-27	-37	-24	-20
reiul & Allioss	average	0	0	1	2	8	11	8	7	15	43	88	131
	2001	1	2	3	6	15	21	20	11	31	67	126	193
	2002	-	-	-	1	17	18	10	7	17	25	129	154
	2003	-	1	1	-	13	13	16	11	27	51	95	146
	2004	-	-	-	6	9	15	11	7	18	56	92	148
	2005	-	1	1	4	9	13	7	8	15	49	90	139
	2006	-	1	1	-	11	11	3	7	10	43	96	139
	2007	-	-	-	1	2	3	13	7	20	33	78	111
	2008	1	-	1	1	11	12	7	7	14	34	82	116
	2009	-	-	-	2	4	6	3	6	9	37	72	109
	2010	-	-	-	-	3	3	12	7	19	24	56	80
	2011	1	-	1	2	2	4	10	8	18	36	54	90
	2007-11 average	0	-	0	1	4	6	9	7	16	33	68	101
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	400	-	67	-17	-76	-63	22	11	17	-16	-38	-31
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	100	100	22	50	19	19	10	3	Л	0 ∕I	20	00

		Ch	ild (0-15) kille	ed	Chil	d (0-15) serio	ous	A	II ages killed		Al	l ages seriou	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads									
Fife	2004-08	0	2	2	4	40	40		45	40	24	420	450
	average	U	Z	Z	1	20	19	4	10	10	21	107	211
	2001	-	I	1	-	20	30	4	17	21	24	210	211
	2002	I	-	2	I	20	21	2	23 16	19	28	210	192
	2003	-	2	2	-	20	20	2	25	30	20	150	102
	2004	-	1	1	1	22	23	5	25	15	20	1/12	104
	2005	-	1	1	1	20	21	0	9	10	30	142	172
	2006	I	1	2	I	25	20	0	13	19	20	101	109
	2007	-	-	-	-	14	14	1	13	14	13	124	137
	2008	-	1	1	T	11	12	1	13	14	9	105	114
	2009	-	-	-	-	20	20	-	6	6	8	106	114
	2010	-	-	-	3	8	11	5	8	13	25	94	119
	2011	-	-	-	-	18	18	-	11	11	8	84	92
	2007-11 average	-	0	0	1	14	15	1	10	12	13	103	115
201	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-2	-6	-	-25	-40	-61	-39	-42
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	100	22	80	0	22	22	62	20	27	20	26	28
Edinburgh City of	2004-08	-700	-00	-03	U	-20	-22	-05	-50	-57	-59	-20	-20
Lumburgh, ony of	average	-	1	1	0	25	25	1	8	9	7	180	188
	2001	-	3	3	-	35	35	-	16	16	7	245	252
	2002	-	1	1	-	31	31	-	12	12	4	205	209
	2003	-	-	-	-	24	24	-	11	11	4	158	162
	2004	-	-	-	-	21	21	1	7	8	5	157	162
	2005	-	-	-	-	27	27	1	5	6	8	188	196
	2006	-	2	2	-	32	32	1	12	13	8	198	206
	2007	-	1	1	1	22	23	-	5	5	11	180	191
	2008	-	-	-	-	24	24	1	12	13	5	178	183
	2009	-	-	-	-	17	17	-	7	7	2	139	141
	2010	-	-	-	-	15	15	1	3	4	4	128	132
	2011	-	-	-	1	15	16	2	8	10	3	163	166
	2007-11 average	_	0	0	0	19	19	1	7	8	5	158	163
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	400	-40	-37	150	-2	11	-59	-10	-12
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-67	-67	100	-26	-25	0	-15	-13	-32	-13	-13

-		Chi	ild (0-15) kille	d	Chil	d (0-15) serio	us	A	II ages killed		All	ages seriou	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads
West Lothian	2004-08	0	٥	1		٥	٥	1	0	٥	5	72	79
	2001	v	1	1	-	3 21	3 21	1	10	11	J	77	86
	2001	-	-	-	-	2 I 10	21	1	10	5	9	61	62
	2002			_	- 1	5	10	1	7	8	1	53	57
	2003	_	-	-	1	9	0	1	7	7	т 1	67	71
	2004	-	-	-	-	9 12	9 12	-	7	0	+ 2	80	01
	2005	-	-	-	-	12	14	-	9 10	11	2	75	91
	2000	-	1	1	-	14	14	1	10	11	9	75	04 74
	2007	I	1	2	-	4	4	ა ი	0	11	0	60	71
	2000	-	-	-	-	0	0	3	0	9	3	09	12
	2009	-	-	-	-	5	5	2	4	0	4	63	07
	2010	-	-	-	-	8	8	-	1	1	1	59	60
	2011	-	-	-	-	9	9	-	2	2	4	59	03
	average	0	0	0	-	6	6	2	4	6	4	63	67
202	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-75	-79	-17	-19	-19
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	0	-50	-33	-	-29	-29	14	-48	-38	-25	-14	-14
Midlothian	2004-08												
	average	-	-	-	1	5	6	0	3	3	9	33	41
	2001	-	-	-	1	4	5	1	1	2	9	30	39
	2002	-	-	-	-	5	5	1	2	3	17	41	58
	2003	-	-	-	-	9	9	1	5	6	5	32	37
	2004	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	2	2	4	18	22
	2005	-	-	-	1	10	11	-	2	2	6	54	60
	2006	-	-	-	2	3	5	2	2	4	18	26	44
	2007	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	4	4	10	37	47
	2008	-	-	-	2	5	7	-	3	3	5	29	34
	2009	-	-	-	-	4	4	1	2	3	7	28	35
	2010	-	-	-	-	8	8	-	1	1	7	22	29
	2011	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	3	3	1	26	27
	2007-11 average	-	-	-	0	5	6	0	3	3	6	28	34
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-26	-38	-	15	0	-88	-21	-35
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-	-	-60	-4	-13	-50	0	-7	-30	-13	-17

		Chi	ild (0-15) kille	d	Chil	d (0-15) seric	ous	А	ll ages killed		All	ages seriou	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads									
East Lothian	2004-08				•	-	-	•	•			20	20
	average	-	-	-	U	5	5	2	3	4	4	32	36
	2001	-	-	-	-	4	4	1	3	4	0 17	34	42
	2002	-	1	1	1	1	8	1	2	9	17	35	52
	2003	-	-	-	-	4	4	1	5	0	5	21	20
	2004	-	-	-	1	0	10	1	6	7	6	31	37
	2005	-	-	-	-	10	10	1	2	3	5	43	48
	2006	-	-	-	-	4	4	1	3	4	4	34	38
	2007	-	-	-	-	5	5	4	1	5	4	31	35
	2008	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	3	1	19	20
	2009	-	-	-	3	2	5	-	8	8	10	29	39
	2010	-	1	1	-	3	3	-	3	3	8	26	34
	2011	-	1	1	-	2	2	-	1	1	5	24	29
	2007-11 average	-	0	0	1	2	3	1	3	4	6	26	31
203	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-60	-62	-	-62	-77	25	-24	-19
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-	-	200	-52	-42	-33	8	-9	40	-18	-12
Scottish Borders	2004-08												
	average	-	0	0	1	8	8	3	10	12	21	74	95
	2001	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	8	8	10	86	96
	2002	-	1	1	3	7	10	1	8	9	22	95	117
	2003	-	-	-	1	14	15	2	12	14	19	83	102
	2004	-	-	-	-	6	6	2	9	11	14	80	94
	2005	-	1	1	-	9	9	6	10	16	24	102	126
	2006	-	-	-	-	7	7	-	10	10	24	55	79
	2007	-	1	1	1	9	10	3	13	16	18	66	84
	2008	-	-	-	2	7	9	2	7	9	23	68	91
	2009	-	-	-	4	5	9	5	8	13	25	66	91
	2010	-	1	1	3	3	6	3	6	9	20	66	86
	2011	-	-	-	1	2	3	1	5	6	17	47	64
	2007-11 average	-	0	0	2	5	7	3	8	11	21	63	83
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011				67	71	62	62	40	52	17	97	20
	% ch on 04-08 av:	-	-	-	07	-74	-05	-02	-49	-52	-17	-57	-52
	0711	-	0	0	267	-32	-10	8	-20	-15	0	-16	-12

Killed & Serious casualties for all ages and child casualties by council and road type Years:2004-08, 2007-2011 averages and 2001-2011

		Chi	ild (0-15) kille	d	Chil	d (0-15) serio	us	A	ll ages killed		All	ages seriou	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads									
Clackmannanshire	2004-08		0	٥		4	4		2	2		20	20
	average	-	U	U	-	4	4	-	2	2	-	20	20
	2001	-	-	-	-	2	3	-	2	2	-	33	33 41
	2002		-	-	_	7	7	-	4	4	-		21
	2003	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	4	4	-	21	21
	2004	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	1	J 1	-	21	21
	2005	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	1	1	-	24	24
	2000	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	4	4	-	23	23
	2007	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	1	1	-	11	11
	2008	-	1	1	-	4	4	-	2	2	-	23	23
	2009	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	3	3	-	14	14
	2010	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	2	2	-	19	19
	2011	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	2	-	10	10
	2007-11 average	-	0	0	-	3	3	0	2	2	-	15	15
204	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-72	-72	-	-55	-9	-	-51	-51
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	_	0	0	_	-28	-28	_	-18	-9	_	-25	-25
Stirling	2004-08		Ū	0		-20	-20		-70	-5		-20	-20
ouning	average	0	0	0	1	5	6	3	4	7	26	56	82
	2001	-	-	-	2	10	12	5	2	7	34	67	101
	2002	-	-	-	-	7	7	3	5	8	20	79	99
	2003	-	-	-	2	9	11	5	7	12	30	82	112
	2004	-	-	-	2	8	10	1	6	7	45	68	113
	2005	-	-	-	1	7	8	5	4	9	28	58	86
	2006	1	-	1	-	6	6	4	6	10	12	50	62
	2007	-	-	-	-	2	2	3	2	5	23	49	72
	2008	-	1	1	1	4	5	3	3	6	21	55	76
	2009	-	-	-	-	3	3	1	4	5	16	38	54
	2010	-	-	-	-	2	2	1	3	4	25	32	57
	2011	-	-	-	-	5	5	1	5	6	18	39	57
	2007-11					-	-		-	-			•
	average	-	0	0	0	3	3	2	3	5	21	43	63
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-7	-19	-69	19	-19	-30	-30	-30
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-100	0	-50	-75	-41	-45	-44	-19	-30	-20	-24	-23

		Ch	ild (0-15) kille	ed	Chil	d (0-15) serio	ous	А	ll ages killed		Al	ages seriou	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads									
Falkirk	2004-08								_	_	_		
	average	-	0	0	0	10	10	1	4	5	5	61	66
	2001	-	1	1	-	16	16	3	5	8	10	72	82
	2002	-	-	-	-	17	17	5	7	12	10	82	92
	2003	-	1	1	-	8	8	2	6	8	15	70	85
	2004	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	7	7	6	55	61
	2005	-	-	-	1	15	16	1	7	8	5	72	77
	2006	-	2	2	-	15	15	2	3	5	3	60	63
	2007	-	-	-	-	7	7	1	1	2	6	55	61
	2008	-	-	-	-	7	7	-	4	4	4	65	69
	2009	-	-	-	-	7	7	-	3	3	8	47	55
	2010	-	-	-	-	5	5	-	1	1	8	35	43
	2011	-	-	-	-	3	3	1	-	1	4	39	43
	2007-11 average	-	-	-	-	6	6	0	2	2	6	48	54
205	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-69	-70	25	-	-81	-17	-36	-35
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-100	-100	-100	-41	-42	-50	-59	-58	25	-21	-18
Glasgow City	2004-08												
	average	-	2	2	-	51	51	1	17	18	14	267	281
	2001	1	2	3	-	90	90	3	18	21	12	365	377
	2002	-	3	3	1	77	78	-	13	13	19	362	381
	2003	-	1	1	-	66	66	1	15	16	10	345	355
	2004	-	1	1	-	55	55	1	15	16	17	257	274
	2005	-	1	1	-	50	50	1	16	17	20	250	270
	2006	-	4	4	-	54	54	3	23	26	15	276	291
	2007	-	1	1	-	47	47	-	14	14	10	238	248
	2008	-	1	1	-	48	48	-	15	15	8	313	321
	2009	-	1	1	-	40	40	1	17	18	11	213	224
	2010	-	1	1	2	31	33	1	10	11	11	199	210
	2011	-	1	1	1	29	30	3	10	13	6	171	177
	2007-11 average	-	1	1	1	39	40	1	13	14	9	227	236
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-38	-38	-	-43	-41	200	-40	-26	-57	-36	-37
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-38	-38	-	-23	-22	0	-20	-19	-34	-15	-16

		Chi	ild (0-15) kille	d Child (0-1		d (0-15) serio	ous	Α	II ages killed		Al	ages seriou	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads
Argyll & Bute	2004-08						•		_	10			
	average	-	0	0	1	4	6	8	5	12	38	49	87
	2001	-	-	-	3	4	7	11	9	20	44	56	100
	2002	-	-	-	9	13	22	5	3	8	64	60	124
	2003	-	-	-	1	6	7	7	7	14	47	76	123
	2004	-	-	-	1	5	6	9	6	15	40	56	96
	2005	-	-	-	-	4	4	5	4	9	35	45	80
	2006	-	-	-	2	2	4	6	4	10	38	52	90
	2007	-	-	-	-	4	4	11	3	14	24	33	57
	2008	-	1	1	4	6	10	7	6	13	54	57	111
	2009	-	-	-	1	4	5	3	2	5	33	40	73
	2010	-	-	-	-	1	1	8	7	15	34	32	66
	2011	1	-	1	1	2	3	5	-	5	32	26	58
	2007-11 average	0	0	0	1	3	5	7	4	10	35	38	73
206	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	400	-29	-52	-46	-34	-	-59	-16	-47	-33
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	0	100	-14	-19	-18	-11	-22	-15	-7	-23	-16
West Dunbartonshire	2004-08		0	0	4	c	7	2	2		7	20	24
	average	-	0	0	1	0	1	2	3	4	1	20	34
	2001	-	1	1	2	13	15	1	1	8	10	35	45
	2002	-	-	-	-	9	9	-	1	1	2	40	40
	2003	-	-	-	3	9	12	-	3	3	10	30	40
	2004	-	1	I	-	1	1	2	2	4	4	39	43
	2005	-	-	-	1	10	11	4	5	9	8	26	34
	2006	-	-	-	1	9	10	1	3	4	8	35	43
	2007	-	-	-	2	1	3	1	1	2	7	21	28
	2008	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	2	2	7	17	24
	2009	-	-	-	-	8	8	-	1	1	5	21	26
	2010	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	1	1	4	21	25
	2011	1	-	1	-	5	5	3	1	4	2	20	22
	2007-11 average	0	-	0	0	4	5	1	1	2	5	20	25
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	400	-	-19	-29	88	-62	-5	-71	-28	-36
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-100	0	-50	-29	-31	-50	-54	-52	-26	-28	-27

		Ch	ild (0-15) kille	əd	Chil	d (0-15) seric	ous	A	ll ages killed		Al	l ages seriou	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads									
East Dunbartonshire	2004-08 average	_	0	0	_	6	6	_	2	2	_	26	26
	2001		-			8	8		2	2	_	20 41	20 41
	2001	_	_	_	_	9	9	_	- 1	- 1	_	30	30
	2002	-	-	_	-	9	9	-	3	3	1	41	42
	2000	-	-	_	-	6	6	-	2	2		31	31
	2004	_	_	_	_	9	9	_	-	-	_	26	26
	2005		1	- 1		0	9		- 1	- 1		20	20
	2000	-		I	-	3	3		3	3	-	21	21
	2007	-	-	-	-	ວ າ	3	-	3	3	-	20	20
	2008	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	2	2	-	22	22
	2009	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	2	2	-	21	21
	2010	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	4	4	-	22	22
	2011 2007-11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	01	10
207	average % ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	2	2	-	21	21
7	2011 % ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-100	- -100	-	- -59	- -59	-	- 38	- 38	-	-19	-39
Invercivde	2004-08												
	average	-	-	-	0	5	5	1	1	2	9	27	36
	2001	-	1	1	2	7	9	2	2	4	12	27	39
	2002	-	-	-	3	4	7	2	1	3	17	19	36
	2003	-	2	2	-	6	6	2	6	8	8	28	36
	2004	-	-	-	-	6	6	-	-	-	5	27	32
	2005	-	-	-	-	3	3	2	1	3	6	29	35
	2006	-	-	-	2	5	7	-	-	-	9	30	39
	2007	-	-	-	-	2	2	1	2	3	15	19	34
	2008	-	-	-	-	7	7	-	2	2	10	29	39
	2009	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	2	2	6	20	26
	2010	-	-	-	-	3	3	1	-	1	3	18	21
	2011	-	-	-	1	2	3	-	1	1	7	19	26
	2007-11 average	-	-	-	0	4	4	0	1	2	8	21	29
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	150	-57	-40	-	0	-38	-22	-29	-27
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-	-	-50	-22	-24	-33	40	12	-9	-22	-18

		Child (0-15) killed		Chil	d (0-15) serio	ous	A	ll ages killed		All	ages seriou	s	
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads
Renfrewshire	2004-08					•	•	•		•	•	64	
	average	-	1	1	-	9	9	2	6	8	9	61 400	70
	2001	-	-	-	-	18	18	-	3	3	17	103	120
	2002	-	2	2	-	18	18	2	4	0	13	78	91
	2003	-	1	1	-	20	20	1	5	6	18	89	107
	2004	-	1	1	-	10	10	3	8	11	11	62	73
	2005	-	1	1	-	11	11	-	5	5	0	63 7 0	69
	2006	-	2	2	-	8	8	1	6	7	12	70	82
	2007	-	-	-	-	(7	3	4	7	8	51	59
	2008	-	-	-	-	8	8	2	1	9	6	60	66
	2009	-	-	-	-	8	8	1	1	2	10	56	66
	2010	-	-	-	-	7	7	2	-	2	10	52	62
	2011	-	-	-	-	2	2	2	5	7	7	45	52
	2007-11 average	-	-	-	-	6	6	2	3	5	8	53	61
208	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-77	-77	11	-17	-10	-19	-26	-26
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-100	-100	-	-27	-27	11	-43	-31	-5	-14	-13
East Renfrewshire	2004-08												
	average	-	-	-	-	2	2	0	2	2	2	22	24
	2001	-	-	-	-	7	7	-	3	3	3	33	36
	2002	-	-	-	1	6	7	1	1	2	5	35	40
	2003	-	-	-	-	4	4	3	1	4	6	26	32
	2004	-	-	-	-	4	4	1	1	2	1	29	30
	2005	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	2	2	2	13	15
	2006	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	1	1	1	31	32
	2007	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	4	4	1	15	16
	2008	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	4	21	25
	2009	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	2	2	4	15	19
	2010	-	-	-	-	4	4	-	1	1	5	20	25
	2011	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	2	2	-	12	12
	2007-11 average	_	_	_	_	3	3	_	2	2	3	17	19
	% ch on 04-08 av:					-	-		-	_	-		
	2011 % ch ch	-	-	-	-	-17	-17	-	11	0	-	-45	-49
	04-08 av: 0711	-	-	-	-	8	8	-100	11	0	56	-24	-18

		Chi	ld (0-15) kille	ed	Child (0-15) serious All a			II ages killed		Al	ages seriou	s	
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads
North Lanarkshire	2004-08	0	1	1	0	20	20	2	10	12	10	96	107
	2001	1	3	1	U 3	43	20	2	7	12	20	1/9	169
	2001	-	5	4	J	43	40	5	/ 0	12	20	140	140
	2002	- 1	- 1	- 2	_	25	25	5	11	15	17	120	140
	2003	-	-	-	_	23	23	1	12	10	6	08	104
	2004	1	-	1	_	27	27	2	7	9	10	93	103
	2006		2	2	_	14	14	2	10	12	10	96	100
	2000	_	-	-	2	20	22	- 1	10	12	8	113	107
	2007	- 1	- 1	- 2	2	20 15	15	5	8	12	17	81	08
	2000	-	-	-	_	16	16	3	7	10	8	86	90 94
	2005	_	_	-	_	10	15	-	2	2	7	70	77
	2010	-	-	-	_	13	13	1	10	11	4	55	59
	2007-11 average	0	0	0	0	16	16	2	8	10	9	81	90
209	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-39	-40	-55	4	-7	-62	-43	-45
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-50	-67	-60	0	-20	-20	-9	-21	-19	-15	-16	-16
South Lanarkshire	2004-08												
	average	0	0	1	2	15	17	4	12	16	21	100	121
	2001	-	-	-	3	31	34	1	8	9	23	156	179
	2002	-	1	1	2	24	26	10	8	18	26	146	172
	2003	-	-	-	-	23	23	2	16	18	30	119	149
	2004	-	-	-	3	18	21	7	7	14	31	108	139
	2005	-	1	1	1	8	9	5	12	17	15	83	98
	2006	1	-	1	2	16	18	3	13	16	13	106	119
	2007	-	-	-	1	15	16	3	11	14	24	100	124
	2008	-	1	1	2	19	21	2	15	17	22	104	126
	2009	-	1	1	2	12	14	4	14	18	24	97	121
	2010	-	-	-	1	13	14	1	11	12	19	64	83
	2011	-	-	-	-	14	14	1	10	11	13	65	78
	2007-11 average	-	0	0	1	15	16	2	12	14	20	86	106
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-8	-18	-75	-14	-29	-38	-35	-36
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-100	0	-33	-33	-4	-7	-45	5	-8	-3	-14	-12

		Chi	ld (0-15) kille	d	Chil	d (0-15) serio	ous	All ages killed			All	ages serious	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads
North Ayrshire	2004-08		•	•	•	•			-	<u> </u>	47	47	
	average	-	U	U	3	8	11	1	5	6	17	47	6 4
	2001	-	-	-	-	9	9	3	1	10	17	59	70
	2002	-	1	1	I	10	17	2	2	4	10	04 50	74
	2003	-	1	1	-	13	13	2	5	7	10	52	70
	2004	-	1	1	5	1	12	-	0 10	0 10	27	50	83 70
	2005	-	1	1	2	14	16	-	10	10	19	53	12
	2006	-	-	-	3	6	9	1	3	4	20	44	64
	2007	-	-	-	2	8	10	2	4	6	11	38	49
	2008	-	-	-	2	4	6	2	4	6	10	43	53
	2009	-	-	-	2	5	/	2	2	4	12	50	62
	2010	-	-	-	-	4	4	1	4	5	6	19	25
	2011	-	-	-	1	6	/	-	4	4	6	33	39
	2007-11 average	-	-	-	1	5	7	1	4	5	9	37	46
210	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-64	-23	-34	-	-26	-38	-66	-29	-39
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-100	-100	-50	-31	-36	40	-33	-22	-48	-22	-29
East Ayrshire	2004-08												
	average	-	-	-	1	8	8	3	5	8	8	48	56
	2001	-	-	-	-	11	11	4	11	15	14	76	90
	2002	-	-	-	3	15	18	4	7	11	15	66	81
	2003	-	-	-	1	14	15	3	8	11	10	57	67
	2004	-	-	-	-	14	14	5	8	13	15	67	82
	2005	-	-	-	-	6	6	2	3	5	7	41	48
	2006	-	-	-	1	8	9	1	4	5	3	54	57
	2007	-	-	-	-	6	6	5	2	7	4	30	34
	2008	-	-	-	2	5	7	1	7	8	11	48	59
	2009	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	5	11	33	44
	2010	-	-	-	1	6	7	1	4	5	12	38	50
	2011	-	-	-	1	4	5	-	4	4	5	38	43
	2007-11 average	-	-	-	1	4	5	2	4	6	9	37	46
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	67	-49	-40	-	-17	-47	-38	-21	-23
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-	-	-	33	-46	-40	-29	-21	-24	7	-22	-18

		Child (0-15) killed		Chil	d (0-15) serio	ous	A	ll ages killed		All	ages seriou	s	
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads
South Ayrshire	2004-08	•		•			-	•	-	•	45	20	50
	average	U	-	U	1	6	7	3	5	8	15	38	53
	2001	-	1	1	2	1	9	3	1	10	19	46	65
	2002	-	-	-	4	9	13	0	4	10	34	62	90
	2003	1	-	1	1	10	11	8	1	9	24	63	8/
	2004	1	-	1	1	10	11	6	5	11	19	40	59
	2005	-	-	-	-	/	7	1	4	5	18	35	53
	2006	-	-	-	1	4	5	4	6	10	14	37	51
	2007	-	-	-	1	6	7	4	5	9	13	39	52
	2008	-	-	-	-	5	5	2	4	6	11	39	50
	2009	-	-	-	-	3	3	2	1	3	10	45	55
	2010	-	1	1	-	3	3	4	6	10	18	32	50
	2011	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	3	3	11	27	38
	2007-11 average	-	0	0	0	4	4	2	4	6	13	36	49
21	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-	-69	-71	-	-38	-63	-27	-29	-28
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-100	-	0	-67	-41	-43	-29	-21	-24	-16	-4	-8
Dumfries & Galloway	2004-08 average	0	_	0	4	8	12	9	6	14	48	79	127
	2001	-	_			10	10	3	10	13	40	69	116
	2001		_	_	5	10	10	1/	10	13	18	62	110
	2002		_	_	1	13	16	6	4	10	36	71	107
	2003			_	-	12	10	0	4	8	38	61	00
	2005	1	_	- 1	1	7	11		7	17	51	76	127
	2005	1	-	I	4	,	12	10	7	25	56	70	146
	2000			_	-	5	13	۱ <i>۲</i> و	1	12	50 61	90	158
	2007	-	-	-	1	7	0	5	4	12	25	37	105
	2008	-	-	-	1	7	0	5	5	10	30	70	100
	2009	-	-	-	4	0	10	0	2	10	4/	13	120
	2010	-	-	-	-	4	4	Э	2	5	20	42	07
	2011	-	-	-	3	3	ю	8	1	9	25	59	84
	2007-11 average	-	-	-	3	5	8	6	3	9	39	68	107
	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-	-	-	-29	-61	-49	-9	-82	-38	-48	-25	-34
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-100	-	-100	-33	-29	-31	-27	-50	-36	-20	-13	-16

		Chi	ild (0-15) kille	d	Chile	d (0-15) serio	us	А	ll ages killed		Al	ages seriou	s
		Trunk roads	Local Authority roads	All roads									
Scotland	2004-08 average	3	12	15	27	299	325	90	202	292	492	2,113	2,605
	2001	3	17	20	38	486	524	97	251	348	674	2,736	3,410
	2002	3	11	14	50	463	513	110	194	304	581	2,648	3,229
	2003	4	13	17	24	391	415	108	228	336	558	2,399	2,957
	2004	1	11	12	36	336	372	92	216	308	575	2,191	2,766
	2005	2	9	11	26	331	357	85	201	286	531	2,135	2,666
	2006	5	20	25	26	324	350	103	211	314	475	2,160	2,635
	2007	2	7	9	21	248	269	97	184	281	434	1,951	2,385
	2008	6	14	20	24	255	279	72	198	270	446	2,129	2,575
	2009	2	3	5	25	228	253	70	146	216	461	1,827	2,288
	2010	-	4	4	23	200	223	67	141	208	418	1,550	1,968
	2011	3	4	7	14	189	203	56	130	186	329	1,546	1,875
	2007-11 average	3	6	9	21	224	245	72	160	232	418	1,801	2,218
212	% ch on 04-08 av: 2011	-6	-67	-55	-47	-37	-38	-38	-36	-36	-33	-27	-28
	% ch on 04-08 av: 0711	-19	-48	-42	-20	-25	-25	-19	-21	-20	-15	-15	-15

Slight casualties, estimated total volume of traffic, and slight casualty rate, by council and road type Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages and 2002 to 2011

		Slight casualties		Estimat traffic	ted total vo (million ve	olume of eh-km)	Slig (per 10	ht casualty 0 million v	v rate reh-km)	
		Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads
Highland	2004-08 average	386	368	754	1,496	1,047	2,543	26	35	30
	2002	330	384	714	1,465	985	2,449	23	39	29
	2003	391	408	799	1,476	1,001	2,477	26	41	32
	2004	430	399	829	1,464	1,012	2,477	29	39	33
	2005	381	416	797	1,468	1,022	2,490	26	41	32
	2006	355	349	704	1,503	1,053	2,556	24	33	28
	2007	409	333	742	1,525	1,070	2,595	27	31	29
	2008	353	345	698	1,519	1,078	2,597	23	32	27
	2009	406	381	787	1,556	1,067	2,623	26	36	30
	2010	322	275	597	1,530	1,055	2,586	21	26	23
	2011	263	303	566	1,535	1,044	2,580	17	29	22
	2007-11 average	351	327	678	1,533	1,063	2,596	23	31	26
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-32	-18	-25	3	-0	1	-34	-18	-26
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-9	-11	-10	2	2	2	-11	-12	-12
Orkney Islands	2004-08 average	-	39	39	-	133	133	-	30	30
	2002	-	54	54	-	129	129	-	42	42
	2003	-	35	35	-	128	128	-	27	27
	2004	-	38	38	-	128	128	-	30	30
	2005	-	46	46	-	128	128	-	36	36
	2006	-	43	43	-	136	136	-	32	32
	2007	-	35	35	-	137	137	-	25	25
	2008	-	35	35	-	137	137	-	26	26
	2009	-	29	29	-	137	137	-	21	21
	2010	-	33	33	-	135	135	-	24	24
	2011	-	24	24	-	133	133	-	18	18
	2007-11 average	-	31	31	-	136	136	-	23	23
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-	-39	-39	-	-0	-0	-	-39	-39
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-	-21	-21	-	2	2	-	-22	-22
Shetland Islands	2004-08 average	-	41	41	-	202	202	-	20	20
	2002	-	25	25	-	190	190	-	13	13
	2003	-	42	42	-	194	194	-	22	22
	2004	-	40	40	-	195	195	-	21	21
	2005	-	56	56	-	198	198	-	28	28
	2006	-	49	49	-	205	205	-	24	24
	2007	-	40	40	-	206	206	-	19	19
	2008	-	19	19	-	206	206	-	9	9
	2009	-	67	67	-	203	203	-	33	33
	2010	-	51	51	-	202	202	-	25	25
	2011	-	41	41	-	202	202	-	20	20
	2007-11 average	-	44	44	-	204	204	-	21	21
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-	7	7	-	1	1	-	6	6

Slight casualties, estimated total volume of traffic, and slight casualty rate, by council and road type Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages and 2002 to 2011

		Slight casualties		Estimat traffic	ted total vo (million ve	olume of eh-km)	Slig (per 10	ht casualty 0 million v	v rate veh-km)	
		Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads
Eilean Siar	2004-08 average	-	55	55	-	197	197	-	28	28
	2002	-	57	57	-	179	179	-	32	32
	2003	-	65	65	-	186	186	-	35	35
	2004	-	46	46	-	186	186	-	25	25
	2005	-	49	49	-	176	176	-	28	28
	2006	-	53	53	-	208	208	-	25	25
	2007	-	48	48	-	209	209	-	23	23
	2008	-	79	79	-	205	205	-	39	39
	2009	-	42	42	-	206	206	-	20	20
	2010	-	43	43	-	203	203	-	21	21
	2011	-	33	33	-	202	202	-	16	16
	2007-11 average	-	49	49	-	205	205	-	24	24
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-	-40	-40	-	3	3	-	-42	-42
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-	-11	-11	-	4	4	-	-14	-14
Aberdeen City *	2004-08 average	52	357	409	275	1,109	1,384	19	32	30
	2002	42	375	417	268	1,064	1,333	16	35	31
	2003	51	315	366	281	1,072	1,353	18	29	27
	2004	52	296	348	286	1,081	1,367	18	27	25
	2005	53	393	446	275	1,081	1,357	19	36	33
	2006	43	355	398	286	1,141	1,427	15	31	28
	2007	54	341	395	265	1,126	1,391	20	30	28
	2008	57	400	457	264	1,115	1,379	22	36	33
	2009	52	360	412	253	1,075	1,329	21	33	31
	2010	53	272	325	255	1,053	1,308	21	26	25
	2011	44	260	304	258	1,039	1,297	17	25	23
	2007-11 average	52	327	379	259	1,082	1,341	20	30	28
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-15	-27	-26	-6	-6	-6	-9	-22	-21
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	0	-9	-7	-6	-2	-3	7	-6	-4
Aberdeenshire *	2004-08 average	120	504	625	843	1,928	2,771	14	26	23
	2002	112	521	633	825	1,809	2,634	14	29	24
	2003	109	463	572	852	1,836	2,688	13	25	21
	2004	115	474	589	847	1,836	2,683	14	26	22
	2005	135	522	657	844	1,852	2,697	16	28	24
	2006	114	491	605	866	1,964	2,830	13	25	21
	2007	114	520	634	840	1,993	2,834	14	26	22
	2008	123	515	638	820	1,994	2,814	15	26	23
	2009	123	538	661	829	1,933	2,762	15	28	24
	2010	116	450	566	822	1,894	2,716	14	24	21
	2011	82	380	462	824	1,859	2,683	10	20	17
	2007-11 average	112	481	592	827	1,935	2,762	13	25	21
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-32	-25	-26	-2	-4	-3	-30	-22	-24
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-7	-5	-5	-2	0	-0	-5	-5	-5

* Grampian police force data underwent a data quality review from 2007 onwards. Data prior to that may not be comparable.

Slight casualties, estimated total volume of traffic, and slight casualty rate, by council and road type Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages and 2002 to 2011

		Slig	ght casual	ties	Estimat traffic	ed total vo (million ve	olume of eh-km)	Slig (per 10	ht casualty 0 million v	v rate eh-km)
		Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads
Moray *	2004-08 average	49	133	182	277	453	729	18	29	25
	2002	41	129	170	281	422	703	15	31	24
	2003	58	155	213	278	428	706	21	36	30
	2004	57	128	185	280	434	715	20	29	26
	2005	59	131	190	283	438	722	21	30	26
	2006	55	129	184	270	457	727	20	28	25
	2007	34	138	172	277	466	743	12	30	23
	2008	38	140	178	272	467	739	14	30	24
	2009	59	164	223	269	460	729	22	36	31
	2010	36	97	133	263	451	714	14	22	19
	2011	30	106	136	264	444	708	11	24	19
	2007-11 average	39	129	168	269	458	727	15	28	23
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-38	-20	-25	-4	-2	-3	-35	-19	-23
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-19	-3	-7	-3	1	-0	-17	-4	-7
Dundee City	2004-08 average	37	247	284	185	701	885	20	35	32
	2002	41	358	399	171	680	852	24	53	47
	2003	38	298	336	173	678	850	22	44	40
	2004	34	292	326	186	679	866	18	43	38
	2005	38	223	261	184	685	869	21	33	30
	2006	44	274	318	187	698	885	24	39	36
	2007	29	229	258	187	719	906	16	32	28
	2008	38	219	257	179	722	902	21	30	29
	2009	22	251	273	182	703	885	12	36	31
	2010	24	184	208	180	687	867	13	27	24
	2011	22	221	243	178	688	865	12	32	28
	2007-11 average	27	221	248	181	704	885	15	31	28
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-40	-11	-14	-4	-2	-2	-38	-9	-12
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-26	-11	-13	-2	0	-0	-25	-11	-13
Angus	2004-08 average	38	268	306	318	728	1,046	12	37	29
	2002	41	365	406	298	680	978	14	54	42
	2003	18	255	273	293	690	983	6	37	28
	2004	55	264	319	300	695	995	18	38	32
	2005	41	294	335	292	704	996	14	42	34
	2006	32	254	286	341	734	1,076	9	35	27
	2007	35	270	305	319	747	1,066	11	36	29
	2008	25	260	285	339	758	1,097	7	34	26
	2009	38	203	241	334	752	1,086	11	27	22
	2010	34	153	187	346	740	1,086	10	21	17
	2011	30	198	228	344	731	1,076	9	27	21
	2007-11 average	32	217	249	336	746	1,082	10	29	23
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-20	-26	-25	8	1	3	-26	-27	-28
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-14	-19	-19	6	2	3	-18	-21	-21

* Grampian police force data underwent a data quality review from 2007 onwards. Data prior to that may not be comparable.

Slight casualties, estimated total volume of traffic, and slight casualty rate, by council and road type Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages and 2002 to 2011

		Slig	ght casual	ties	Estimat traffic	ed total vo (million ve	olume of eh-km)	Sligl (per 10	ht casualty 0 million v	rate eh-km)	
		Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	
Perth & Kinross	2004-08 average	124	269	393	1,357	950	2,307	9	28	17	
	2002	100	337	437	1,339	896	2,235	7	38	20	
	2003	150	319	469	1,296	927	2,223	12	34	21	
	2004	124	318	442	1,336	931	2,267	9	34	19	
	2005	143	267	410	1,345	928	2,273	11	29	18	
	2006	107	273	380	1,381	960	2,340	8	28	16	
	2007	128	246	374	1,379	972	2,351	9	25	16	
	2008	116	242	358	1,345	958	2,303	9	25	16	
	2009	148	255	403	1,332	960	2,292	11	27	18	
	2010	118	233	351	1,299	945	2,244	9	25	16	
	2011	101	191	292	1,324	933	2,257	8	20	13	
	2007-11 average	122	233	356	1,336	954	2,289	9	24	16	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-18	-29	-26	-2	-2	-2	-16	-28	-24	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-1	-13	-9	-2	0	-1	0	-14	-9	
Fife	2004-08 average	88	607	695	863	1,984	2,847	10	31	24	
	2002	128	674	802	824	1,887	2,712	16	36	30	
	2003	110	690	800	837	1,906	2,743	13	36	29	
	2004	90	708	798	866	1,939	2,805	10	37	28	
	2005	97	645	742	822	1,949	2,770	12	33	27	
	2006	94	607	701	870	1,987	2,856	11	31	25	
	2007	74	555	629	889	2,022	2,911	8	27	22	
	2008	84	520	604	868	2,023	2,891	10	26	21	
	2009	80	566	646	879	2,015	2,894	9	28	22	
	2010	84	509	593	848	2,000	2,848	10	25	21	
	2011	68	426	494	839	2,000	2,839	8	21	17	
	2007-11 average	78	515	593	865	2,012	2,876	9	26	21	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-23	-30	-29	-3	1	-0	-20	-30	-29	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-11	-15	-15	0	1	1	-11	-16	-16	
Edinburgh, City of	2004-08 average	101	1,376	1,477	691	2,296	2,986	15	60	49	
	2002	74	1,683	1,757	651	2,250	2,901	11	75	61	
	2003	80	1,493	1,573	670	2,260	2,929	12	66	54	
	2004	88	1,536	1,624	683	2,289	2,972	13	67	55	
	2005	85	1,420	1,505	688	2,285	2,973	12	62	51	
	2006	119	1,398	1,517	682	2,306	2,988	17	61	51	
	2007	98	1,302	1,400	714	2,326	3,040	14	56	46	
	2008	113	1,224	1,337	686	2,271	2,957	16	54	45	
	2009	92	1,162	1,254	725	2,253	2,978	13	52	42	
	2010	103	1,155	1,258	677	2,207	2,885	15	52	44	
	2011	68	1,127	1,195	712	2,190	2,902	10	51	41	
	2007-11 average	95	1,194	1,289	703	2,249	2,952	13	53	44	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-32	-18	-19	3	-5	-3	-34	-14	-17	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-6	-13	-13	2	-2	-1	-7	-11	-12	
		Slig	ght casual	ties	Estimat traffic	ted total vo (million ve	olume of eh-km)	Slight casualty rate (per 100 million veh-km)			
--------------	---------------------	----------------	-------------------------------	--------------	--------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------	--	-------------------------------	--------------	--
		Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	
West Lothian	2004-08 average	47	525	572	689	1,033	1,721	7	51	33	
	2002	39	556	595	632	976	1,608	6	57	37	
	2003	63	516	579	658	989	1,647	10	52	35	
	2004	54	531	585	675	1,013	1,688	8	52	35	
	2005	43	517	560	687	1,015	1,702	6	51	33	
	2006	51	566	617	682	1,031	1,713	7	55	36	
	2007	43	474	517	688	1,055	1,742	6	45	30	
	2008	45	535	580	711	1,051	1,761	6	51	33	
	2009	35	487	522	700	1,046	1,747	5	47	30	
	2010	34	410	444	682	1,034	1,716	5	40	26	
	2011	56	376	432	675	1,042	1,717	8	36	25	
	2007-11 average	43	456	499	691	1,046	1,737	6	44	29	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	19	-28	-24	-2	1	-0	21	-29	-24	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-10	-13	-13	0	1	1	-10	-14	-14	
Midlothian	2004-08 average	38	214	252	141	497	638	27	43	40	
	2002	48	210	258	142	469	611	34	45	42	
	2003	55	249	304	142	476	618	39	52	49	
	2004	45	226	271	141	482	624	32	47	43	
	2005	22	228	250	141	486	627	16	47	40	
	2006	51	221	272	142	498	640	36	44	42	
	2007	25	188	213	142	507	649	18	37	33	
	2008	49	207	256	140	509	649	35	41	39	
	2009	31	211	242	141	520	661	22	41	37	
	2010	34	199	233	135	517	652	25	39	36	
	2011	29	165	194	136	517	653	21	32	30	
	2007-11 average	34	194	228	139	514	653	24	38	35	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-24	-23	-23	-3	4	2	-22	-26	-25	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-12	-9	-10	-2	4	2	-11	-12	-12	
East Lothian	2004-08 average	37	190	227	382	493	875	10	39	26	
	2002	56	216	272	324	463	787	17	47	35	
	2003	33	214	247	344	464	808	10	46	31	
	2004	36	206	242	361	473	834	10	44	29	
	2005	38	191	229	378	478	856	10	40	27	
	2006	35	192	227	390	499	889	9	38	26	
	2007	42	179	221	409	509	918	10	35	24	
	2008	34	184	218	372	508	880	9	36	25	
	2009	24	159	183	359	503	862	7	32	21	
	2010	35	175	210	354	501	855	10	35	25	
	2011	31	146	177	355	498	852	9	29	21	
	2007-11 average	33	169	202	370	504	873	9	33	23	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-16	-23	-22	-7	1	-3	-10	-24	-20	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-10	-11	-11	-3	2	-0	-7	-13	-11	

		Slig	ght casual	ties	Estimat traffic	ed total vo (million ve	olume of eh-km)	Slight casualty rate (per 100 million veh-km)			
		Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	
Scottish Borders	2004-08 average	98	351	449	393	796	1,189	25	44	38	
	2002	77	429	506	379	752	1,131	20	57	45	
	2003	80	434	514	386	768	1,154	21	57	45	
	2004	110	430	540	389	777	1,166	28	55	46	
	2005	95	406	501	392	776	1,168	24	52	43	
	2006	95	326	421	400	801	1,201	24	41	35	
	2007	79	276	355	400	812	1,212	20	34	29	
	2008	111	319	430	383	813	1,196	29	39	36	
	2009	100	301	401	390	808	1,198	26	37	33	
	2010	71	232	303	382	798	1,180	19	29	26	
	2011	59	239	298	388	792	1,180	15	30	25	
	2007-11 average	84	273	357	389	805	1,193	22	34	30	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-40	-32	-34	-1	-0	-1	-39	-32	-33	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-14	-22	-20	-1	1	0	-13	-23	-21	
Clackmannanshire	2004-08 average	-	95	95	-	306	306	-	31	31	
	2002	-	90	90	-	291	291	-	31	31	
	2003	1	111	112	-	290	290	-	38	39	
	2004	-	90	90	-	294	294	-	31	31	
	2005	-	97	97	-	297	297	-	33	33	
	2006	-	103	103	-	307	307	-	34	34	
	2007	-	99	99	-	313	313	-	32	32	
	2008	-	85	85	-	317	317	-	27	27	
	2009	-	80	80	-	331	331	-	24	24	
	2010	-	70	70	-	328	328	-	21	21	
	2011	3	73	76	-	327	327	-	22	23	
	2007-11 average	1	81	82	-	323	323	-	25	25	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-	-23	-20	-	7	7	-	-28	-25	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-	-14	-14	-	6	6	-	-19	-18	
Stirling	2004-08 average	72	231	303	489	727	1,216	15	32	25	
	2002	76	222	298	442	679	1,121	17	33	27	
	2003	98	241	339	457	693	1,149	21	35	29	
	2004	66	234	300	459	699	1,158	14	33	26	
	2005	57	200	257	466	709	1,175	12	28	22	
	2006	80	262	342	501	736	1,237	16	36	28	
	2007	65	251	316	513	749	1,262	13	33	25	
	2008	91	210	301	505	743	1,248	18	28	24	
	2009	64	209	273	499	735	1,234	13	28	22	
	2010	65	184	249	481	732	1,213	14	25	21	
	2011	63	168	231	478	720	1,198	13	23	19	
	2007-11 average	70	204	274	495	736	1,231	14	28	22	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-12	-27	-24	-2	-1	-1	-10	-27	-23	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-3	-12	-10	1	1	1	-4	-13	-11	

		Sli	ght casual	ties	Estimated total volume of traffic (million veh-km)			Slight casualty rate (per 100 million veh-km)			
		Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	
Falkirk	2004-08 average	29	300	329	555	927	1,482	5	32	22	
	2002	38	310	348	503	877	1,380	8	35	25	
	2003	42	315	357	503	887	1,390	8	36	26	
	2004	31	310	341	542	897	1,439	6	35	24	
	2005	25	310	335	534	902	1,436	5	34	23	
	2006	32	284	316	560	931	1,492	6	30	21	
	2007	30	297	327	571	953	1,524	5	31	21	
	2008	27	301	328	567	950	1,517	5	32	22	
	2009	27	310	337	550	955	1,505	5	32	22	
	2010	22	233	255	531	949	1,479	4	25	17	
	2011	25	266	291	537	952	1,489	5	28	20	
	2007-11 average	26	281	308	551	952	1,503	5	30	20	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-14	-11	-12	-3	3	0	-11	-14	-12	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-10	-6	-7	-1	3	1	-9	-9	-8	
Glasgow City	2004-08 average	196	1,837	2,033	1,330	2,130	3,459	15	86	59	
	2002	210	2,072	2,282	1,214	2,078	3,293	17	100	69	
	2003	155	2,077	2,232	1,206	2,091	3,296	13	99	68	
	2004	220	2,098	2,318	1,277	2,107	3,384	17	100	68	
	2005	187	2,059	2,246	1,300	2,117	3,417	14	97	66	
	2006	190	1,821	2,011	1,330	2,130	3,460	14	85	58	
	2007	180	1,737	1,917	1,349	2,159	3,508	13	80	55	
	2008	205	1,469	1,674	1,391	2,135	3,527	15	69	47	
	2009	162	1,476	1,638	1,385	2,100	3,485	12	70	47	
	2010	220	1,252	1,472	1,370	2,053	3,423	16	61	43	
	2011	162	1,226	1,388	1,397	2,039	3,435	12	60	40	
	2007-11 average	186	1,432	1,618	1,378	2,097	3,475	13	68	47	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-18	-33	-32	5	-4	-1	-21	-30	-31	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-5	-22	-20	4	-2	0	-9	-21	-21	
Argyll & Bute	2004-08 average	139	189	328	354	538	892	39	35	37	
	2002	121	205	326	349	515	864	35	40	38	
	2003	114	222	336	344	527	871	33	42	39	
	2004	140	182	322	353	526	879	40	35	37	
	2005	141	232	373	344	515	858	41	45	43	
	2006	141	191	332	360	551	911	39	35	36	
	2007	127	175	302	358	552	910	35	32	33	
	2008	146	166	312	356	548	904	41	30	35	
	2009	138	171	309	359	541	900	38	32	34	
	2010	132	183	315	352	532	884	37	34	36	
	2011	121	132	253	353	526	879	34	25	29	
	2007-11 average	133	165	298	356	540	895	37	31	33	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-13	-30	-23	-0	-2	-1	-13	-29	-22	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-4	-13	-9	0	0	0	-5	-13	-9	

		Slig	ght casual	ties	Estimat traffic	ed total vo (million ve	olume of eh-km)	Slight casualty rate (per 100 million veh-km)			
		Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	
West Dunbartonshire	2004-08 average	40	192	232	193	431	624	21	44	37	
	2002	45	204	249	191	411	601	24	50	41	
	2003	45	209	254	188	415	604	24	50	42	
	2004	47	238	285	191	418	608	25	57	47	
	2005	51	202	253	195	425	620	26	47	41	
	2006	40	212	252	199	436	635	20	49	40	
	2007	32	189	221	189	439	629	17	43	35	
	2008	32	117	149	191	439	630	17	27	24	
	2009	48	138	186	209	438	646	23	32	29	
	2010	28	147	175	204	429	634	14	34	28	
	2011	35	119	154	205	431	637	17	28	24	
	2007-11 average	35	142	177	200	435	635	18	33	28	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-13	-38	-34	6	-0	2	-19	-38	-35	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-13	-26	-24	4	1	2	-16	-27	-25	
East Dunbartonshire	2004-08 average	-	194	194	-	545	545	-	36	36	
	2002	-	253	253	-	532	532	-	48	48	
	2003	-	201	201	-	536	536	-	37	37	
	2004	-	215	215	-	540	540	-	40	40	
	2005	-	225	225	-	537	537	-	42	42	
	2006	-	210	210	-	545	545	-	39	39	
	2007	-	160	160	-	556	556	-	29	29	
	2008	-	159	159	-	547	547	-	29	29	
	2009	-	162	162	-	547	547	-	30	30	
	2010	-	156	156	-	534	534	-	29	29	
	2011	-	162	162	-	533	533	-	30	30	
	2007-11 average	-	160	160	-	543	543	-	29	29	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-	-16	-16	-	-2	-2	-	-15	-15	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-	-18	-18	-	-0	-0	-	-17	-17	
Inverclyde	2004-08 average	53	166	219	78	460	538	67	36	41	
	2002	74	172	246	74	442	516	100	39	48	
	2003	71	211	282	76	444	520	94	48	54	
	2004	72	153	225	80	455	535	90	34	42	
	2005	43	144	187	78	452	530	55	32	35	
	2006	40	190	230	80	460	539	50	41	43	
	2007	57	173	230	78	468	545	73	37	42	
	2008	52	169	221	76	465	541	68	36	41	
	2009	30	124	154	75	458	533	40	27	29	
	2010	37	146	183	72	447	519	51	33	35	
	2011	49	132	181	72	443	515	68	30	35	
	2007-11 average	45	149	194	75	456	531	60	33	37	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-7	-20	-17	-9	-4	-4	1	-17	-14	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-15	-10	-11	-5	-1	-1	-10	-10	-10	

		Slig	ght casual	ties	Estimat traffic	ed total vo (million ve	olume of eh-km)	Slight casualty rate (per 100 million veh-km)			
		Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	
Renfrewshire	2004-08 average	86	403	489	622	754	1,376	14	53	36	
	2002	103	437	540	551	718	1,269	19	61	43	
	2003	93	491	584	590	727	1,316	16	68	44	
	2004	110	441	551	611	734	1,345	18	60	41	
	2005	92	442	534	616	741	1,357	15	60	39	
	2006	85	410	495	627	755	1,382	14	54	36	
	2007	76	406	482	620	769	1,389	12	53	35	
	2008	68	317	385	639	769	1,408	11	41	27	
	2009	57	267	324	628	755	1,382	9	35	23	
	2010	60	290	350	611	748	1,359	10	39	26	
	2011	73	351	424	616	745	1,362	12	47	31	
	2007-11 average	67	326	393	623	757	1,380	11	43	28	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-15	-13	-13	-1	-1	-1	-14	-12	-12	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-23	-19	-20	0	0	0	-23	-19	-20	
East Renfrewshire	2004-08 average	11	128	139	149	542	691	7	24	20	
	2002	13	133	146	116	494	610	11	27	24	
	2003	15	168	183	118	494	612	13	34	30	
	2004	15	153	168	124	500	624	12	31	27	
	2005	10	135	145	116	497	613	9	27	24	
	2006	7	139	146	154	565	719	5	25	20	
	2007	8	121	129	177	571	747	5	21	17	
	2008	15	92	107	175	577	752	9	16	14	
	2009	11	92	103	181	568	749	6	16	14	
	2010	11	85	96	172	558	730	6	15	13	
	2011	13	127	140	208	549	757	6	23	18	
	2007-11 average	12	103	115	183	564	747	6	18	15	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	18	-1	1	39	1	10	-15	-2	-8	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	5	-19	-17	22	4	8	-14	-22	-23	
North Lanarkshire	2004-08 average	109	785	894	1,138	1,867	3,005	10	42	30	
	2002	144	820	964	1,096	1,807	2,903	13	45	33	
	2003	139	818	957	1,100	1,812	2,911	13	45	33	
	2004	114	865	979	1,134	1,833	2,968	10	47	33	
	2005	113	818	931	1,133	1,831	2,964	10	45	31	
	2006	130	801	931	1,114	1,869	2,983	12	43	31	
	2007	104	783	887	1,143	1,906	3,049	9	41	29	
	2008	82	658	740	1,166	1,894	3,060	7	35	24	
	2009	101	675	776	1,154	1,871	3,025	9	36	26	
	2010	77	606	683	1,161	1,840	3,001	7	33	23	
	2011	77	600	677	1,129	1,829	2,959	7	33	23	
	2007-11 average	88	664	753	1,151	1,868	3,019	8	36	25	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-29	-24	-24	-1	-2	-2	-29	-22	-23	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-19	-15	-16	1	0	0	-20	-15	-16	

		Slig	ght casual	ties	Estimat traffic	ed total vo (million ve	olume of eh-km)	Slight casualty rate (per 100 million veh-km)			
		Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	
South Lanarkshire	2004-08 average	168	655	823	1,131	1,281	2,412	15	51	34	
	2002	192	810	1,002	977	1,223	2,200	20	66	46	
	2003	151	780	931	1,088	1,206	2,294	14	65	41	
	2004	185	748	933	1,121	1,223	2,343	17	61	40	
	2005	158	668	826	1,095	1,240	2,335	14	54	35	
	2006	153	670	823	1,142	1,311	2,453	13	51	34	
	2007	189	619	808	1,130	1,333	2,462	17	46	33	
	2008	154	572	726	1,169	1,298	2,468	13	44	29	
	2009	116	505	621	1,197	1,294	2,491	10	39	25	
	2010	110	500	610	1,162	1,282	2,444	9	39	25	
	2011	93	488	581	1,163	1,273	2,436	8	38	24	
	2007-11 average	132	537	669	1,164	1,296	2,460	11	41	27	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-45	-26	-29	3	-1	1	-46	-25	-30	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-21	-18	-19	3	1	2	-23	-19	-20	
North Ayrshire	2004-08 average	77	239	316	305	459	764	25	52	41	
	2002	105	240	345	248	451	699	42	53	49	
	2003	97	265	362	256	453	709	38	59	51	
	2004	98	306	404	272	461	733	36	66	55	
	2005	67	264	331	276	445	720	24	59	46	
	2006	82	216	298	319	463	781	26	47	38	
	2007	73	231	304	326	466	792	22	50	38	
	2008	65	180	245	330	462	792	20	39	31	
	2009	68	178	246	326	456	782	21	39	31	
	2010	55	145	200	318	452	770	17	32	26	
	2011	65	173	238	317	450	766	21	38	31	
	2007-11 average	65	181	247	323	457	780	20	40	32	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-16	-28	-25	4	-2	0	-19	-26	-25	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-15	-24	-22	6	-0	2	-20	-24	-24	
East Ayrshire	2004-08 average	39	235	274	353	668	1,021	11	35	27	
	2002	52	291	343	339	623	962	15	47	36	
	2003	57	263	320	357	625	982	16	42	33	
	2004	52	252	304	363	633	997	14	40	30	
	2005	26	250	276	312	639	951	8	39	29	
	2006	33	247	280	361	702	1,062	9	35	26	
	2007	48	234	282	372	686	1,057	13	34	27	
	2008	35	194	229	357	682	1,039	10	28	22	
	2009	49	188	237	364	672	1,037	13	28	23	
	2010	44	171	215	355	665	1,020	12	26	21	
	2011	32	187	219	354	660	1,014	9	28	22	
	2007-11 average	42	195	236	360	673	1,033	12	29	23	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-18	-21	-20	0	-1	-1	-18	-20	-20	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	7	-17	-14	2	1	1	5	-18	-15	

		Sli	ght casual	ties	Estimat traffic	ed total vo (million ve	olume of eh-km)	Slight casualty rate (per 100 million veh-km)			
		Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	Trunk roads	Local Author-i ty roads	All roads	
South Ayrshire	2004-08 average	70	221	292	389	590	979	18	37	30	
	2002	93	256	349	376	565	941	25	45	37	
	2003	116	243	359	401	567	968	29	43	37	
	2004	63	243	306	398	573	971	16	42	32	
	2005	103	231	334	385	576	962	27	40	35	
	2006	67	236	303	387	595	981	17	40	31	
	2007	78	218	296	393	600	992	20	36	30	
	2008	41	178	219	379	607	987	11	29	22	
	2009	87	217	304	381	602	983	23	36	31	
	2010	51	160	211	384	595	979	13	27	22	
	2011	55	190	245	384	590	974	14	32	25	
	2007-11 average	62	193	255	384	599	983	16	32	26	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-22	-14	-16	-1	0	-0	-21	-14	-16	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-11	-13	-13	-1	1	0	-10	-14	-13	
Dumfries & Galloway	2004-08 average	175	304	480	1,267	705	1,972	14	43	24	
	2002	159	300	459	1,260	660	1,920	13	45	24	
	2003	165	302	467	1,230	672	1,902	13	45	25	
	2004	173	292	465	1,236	685	1,920	14	43	24	
	2005	208	341	549	1,258	686	1,944	17	50	28	
	2006	159	314	473	1,241	711	1,952	13	44	24	
	2007	176	298	474	1,299	723	2,021	14	41	23	
	2008	161	276	437	1,302	719	2,021	12	38	22	
	2009	147	256	403	1,290	708	1,998	11	36	20	
	2010	118	269	387	1,274	700	1,974	9	38	20	
	2011	113	217	330	1,270	693	1,963	9	31	17	
	2007-11 average	143	263	406	1,287	709	1,996	11	37	20	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-36	-29	-31	0	-2	-0	-36	-27	-31	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-18	-13	-15	2	1	1	-20	-14	-16	
Scotland	2004-08 average	2,478	11,722	14,200	16,262	27,474	43,736	15	43	32	
	2002	2,554	13,188	15,742	15,335	26,200	41,535	17	50	38	
	2003	2,595	12,868	15,463	15,599	26,439	42,038	17	49	37	
	2004	2,676	12,752	15,428	15,976	26,729	42,705	17	48	36	
	2005	2,511	12,422	14,933	15,906	26,811	42,718	16	46	35	
	2006	2,434	11,886	14,320	16,375	27,745	44,119	15	43	32	
	2007	2,407	11,165	13,572	16,548	28,118	44,666	15	40	30	
	2008	2,360	10,386	12,746	16,504	27,966	44,470	14	37	29	
	2009	2,315	10,224	12,539	16,546	27,673	44,219	14	37	28	
	2010	2,094	9,068	11,162	16,222	27,266	43,488	13	33	26	
	2011	1,862	8,847	10,709	16,313	27,077	43,390	11	33	25	
	2007-11 average	2,208	9,938	12,146	16,427	27,620	44,047	13	36	28	
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-25	-25	-25	0	-1	-1	-25	-23	-24	
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-11	-15	-14	1	1	1	-12	-16	-15	

Killed/seriously injured casualties, estimated total volume of traffic, and slight casualty rate, by force
Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages and 2002 to 2011

All SAIA Child Serious Serious <thserious< th=""> <thserious< <="" th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Traffic estimates</th><th>Slight casualty rate (per 100</th></thserious<></thserious<>								Traffic estimates	Slight casualty rate (per 100
Northern 2004 - 98 average 33 189 2 12 889 3.075 293 2002 27 231 - 34 850 2.948 32 2004 32 235 2 17 941 2.948 32 2005 27 215 - 15 948 2.965 32 2005 27 215 - 15 948 2.965 32 2007 39 172 2 13 865 3,147 225 2009 28 146 2 7 925 3,169 23 2011 22 109 - 13 664 3,117 21 2011 22 103 127 128 4,147 23 2011 23 342 27 225 128 4,665 24 2011 23 341 23 122 128 4,675 2			All Killed	All Serious	Child Killed	Child Serious	Slight casualties	(million veh-km)	million veh-km)
2002 27 231 - 34 850 2.448 292 2003 36 235 2 177 941 2,964 322 2005 27 215 - 115 948 2,992 32 2005 27 215 - 115 948 2,992 32 2006 30 177 2 13 866 3,147 27 2008 37 142 3 66 831 3,145 283 2010 29 120 - 34 664 3,117 21 2007 13 138 1 9 802 3,411 26 2011 22 109 - 33 1664 3,117 2,126 56 th 04-08 av: 011 -33 1,273 3 123 1,212 4,670 24 2002 49 273 3 27 1,215 4,848	Northern	2004-08 average	33	189	2	12	889	3,075	29
2003 36 235 2 17 941 2,985 32 204 32 237 1 16 994 32,985 32 2005 27 215 - 16 948 2,985 32 2006 30 177 3 10 849 3,106 27 2006 37 142 3 6 831 3,147 26 2009 28 146 2 7 925 3,169 29 2010 29 120 - 14 724 3,125 233 2011 22 103 -7 3 660 3,147 26 % ch 04/08 w: 2011 -33 -42 - -75 -25 1 -26 % ch 04/08 w: 2011 -6 273 3 23 1,121 4,863 24 2005 53 264 2 22 1,23 1,47 <td< th=""><td></td><td>2002</td><td>27</td><td>231</td><td>-</td><td>34</td><td>850</td><td>2,948</td><td>29</td></td<>		2002	27	231	-	34	850	2,948	29
2004 32 237 1 16 963 2,995 32 2005 27 215 - 15 948 2,992 32 2006 30 172 2 13 865 3,147 27 2007 39 172 2 13 865 3,147 26 2008 27 142 3 6 831 3,145 26 2010 29 100 - 14 774 3,125 233 2011 22 109 - 3 664 3,117 21 2007-11 average 31 138 1 9 864 2,17 7,25 1 -26 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -27 -22 -28 10 2 12 148 2002 49 273 3 23 1,151 4,487 22 2003 51 279 23 27 </th <td></td> <td>2003</td> <td>36</td> <td>235</td> <td>2</td> <td>17</td> <td>941</td> <td>2,984</td> <td>32</td>		2003	36	235	2	17	941	2,984	32
2005 27 215 15 948 2.992 32 2006 30 178 3 10 849 3.106 27 2007 39 172 2 13 865 3.145 26 2008 37 142 3 68 831 3.145 26 2009 28 146 2 7 925 3.169 29 2010 29 109 - 13 664 3.117 21 2007.11 average 31 138 1 9 802 3.141 26 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -67 -22 -22 20 1.215 4.865 25 2002 49 273 3 23 1.121 4.865 26 2003 51 279 2 33 1.121 4.865 26 2004 44 260 1 30 1.22 4.775		2004	32	237	1	16	953	2,985	32
2006 30 178 3 10 849 3,106 27 2007 39 172 2 13 665 3,147 27 2008 37 146 2 7 925 3,169 29 2010 29 120 - 144 724 3,117 21 2011 22 109 - 3 664 3,117 21 2007-11 average 31 442 - -75 -25 1 -26 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -6 -27 -22 -28 -10 2 -17 Grampian* 2004-08 average 46 227 -22 -28 1,101 4,885 24 2002 49 273 3 27 1,121 4,885 24 2004 444 280 1 30 1,122 4,755 24 2006 62 220 3 27		2005	27	215	-	15	948	2,992	32
2007 39 172 2 13 865 3,147 27 2008 37 142 3 6 831 3,145 26 2009 28 120 - 144 724 3,125 23 2010 29 120 - 3 664 3,117 21 2007-11 average 31 138 1 9 802 3,141 26 2001-11 average 31 -27 -22 -28 -10 2 -12 2004-08 average 46 288 3 27 1,215 4,885 26 2002 49 273 3 23 1,220 4,776 24 2004 44 280 1 30 1,117 4,949 24 2004 62 220 3 27 1,167 4,949 24 2005 53 264 20 25 1,231 4,958 <td></td> <td>2006</td> <td>30</td> <td>178</td> <td>3</td> <td>10</td> <td>849</td> <td>3,106</td> <td>27</td>		2006	30	178	3	10	849	3,106	27
2008 37 142 3 6 831 3,145 26 2009 28 146 2 7 925 3,169 29 2010 29 120 - 14 724 3,125 23 2011 22 109 - -75 364 3,117 21 2007-11 average 31 138 1 9 802 3,141 26 % ch 04-08 average 46 -22 -22 670 1 26 2002 49 273 3 23 1,20 4,670 26 2003 51 279 2 33 1,151 4,765 24 2004 44 280 1 30 1,121 4,984 24 2005 53 264 2 26 1,203 4,775 27 2006 62 220 3 127 1,883 28 23		2007	39	172	2	13	865	3,147	27
2009 28 146 2 7 925 3,169 29 2010 29 120 - 14 724 3,125 23 2011 22 109 - 3 664 3,117 21 2007-11 average 31 138 1 9 802 3,141 26 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -33 -42 - -75 -25 1 -26 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -6 -27 -22 -28 -10 2 -12 2004-08 average 46 288 3 27 1,151 4,765 24 2003 51 279 2 33 1,151 4,765 24 2004 444 280 1 30 1,172 4,984 24 2005 53 264 22 25 1,993 4,775 22 2006 35 413 7 33 1,221		2008	37	142	3	6	831	3,145	26
2010 29 120 - 14 724 3,125 23 2011 22 109 - 3 664 3,117 21 2007-11 average 31 138 1 9 802 3,141 26 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -33 -42 - -75 -25 1 -26 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -6 -27 -22 -28 -10 2 -12 Grampian* 2004-08 average 46 288 3 27 1,215 4,805 24 2003 51 279 2 33 1,51 4,746 24 2004 44 280 1 30 1,151 4,968 24 2005 53 264 2 20 1,201 4,968 24 2007 37 311 - 26 1,494 24 200 27 2006 31 347 1		2009	28	146	2	7	925	3,169	29
2011 22 109 - 3 664 3,117 21 2007-11 average 31 138 1 9 802 3,141 26 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -27 -22 -28 -10 2 -12 Grampian* 2002 49 273 3 23 1,151 4,865 255 2002 49 273 3 23 1,151 4,746 24 2003 51 279 2 33 1,151 4,746 24 2004 44 280 1 30 1,122 4,765 24 2005 53 264 20 25 1,293 4,984 24 2007 37 265 - 20 1,187 4,984 24 2007 37 261 - 26 1,024 4,738 22 2007 37 311 - 26 1,02		2010	29	120	-	14	724	3,125	23
2007-11 average 31 138 1 9 802 3,411 26 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -33 -42 - -75 -25 1 -26 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -27 -22 -28 1.215 4,865 25 2004-08 average 46 288 3 27 1.215 4,867 26 2002 49 273 3 23 1,151 4,746 24 2004 44 280 1 30 1,122 4,765 24 2005 53 264 2 203 1,172 4,984 24 2006 62 220 3 27 1,187 4,982 26 2007 37 265 - 20 1,201 4,982 24 2007 37 311 - 26 1,024 4,829 24 2007 37 313 30 2 2		2011	22	109	-	3	664	3,117	21
% ch 04-08 av: 2011 33 -42 -75 -25 1 -26 % ch 04-08 av: 2711 -6 -27 -22 -28 -10 2 -12 Grampian* 2004-08 average 46 288 3 27 1,215 4,865 25 2002 49 273 3 323 1,151 4,865 24 2003 51 279 2 33 1,151 4,745 24 2004 44 280 1 30 1,122 4,765 24 2005 53 264 2 25 1,293 4,775 27 2006 62 200 3 1,271 4,984 24 2007 37 265 - 20 1,211 4,982 24 2007 33 330 2 26 912 4,829 24 2007 33 330 2 26 912 <td></td> <td>2007-11 average</td> <td>31</td> <td>138</td> <td>1</td> <td>9</td> <td>802</td> <td>3,141</td> <td>26</td>		2007-11 average	31	138	1	9	802	3,141	26
% ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -27 -22 -28 -10 2 -12 Grampian* 2004-08 average 46 288 3 27 1,215 4,885 25 2002 49 273 3 23 1,215 4,885 26 2003 51 279 2 33 1,151 4,746 24 2004 44 280 1 30 1,122 4,765 24 2005 53 264 2 25 1,203 4,775 27 2006 62 220 3 27 1,187 4,984 24 2007 37 265 - 20 1,201 4,968 24 2008 31 347 1 26 1,203 4,932 26 2001 23 312 2 26 902 4,883 23 2011 23 312 24 26 1		% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-33	-42	-	-75	-25	1	-26
Grampian* 2004-08 average 46 288 3 27 1,215 4,885 25 2002 49 273 3 23 1,220 4,670 26 2003 51 279 2 33 1,151 4,765 24 2004 44 280 1 30 1,122 4,765 24 2005 53 264 2 25 1,293 4,775 27 2006 62 220 3 27 1,187 4,984 24 2007 37 265 - 200 1,201 4,968 24 2008 35 413 7 33 1,273 4,932 26 2009 31 347 1 26 1,201 4,829 24 2007 37 311 - 26 1,42 4,689 23 2011 23 33 30 2 26		% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-6	-27	-22	-28	-10	2	-12
2002 49 273 3 23 1,220 4,670 26 2003 51 279 2 33 1,151 4,746 24 2004 44 280 1 30 1,122 4,765 24 2005 53 264 2 25 1,187 4,984 24 2006 62 220 3 27 1,187 4,984 24 2007 37 265 - 20 1,221 4,985 24 2008 35 413 7 33 1,273 4,932 26 2009 31 347 1 26 1,986 4,829 24 2011 23 312 2 26 1,996 4,829 24 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -50 8 -23 -4 -26 -4 -23 2007-11 average 30 277 314 - 50 1,42 <th>Grampian *</th> <th>2004-08 average</th> <th>46</th> <th>288</th> <th>3</th> <th>27</th> <th>1,215</th> <th>4,885</th> <th>25</th>	Grampian *	2004-08 average	46	288	3	27	1,215	4,885	25
2003 51 279 2 33 1,151 4,746 24 2004 44 280 1 30 1,122 4,765 24 2005 53 264 2 25 1,293 4,775 27 2006 62 220 3 27 1,187 4,968 24 2007 37 265 - 20 1,201 4,968 24 2008 35 413 7 33 1,273 4,932 26 2010 37 311 - 26 1,024 4,738 22 2011 23 312 2 26 902 4,688 19 2007-11 average 33 330 2 26 1,139 4,829 24 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -50 8 -23 -4 -26 -4 -23 2007-11 average 30 278 1 33 983 <t< th=""><td></td><td>2002</td><td>49</td><td>273</td><td>3</td><td>23</td><td>1,220</td><td>4,670</td><td>26</td></t<>		2002	49	273	3	23	1,220	4,670	26
2004 44 280 1 30 1,122 4,765 24 2005 53 264 2 25 1,293 4,775 27 2006 62 220 3 27 1,187 4,984 24 2007 37 265 - 20 1,201 4,986 24 2008 35 413 7 33 1,273 4,932 26 2009 31 347 1 26 1,024 4,738 22 2010 37 311 - 26 1,024 4,738 22 2011 23 312 2 26 902 4,688 19 2007-11 average 33 330 2 26 1,139 4,829 24 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -50 8 -23 -4 -26 -4 -23 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -59 14 -23 -3 -6		2003	51	279	2	33	1,151	4,746	24
2005 53 264 2 25 1,293 4,775 27 2006 62 220 3 27 1,187 4,984 24 2007 37 265 - 20 1,201 4,988 24 2008 35 413 7 33 1,273 4,932 26 2009 31 347 1 26 1,296 4,820 27 2010 37 311 - 26 1,024 4,738 22 2011 23 312 2 26 902 4,688 19 2007-11 average 33 300 2 26 1,139 4,629 24 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -50 8 -23 -4 -26 -4 -33 2002 27 314 - 50 1,242 4,065 31 2003 37 283 22 34 1,078 4,128<		2004	44	280	1	30	1,122	4,765	24
2006 62 220 3 27 1,167 4,984 24 2007 37 265 - 20 1,201 4,968 24 2008 35 413 7 33 1,273 4,932 266 2009 31 347 1 26 1,296 4,820 27 2010 37 311 - 26 1,024 4,738 22 2011 23 312 2 26 1,024 4,738 22 20111 23 312 2 26 1,139 4,829 24 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -50 8 -23 -4 -26 -4 -23 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -29 14 -23 -3 -6 -1 -5 Tayside 2004 30 277 314 - 50 1,242 4,065 31 2003 37 283 22 <td< th=""><td></td><td>2005</td><td>53</td><td>264</td><td>2</td><td>25</td><td>1,293</td><td>4,775</td><td>27</td></td<>		2005	53	264	2	25	1,293	4,775	27
2007 37 265 - 20 1,201 4,968 24 2008 35 413 7 33 1,273 4,932 26 2009 31 347 1 26 1,296 4,820 27 2010 37 311 - 26 902 4,688 19 2011 23 312 2 26 902 4,689 19 2007-11 average 33 330 2 26 902 4,689 24 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -50 8 -23 -4 -26 -4 -23 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -29 14 -23 -3 -6 -1 -5 2002 27 314 - 33 983 4,238 23 2003 37 283 22 339 - 44 1,087 4,132 26 2005 29 2777 1 39<		2006	62	220	3	27	1,187	4,984	24
2008 35 413 7 33 1,273 4,932 26 2009 31 347 1 26 1,296 4,820 27 2010 37 311 - 26 1,024 4,738 22 2011 23 312 2 26 902 4,688 19 2007-11 average 33 330 2 26 1,139 4,829 24 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -50 8 -23 -4 -26 -4 -23 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -29 14 -23 -3 -6 -1 -5 Tayside 2004-08 average 30 278 1 33 983 4,238 23 2002 27 314 - 50 1,242 4,065 31 2003 37 283 22 34 1,087 4,128 26 2004 35 339 - 44 </th <td></td> <td>2007</td> <td>37</td> <td>265</td> <td>-</td> <td>20</td> <td>1,201</td> <td>4,968</td> <td>24</td>		2007	37	265	-	20	1,201	4,968	24
2009 31 347 1 26 1,296 4,820 27 2010 37 311 - 26 1,024 4,738 22 2011 23 312 2 26 902 4,688 19 2007-11 average 33 330 2 26 1,139 4,829 24 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -50 8 -23 -4 -26 -4 -23 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -59 74 -23 -3 -6 -1 -5 Tayside 2004-08 average 30 278 1 33 983 4,238 23 2002 27 314 - 50 1,242 4,065 31 2003 37 283 2 34 1,078 4,128 26 2004 35 339 - 44 1,087 4,128 26 2005 29 277 1 39 <td></td> <td>2008</td> <td>35</td> <td>413</td> <td>7</td> <td>33</td> <td>1,273</td> <td>4,932</td> <td>26</td>		2008	35	413	7	33	1,273	4,932	26
2010 37 311 - 26 1,024 4,738 22 2011 23 312 2 26 902 4,688 19 2007-11 average 33 330 2 26 1,139 4,829 24 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -50 8 -23 -4 -26 -4 -23 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -29 14 -23 -3 -6 -1 -5 Tayside 2004-08 average 30 278 1 33 983 4,238 23 2002 27 314 - 50 1,242 4,065 31 2003 37 283 2 34 1,078 4,128 26 2004 35 339 - 44 1,087 4,128 26 2005 29 277 1 39 1,006 4,137 24 2006 21 301 1 37 <td></td> <td>2009</td> <td>31</td> <td>347</td> <td>1</td> <td>26</td> <td>1,296</td> <td>4,820</td> <td>27</td>		2009	31	347	1	26	1,296	4,820	27
2011 23 312 2 26 902 4,688 19 2007-11 average 33 330 2 26 1,139 4,829 24 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -50 8 -23 -4 -26 -4 -23 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -29 14 -23 -3 -6 -1 -55 Tayside 2004-08 average 30 278 1 33 983 4,238 23 2002 27 314 - 50 1,242 4,065 31 2003 37 283 2 34 1,078 4,057 27 2004 35 339 - 44 1,087 4,128 26 2005 29 277 1 39 1,006 4,137 24 2006 21 301 1 37 984 4,302 23 2007 35 234 2 21 <td></td> <td>2010</td> <td>37</td> <td>311</td> <td>-</td> <td>26</td> <td>1,024</td> <td>4,738</td> <td>22</td>		2010	37	311	-	26	1,024	4,738	22
2007-11 average 33 330 2 26 1,139 4,829 24 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -50 8 -23 -4 -26 -4 -23 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -29 14 -23 -3 -6 -1 -5 Tayside 2004-08 average 30 278 1 33 983 4,238 23 2002 27 314 - 50 1,242 4,065 31 2003 37 283 2 34 1,087 4,128 26 2004 35 339 - 44 1,087 4,128 26 2005 29 277 1 39 1,006 4,137 24 2006 21 301 1 37 984 4,302 23 2007 35 234 2 21 937 4,323 22 2008 31 239 2 24		2011	23	312	2	26	902	4,688	19
% ch 04-08 av: 2011 -50 8 -23 -4 -26 -4 -23 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -29 14 -23 -3 -6 -1 -5 Tayside 2004-08 average 30 278 1 33 983 4,238 23 2002 27 314 - 50 1,242 4,065 31 2003 37 283 2 34 1,078 4,057 27 2004 35 339 - 44 1,087 4,128 26 2005 29 277 1 39 1,006 4,137 24 2006 21 301 1 37 984 4,302 23 2007 35 234 2 21 937 4,323 22 2008 31 239 2 24 900 4,301 21 2009 21 234 - 25 <th9< th=""><td></td><td>2007-11 average</td><td>33</td><td>330</td><td>2</td><td>26</td><td>1,139</td><td>4,829</td><td>24</td></th9<>		2007-11 average	33	330	2	26	1,139	4,829	24
% ch 04-08 av: 0711 -29 14 -23 -3 -6 -1 -5 Tayside 2004-08 average 30 278 1 33 983 4,238 23 2002 27 314 - 50 1,242 4,065 31 2003 37 283 2 34 1,078 4,057 27 2004 35 339 - 44 1,087 4,128 26 2005 29 277 1 39 1,006 4,137 24 2006 21 301 1 37 984 4,302 23 2007 35 234 2 21 937 4,323 22 2008 31 239 2 24 900 4,011 21 2009 21 234 - 25 917 4,263 22 2010 30 175 - 20 763		% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-50	8	-23	-4	-26	-4	-23
Tayside2004-08 average302781339834,23823200227314-501,2424,065312003372832341,0784,05727200435339-4441,0874,128262005292771391,0064,137242006213011379844,302232007352342219374,323222008312392249004,30121200921234-259174,26322201030175-207464,197182011251991227634,198182007-11 average282161228534,25620% ch 04-08 av: 2011-17-28-17-33-22-1-22% ch 04-08 av: 2011-6-22-17-32-130-14		% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-29	14	-23	-3	-6	-1	-5
2002 27 314 - 50 1,242 4,065 31 2003 37 283 2 34 1,078 4,057 27 2004 35 339 - 44 1,087 4,128 26 2005 29 277 1 39 1,006 4,137 24 2006 21 301 1 37 984 4,302 23 2007 35 234 2 21 937 4,323 22 2008 31 239 2 24 900 4,301 21 2009 21 234 - 25 917 4,263 22 2009 21 234 - 25 917 4,263 22 2010 30 175 - 20 746 4,197 18 2011 25 199 1 22 763 4,198 18 2007-11 average 28 216 1 22 853 4,256 20 <td>Tayside</td> <td>2004-08 average</td> <td>30</td> <td>278</td> <td>1</td> <td>33</td> <td>983</td> <td>4,238</td> <td>23</td>	Tayside	2004-08 average	30	278	1	33	983	4,238	23
2003372832341,0784,05727200435339-441,0874,128262005292771391,0064,137242006213011379844,302232007352342219374,323222008312392249004,30121200921234-259174,26322201030175-207464,197182011251991227634,198182007-11 average282161228534,25620% ch 04-08 av: 2011-17-28-17-33-22-1-22% ch 04-08 av: 0711-6-22-17-32-130-14		2002	27	314	-	50	1,242	4,065	31
2004 35 339 - 44 1,087 4,128 26 2005 29 277 1 39 1,006 4,137 24 2006 21 301 1 37 984 4,302 23 2007 35 234 2 21 937 4,323 22 2008 31 239 2 24 900 4,301 21 2009 21 234 - 25 917 4,263 22 2010 30 175 - 20 746 4,197 18 2011 25 199 1 22 763 4,198 18 2007-11 average 28 216 1 22 853 4,256 20 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -17 -28 -17 -33 -22 -1 -22 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -22 -17 -32 -13 0 -14		2003	37	283	2	34	1,078	4,057	27
2005 29 277 1 39 1,006 4,137 24 2006 21 301 1 37 984 4,302 23 2007 35 234 2 21 937 4,323 22 2008 31 239 2 24 900 4,301 21 2009 21 234 - 25 917 4,263 22 2010 30 175 - 20 746 4,197 18 2011 25 199 1 22 763 4,198 18 2007-11 average 28 216 1 22 853 4,256 20 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -17 -28 -17 -33 -22 -1 -22 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -22 -17 -32 -13 0 -14		2004	35	339	-	44	1,087	4,128	26
2006 21 301 1 37 984 4,302 23 2007 35 234 2 21 937 4,323 22 2008 31 239 2 24 900 4,301 21 2009 21 234 - 25 917 4,263 22 2010 30 175 - 20 746 4,197 18 2011 25 199 1 22 763 4,198 18 2007-11 average 28 216 1 22 853 4,256 20 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -17 -28 -17 -33 -22 -1 -22 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -22 -17 -32 -13 0 -14		2005	29	277	1	39	1,006	4,137	24
2007 35 234 2 21 937 4,323 22 2008 31 239 2 24 900 4,301 21 2009 21 234 - 25 917 4,263 22 2010 30 175 - 20 746 4,197 18 2011 25 199 1 22 763 4,198 18 2007-11 average 28 216 1 22 853 4,256 20 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -17 -28 -17 -33 -22 -1 -22 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -22 -17 -32 -13 0 -14		2006	21	301	1	37	984	4,302	23
2008 31 239 2 24 900 4,301 21 2009 21 234 - 25 917 4,263 22 2010 30 175 - 20 746 4,197 18 2011 25 199 1 22 763 4,198 18 2007-11 average 28 216 1 22 853 4,256 20 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -17 -28 -17 -33 -22 -1 -22 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -22 -17 -32 -13 0 -14		2007	35	234	2	21	937	4,323	22
2009 21 234 - 25 917 4,263 22 2010 30 175 - 20 746 4,197 18 2011 25 199 1 22 763 4,198 18 2007-11 average 28 216 1 22 853 4,256 20 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -17 -28 -17 -33 -22 -1 -22 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -22 -17 -32 -13 0 -14		2008	31	239	2	24	900	4,301	21
2010 30 175 - 20 746 4,197 18 2011 25 199 1 22 763 4,198 18 2007-11 average 28 216 1 22 853 4,256 20 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -17 -28 -17 -33 -22 -1 -22 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -22 -17 -32 -13 0 -14		2009	21	234	-	25	917	4,263	22
2011 25 199 1 22 763 4,198 18 2007-11 average 28 216 1 22 853 4,256 20 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -17 -28 -17 -33 -22 -1 -22 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -22 -17 -32 -13 0 -14		2010	30	175	-	20	746	4,197	18
2007-11 average 28 216 1 22 853 4,256 20 % ch 04-08 av: 2011 -17 -28 -17 -33 -22 -1 -22 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -22 -17 -32 -13 0 -14		2011	25	199	1	22	763	4,198	18
% ch 04-08 av: 2011 -17 -28 -17 -33 -22 -1 -22 % ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -22 -17 -32 -13 0 -14		2007-11 average	28	216	1	22	853	4,256	20
% ch 04-08 av: 0711 -6 -22 -17 -32 -13 0 -14		% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-17	-28	-17	-33	-22	-1	-22
		% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-6	-22	-17	-32	-13	0	-14

* Grampian police force data underwent a quality review from 2007 onwards. Data prior to that may not be comparable.

Killed/seriously injured casualties, estimated total volume of traffic, and slight casualty rate, by force
Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages and 2002 to 2011

		All Killed	All Serious	Child Killed	Child Serious	Slight casualties	Traffic estimates (million veh-km)	Slight casualty rate (per 100 million veh-km)
Fife	2004-08 average	18	159	2	19	695	2,847	24
	2002	29	249	1	27	802	2,712	30
	2003	18	182	2	20	800	2,743	29
	2004	30	184	5	23	798	2,805	28
	2005	15	172	1	21	742	2,770	27
	2006	19	189	2	26	701	2,856	25
	2007	14	137	-	14	629	2,911	22
	2008	14	114	1	12	604	2,891	21
	2009	6	114	-	20	646	2,894	22
	2010	13	119	-	11	593	2,848	21
	2011	11	92	-	18	494	2,839	17
	2007-11 average	12	115	0	15	593	2,876	21
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-40	-42	-	-6	-29	-0	-29
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-37	-28	-89	-22	-15	1	-16
Lothian & Borders	2004-08 average	38	437	2	54	2,978	7,409	40
	2002	38	498	3	64	3,388	7,037	48
	2003	45	384	-	58	3,217	7,156	45
	2004	35	386	-	47	3,262	7,283	45
	2005	36	521	1	69	3,045	7,326	42
	2006	42	451	3	62	3,054	7,432	41
	2007	41	428	4	47	2,706	7,561	36
	2008	37	400	-	46	2,821	7,444	38
	2009	37	373	-	40	2,602	7,445	35
	2010	18	341	2	40	2,448	7,289	34
	2011	22	349	1	34	2,296	7,304	31
	2007-11 average	31	378	1	41	2,575	7,409	35
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-42	-20	-38	-37	-23	-1	-22
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-19	-13	-13	-24	-14	-0	-14
Central	2004-08 average	15	168	1	20	727	3,003	24
	2002	24	232	-	32	736	2,792	26
	2003	24	228	1	26	808	2,830	29
	2004	17	195	-	19	731	2,891	25
	2005	18	187	-	28	689	2,908	24
	2006	19	148	3	25	761	3,036	25
	2007	8	144	-	11	742	3,099	24
	2008	12	168	2	16	714	3,082	23
	2009	11	123	-	13	690	3,070	22
	2010	7	119	-	10	574	3,020	19
	2011	9	110	-	9	598	3,014	20
	2007-11 average	9	133	0	12	664	3,057	22
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-39	-35	-	-55	-18	0	-18
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-36	-21	-60	-40	-9	2	-10

Killed/seriously injured casualties, estimated total volume of traffic, and slight casualty rate, by force
Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages and 2002 to 2011

		All Killed	All Serious	Child Killed	Child Serious	Slight	Traffic estimates (million veh-km)	Slight casualty rate (per 100 million veh-km)
Strathclvde	2004-08 average	97	958	5	148	6.233	16.307	38
	2002	92	1 322	7	265	7 045	15 390	46
	2003	115	1.259	8	211	7.001	15.620	45
	2004	107	1.046	5	179	7.010	15.927	44
	2005	91	903	5	149	6,661	15,866	42
	2006	96	1,002	10	150	6,311	16,452	38
	2007	95	847	1	130	6,018	16,636	36
	2008	94	994	5	134	5,166	16,653	31
	2009	72	831	2	112	5,060	16,560	31
	2010	69	716	2	98	4,666	16,297	29
	2011	65	620	3	85	4,662	16,268	29
	2007-11 average	79	802	3	112	5,114	16,483	31
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-33	-35	-42	-43	-25	-0	-25
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-18	-16	-50	-25	-18	1	-19
Dumfries & Galloway	2004-08 average	14	127	0	12	480	1,972	24
	2002	18	110	-	18	459	1,920	24
	2003	10	107	-	16	467	1,902	25
	2004	8	99	-	14	465	1,920	24
	2005	17	127	1	11	549	1,944	28
	2006	25	146	-	13	473	1,952	24
	2007	12	158	-	13	474	2,021	23
	2008	10	105	-	8	437	2,021	22
	2009	10	120	-	10	403	1,998	20
	2010	5	67	-	4	387	1,974	20
	2011	9	84	-	6	330	1,963	17
	2007-11 average	9	107	-	8	406	1,996	20
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-38	-34	-	-49	-31	-0	-31
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-36	-16	-	-31	-15	1	-16
Scotland	2004-08 average	292	2,605	15	325	14,200	43,736	32
	2002	304	3,229	14	513	15,742	41,535	38
	2003	336	2,957	17	415	15,463	42,038	37
	2004	308	2,766	12	372	15,428	42,705	36
	2005	286	2,666	11	357	14,933	42,718	35
	2006	314	2,635	25	350	14,320	44,119	32
	2007	281	2,385	9	269	13,572	44,666	30
	2008	270	2,575	20	279	12,746	44,470	29
	2009	216	2,288	5	253	12,539	44,219	28
	2010	208	1,968	4	223	11,162	43,488	26
	2011	186	1,875	7	203	10,709	43,390	25
	2007-11 average	232	2,218	9	245	12,146	44,047	28
	% ch 04-08 av: 2011	-36	-28	-55	-38	-25	-1	-24
	% ch 04-08 av: 0711	-20	-15	-42	-25	-14	1	-15

Reported casualties by severity and quarter Years: 1981 to 2011

							Percentage	difference	from aver	age
	Jan	Apr	Julv	Oct	Total	Average	Jan	Apr	ar Julv	Oct
	to March	to June	to Sept	to Dec	for year	per quarter	to March	to June	to Sept	to Dec
(a) Killed										
4004	454	450	400	004	077	numbers		0	0	percentage
1981	151	156	166	204	5//	169	-11	-8 2	-2	21
1902	155	172	101	193	624	175	-12	-2	ა ვ	10
1903	174	100	178	103	500	150	-19	-10	-3	18
1904	122	155	157	162	602	150	-15	-13	13	8
1986	124	130	154	193	601	150	-17	-13	2	28
1987	116	126	145	169	556	139	-17	-9	4	22
1988	123	117	143	171	554	139	-11	-16	3	23
1989	145	112	148	148	553	138	5	-19	7	7
1990	134	119	137	156	546	137	-2	-13	0	14
1991	104	92	146	149	491	123	-15	-25	19	21
1992	106	113	113	131	463	116	-8	-2	-2	13
1993	100	103	93	103	399	100	0	3	-7	3
1994	88	82	86	107	363	91	-3	-10	-5	18
1995	91	77	125	116	409	102	-11	-25	22	13
1996	86	83	98	90	357	89	-4	-7	10	1
1997	85	91	94	107	377	94	-10	-3	0	14
1998	70	82	127	106	385	96	-27	-15	32	10
1999	82	73	82	73	310	78	6	-6	6	-6
2000	73	65	97	91	326	82	-10	-20	19	12
2001	78	83	106	01 70	348	87	-10	-5 0	22	-/
2002	70	70	97	102	304	70	-14	-0 _1	_1	-0
2003	70	71	80	87	308	77	-17	-4	-1	13
2004	56	64	72	94	286	72	-22	-0		31
2006	64	62	94	94	314	79	-18	-21	20	20
2007	70	66	75	70	281	70	0	-6		0
2008	61	57	76	76	270	68	-10	-16	13	13
2009	61	42	64	49	216	54	13	-22	19	-9
2010	43	42	64	59	208	52	-17	-19	23	13
2011	51	44	47	44	186	47	10	-5	1	-5
(b) Serious	sly injured									
1081	1 850	2 177	2 122	2 301	8 840	2 210	-16	_1	10	8
1982	2 044	2 2 3 9	2,422	2,001	9 260	2,210	-12	-3	7	8
1983	1.641	1.832	2.086	2.074	7.633	1.908	-14	-4	. 9	9
1984	1.584	1.880	2.080	2.183	7.727	1,932	-18	-3	8	13
1985	1,644	1,931	2,258	1,953	7,786	1,947	-16	-1	16	0
1986	1,565	1,763	1,969	2,125	7,422	1,856	-16	-5	6	15
1987	1,376	1,627	1,903	1,801	6,707	1,677	-18	-3	13	7
1988	1,559	1,557	1,851	1,765	6,732	1,683	-7	-7	10	5
1989	1,569	1,590	1,938	1,901	6,998	1,750	-10	-9	11	9
1990	1,446	1,457	1,747	1,602	6,252	1,563	-7	-7	12	2
1991	1,297	1,426	1,509	1,406	5,638	1,410	-8	1	7	0
1992	1,257	1,241	1,343	1,335	5,176	1,294	-3	-4	4	3
1993	1,011	1,020	1,163	1,260	4,454	1,114	-9	-8	4	13
1994	1,195	1,097	1,353	1,563	5,208	1,302	-8	-16	4	20
1995	1,165	1,176	1,390	1,199	4,930	1,233	-5	-5	13	-3
1996	877	973	1,148	1,043	4,041	1,010	-13	-4	14	3
1997	910	973	1,099	1,009	4,047	1,012	-9	-4	9	C o
1990	860	1,040	1,115	1,095	4,072	1,010	-20	-3	10	-2
2000	823	872	955	919	3 568	892	-9	-3	7	-2
2000	799	794	898	919	3 410	853	-6	-7	5	8
2002	693	813	919	804	3 229	807	-14	1	14	0
2003	648	744	787	778	2.957	739	-12	1	6	5
2004	610	704	759	693	2.766	692	-12	2	10	0
2005	560	627	706	773	2,666	667	-16	-6	6	16
2006	523	627	759	726	2,635	659	-21	-5	15	10
2007	575	603	601	606	2,385	596	-4	1	1	2
2008	582	690	648	655	2,575	644	-10	7	1	2
2009	523	612	639	514	2,288	572	-9	7	12	-10
2010	400	527	573	468	1,968	492	-19	7	16	-5
2011	412	495	519	449	1,875	469	-12	6	11	-4

Reported casualties by severity and quarter Years: 1981 to 2011

							Percentage	difference	from aver	age
							per quarter	for that ye	ar	
	Jan	Apr	July	Oct	Total	Average	Jan	Apr	July	Oct
	to March	to June	to Sept	to Dec	for year	per quarter	to March	to June	to Sept	to Dec
(c) All sev	verities									
						numbers				percentage
1981	6,231	7,029	7,813	7,693	28,766	7,192	-13	-2	9	7
1982	6,298	6,933	7,606	7,436	28,273	7,068	-11	-2	8	5
1983	5,384	6,176	6,796	6,868	25,224	6,306	-15	-2	8	9
1984	5,339	6,409	6,890	7,520	26,158	6,540	-18	-2	5	15
1985	5,684	6,623	7,802	7,178	27,287	6,822	-17	-3	14	5
1986	5,745	6,207	6,656	7,509	26,117	6,529	-12	-5	2	15
1987	′ 5,145	5,977	7,013	6,613	24,748	6,187	-17	-3	13	7
1988	5,629	5,808	6,956	7,032	25,425	6,356	-11	-9	9	11
1989	6,255	6,332	7,410	7,535	27,532	6,883	-9	-8	8	9
1990	6,184	6,559	7,360	7,125	27,228	6,807	-9	-4	8	5
1991	5,646	6,114	6,827	6,759	25,346	6,337	-11	-4	8	7
1992	5,886	5,701	6,453	6,133	24,173	6,043	-3	-6	7	1
1993	5,089	5,566	5,910	5,849	22,414	5,604	-9	-1	5	4
1994	5,522	5,164	5,674	6,213	22,573	5,643	-2	-8	1	10
1995	5,172	5,115	5,971	5,936	22,194	5,549	-7	-8	8	7
1996	6 4,519	5,108	5,905	6,184	21,716	5,429	-17	-6	9	14
1997	5,468	5,407	5,740	6,014	22,629	5,657	-3	-4	1	6
1998	5,060	5,419	5,780	6,208	22,467	5,617	-10	-4	3	11
1999	5,129	4,888	5,377	5,608	21,002	5,251	-2	-7	2	7
2000	4,937	4,828	5,116	5,637	20,518	5,130	-4	-6	0	10
2001	4,717	4,796	5,128	5,270	19,911	4,978	-5	-4	3	6
2002	4,527	4,615	5,141	4,992	19,275	4,819	-6	-4	7	4
2003	4,242	4,534	4,969	5,011	18,756	4,689	-10	-3	6	7
2004	4,173	4,635	4,779	4,915	18,502	4,626	-10	0	3	6
2005	4,070	4,315	4,550	4,950	17,885	4,471	-9	-3	2	11
2006	3,895	4,042	4,617	4,715	17,269	4,317	-10	-6	7	9
2007	3,926	4,054	4,131	4,127	16,238	4,060	-3	0	2	2
2008	4,013	3,641	3,946	3,991	15,591	3,898	3	-7	1	2
2009	3,473	3,686	4,091	3,793	15,043	3,761	-8	-2	9	1
2010	3,050	3,230	3,716	3,342	13,338	3,335	-9	-3	11	0
2011	2,941	3,077	3,481	3,271	12,770	3,193	-8	-4	9	2



Reported casualties aged up to 16 who were described as pupils on a journey to or from school¹, by severity and child casualties², by severity Years: 2004-08 and 2007-2011 averages and 1981 to 2011

	Casualties	s who were	described	as pupils	;	Chil	d casualtie	es ⁽²⁾	Casualties	described
	who were	on a journ	ey to or fro	m school	(1)				as pupils .	as a %
	Killed	Seriously	Killed &	Slight	All	Killed	Killed &	All	of all child	casualties
		injured	Serious	injury	Severities		Serious		KSI	All
					number			number		percentage
2004-08 ave.	3	57	60	331	391	15	341	2,019	17.7	19.4
1981	12	286	298	797	1,095	61	1,457	4,863	20.5	22.5
1982	13	308	321	701	1,022	66	1,541	4,717	20.8	21.7
1983	7	316	323	695	1,018	73	1,511	4,861	21.4	20.9
1984	6	259	265	696	961	80	1,523	4,908	17.4	19.6
1985	14	261	275	746	1,021	67	1,522	5,058	18.1	20.2
1986	9	246	255	719	974	65	1,368	4,649	18.6	21.0
1987	2	215	217	633	850	57	1,251	4,465	17.3	19.0
1988	9	183	192	586	778	51	1,222	4,393	15.7	17.7
1989	5	217	222	577	799	44	1,216	4,506	18.3	17.7
1990	5	194	199	610	809	48	1.131	4.611	17.6	17.5
1991	4	173	177	551	728	43	1,021	4,155	17.3	17.5
1992	3	135	138	566	704	41	897	4.047	15.4	17.4
1993	2	108	110	519	629	39	776	3.691	14.2	17.0
1994	4	187	191	639	830	37	1.029	4.163	18.6	19.9
1995	3	142	145	512	657	30	950	3,935	15.3	16.7
1996	2	167	169	481	650	27	790	3.827	21.4	17.0
1997	1	114	115	471	586	26	745	3.798	15.4	15.4
1998	6	104	110	488	598	32	698	3.535	15.8	16.9
1999	4	86	90	508	598	25	625	3.196	14.4	18.7
2000	4	118	122	432	554	21	561	3.000	21.7	18.5
2001	2	103	105	476	581	20	544	2.923	19.3	19.9
2002	2	113	115	452	567	14	527	2.745	21.8	20.7
2003	2	72	74	356	430	17	432	2,480	17.1	17.3
2004	1	78	79	343	422	12	384	2.395	20.6	17.6
2005	2	56	58	403	461	11	368	2,172	15.8	21.2
2006	4	70	74	325	399	25	375	2.022	19.7	19.7
2007	3	44	47	311	358	9	278	1.817	16.9	19.7
2008	5	39	44	271	315	20	299	1.689	14.7	18.7
2009	0	54	54	224	278	5	258	1,473	20.9	18.9
2010	1	47	48	238	286	4	227	1.378	21.1	20.8
2011	0	31	31	219	250	7	210	1.315	14.8	19.0
2007-11 ave.	2	43	45	253	297	9	254	1,534	17.6	19.4

1. This is the definition of "school pupil" casualty used in the road accident statistics returns.

2. Casualties aged 0 to 15, inclusive (the standard definition of "child" for the purpose of road accident statistics). Therefore, these figures do not include any 16 year old casualties who were identified as being pupils on a journey to or from school. so there is a slight inconsistency between the numerator and the denominator used to calculate the percentages.

Table 45

Reported casualties aged up to 16 who were described as pupils on a journey to or from school ¹ by mode of transport

Years: 2004-88 and 2007-2011 averages and 1995 to 2011

			Bus /	Pedal		All
Peo	lestrian	Car	coach	cycle	Other	modes
2004-08 ave.	298	42	26	13	11	391
1995	495	66	41	39	16	657
1996	491	49	70	24	16	650
1997	457	50	55	19	5	586
1998	455	71	55	12	5	598
1999	464	50	62	15	7	598
2000	448	33	55	14	4	554
2001	476	51	37	13	4	581
2002	404	61	69	25	8	567
2003	322	35	39	20	14	430
2004	357	35	15	9	6	422
2005	352	51	22	16	20	461
2006	295	46	33	10	15	399
2007	259	46	26	17	10	358
2008	229	33	36	12	5	315
2009	213	43	10	11	1	278
2010	200	40	22	14	10	286
2011	185	26	21	12	6	250
2007-11 ave	217	38	23	13	6	297

1. This is the definition of "school pupil" casualty used in the road accident statistics returns.

Appendices

Appendix A Calendar of events affecting road traffic

1964-65: Road Traffic Act 1964 – Wider powers for speed limits. Trial 70 mph speed limit on motorway and other previously de-restricted roads. 50 mph speed limit on selected roads during summer.

1967: Seat belts compulsory on new cars – Permanent 70 mph speed limit on all roads. An offence to drink and attempt to drive with over 80 mg of alcohol per 100 ml of blood.

1968-69: Transport Act 1968 allowed regulations on length of drivers' working hours – 3 year old vehicles need test certificate.

1970: New regulations on lorry and PSV drivers' hours of work.

1973: Reorganisation of local government in Scotland, 9 regions and 3 islands areas and 53 districts.

1973-74: Safety helmets compulsory for 2-wheeled motor vehicle users – 50 mph national maximum speed limit, later motorway 70 mph, dual carriageway 60 mph – Vehicle lighting regulations.

1974: Road traffic act 1974 placed a duty on authorities to study road accidents and take measures to prevent them.

1975: Temporary 50 and 60 mph limits extended.

1976: Licensing Scotland Act 1976 – extension of licensing hours until 11pm – effective from 13 December 1976.

1977: 50 and 60 mph limits raised to 60 and 70 mph.

1977: Licensing Scotland Act 1976 – extension of Sunday opening – effective from October 1977.

1978: 60 and 70 mph limits permanent – New rules on maximum hours which may be worked by goods vehicle drivers.

1982: New 2-part motorcycle test from 29 March – Application of 2 year limit on provisional motorcycle licence took effect from 1 October.

1983: Transport Act 1981 introduced evidential breath testing and made seat belt wearing law for drivers and front seat passengers of most cars and light vans. Learner motor cyclists now only allowed to ride machines of up to 125 cc.

1984: Regulations introduced requiring spray reducing devices to be fitted to lorries and trailers.

1985: In December, Scottish Police Authorities introduced a policy of breath testing all drivers in an accident wherever possible.

1986: Deregulation of buses from 26 October 1986 as a result of the Transport Act 1985.

1986: All new cars manufactured from 1 October to be fitted with rear seat belts. Seat belt legislation made permanent. European Road Safety Year.

1987: Legal requirement introduced requiring all newly registered cars to be fitted with rear seat belts or child restraints from 1 April. Government sets a target to achieve a one-third reduction in road accident casualties by the year 2000.

1988: All coaches first used from 1 April 1974 using a motorway must have 70 mph limiters fitted by 1 April 1991.

1989: Penalty points increased for careless driving, driving without insurance and failing to stop after or to report an accident. Seat belt wearing by rear child passengers became law in cars where appropriate restraints have been fitted and are available. Accompanied motorcycle testing became mandatory.

1990: Compulsory basic training for motorcyclists introduced and learner drivers banned from carrying pillion passengers. High Risk Offenders Scheme for problem drink-drivers extended. New regulations requiring those accompanying learner drivers to be at least 21 years old and to have held a licence for 3 years. Scottish Road Safety Year.

1991: Seat belt wearing by rear adult passengers became law in cars where belts are fitted and available. New road hump regulations introduced to reduce traffic speed.

1992: Subsequent to the Road Traffic Act 1991, new road traffic offences and penalties came into force, including retesting of dangerous drivers. The Traffic Calming Act 1992 came into force enabling roads authorities to introduce a wide range of traffic calming measures. Requirement for minimum tread depth of 1.6 mm introduced for cars and light vans. All new goods vehicles over 7.5 tonnes fitted with 60 mph speed limiters.

1993: First speed enforcement cameras introduced in Scotland. The MOT test extended, including new checks on mirrors, windscreen condition, fuel tanks, seat and door security and number plates.

1994: First 20 mph zones introduced in Scotland. Traffic Calming (Scotland) Regulations came into force.

1995: Pass Plus scheme introduced for new drivers which encourages new drivers to take more lessons by offering discount on motor insurance.

1996: Local Government etc. (Scotland) Act 1994 implemented with the creation of 32 unitary authorities replacing the previous regions and districts.

1996: Driving theory test introduced from 1 July for car and motorcycle learners. Road Traffic (New Drivers) Act 1996 – requires newly qualified drivers to retake the driving test if they acquire 6 or more penalty points within 2 years of passing their test – effective from 1 June 1997. Requirement for coaches and minibuses to be fitted with seat belts when carrying children on organised trips, including journeys between home and school – effective from February, 1997. End of concession, where seat belts are fitted, whereby 3 children could share a double seat.

1997: New Zebra, Pelican and Puffin crossing regulations introduced, with Puffin crossings prescribed for the first time.

1998: New Road Humps regulations came into force giving local authorities wider powers to establish road humps.

1999: Amendment to the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 gave local authorities power to introduce traffic calmed 20 mph zones and 20 mph speed limits, with or without traffic calming measures, at suitable locations. Revised Highway Code published.

2000: The Government announced a new road safety strategy and casualty reduction targets for the period to 2010 in "To*morrow's Roads – Safer for Everyone"*. A review of speed policy was conducted and reported in *'New Directions in Speed Management'*.

2001: Amendment to the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 made it clear that school crossing patrols can stop traffic for children of all ages and adults and gave local authorities greater flexibility in the times that school crossing patrols can operate. Scottish Executive awarded nearly £15 million to local authorities for cycling, walking and safer streets projects, including safer routes to school schemes.

2002: New Home Zones (Scotland) Regulations came into force. These set out the procedures local authorities must follow when designating home zones.

2003: Revised guidance on school transport issued to local authorities. Scottish School Travel Advisory Group report published. Scottish Executive provided the funding to implement the report's key recommendation to create school travel co-ordinator posts within each Scottish local authority.

2004: Publication of the first three year review of the GB road safety strategy and casualty reduction targets, set out in "*Tomorrow's Roads – Safer for Everyone*".

2006: Road Safety Act passed. The Act made provision for a wide range of road safety matters, including drink driving, speeding, driver training and driver and vehicle licensing. Revised guidance on setting local speed limits issued to local authorities.

2007: Publication of the second three year review of the GB road safety strategy and casualty reduction targets, set out in "*Tomorrow's Roads – Safer for Everyone*". Publication of DfT Child Road Safety Strategy, which included measures by the Scottish Government to reduce child road casualties.

2008: GB consultation – *Learning to Drive* – published, on changes to the driver training and testing regime. GB consultation on *Road Safety Compliance*, covering speeding, drink driving, seat belts, drug driving and careless driving, published. Consultation on a road safety framework for Scotland published.

2009: Scotland's Road Safety Framework to 2020 published. The Framework sets Scottish specific targets for casualty reductions in the period to 2020, in line with an aspirational vision of a future where no-one is killed on Scotland's roads and the injury rate is greatly reduced.

2009/2010: ACPOS launched a Vehicle Forfeiture Scheme for Drink Drivers. This initiative, first launched as part of the festive campaign and continuing into 2010, uses existing legal powers to forfeit the vehicles of any drivers who are detected with a blood alcohol level greater than the legal limit and who also had a similar conviction in the previous five years or had a case pending for this offence.

2010: Have You Clicked? Year long campaign launched on 19 April. The campaign aims to encourage drivers and passengers in Scotland to put their seatbelt on every time they get in any vehicle. ACPOS agreed that all subsequent police campaigns would feature seatbelts as part of the campaign activity.

2010: 25 years of Road Safety Scotland. 2010 marks the 25th anniversary of Road Safety Scotland (RSS), previously operating as the Scottish Road Safety Campaign (SRSC)

2011: Launch of the United Nations Decade of Action for Road Safety 2011-2020. The Plan provides an overall framework for activities including: building road safety management capacity; improving the safety of road infrastructure and broader transport networks; further developing the safety of vehicles; enhancing the behaviour of road users; and improving post-crash care.

2011: Publication of National Debate on Young Drivers' Safety presenting the findings of a national debate on young driver issues undertaken across Scotland.

2011: Publication of the New Strategic Framework for Road Safety providing clarity to local authorities, road safety professionals and other stakeholders on their roles and responsibilities and setting out the role that the UK Government has in road safety and the measures it intends to take to decrease casualty numbers on Britain's roads.

2012: Devolution of powers from the UK Government to Scottish Ministers in relation to the Drink-Drive aclohol blood limit, and National Speed Limits

2012: Public Consultation launched in Scotland seeking views on reducing the existing blood/alcohol limit of 80mg/100ml to 50 mg/100ml and consequential equivalent reductions in the breath and urine limit.

Appendix B

The collection of road accident statistics, and examples of forms that could be used to collect the data

1. Introduction

This Appendix describes briefly the arrangements for collecting road accident statistics. It then provides examples of paper forms that could be used to collect the data.

2. The collection of road accident statistics

The Road Accident statistics are compiled from returns made by police forces. For each injury road accident known to have occurred in their areas, the police authorities complete a statistical return (named **Stats 19**), which provides details of the accident circumstances, separate information for each vehicle which was involved in the accident, and separate information for each person who was injured in the accident. Examples of the forms appear later and show details collected with effect from 2005, following the implementation of the changes recommended in the 2002 Quality Review (see Appendix C).

The statistical returns cover all accidents in which a vehicle is involved that occur on roads (including footways) and result in death or personal injury, *if they become known to the police*. It should be noted that the vehicle need not be moving, and need not be in collision – for example, the returns include accidents involving people alighting from buses. Road accidents in which no-one is injured (damage only accidents) are *not* covered by this definition, so the Transport Scotland (TS) does not receive details of such accidents, and this publication cannot give any figures for them.

Full guidance on the completion of the Stats 19 statistical returns, including detailed notes and definitions of the coverage of the returns and of the information to be provided in each field, is given in a document produced by the Department for Transport (DfT), called *Instructions for the Completion of Road Accident Reports* (which is also referred to as the **Stats 20**).

The returns for accidents in Scotland are submitted to TS every month by the police authorities, either directly or with the assistance of a local Council. All the returns should first be subject to the validity and consistency checks specified in a document called *Procedures for Submitting Road Accident Data to The Scottish Executive*. (also known as the Scottish Edition of **Stats 21**). TS also applies these checks, and clears any errors that it finds with the police. The returns are added to the TS Transport Statistics branch's database, which contains statistical information about all injury road accidents in Scotland since 1979.

The Transport Statistics branch's records for accidents which occurred on Motorways and A roads are copied to the Trunk Road Network Management Directorate of Transport Scotland, which maintains a database of information about trunk roads. From all the Motorway and A road accidents, the ones which occurred on trunk roads are identified using their road numbers and their grid co-ordinates, and the information about them added onto the Trunk Road Network Management Directorate database. The TS is subsequently informed which of these accidents occurred on trunk roads, and its database is updated accordingly.

Similar returns are made throughout Great Britain. TS sends a copy of the Scottish data to DfT, which holds a database of accident records for the whole of Great Britain.

Copies of the Stats 19 illustrative forms (see below) the Stats 20 and Stats 21 documents, a detailed list of all changes made at the start of 2005, and other documentation are available from the TS Transport Statistics Web site: see Methods and Background at: <u>www.scotland.gov.uk/transtat</u>. Appendix C includes a summary of the changes which were made at the start of 2005.

3. Examples of forms that could be used to collect the road accident statistics data

This Appendix provides examples of paper forms that could have been used to collect the data for the road accident statistics returns. Two types of form are shown:

a. the illustrative Stats 19 form – this shows only the information which is now collected for national statistical purposes;

b. an example of a more sophisticated form, which was developed by Middlesex University – this shows both the information needed for national statistical purposes and examples of the kinds of other details which may be obtained for local use.

In both cases, separate pages are used for information about the Attendant Circumstances, the Vehicles involved and the Casualties. For example, the illustrative Stats 19 form has a separate page for each Vehicle and a separate page for each Casualty. The Middlesex University form can hold details of two Casualties on one page, and details of two Vehicles (side by side) spread over two pages. What is sometimes referred to as an accident book would contain a number of such pages (when an accident involves more vehicles or more casualties than the book allows for, the officer can attach extra pages for the other vehicles and casualties). The Middlesex University form's pages differ in size, so that one can turn quickly to a particular page of the accident book.

In practice, each Police Force uses its own system, which may not involve the use of paper forms. For example, details of an accident may be recorded on a Personal Digital Assistant by an officer at the scene, or the information may be keyed into a computer by the officer or by the clerical staff whom the officer telephones to report the accident. However, some police forces have recorded the information required for statistical purposes using forms which were, for example:

a. based on the illustrative Stats 19, with slight modifications to include boxes to collect additional information for local use, such as codes for the reporting officer, the Police beat on which the accident occurred, and the school attended (if a casualty was a school pupil en route to or from school); or

b. in effect, a data preparation coding form with (e.g.) boxes for all the statistical information about the Attendant Circumstances, up to three Vehicles and up to four Casualties, *and* some information for local use, all on *one* double-sided A4 sheet. Anyone completing such a form would have to refer to a separate document for details of the codes for variables such as Road Class, Type of Vehicle and Pedestrian Location. As well as such forms, the Police Force would, of course, hold other information about the accident (for example, in the officer's notebook, reports and administrative records).

4. The illustrative Stats 19 form (2005 onwards)

The first four pages of forms in this Appendix together make up the illustrative Stats 19 form. As mentioned, this shows only the information that is collected for the national road accident statistics. With the exception of the Contributory Factors, the forms show each variable's reference number (e.g. 1.7 for the Date on the Attendant Circumstance form; 2.5 for the Type of Vehicle on the Vehicle form), which identifies the relevant section in the Stats 20 *Instructions for the Completion of the Road Accident Reports*. A new version of the form is produced following recommendations of each Quality Review. The recommendation from the latest review will be implemented from January 2013.

5. The Middlesex University form (based on the 1999-2004 Stats 19 specification)

The form shown on the remaining pages of this Appendix was developed by Middlesex University, as part of a research project *The Development of Improved Methods for Representing Road Accident Data*, funded by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council. The research objectives included:

a. to define the accident attributes required for the more effective diagnosis and design of accident remedial schemes and to integrate these with the data required for the compilation of national accident statistics;

b. to investigate methods of data collection and to design a police accident report form which includes the required attributes and reflects an intuitive perception of the causes of particular accidents.

The researchers surveyed Police Forces, explored their methods of data collection, assessed the kinds of forms used, identified a number of deficiencies in their design, and developed the form which appears here. This was used on a small-scale trial basis by some officers in eight Police Forces: many found the form easy to complete once they were familiar with it. The researchers concluded that it would be difficult to produce a single form that satisfied the requirements of each police force, but forms based on sound principles of graphic design would be easier to complete and less prone to errors.

The researchers also considered an electronic version of the form for the internet, designed to be independent of platform, relatively easy to produce, and to include data validation and help menus.

The Middlesex University form is based on the Stats 19 specification that applied from 1999 to 2004, therefore does not take account of changes made with from 2005. The form also shows the kinds of information that may be collected for local use (e.g. boxes for the officer to tick to indicate whether the driving licence, insurance certificate are in order).

We are grateful to the researchers for permission to reproduce the form. For further information please contact:

Ken Lupton Transport Management Research Centre Middlesex University, The Burroughs London NW4 4BT e-mail: <u>k.lupton@mdx.ac.uk</u> /www.mdx.ac.uk/www/roadtraffic/welcome.htm

STATS19 (2005)	Accident Record Atter	ndant Circumstances	(For completion by Police)
1.1 Record Type 1 11 New accident record 1 15 Amended accident record 1.2 Police Force 1.3 Accident Reference	1.14 Road Type I 1 Roundabout Image: Construct on the system 2 One way street Image: Construct on the system 3 Dual carriageway Image: Construct on the system 6 Single carriageway Image: Construct on the system 7 Silp road Image: Construct on the system 9 Unknown Image: Construct on the system	1.20a Pedestrian Crossing - Human Control 0 None within 50 metres 1 Control by school crossing patrol 2 Control by other authorised person	1.23 Road Surface Condition
1.5 Number of Vehicle Records	1.15 Speed Limit (mph)	1.20b Pedestrian Crossing - Physical Facilities	1.24 Special Conditions at Site
1.6 Number of Casualty Records	1.16 Junction Detail 00 Not at or within 20 metres of junction 01 Roundabout 02 Mini roundabout 03 T or staggered junction 05 Silp road 06 Crossroads 07 Multiple junction 08 Using private drive or entrance	 0 No physical crossing facility within 50 metres 1 Zebra crossing 4 Pelican, puffin, toucan or similar non- junction pedestrian light crossing 5 Pedestrian phase at traffic signal junction 7 Footbridge or subway 8 Central refuge – no other controls 	0 None 1 Automatic traffic signal out 2 Automatic traffic signal partially defective 3 Permanent road signing or marking defective or obscured 4 Roadworks 5 Road surface defective 6 Oil or diesel 7 Mud
24 hour	09 Other junction	1.21 Light Conditions	1.25 Carriageway Hazards
1.10 Local Authority	Junction Accidents Only 1.17 Junction Control 1 Authorised person 2 Automatic traffic signal 3 stop sign 4 Give way or uncontrolled	Daylight: street lights present Daylight: no street lighting Daylight: street lighting unknown Darkness: street lights present and lit Darkness: street lights present but unlit Darkness: no street lighting Darkness: street lighting	 0 None 1 Dislodged vehicle load in carriageway 2 Other object in carriageway 3 Involvement with previous accident 6 Pedestrian in carriageway – not injured 7 Any animal in carriageway (except ridden horse)
1.12 1st Road Class 1 1 Motorway 2 2 A(M) 3 3 A 4 5 C 6 6 Unclassified 1.13 1st Road Number	1.18 2nd Road Class	1.22 Weather	1.26 Did A Police Officer Attend Accident and Complete Record? 1 Yes 2 No – accident was reported 'over the counter' 1.27 DfT Special Projects

What Factors Contributed To The Accident? 1st

Factor in the accident

Which participant? (eg V001, C001, U000)

Very likely (A) or possible (B)

2nd

3rd

4th

Select up to six Factors from the grid, relevant to the accide	ent.
Factors may be shown in any order, but an indication must whether each Factor is very likely (A) or possible (B).	be given of
Only include factors which have contributed to the accident, include "Poor road surface" unless it was relevant to the acc	(I.e. do NOT cident)
More than one factor may be related to the same road user	
The same factor may be related to more than one road user	r, if appropriate
The participant should be identified by the STATS19 vehicle	or casualty

STATS19 (2005)

reference number, preceded by "to "if factor applies to a vehicle of casuality or the road environment (eg V002), or "C" for a pedestrian or passenger casualty (eg C001). Enter "U000" if an uninjured pedestrian contributed

Road	Vehicle	Dri	ver/Rider Only (In	cludes Pedal Cycli	sts and Horse Ride	ers)	Pedestrian Only	Special Codes
Environment	Defects	Injudicious Action	Driver/Rider Error or	Impairment or	Behaviour or	Vision Affected by	(Casualty or	
Contributed			Reaction	Distraction	Inexperience		Uninjured)	
Poor or defective road	Tyres illegal, defective	Disobeyed automatic	Junction overshoot	Impaired by alcohol	Aggressive driving	Stationary or parked	Crossed road masked	Stolen vehicle
surface	or under inflated	traffic signal				vehicle(s)	by stationary or	
101	201	301	401	501	601	701	parked vehicle 801	901
Deposit on road (eg.	Defective lights or	Disobeyed Give Way	Junction restart	Impaired by drugs	Careless/Reckless/In	Vegetation	Failed to look properly	Vehicle in course of
on, muu, emppings)	Indicators	markings laco	100	(inicit or medicinal)	anuny	700	000	chine
Slippen/road (due to	202 Defective brakes	Discheved double	Poor turn or	502 Estique	Nervous/Uncertain/	Posd lavout (eq.	Eailed to judge	502 Emergency vehicle on
weather)	Derective branes	white line	manoeuvre	langue	Panic	bend, winding road.	vehicle's path or	call
103	203	303	403	503	603	hill crest) 703	speed 803	903
Inadequate/Masked	Defective steering or	Disobeyed pedestrian	Failed to signal/	Uncorrected,	Driving too slow for	Buildings, road signs,	Wrong use of	Vehicle door opened
signs or road	suspension	crossing facility	Misleading signal	defective eyesight	conditions or slow veh	street furniture	pedestrian crossing	or closed negligently
markings 104	204	304	404	504	(eg tractor) 604	704	facility 804	904
Defective traffic	Defective or missing	Illegal turn or direction	Failed to look properly	Illness or disability,	Inexperienced or	Dazzling headlights	Dangerous action in	
signals	mirrors	of travel		mental or physical	learner driver/rider		carriageway (eg	
105	205	305	405	505	605	705	playing) 805	
Traffic calming (eg	Overloaded or poorly	Exceeding speed limit	Failed to judge other	Not displaying lights	Inexperience of	Dazzling sun	Impaired by alcohol	
humps, chicanes) 106	trailer 206	306	speed 406	visibility 506		706	206	
Temporary road	200	Travelling too fast for	Passing too close to	Cyclist wearing dark	Inexperience with	Rain sleet snow or	Impaired by drugs	
layout (eg contraflow)		conditions	cyclist, horse rider or	clothing at night	type of vehicle	fog	(illicit or medicinal)	
107		307	pedestrian 407	507	607	707	807	
Road layout (eg bend,		Following too close	Sudden braking	Driver using mobile		Spray from other	Careless/Reckless/In	
hill, narrow				phone		vehicles	a hurry	
carriageway) 108		308	408	508		708	808	
Animal or object in		Vehicle travelling	Swerved	Distraction in vehicle		Visor or windscreen	Pedestrian wearing	
carnageway		along pavement		1000		dirty or scratched	dark clothing at night	
109		309	409	509		709	809	Other Diseas
		from navement	Loss of control	vehicle		venicie binta spot	mental or physical	specify below
		310	410	510		710	810	999
	5	510	410	510		710	010	555

If 999 Other: give brief details

Note: Only use if "Other" Factor contributed to the accident. Also include in text description of how accident happened

Note: These factors reflect the Reporting Officer's opinion at the time of the accident and are not necessarily the result of extensive investigation

(For completion by Police)

STA	ATS19 (2005)		Vehicle Rec	ord	(For completion by Police)
2.1	Record Type	2	2.8 Vehicle Movement Compass Point From To	2.12 Hit Object in Carriageway	2.21 Sex of Driver
25	Amended vehicle record		1 N 4 SE 7 W	01 Previous accident 09 Central island of 02 Readworks	
2.2	Police Force		3 E 6 SW Parked 00	04 Parked vehicle 10 Kerb	Estimated if necessary Years
2.3	Accident Reference		2.9 Vehicle Location at Time of Accident - Restricted Lane/	06 Bridge – side 12 Any animal (except 07 Bollard / Refuge ridden horse)	2.23 Breath Test
2.4	Vehicle Reference N	umber	Away from Main Carriageway	2.13 Vehicle Leaving Carriageway	0 Not applicable 5 Driver not contacted 1 Positive at time of accident
2.5	Type of Vehicle		00 On main c'way – not in restricted lane 01 Tram / Light rail track	0 Did not leave carriageway	2 Negative 6 Not provided 3 Not requested (medical reasons)
01	Pedal cycle M/cycle 50cc and under	14 Other motor vehicle 15 Other non-motor vehicle	02 Bus lane 03 Busway (including guided busway)	1 Left carriageway nearside 2 Left carriageway nearside and rebounded	4 Refused to provide
03	Motorcycle over 50cc and up to 125cc	16 Ridden horse 17 Agricultural vehicle	04 Cycle lane (on main carriageway) 05 Cycleway or shared use footway	3 Left carriageway straight ahead at junction 4 Left carriageway offside onto central	2.24 Hit and Run
04	Motorcycle over 125cc and up to 500cc	(includes diggers etc.) 18 Tram / Light rail	(not part of main carriageway) 06 On lay-by or hard shoulder	reservation 5 Left carriageway offside onto central	0 Other 2 Non-stop vehicle, 1 Hit and Run not hit
08	Taxi/Private hire car	tonnes mgw and under	08 Leaving lay-by or hard shoulder 08 Leaving lay-by or hard shoulder	6 Left carriageway offside and crossed	2.25 DfT Special Projects
10	Minibus (8 – 16 passenger seats)	tonnes and under 7.5 tonnes mgw	so i councy (percenting	7 Left carriageway offside 8 Left carriageway offside and rebounded	2.26 Vehicle Registration Mark (VRM)
11	Bus or coach (17 or more passenger seats)	21 Goods vehicle 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	2.10 Junction Location of Vehicle	2.14 Hit Object Off Carriageway	2.28 Foreign Registered Vehicle
2.6	Towing and Articulati	on 🗌	 Approaching junction or waiting/parked at junction approach 	00 None 01 Road sign / Traffic signal	0 Not foreign registered vehicle 1 Foreign registered vehicle – left hand drive
0	No tow or articulation	3 Caravan	2 Cleared junction or waiting/parked	02 Lamp post	2 Foreign registered vehicle - right hand
1	Articulated vehicle	4 Single trailer	at junction exit	03 Telegraph pole / Electricity pole	3 Foreign registered vehicle – two wheeler
2	Double or multiple trailer	5 Other tow	3 Leaving roundabout	04 Tree	
0.7			4 Entering roundabout	05 Bus stop / Bus shelter	0.07.0
2.1	Manoeuvres		5 Leaving main road	00 Central crash barrier	2.27 Driver
01	Reversing	12 Changing lane to right	7 Entering from slip road	08 Submerged in water (completely)	Special codes: 2 Non-UK resident
02	Parked	13 Overtaking moving	8 Mid junction - on roundabout or on	09 Entered ditch	1 Unknown 3 Parked and unattended
03	Waiting to go ahead	vehicle on its offside	main road	10 Other permanent object	
	but held up	14 Overtaking stationary			
04	Slowing or stopping	vehicle on its offside	2.11 Skidding and Overturning	2.16 First Point of Impact	2.29 Journey Purpose
05	Moving off	15 Overtaking on nearside			of Driver/Rider
06	Uturn	16 Going ahead left hand	0 No skidding, jack-knifing or overturning	0 Did not impact 3 Offside	3 1 K 1 K 1 K 1 K 1 K 1 K 1 K 1 K 1 K 1
07	Turning left	bend	1 Skidded	1 Front 4 Nearside	1 Journey as part of work
08	Waiting to turn left	17 Going ahead right	2 Skidded and overturned	2 Back	2 Commuting to/from work
09	Turning right	nand bend	3 Jack-knifed		3 Taking pupil to/from school
10	Changing lane to left	18 Going ahead other	 Jack-Knifed and overturned Overturned 	2.17 Other Vehicle Hit Ref no. of other vehicle hit (or hit by) Special code: 000 No other vehicle hit	Pupii riding torrom school S Other/Not known

STATS19 (2005)

ST	ATS19 (2005)		Casualty	Record	(For completion by Police)
3.1 3 3	Record Type 1 New casuality record 5 Amended casuality record	3	Pedestrian Casualties Only 3.10 Pedestrian Location	Pedestrian Casualties Only 3.12 Pedestrian Direction	3.13 School Pupil Casualty
3.2 3.3	Police Force Accident Reference		 01 In carriageway, crossing on pedestrian crossing facility 02 In carriageway, crossing within zig-zag lines at crossing approach 03 In carriageway, crossing within zig-zag lines at crossing exit 04 In carriageway, crossing elsewhere 	Compass point bound 1 N 2 NE 3 E 4 SE 5 S	3.15 Car Passenger
3.4	Vehicle Reference Number		05 In carriageway, crossing elsewine e within 50 metres of pedestrian crossing 05 In carriageway, crossing elsewhere 06 On footway or verge 07 On refuge, central island or central reservation	6 SW 7 W 8 NW 9 Unknown 0. Standing still	1 Front seat passenger 2 Rear seat passenger
3.6	Casualty Class 1 Driver or rider 2 Vehicle or pillion passenger 3 Pedestrian		08 In centre of carriageway, not on refuge, central island or central reservation 09 In carriageway, not crossing 10 Unknown or other 3.11 Pedestrian Movement	3.19 Pedestrian Injured in the	3.16 Bus or Coach Passenger 0 Not a bus or coach passenger 1 Boarding 2 Alighting 3 Standing passenger
3.7	Sex of Casualty 1 Male 2 Female		 Crossing from driver's nearside Crossing from driver's nearside – masked by parked or stationary vehicle Crossing from driver's offside Crossing from driver's offside – masked by parked or stationary vehicle In carriageway, stationary – not crossing (standing or playing) In carriageway, stationary – pot crossing 	Work adivity carried out on public road (eg delivery services, road maintenance, traffic control etc.) 0 No 1 Yes 2 Not known	3.17 DfT Special Projects
3.8	Age of Casualty Estimated if necessary	Years	 (standing or playing), masked by parked or stationary vehicle 7 Walking along in carriageway – facing traffic 8 Walking along in carriageway – back to 		3.18 Casualty Postcode Special codes:
3.9	Severity of Casualty 1 Fatal 2 Serious 3 Slight		traffic 9 Unknown or other		1 Unknown 2 Non-UK resident

Casualty Record

	Map Re	eference	Accide	nt Repo	ort
0			Book no of No. of vehides No. of cas Time:hrs Date Accident Ref. Number		
1	DoT Special Projects:		Police Force number		
	Type of Accident				
1	🗆 Fatal 🔲 S	erious 🗌 Slight	Damage Only	Police Vehicle	Non-stop
İ	Place Accident Reported	Accident Reported at hrs	on []/ []/ [] by		
I	At scene (1) Elsewhere (2)	If reported "over the counter": Officer recording	Station	OIS Ref:	
	DoT Special Projects: Type of Accident Fatal S Place Accident Reported At scene (l) Elsewhere (2)	erious	Police Force number	Police Vehicle OIS Ref:	Non-stop



Address					
Postcode		Tel.			
Unknown (i)	Non U	JK resident (2)	Vehicle parked a	nd unattende	ed(3)
Age	Sex	Male (1)	Female (2)		t traced (3)
Address		Tel		-	
Address Postcode Statement Taken? [Vehicle fail to stop?]	Yes		oct hit (2) Cert. No Driver No.	Co	
Address Postcode Statement Taken? [Vehicle fail to stop? [Parts	Yes		or hit (2) Driver No. Tick if no	Co	DL
Address Postcode	Yes	No (No (I) □fes-r	not hit (2) Driver No. Tick if in oi	Co.	DL COI
Address	Yes	No No (1) [fes - r	ooc hit (2) Driver No. Tick if in oi	Co.	DL COI MOT
Address)Yes	No (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	oot hit (2) Driver No. Tick if in of	Co	DL COI MOT V.E.L
Address Postcode Statement Taken? [Vehicle fail to stop? [Parts Damaged)Yes)Yes (0)	No (No (1) (1) (10 - 17	oot hit (2) Driver No. Driver No. Tick if in o	co.	DL COI MOT V.E.L Other
Address Postcode Statement Taken? [Vehicle fail to stop? [Parts Damaged]Yes]Yes (0) (*)	No (No (I)	oc hit (2) Driver No. Tick if in or	rder	DL COI MOT VEL Other

Vehicle Records

Postcode		Tel	Vehide pa	rked and una	ttende	d(3)
	Sex 🗌	Male (1)	Female (2)	□ No	t traced (3)
Postcode		Tel				
Postcode	és ⊡No iss (0) □No (1)	Tel	Insu or hit (2)	rance Co		
²ostcode itatement Taken? ☐ fehicle fail to stop? ☐	ies ⊡No ies (0) ⊡No (1)	Tel	ot hit (2) Cert	rance Co . No		
Postcode	és ⊡Vo és (0) ⊡No (1)	Tel	ot hit (2) Cert Driv Tick	rance Co No er No if in order		DL COI
Postcode itatement Taken? [] febicle fail to stop? [] Parts Damaged	ēs ⊡No ēs (0) ⊡No (1)	Tel	ot hit (2) Driv Tick	rance Co No er No if in order		DL COI MOT
Postcode itatement Taken? rehicle fail to scop? Parts Damaged none (0)	ès (0)	Tel	ot hit (2) Driv Tick	rance Co No er No if in order		DL COI MOT V.E.L Other
Postcode itatement Taken? (ehicle fail to scop? Parts Damaged none (0) none (5) meneric (6) meneric (6)	ès (0) □No (1) (< □	Tel	ot hit (2) Driv Tick	rance Co No r No if in order T/1 issued?		DL COI MOT V.E.L Other Yes



Witnesses	- 1	
Mr / Mrs / Miss Name	Age	
Address	Postcode	
Tel. Home Wor	k	Other Explanations (if O.I.C. not obtaining statements):
Location of Witness		Driver ref. no.
Explanation		
Mr / Mrs / Miss Name	Age	
Address	Postcode	Driver ref. no.
Tel. Home	k	
Location of Witness		
Explanation		
		Casualty ref. no.
Mr / Mrs / Miss Name	Age	
Address	Postcode	
Tel. Home Wor	k	
Location of Witness		Casualty ref. no.
Explanation		

1 M A

2 M A

3 M A Statements





Appendix C

Consultation & reviews

1. Introduction

This Appendix describes the arrangements for consulting users and providers of the road accident statistics. It also discusses the regular reviews of the Stats 19 road accident statistics specification, describing the changes to the Stats 19 specification in 2005 and the future recommendations resulting from the recent (2008) review.

2. The Liaison Group on Road Accident Statistics (LGRAS)

Transport Scotland (TS) consults the Liaison Group on Road Accident Statistics (LGRAS), whose members include representatives of each Police Force and of the Association of Chief Police Officers (Scotland), of some individual local authorities and of the Society of Chief Officers of Transportation in Scotland, and of other types of user of the statistics, including the Royal Society for the Prevention of Accidents, the Institute of Road Safety Officers in Scotland, a transport consultant, and an academic researcher. LGRAS meets, on average, once a year. It discusses matters such as the arrangements for the supply of the road accident statistics data, the quality of the information collected and implications of using the data for certain purposes, the likely availability of other information, proposals for changes to the Stats 19 road accident statistics specification, and improvements.

Further details of LGRAS (including papers and minutes) are available at: <u>http://www.transportscotland.gov.uk/analysis/statistics/scotstat/committees</u>

3. The Standing Committee on Road Accident Statistics (SCRAS)

Users and providers of reported road accident statistics across Great Britain are consulted via the Standing Committee on Road Accident Statistics (SCRAS), chaired by the Department for Transport (DfT). Its members include representatives of the Association of Chief Police Officers (Scotland), COSLA, TS, and other interested parties from across Great Britain. SCRAS is responsible for reviewing the GB-wide Stats 19 road accident statistics specification (see below) and discusses other aspects of the collection and use of the road accident statistics.

Further information is available from Linden Francis at the DfT (Tel: 020 7944 3078) or <u>www.dft.gov.uk/transtat/scras</u>.

4. Reviews of the Stats 19 road accident statistics specification

National & local government police forces across Great Britain work closely to achieve an agreed standard for the system for collecting & processing statistics on road accidents involving personal injury. The statistics are subject to regular reviews (led by SCRAS) as part of the continued drive to improve quality and meet user needs whilst minimising the burden of collection. The results of the recent review, including results of the public consultation were published by the DfT on 5 August 2010. The review made a number of recommendations for change to the process, coverage and definition of the Stats 19 collection system (to be implemented by 2013). Details can be found at:

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110503151558/http://dft.gov.uk/pgr/statistics/c ommitteesusergroups/scras/2008reviewstats19/

The review process

Scoping papers and questionnaires are published on the DfT's website and users and providers of road accident statistics across Great Britain are invited to provide their views and to suggest other possible improvements.

SCRAS and its working groups then consider all the suggestions for changes, and produced interim recommendations, (usually discussed at LGRAS). Subsequently, SCRAS and its working groups revise and further develop proposals for changes.

The 2002 review resulted in changes implemented at the start of 2005 (see Appendix B for detail of these. Copies of the list of changes, and the guidance notes (Stats 19, Stats 20 and Stats 21) are available from the Methods and Background section of: http://www.transportscotland.gov.uk/analysis/statistics/about/data-sources/road-accidents

The report of the 2002 review is available from the National Statistics website – go to: <u>http://www.statistics.gov.uk/about/data/methodology/quality/reviews/transport.asp</u>

The variables and code-lists used from 1999 to 2004 inclusive were shown in Appendix B of *Road Accidents Scotland 2004.* A summary of the changes which took effect from January 2005 appeared in Section 6 of Appendix C of *Road Accidents Scotland 2005.*

Appendix D

Definitions used in road accident statistics, and some other points to note

1. The definition of severity used in the Road Accident statistics

The classification of the severity of an accident (as fatal, serious or slight) is determined by the severity of the injury to the most severely injured casualty. The police usually record this information soon after the accident occurs. However, if further information becomes available which would alter the classification (for example, if a person dies within 30 days of the accident, as a result of the injuries sustained in the accident) the police change the initial classification of the severity.

For the purposes of the Road Accidents statistical returns:

a fatal injury is one which causes death less than 30 days after the accident;

a fatal accident is an accident in which at least one person is fatally injured;

a *serious injury* is one which does *not* cause death less than 30 days after the accident, *and* which is in one (or more) of the following categories:

(a) an injury for which a person is detained in hospital as an in-patient

or (b) any of the following injuries (whether or not the person is detained in hospital): fractures, concussion, internal injuries, crushings, severe cuts and lacerations, severe general shock requiring treatment

or (c) any injury causing death 30 or more days after the accident;

a *serious accident* is one in which at least one person is seriously injured, but noone suffers a fatal injury;

a *slight injury* is any injury which is neither fatal nor serious – for example, a sprain, bruise or cut which is not judged to be severe, or slight shock requiring roadside attention;

a *slight accident* is one in which at least one person suffers slight injuries, but noone is seriously injured, or fatally injured.

Over the years, improvements in vehicle design, and the provision and use of additional safety features, together with changes in the law (eg on the fitting and wearing of seat belts), will all have helped to reduce the severity of the injuries suffered in some accidents. Road safety measures should also have reduced the levels of injuries sustained. For example, if traffic calming schemes reduce average speeds, people may suffer only slight injury in collisions that previously would have taken place at higher speeds and so might previously have resulted in serious injury.

However, it is also possible that some of the changes shown in the statistics of serious injuries and slight injuries may be due to changes in administrative practices, which may have altered the proportion of accidents which is categorised as serious. For example, the distinction between serious and slight injuries could be affected by factors such as changes in hospitals' admission policies. All else being equal, the number of serious injury cases would rise, and the number of slight injury cases would fall, if it became standard procedure for a hospital to keep in overnight, for precautionary reasons, casualties with a particular type of injury. The increase in the number of serious injury accidents in 1994 was partly attributed to a change in the health boards' policies in admitting more child casualties for overnight observation, which in turn changed the classification of many injuries from slight to serious. The number of child casualties recorded as having serious injuries in 1994 was 35% higher than in the previous year. There could also be changes in hospitals' procedures

that would reduce the numbers of serious injury cases. In addition, there is anecdotal evidence that changes in procedures for assigning severity codes may affect the categorisation of injuries. For example, different severity codes might be assigned by a police officer who was at the scene of an accident and by a clerk who bases the code on a police officer's written description of the accident.

2. Other definitions

Accident: The statistical returns include only those accidents which result in personal injury, which occur on roads (including footways), in which a vehicle is concerned, and which become known to the police. The vehicle need not be moving and it need not be in collision. The statistics are therefore of injury road accidents only: damage-only accidents are not included in the figures.

Adults: People aged 16 and over.

Built-up roads: accidents which occur on built-up roads are those which occur on roads which have speed limits of up to 40 miles per hour (*ignoring* temporary speed limits on roads for which the normal speed limit is over 40mph). Therefore, an accident on a motorway in an urban area would *not* be counted as occurring on a built-up road, because the speed limit on the motorway is 70mph. An accident on a stretch of motorway with a temporary speed limit of 30mph would *not* be counted as occurring on a built-up road, because the normal speed limit is 70mph.

Buses and coaches: Include works' buses and (in past years) trams and trolley buses. Vehicles are coded according to their construction, irrespective of their use at the time of the accident. Thus, vehicles of bus construction which are privately licensed are included under 'buses and coaches', while Public Service Vehicle licensed minibuses are included under minibuses.

Cars: Include estate cars and three-wheeled cars.

Casualty: A person killed or injured in an accident. One accident may give rise to several casualties.

Children: People under 16 years old.

Darkness: From half an hour after sunset to half an hour before sunrise, ie 'lighting-up time'.

Drivers: Persons in control of vehicles other than pedal cycles and two-wheeled motor vehicles.

Goods vehicles: Vans, lorries, tankers, milk floats, tractor units travelling without their trailer units.

Heavy goods vehicles: From 1994, heavy goods vehicles have been defined as goods vehicles with a maximum permissible gross vehicle weight of more than 3.5 tonnes. Prior to 1994, they were defined as those with an *un*laden weight of more than 1.5 tons (1.52 tonnes).

Junction: A place at which two or more roads meet, whatever the angle of the axes of the roads (including roundabouts), or within 20 metres of such a place.

Killed: Sustained injuries which caused death less than 30 days after the accident.

Light goods vehicles: From 1994, light goods vehicles have been defined as goods vehicles with a maximum permissible gross vehicle weight of up to 3.5 tonnes. Prior to 1994, they were defined as those with an *un*laden weight of 1.5 tons (1.52 tonnes) or less.

Major roads: Motorways and A roads.

Minor roads: B roads, C roads and unclassified roads.

Motor cycles: Includes all two wheeled motor vehicles.

Motorists: The drivers or riders of motor vehicles (including, for example, motorcyclists).

Motorways: Include A(M) roads.

Non built-up roads: Roads for which the normal speed limit (*ignoring* any temporary speed limits) is more than 40mph.

Other vehicles: Include ambulances, fire engines, pedestrian-controlled vehicles with motors, railway trains or engines, refuse vehicles, road rollers, tractors, excavators, mobile cranes, tower wagons, army tanks, etc – and from 1999, motor caravans. Other non-motor vehicles include those drawn by an animal, ridden horses, invalid carriages without motor, street barrows, etc.

Passengers: Occupants of vehicles, other than the person in control, including pillion passengers.

Pedal cycles: Including toy cycles ridden on the carriageway, tandems and tricycles. Pedal cyclists includes any passengers of pedal cycles.

Pedestrians: Includes people riding toy cycles on the footway, people pushing bicycles, people pushing or pulling other vehicles or operating pedestrian-controlled vehicles, those leading or herding animals, occupants of prams or wheelchairs, and people who alight safely from vehicles and are subsequently injured.

Riders: People in control of pedal cycles or two-wheeled motor vehicles.

Road users: Pedestrians and vehicle riders, drivers and passengers.

Trunk roads: Roads for whose upkeep Scottish Government Ministers are responsible.

Users of a vehicle: All occupants, ie driver (or rider) and passengers, including persons injured while boarding or alighting from the vehicle.

Vehicles involved in accidents: Any vehicle directly involved in an accident where at least one injury is sustained by a pedestrian or vehicle driver, rider or passenger. Vehicles which collide after the initial accident which caused injury are not included, unless they aggravate the degree of injury or lead to further casualties.

3. Some other points to note

Driver and casualty postcodes, and estimated distances between homes and the locations of accidents

Postcodes were added to the Stats 19 returns in 1999. It was accepted that their collection would have to be phased in, as they became readily available from police administrative systems. Indeed, the Stats 20 instructions state if the postcode is not immediately available, leave blank. As a result, blank (or the not known code) is used more often than should be the case in future. There are also codes for non-UK residents and for parked and unattended vehicles.

The straight line (or as the crow flies) distance between the location of the accident and the home of a driver, rider or casualty was estimated using the postcode of the person's home. The grid co-ordinates of the centre of the postcode were obtained from the General Register Office for Scotland's postcode directory file. These were taken as an approximation to the grid co-ordinates of the person's home, and used in conjunction with the grid co-ordinates of the location of the accident (as reported by the police) to estimate the distance. A similar approach was used in the small proportion of cases where there was only the start of a postcode (eg the police might record EH10 if they knew that someone lived in Edinburgh 10, but they could not provide the full postcode) or where only the postal district or postcode sector could be matched with the postcode directory. A distance could not be estimated if the postcode were blank, coded not known or non-UK resident, did not contain a valid postal district, or were for a place outwith Scotland.

Vehicle type: coding of motor caravans

The vehicle type code formerly used for 'Minibus/motor caravan' (code 10) was changed in 1999:

- *Minibus:* the code 10 category now covers only minibuses;
- *Motor caravans* are not identified as a separate category they are now included with 'Other motor vehicles' (code 14)

As a result, the figures for the categories described in the tables as minibus and other are on different bases for (a) 1998 and earlier years and (b) 1999 and later years. The scale of the discontinuity is not known, because motor caravans have not been identified separately in the statistical returns. However, it is likely that this change has contributed to the fall in the minibus figures between 1998 and 1999, and the rise in the other figures.

Other changes to Stats 19 codes

Changes to the code lists for Stats 19 variables may affect the comparability of the data recorded for the detailed codes. However, they seldom affect the categories for which results are reported in *Reported Road Casualties Scotland*. For example, when the *Scottish Executive (SE)* converted its data for 2004 and earlier years to be on the basis of the new (2005 onwards) code-lists:

 in some cases SE could determine the new code value from the old codes which had been recorded. This was straightforward in cases where only one *new* code corresponded to any particular old code (or combination of old codes). For example, with effect from the start of 2005, the old Road Type codes 3 (dual carriageway – 2 lanes) and 4 (dual carriageway – 3 or more lanes) were replaced by a single new code 3 (dual carriageway) – so the new code value had to be 3 whenever the old code was either 3 or 4.

 in other cases, it was impossible to deduce the new code value from data recorded on the old basis. For example, with effect from the start of 2005, the old Type of Vehicle code 04 (motorcycle over 125 cc) was replaced by *two* new codes (04 – motorcycle over 125 cc and up to 500 cc and 05 – motorcycle over 500 cc). In such a case, SE could *not* derive the correct 2005 code for every over 125 cc motorcycle involved in an accident in 2004 or earlier years, because it did not know their engine capacities. All that SE could do was to allocate whichever of the new codes was the more likely to be correct. DfT's vehicle licensing statistics show many more motorcycles over 500 cc than over 125 cc and up to 500 cc. Therefore, SE allocated a new code 05 (i.e. over 500 cc) whenever the old code was 04. However, the *Road Accidents Scotland* tables were unaffected because they grouped all types of motorcycle together (so it did not matter, for the purposes of those tables, which detailed motorcycle code had been allocated). For similar reasons, changes to other variables' code-lists in 1999 or 2005 should not affect the figures published in *Road Accidents Scotland*

4. Estimates of the total volume of road traffic

Some tables include estimates of traffic volumes, or accident or casualty rates calculated from them. The traffic estimates were provided by the Department for Transport (DfT), which produces estimates of the total volume of road traffic for Scotland and for other parts of Great Britain.

These estimates are based on data from a very small cross-section of the roads in Scotland: traffic counts taken at under 800 sites per year plus data from automatic traffic counters at about two dozen sites in Scotland (which are combined with data from similar sites in England and Wales).

DfT's estimates are based on an urban/rural classification of roads, *not* on the built-up/non built-up classification of roads used in the traffic estimates that were made up to 2002 (which is still used for the accident and casualty statistics). In general:

- an *urban* road is a road (other than a Motorway) that lies within the boundaries of an urban area with a population of 10,000 or more in 2001;
- a *built-up* road is one that has a speed limit of 40 m.p.h. or less

As traffic on a particular road can be classed as rural whilst accidents occurring on it classed as built-up, it would be incorrect to estimate an area's accident rate for built-up roads by dividing its number of accidents on built-up roads by its estimated volume of traffic on urban roads. Therefore, estimates of built-up and non built-up accident rates are provided in Table 5 *only* for Scotland *as a whole* – and these estimates may *not* be precise, due to the nature of the classifications.

The DfT traffic estimates provide only a *rough* indication of the likely total volume of traffic in each Council area. These are *not* National Statistics. For example, DfT believes that its estimates of the volume of traffic on minor roads (i.e. B, C and unclassified roads) for Scotland *as a whole* are of acceptable quality. However, the 320 or so counts now taken per year at minor road sites across Scotland represent an average of 10 per local authority per year – clearly too few to be the basis of reliable estimates for individual local authority areas for each year. DfT therefore estimate the total volume of traffic on minor roads in individual local authority areas in other ways (outlined in *Scottish Transport Statistics*). The resulting estimates, which are consistent with the overall totals for Scotland

as a whole, provide only a broad indication of the likely total volume of traffic on minor roads in each local authority area. As a result:

- it is not possible for DfT to quantify the possible margins of error around them;
- they are not classed as National Statistics;
- more detailed breakdowns of the estimates for individual local authority areas (e.g. separately for B, C and unclassified roads; or for urban roads and rural roads) are not published

In addition, DfT's estimates of traffic on major roads in each local authority area are also not classed as National Statistics. They too are based on limited data: as manual traffic counts are taken on a rotating census basis, there may be several years between successive counts at a particular site. Therefore, DfT notes that there could be large errors in its traffic estimates for the major roads in some of the smaller local authority areas. Similar considerations apply to DfT's estimates of the total volume of traffic on all roads in each area, which are produced by adding together its estimates of traffic on major roads and on minor roads.

In conclusion: DfT provides its estimates of the volume of traffic in each local authority area as the best that it can produce from the limited amount of data available to it – rough indications of the likely volume of traffic in each area, for use with caution, as no better estimates are available.

Appendix E

Local Government Reorganisation and the Trunk Road Network

1. Introduction

This Appendix explains how statistics for the areas of the new Councils were produced for the period prior to local government reorganisation on 1 April 1996. It then describes the trunk road network the changes made to it then, and their effect on the statistics. The next section is about identifying accidents which occurred prior to 1 April 1996 on the roads which formed the post- 1 April 1996 trunk road network, so that figures could be produced on a consistent basis pre- and post-1996. Subsequent sections explain how the effect of the change for individual Council areas can be assessed, how the 1994-98 averages for trunk roads and local authority roads were calculated, and how accident and casualty rates for 1995 and earlier years were calculated. The final section mentions how the statistics for some types of road in some areas may be affected by the opening of new roads.

2. Local Government re-organisation

The reorganisation of local government established new Councils with effect from 1st April 1996, to replace the former Regions, Districts and Island Areas.Statistics for the areas covered by the new Councils for earlier years (back to 1981) were derived in three ways:

a. in the case of the former Island Areas, by allocating all the accidents which occurred in each Island Area to the relevant Council.

b. in those cases where a whole District fell in a new Council's area, by allocating all the accidents which occurred in that District to the area of the new Council.

c. in the case of accidents occurring in the five Districts which had major parts falling in several new Councils' areas, by a special exercise, which used the grid co-ordinates recorded for each individual accident to allocate it to the area of one of the new Councils, using a computer mapping system. This was successful for 99% of accidents for these five Districts, consistently over all years from 1981. The remaining 1% of the accidents in the five Districts were assigned to the new Council in which the majority of the District's accidents fell. This should cause only a very small error (considerably less than 1%) for any of the new Councils, in any year.

3. The Trunk Road Network

Trunk roads are those roads for whose upkeep Scottish Ministers are responsible. The Government's view, when it reviewed the trunk road network in 1994, was that the trunk road network should:

a. provide the road user with a coherent and continuous system of routes which serve destinations of importance to industry, commerce, agriculture and tourism;

b. define nationally important routes which will be developed in line with strategic national transport demands; and

c. ensure that those roads which are of predominantly local importance are managed locally.

Currently, the trunk road network in Scotland consists of all the Motorways plus some (but not all) of the A roads. In some cases, the trunk road network may include the whole of a particular road; in other cases, only certain stretches of a road may be part of the trunk road network. For example, only that part of the A7 which runs south of the junction with the

A6091 near Galashiels is part of the current trunk road network: the northern part is *not* a trunk road.

4. Changes to the trunk road network in April 1996, and their effect on the statistics

Following the review of the trunk road network, several changes were made with effect from 1st April 1996 (coinciding with the reorganisation of local government). Some roads (or stretches of road) which had previously been part of the trunk road network were transferred to local authority control: examples include the A7 from near Edinburgh to near Galashiels, and the A91 from the M90 to St Andrews. Some roads which had previously been the responsibility of local authorities became part of the new trunk road network: examples include the A720 Edinburgh City bypass east of the M8 extension and the A95 from Aviemore to Keith. The overall result was that, on 1st April 1996, about 214 miles of road ceased to be trunk road, and about 361 miles of road became trunk road.

Because of these changes to the trunk road network, the original figures for the numbers of accidents which occurred on trunk roads before and after 1st April 1996 were on different bases, and a comparison could be misleading. Comparisons of the figures for local authority roads could also be misleading, particularly when one looked at the figures for the areas covered by certain Councils, because they may relate to significantly different road networks before and after 1 April 1996.

5. Identifying accidents which occurred before April 1996 on the roads which formed the post- 1 April 1996 trunk road network, to enable comparison of the numbers before and after 1996

In order to get figures for some of the years before 1996 which were on the basis of the post- 1 April 1996 road network, a special exercise was undertaken. This identified, from among the accidents which took place between 1st January 1992 and 31st March 1996, those which occurred on the stretches of road which form the new trunk road network (i.e. the trunk road network that took effect from 1st April 1996). As a result, the information that is available in the Transport Statistics branch database enables figures to be produced for the numbers of road accidents on trunk roads, and on local authority roads, using the following definitions of the status of the road:

- a. status at the time of the accident these figures are available for all years
- b. status in terms of the *old* network available up to 31 March 1996 only
- c. status in terms of the new network available for all years from 1992

It should be noted that the definitions under (b) and (c) above should, strictly speaking, be expanded:

i. For accidents which occurred *before* 31st March 1996, (b) is actually the status *at the time* of the accident (rather than the status *at 31 March 1996*): the two will differ in the case of any roads whose status changed *before* 31 March 1996. For example, if a road ceased to be a trunk road on (say) 15 May 1994, then definition (b) would show it as a trunk road for accidents before that date, and would show it as a local authority road thereafter. ii. For accidents which occurred *after* 1st April 1996, © is actually the status *at the time* of the accident (rather than the status *at 1 April 1996*): the two will differ in the case of any roads whose status changed *after* 1 April 1996. For example, if a road ceased to be a trunk road on (say) 8 July 1996, then definition © would show it as a trunk road for accidents before that date, and would show it as a local authority road thereafter.
6. Assessing the effect of the April 1996 changes on the figures for trunk roads and for local authority roads, for individual local authority areas

Because data for 1992 to 1995 are available both on the basis of the old trunk road network and on the basis of the new trunk road network, one can see the extent of the change in the number of accidents on the trunk road network that was caused by the transfer of roads (or stretches of roads) between the trunk road network and the local authority road network. Similarly, one can compare the figures on the two bases for the local authority road network to see the extent of the change in the total number of accidents on that network that was caused by the transfers.

1992-95 averages on both bases were included in, for example, Tables 4 and 40© of *Road Accidents Scotland 2000*. The figures in the first of these tables showed that the April 1996 changes had little effect on the trunk road network's overall share of the total number of accidents in Scotland as a whole. However, the figures in the second table showed that the changes did have a noticeable effect on the trunk road network's share in some parts of Scotland. For example, the 1992-95 annual average number of casualties, on all types of road, in the area which is now covered by Highland Council was 1,079. Of these, an average of 423 (39%) occurred on the roads which formed the pre- 1 April 1996 trunk road network, and 495 (46%) occurred on the roads which formed the post- 1 April 1996 trunk road network. Therefore, the April 1996 changes could have a noticeable effect on the 1994-98 averages for trunk roads and local authority major roads for some local authority areas.

7. Calculating 1994-98 averages for trunk roads and for local authority roads

For the purpose of calculating the 1994-98 averages for trunk roads and for local authority roads for each local authority area, accidents which occurred before April 1996 have been counted on the basis of whether they occurred on roads which were part of the post- 1 April 1996 trunk road network. For consistency, the same approach has been used to calculate the 1994-98 averages for each type of road for Scotland as a whole.

8. How the statistics for some types of road in some areas may be affected by the opening of new roads

Finally, it should be noted that analysis by type of road does not take account of changes in the numbers of accidents which result from *traffic* transferring from one kind of road to another when a new road opens. For example, when a new road is built, the majority of the traffic which uses it may be traffic that previously used another road. In some cases (eg when a motorway is constructed to replace an existing trunk road) the original road which carried the traffic may cease to be a trunk road when the new road opens, because the new road replaces it as a trunk road. However, the records of the accidents which occurred on the original road will continue to show that they occurred on the original road: they will not be amended to be counted against the new road. In such a case, when the statistics are analysed on the basis of the new networks, those accidents which occurred on the original road will be counted as occurring on what is now part of the new local authority road network, and those accidents which occurred on the new road will be counted as occurring on the new trunk road network. When one looks at series of figures for the new networks for a number of years, which span the year of the change, the figures for the new local authority network would fall, and the figures for the new trunk road network might rise, in the year in which the new road was opened, because of the transfer of traffic from the original road (which was a trunk road then, but is now part of the local authority road network) to the new road (which is part of the new trunk road network).

APPENDIX F Frequency of use of values of most STATS 19 variables: 2011

This annex lists most of the "Stats 19" variables, showing the values which were used in the returns for the latest year and the number of times each was used. Variables such as "grid reference" and "road number" are not listed, because they have many possible values.

Reported attendant circumstances variables

Police Force		Speed Limit	
Northern	567	15	2
Grampian	1,017	20	174
Tayside	750	30	5,674
Fife	448	40	504
Lothian & Borders	2,173	50	317
Central	545	60	2,752
Strathclyde	4,156	70	551
Dumfries & Galloway	318		
		Junction Control	
<u>Month</u>		Not at or near junction	5,100
January	808	Authorised person	31
February	742	Automatic traffic signal	878
March	789	Stop sign	84
April	724	Give way or uncontrolled	3,880
May	835		
June	807	Weather Conditions	
July	804	Fine	7,077
August	863	Raining	1,695
September	965	Snowing	136
October	865	Fine high winds	205
November	903	Raining high winds	385
December	869	Snowing high winds	29
		Fog mist	44
Severity of Accident	170	Other	262
Fatal	176	Unknown	140
Serious	1,671	First read class	
Slight	8,127	HIST FORD CLASS	227
		Motor way	337
Abordoop City	260	A(III)	JO 4 407
Aberdeenshire	512	R	4,427
Aperceensnine	220	B C	1,423
Angus Aravil & Bute	220	Unclassified	3 122
Clackmannanshire	230	Unclassified	3,422
Dumfries & Galloway	318	Second road class	
Dundee City	237	No second road class	5 241
East Avrshire	204	Motorway	60
East Dunbartonshire	140	A(m)	1
East Lothian	159	Α	644
Fast Renfrewshire	116	B	358
Edinburgh City of	1 180	C	175
Eilean Siar	34	Unclassified	3.494
Falkirk	261		-, -
Fife	448	Light Conditions	
Glasgow City	1,281	Daylight street lights present	3,985
Highland	488	Daylight no street lights present	3,173
Inverclyde	155	Daylight street lights present unknown	179
Midlothian	177	Darkness street lights present and lit	1,701
Moray	137	Darkness street lights present and unlit	71
North Ayrshire	230	Darkness no street lights	831
North Lanarkshire	569	Darkness street lights present unknown	34
Orkney Islands	13		
Perth & Kinross	293	Pedestrian Crossing - Human Control	
Renfrewshire	354	None within 50 metres	9,869
Scottish Borders	274	School crossing patrol	48
Shetland Islands	32	Other authorised person	57
South Ayrshire	219		
South Lanarkshire	513		
Stirling	220		
West Dunbartonshire	145		
West Lothian	383		

Road Type	
Roundabout	474
One way street	220
Dual carriageway	1,488
Single carriageway	7,600
Slip road	102
Unknown	90
Pedestrian Crossing - Physical Fac	cilities
None within 50m	8,337
Zebra crossing	119
Pelican, puffin or similar	670
Pedestrian phase at lights	709
Footbridge or subway	10
Central refuge	129
Junction Detail	
Not at or within 20 metres	5,100
Roundabout	697
Mini Roundabout	68
T or staggered junction	2,208
Slip Road	162
Crossroads	765
Multiple junction	197
Private drive	209
Other junction	568
Road Surface Conditions	
Dry	5,513
Wet or damp	3,813
Snow	160
Frost or ice	464
Flood over 3cm deep	23
Special Conditions at site	
None	9,684
Automatic traffic signal out	20
Automat traffic sig part defective	5
Road sign defective or obscured	13
Roadworks	116
Road surface defective	47
Oil or diesel	52
Mud	37
Carriageway hazards	
None	9,697
Vehicle load in carriageway	15
Other object in carriageway	126
Involved previous accident	17
Pedestrian in cgwy not injured	43
Animal in cgwy-not horse	76
Did a police officer attend?	
Yes	8,170
No-accident reported over counter	1,790
Contributory Factors	
Please see the section on the	
Contributory Factors	

Reported vehicle variables

Police Force		Manoeuv
Northern	873	Reversing
Grampian	1,615	Parked
l ayside	1,267	Vvaiting to g
Lothian & Borders	3 654	Moving off
Central	952	U turn
Strathclyde	7,096	Turning left
Dumfries & Galloway	504	Waiting to t
		Turning righ
<u>Month</u>		Waiting to t
January	1,272	Changing la
February	1,234	Changing la
March	1,353	Overtaking
April	1,228	Overtaking
lune	1 350	
July	1,394	Ahead right
August	1,481	Ahead othe
September	1,627	
October	1,453	Other vel
November	1,521	0
December	1,411	1
		2
Breath test	05	3
Not applicable	95	4
Positive	293	5
Net requested	9,171	6 7
Refused to provide	29	8
Driver not contacted	2.639	9
Not provided (medical)	777	
		Junction
Sex of driver		Unknown
Male	11,089	Not at or wi
Female	4,972	Approach ju
Not traced	675	Cleared jun
Vahiala Deference Number		Leaving rou
	0 07/	Leaving ma
2	5 725	Entering ma
3	829	Entering fro
4	154	Mid-junctior
5	40	
6	11	Skidding
7	3	None
8	2	Skidding
9	1	Skid overtd
		Jacknifed
I ype of Vehicle	055	Jackn overt
Motor cycle 50cc and under	660 66	Ovenumed
Motor cycle socc and under	105	
Motor cycle over 125 to 500cc	218	
Motor cycle over 500cc	359	None
Taxi/private hire car	387	Prev accide
Car	12,391	Road works
Minibus (8-16 pass seats)	52	Parked veh
Bus coach (17+ pass seats)	614	Bridge roof
Other motor vehicle	295	Bridge side
Other non-motor vehicle	6	Bollard refu
Ridden norse	4	Open door
Goods up to 3.5t maw	00 783	Kerb
Goods over 3.5t to < 7.5t maw	141	Other object
Goods 7.5t mgw and over	323	Animal excl

	Wandeuvies
3	Reversing
5	Parked
7	Waiting to go ahead/held up
3	Slowing/stopping
1	Moving off
2	U turn
6	Turning left
1	Waiting to turn left
	Turning right
	Waiting to turn right
2	Changing lane left
1	Changing lane robt
r 2	Overtaking moving vehicle offside
2	Overtaking stationery vehicle offside
5	Overtaking stationery vehicle onside
, i	Abead left hand bend
1	Ahead right hand hend
T I	Ahead other
,	
2	Other vehicle hit
, I	
	1
	1 2
	2
-	3
)	4
3	5
	6
5	7
9	8
9	9
	Junction location of vehicle
	Unknown
9	Not at or within 20 metres
5	Approach junction or wait/park approach
<u> </u>	
5	Cleared junction or wait/park at exit
5	Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout
5	Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout
5	Cleared junction or waitpark approach Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout
- 5 4	Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road
5 1 5	Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering main road Entering from slip rd
- 5 1 5 9	Cleared junction or wait/park approach Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-iunction on roundabout/main road
- 5 1 5 9 1	Cleared junction or wait/park a pproduit Clearing roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road
- 5 1 5 9 1 0	Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking
	Cleared junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking
1 5 9 1 1 9	Cleared junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None
1 5 1 1 1 1 3 2	Cleared junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding
1 5 9 1 1 3 2 1	Cleared junction or wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Junking d
1 5 9 1 1 3 2 1	Cleared junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed
1 5 1 1 1 1 3 2 1	Cleared junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jackn ifed Jackn overtd
2 5 1 5 9 1 1 3 2 1 5 5	Cleared junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned
	Cleared junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned
	Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway
	Cleared junction or wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown
	Cleared junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown None
	Cleared junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown None Prev accident
	Cleared junction or wait/park a pproduit Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown None Prev accident Road works
	Cleared junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown None Prev accident Road works Parked vehicle
	Cleared junction or wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown None Prev accident Road works Parked vehicle Bridge roof
	Cleared junction or wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Entering roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown None Prev accident Road works Parked vehicle Bridge roof Bridge side
	Cleared junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Entering roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jackn overtd Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown None Prev accident Road works Parked vehicle Bridge roof Bridge side Bollard refuge
	Approach function of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown None Prev accident Road works Parked vehicle Bridge roof Bridge side Bollard refuge Open door vehicle
	Approach junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jackn overtd Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown None Prev accident Road works Parked vehicle Bridge roof Bridge side Bollard refuge Open door vehicle Central island roundabout
	Approach junction of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Leaving main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown None Prev accident Road works Parked vehicle Bridge roof Bridge side Bollard refuge Open door vehicle Central island roundabout Kerb
	Approach particular of wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Entering roundabout Entering roundabout Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jacknifed Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown None Prev accident Road works Parked vehicle Bridge roof Bridge side Bollard refuge Open door vehicle Central island roundabout Kerb Other object
	Cleared junction or wait/park approach Cleared junction or wait/park at exit Leaving roundabout Entering roundabout Entering main road Entering from slip rd Mid-junction on roundabout/main road Skidding and overtaking None Skidding Skid overtd Jackn overtd Jackn overtd Overturned Hit object in carriageway Unknown None Prev accident Road works Parked vehicle Bridge roof Bridge side Bollard refuge Open door vehicle Central island roundabout Kerb Other object Animal excluding ridden horse

	Vehicle leaving carriageway	
297	Unknown Did pot loovo o'wov	12 019
1.006	Left c'way nearside	1.447
1,293	Left c'way nearside rebound	190
705	Left c'way ahead junction	78
125	Left c'way offside onto central reservation	68 50
420	Left c'way offside and crossed central res	50 17
1,229	Left c'way offside	840
294	Left c'way offside and rebounded	130
147		
134	Hit object off carriageway	
329	Unknown	14 639
85	Road sign traffic signal	170
961	Lamp post	154
965	Telegraph pole electricity pole	62
7,875	l ree Bus stop bus sholtor	270
	Central crash barrier	103
5.574	Nearside or offside crash barrier	186
4,902	Submerged in water	3
5,710	Entered ditch	234
442	Other permanent object	901
00 15	First point of impact	
5	Unknown	2
2	None	1,011
2	Front	8,190
1	Back	2,873
	Nrside	2,413
1		2,210
8,001	Towing and Articulation	
4,311	No towing or articulation	16,437
840	Articulated vehicle	168
302	Double of multiple trailer	13
228	Single trailer	95
444	Other tow	18
96		
2,008	Hit and run	15 900
	Uner Hit rup	15,800
14.099	Non-stop vehicle, not hit	301
1,636		
569	Vehicle location at time of acc - Lane	
10	Unknown	3
/	On main carriageway	16,262
410	Busway	36
	Cycle lane	35
2	Cycleway	17
16,004	On lay-by hard shidr	74
6	Entering lay-by hard shldr	15
9 270	Leaving lay-by hard shidr	30 163
5	loomay	100
31	Journey Purpose of driver/rider	
52	Journey as part of work	3,089
22	Commuting to/from work	∠,045 1⊿8
213	Pupil ride to/from school	33
70	Other/not known	11,424
42		
	Foreign registered vehicle	16 639
	Foreign reg LH drive	49
	Foreign reg RH drive	26
	Foreign reg 2 wheeler	25

		Age of		Age of	
Vehicle movement from/to		driver		driver	
Unknown	3	Unknown	384	51	316
Parked	649	4	4	52	270
U turn from north	32	5	5	53	234
North to north east	17	6	14	54	231
North to east	145	7	11	55	244
North to south east	32	8	9	56	219
North to south	2,764	9	14	57	183
North to south west	42	10	14	58	193
North to west	350	11	18	59	156
North to north west	9	12	18	60	195
North east to north	12	13	12	61	153
U turn from north east	5	14	13	62	151
North east to east	4	15	13	63	165
North east to south east	26	16	36	64	150
North east to south	20	17	206	65	125
North east to south west	417	18	404	66	108
North east to west	25	19	365	67	104
North east to north west	41	20	431	68	89
East to north	339	21	387	69	77
East to north east	5	22	323	70	90
U turn from east	40	23	330	71	59
East to south east	10	24	328	72	77
East to south	155	25	333	73	65
East to south west	16	26	312	74	51
East to west	2,916	27	318	75	56
East to north west	26	28	328	76	46
South east to north	33	29	332	77	50
South east to north east	51	30	640	78	52
South east to east	12	31	302	79	56
U turn from south east	2	32	281	80	43
South east to south	3	33	239	81	30
South east to south west	24	34	297	82	33
South east to west	23	35	507	83	28
South east to north west	436	36	297	84	38
South to north	2,850	37	268	85	13
South to north east	42	38	298	86	14
South to east	387	39	357	87	11
South to south east	4	40	550	88	6
U turn from south	31	41	341	89	7
South to south west	13	42	351	90	6
South to west	153	43	350	91	9
South to north west	37	44	359	92	5
South west to north	22	45	373	93	2
South west to north east	382	46	379	94	1
South west to east	31	47	334	95	1
South west to south east	54	48	321		
South west to south	2	49	321		
U turn from south west	5	50	399		
South west to west	6				
South west to north west	15				
West to north	139				
West to north east	27				
vvest to east	2,862				
West to south east	32				
West to south	337				
vvest to south west	4				
U turn from West	33				
vvest to north West	6				
North west to sattle sat	3				
North west to cast	23				
North west to cauth and	17				
North west to south east	428				
North west to south	28				
North west to west	64				
I turn from north west	o 2				

Reported casualty variables

Police Force		Pedestrian direction	
Northern	795	Not pedestrian	10.711
Grampian	1.237	Pedestrian standing still	227
Tavside	987	Heading North	418
Fife	597	Heading North East	52
Lothian & Borders	2.667	Heading East	354
Central	717	Heading South Fast	30
Strathclyde	5 347	Heading South	396
Dumfries & Galloway	423	Heading South West	39
2 diffice a Callenay	120	Heading West	394
Month		Heading Noth West	23
lanuary	1 029	Linknown	126
February	922	Chalowh	120
March	922	Casualty Class	
April	930	Driver or rider	7 / 38
April Mov	942 1 092	Driver of fider Passanger, vehicle/nillion	2 2 2 2 2
luno	1,003	Podostrian	2 050
	1,052	reuesinan	2,059
	1,042	Dedectrion leastion	
August	1,107	Pedestrian location	40 744
September	1,272	Not pedestrian	10,711
	1,097	In carnageway, crossing pedestnan crossing	210
November	1,093	In carriageway, crossing in zig zag crossing approach	14
December	1,081	In carriageway, crossing in zig zag crossing exit	10
		In carriageway crossing elsewhere within 50 metres	215
Sex of casualty	_	In carriageway crossing elsewhere	1,018
Unknown	5	Footway or verge	149
Male	7,298	On refuge, central island or central reservation	8
Female	5,466	Centre carriageway not refuge, central island or reservation	74
		In carriageway not crossing	254
<u>Road user</u>		Unknown other	107
Pedestrian	2,059		
Pedal cycle	824	Pedestrian movement	
Motor cycle	808	Not pedestrian	10,711
Car	7,770	Crossing driver nearside	696
Taxi	198	Crossing driver nearside mskd	200
Minibus	22	Crossing driver offside	467
Bus/Coach	503	Crossing driver offside masked	150
Light goods vehicle	310	In carriageway stationary not crossing	134
Heavy goods vehicle	144	In carriageway stationary not crossing masked	15
Other	132	Walking in carriageway facing traffic	44
		Walking in carriageway back to traffic	54
Severity of casualty		Unknown	299
Killed	186		
Serious	1,875	<u>Car passenger</u>	
Slight	10,709	Not car passenger	10,073
		Front seat car passenger	1,832
Bus or coach passenger		Rear seat car passenger	865
Not psv passenger	12,300		
Boarding	31	Pedestrian injured in the course of 'on the road' work	
Alighting	52	Not a pedestrian	10,717
Standing passenger	116	No	1,996
Seated passenger	271	Yes	34
		Not known	23
School pupil casualty			
All other casualties	12,520		
Pupil to/from school	250		

				Casualty_	
Age of		Age of		Reference	
casualty		casualty		Number	
Unknown	22	51	183	1	9,974
0	8	52	166	2	1,959
1	31	53	150	3	535
2	41	54	149	4	182
3	57	55	137	5	58
4	68	56	145	6	22
5	63	57	121	7	9
6	71	58	118	8	6
7	86	59	101	9	5
8	82	60	125	10	3
9	89	61	104	11	2
10	103	62	119	12	1
11	96	63	114	13	1
12	144	64	101	14	1
13	120	65	87	15	1
14	130	66	81	16	1
15	126	67	66	17	1
16	160	68	71	18	1
17	294	69	69	19	1
18	414	70	83	20	1
19	364	71	54	21	1
20	385	72	70	22	1
21	345	73	50	23	1
22	277	74	50	24	1
23	262	75	57	25	1
24	258	76	61	26	1
25	244	77	50		
26	230	78	51	Vehicle	
27	214	79	59	Reference	
28	243	80	52	Number	
29	235	81	39	1	7,621
30	271	82	36	2	4,836
31	221	83	34	3	274
32	191	84	30	4	34
33	161	85	22	5	2
34	186	86	25	6	2
35	228	87	15	7	1
36	214	88	6		
37	196	89	12		
38	190	90	11		
39	214	91	14		
40	261	92	6		
41	216	93	9		
42	214	94	1		
43	223	95	1		
44	223	98	6		
45	211				
46	217				
47	188				
48	199				
49	190				
50	183				

Appendix G

The calculation of the likely range of random year-to-year variation in road accident and casualty numbers for Scotland as a whole

1. Introduction

This Appendix describes the methods that were used to calculate the likely range of random year-to-year variation in road accident and casualty numbers for Scotland as a whole that are shown in Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5. Two different methods were used: a simple method for Figures 2, 3 and 5, and a more complex method for Figure 4.

2. Calculating the likely ranges of values for Figures 2, 3 and 5

In the case of Figures 2, 3 and 5, the likely ranges of values were calculated on the assumption that the numbers are the outcome of a Poisson process. This is a process in which events occur at random, with the probability of an event occurring depending upon the underlying rate of their occurrence (*not* upon how long it has been since a previous event, *nor* upon the number of events that have occurred in a recent period). For the purpose of producing these charts, it was assumed that the underlying rate of occurrence in each year is the same as the value of the 5-year moving average centred on that year. (That is why there are no grey dashed lines for the last two years: one cannot calculate a 5-year moving average centred on 2004 until one has the values for 2005 and 2006).

A characteristic of a Poisson distribution is that the mean and the (statistical) variance are the same. Because the numbers are all much larger than 100, the assumption of asymptotic normality applies, and one would expect only about 5% of cases to fall outwith a 95% confidence interval range of plus or minus two standard deviations. Therefore, the upper and lower limits shown on the chart were calculated simply as the moving average plus and minus twice the standard deviation (for smaller numbers, exact ranges could have been calculated using the inverse Chi-square distribution). In the case of Figures 2, 3 and 5, the standard deviation was taken to be the square root of the assumed variance (i.e. the square root of the assumed underlying rate, and therefore the square root of the moving average).

In terms of statistical theory, this approach is appropriate for the number of fatal accidents (shown in Figure 2). However, it is a simplification in the case of the numbers of casualties of various types (shown in Figures 3, 4 and 5), because they have *two* random elements: the occurrence of an accident, and the number of casualties in it. The numbers of casualties would therefore be expected to have a greater range of statistical variability than that resulting from a simple Poisson process. However, as it happens, the simple approach appears to suffice for Figures 3 and 5 (probably because the numbers involved are relatively small, and therefore, as discussed in Section 1.4 of the Commentary, the calculated ranges are quite wide in percentage terms) – but the larger numbers in Figure 4 require a more complex method of calculation of the likely range of values.

3. Calculating the likely range of values for Figure 4

An initial version of Figure 4 was produced using the approach described above – i.e. the numbers of casualties were assumed to be the result of a Poisson process whose underlying rate for each year was the moving average for that year. The standard deviation was simply calculated from the square root of the moving average, and the ranges were simply +/- twice this standard deviation. However, the initial version of the chart showed that this approach under-estimated greatly the variability of the figures, as over half the years (53%) had values which were outwith the calculated ranges.

It was noted earlier that the variation in the number of casualties is likely to be greater than that which would result from a simple Poisson process. A method to deal with this extra-Poisson variation is discussed in a paper by Washington State Department of Health, *Guidelines for using Confidence Intervals for Public Health Assessment* (published in 2002 and available at <u>www.doh.wa.gov/data/guidelines/worddocs/CI_guidelines.pdf</u>). The paper discussed the statistical problem of multiple admissions. For example, an asthma patient may be admitted many times, so that multiple admissions for an individual person are not likely to be independent of each other. A person who is hospitalised once for asthma is more likely to be hospitalised for asthma again than someone who has never been hospitalised for asthma. Therefore, the total count of admissions may not follow a Poisson distribution, and it is typical for the total count in such a situation to exhibit greater variability than would be expected from a Poisson process. As a result, simple methods of estimation (like those used to produce Figures 2, 3 and 5) will produce intervals which are too narrow.

The method proposed in the paper for calculating the variance in such a case is shown below.

For crude or age-specific rates, the rate is given by

$$\hat{R} = d/P$$
 (18)

where d is the number of hospitalizations and P is the population.

Then the variance of the rate is given by

$$\widehat{\operatorname{var}}(\hat{R}) = \frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{P} d_j^2) - d^2/P}{P(P-1)}$$
(19)

where d_j is the number of hospital admissions for individual j. The summation only needs to be performed over the people in the population who have at least one hospital admission, since $d_j = 0$ for people who are not hospitalized, and they make no contribution to the sum.

There is a clear analogy here with the road casualty figures. In our terms:

- *d* is the number of killed and seriously injured casualties;
- *d_j* is the number of killed and seriously injured casualties for accident *j*;and
- P is the total number of injury accidents (including slight accidents)

We want to calculate the variance of *d*.

Because R = d/P it follows that d = R * Pand the variance of *d* can be calculated from the variance of *R*.

The calculation of the variance of *R* requires one to sum the squares of the $d_{j}s$ – i.e. the squares of the numbers of people who were killed or seriously injured in each injury accident. These numbers were extracted from the Transport Scotland's computer database, which holds details of individual injury accidents back to 1979. For example, in 1979 there were 23,064 injury accidents. 14,800 of these had only slight casualties, 7,077 had one KSI casualty, 843 had two KSI casualties, 195 had three KSI casualties, and so on. The sum of the squares of the d_js is then simply $(7,077 * 1^2) + (843 * 2^2) + (195 * 3^2) + and$ so on. The variance of *R* can therefore be calculated for each year for 1979 onwards. Because figures for the numbers of casualties in each injury accident are not available for earlier years, it is not possible to calculate variances on this basis for years before 1979.

There is an added complication in our case as the total number of injury accidents (our P), which was assumed to be the result of a Poisson process, is *also* subject to random year-to-year variation, and therefore also has a variance associated with it. The standard deviation here can be calculated in the simple way, just the square root of the moving average value.

Then, because d = R * P, the variance of *d* is calculated as the variance of *R* plus the variance of *P*. (There is no covariance between the d_j and the P_j , because the value of P_j is equal to one for every value of d_j , since each P_j is a single injury accident). The likely ranges of values are then calculated in the usual way, with the interval being +/- twice the standard deviation.

Figure 4 was prepared on this basis. This method appears to produce more realistic measures of the variability of the number of KSI casualties, but there are many years' figures (around a third) outwith the calculated ranges. The likely reason for this is that *statistical variability is not the only reason for year-to-year changes* – other factors have contributed to sharp falls and rises in KSI casualty numbers, as discussed in Section 1.4 of the Commentary. As the Commentary mentioned, in effect, *such factors change the Poisson process's underlying rate of occurrence of accidents and/or casualties*, and therefore, in effect, introduce a break into the series of moving average values. The method used to calculate the likely range of random year-to-year variation cannot take account of the effect of such changes.

Appendix H

Illustrating the likely ranges of random year-to-year variation in casualty rates for local authority roads for each local authority area

The following table and the accompanying charts were first published as Table 41 (b) in *Road Accidents Scotland 2005* in November 2006 and have now been updated using data for 2007 to 2011. They were initially prepared following a discussion, at a meeting of Liaison Group on Road Accident Statistics in June 2006, of the possible inclusion in *Road Accidents Scotland* of charts which compare road accident or casualty rates by local authority area, using a method which was described in a paper by Paul Hewson (Exeter University) in the June 2004 edition of *Traffic Engineering and Control*. This involves the production of so-called caterpillar plots. These are charts which show:

- the values in the latest year (or period) for each area, in order from lowest to highest (though in this case Local Authorities are grouped within police force area for ease of comparison); and
- the likely range of random statistical variation around each value (these indicate the likely maximum range of year-to-year variation in the figures due to the random nature of accidents – based on statistical theory, one would expect only 5% of values to be outwith this range)

Such charts allow one to see (for example) the kinds of areas which have the lowest rates, and whether certain areas' figures differ significantly (e.g. one can be sure that the values for two areas *do* differ significantly if there is *no* overlap between their likely ranges of random variation). Members of the Group felt that it would be useful to include such charts, but with some changes – for example, the local authorities should appear in the standard *Road Accidents Scotland* order, and the values should be provided in a table, for the benefit of those who wished to use the numbers.

The likely ranges of random year-to-year variation were calculated by assuming that the numbers of casualties are the outcome of a Poisson process (as in the Hewson paper). However, the method of calculation was simpler than that used by Hewson. The main features of the approach, which was applied using the numbers for each of the three types of casualty for each local authority area, are described below.

First, it was assumed that the annual average for a five year period provides the best estimate of the underlying rate of occurrence of casualties for the single year in the middle of that period. For example, it was assumed that the annual average for 2007 to 2011 provides the best estimate of the underlying rate of occurrence of casualties around 2009. This figure was then taken as representing the number of casualties that one would expect to arise in 2009, on the basis that these numbers are the outcome of a Poisson process.

A characteristic of a Poisson distribution is that the values of the mean and the (statistical) variance are the same. The annual average number of casualties for 2007 to 2011 was therefore used as the estimate of the variance of the number of casualties, and its square root was used as the estimate of the standard deviation of the number of casualties.

The likely range of random year-to-year variation around the expected number of casualties for 2009 was then estimated using the underlying rate for 2009 (the annual average for 2007 to 2011) and the estimated standard deviation. The ranges were calculated in a similar way to 95% confidence intervals – i.e.:

- if the relevant casualty count was less than 100, the ranges (like exact confidence intervals) were calculated using the inverse Chi-squared distribution, as a result of which:
 - the ranges are not symmetric about the expected number of casualties;
 - in cases where the numbers are small, it is not possible for the lower limit of the range to have a value of less than zero
- if the relevant casualty count was 100 or more, the Normal approximation was used – i.e. the range was based on the expected number of casualties plus or minus twice the estimated standard deviation

The estimated upper and lower limits to the likely ranges of casualty numbers were then divided by the traffic estimates (in 100s of million vehicle kilometres) to get the likely ranges of values of casualty rates (per 100 million vehicle-kilometres). As the traffic estimates tend to change only slightly from year to year, it was assumed, for simplicity, that they are not affected by any random variation (so there was no need to widen the confidence limits accordingly).

Two points should be noted:

- the calculation of the limits used the expected number of casualties (rather than the actual number of casualties) in 2009 in order to show how the actual casualty rate that arose in that year compares with the likely range of values for that year. This makes it easy to see which (if any) local authority areas had, by chance, casualty rates in 2009 that were particularly high (compared with the rates that would have been expected on the basis of the casualty numbers for the five year period centred on that year), and which areas had, by chance, particularly low casualty rates in 2009;
- the figures cover only local authority roads, in order that any comparison of the figures for different local authorities is not affected by the casualty rates of any trunk roads in those areas. Transport Scotland is responsible for the trunk road network not local authorities. In general, Motorways and trunk A roads have lower accident rates than other types of road (as can be seen from Table 5[c]), so areas which have a higher proportion of traffic on (say) Motorways may tend to have lower casualty rates. Therefore, any comparison of the casualty rates for a number of local authority areas (such as the four large cities) will be more meaningful if the figures relate only to local authority roads and therefore are unaffected by any differences in the proportions of traffic on (say) Motorways in those areas.

The table presents the estimated limits of the likely ranges of values in 2009 for each of the three casualty rates for each local authority area. It also shows the corresponding actual casualty rate for 2009. The four charts show the numbers graphically. It will be seen that most of the actual rates fall within the likely ranges of values – but the following numbers of cases do not:

- child killed and seriously injured casualty rate two cases;
- (all ages) fatal casualty rate no cases;
- (all ages) seriously injured casualty rate no cases;
- slight casualty rate five cases

Such out of range numbers are *not* a cause of concern, given that one would expect about 5% of cases to be outwith the estimated ranges (with 32 local authorities, one would expect

YEAR-ON-YEAR VARIATIONS AT A LOCAL AUTHORITY LEVEL

a couple of cases outwith the likely ranges for each of the three casualty rates). While five out of range cases of the slight casualty rate is more than one would expect, it is *not* so many as to suggest that something is wrong with the method of calculating the ranges. Most of the out of range cases are only *slightly* outwith the likely ranges; and there is *no* suggestion of any clear bias in the figures, because some of them are above the upper limit and others are below the lower limit. In any case, one might expect that there would be more cases of out of range values for the slight casualty rate, because the numbers of casualties from which it is calculated are much larger than the numbers from which the other two rates are calculated. As mentioned in Appendix G) the larger the number, the smaller that the level of likely random variation is as a percentage of the value, and therefore the more likely it is that external factors (e.g. the results of various road safety measures) will have an effect which is greater than that which would be expected due to random year-to-year variation alone – and, therefore, the more likely it is that there will be out-of-range values.

http://www.transportscotland.gov.uk/analysis/statistics

Appendix H

Local Authority roads: Casualty rates per 100 million vehicle kilometres, by council and

severity, for child killed and seriously injured (KSI) casualties, all ages KSI casualties, and slight casualties

2009 rates, with the likely range of values around the 2007-2011 annual average casualty numbers

	Likely rai value				inge of les		Likely ra valu	inge of es		Likely ra valu	ange of Jes		Likely ra valu	inge of les
	Child Killed and Seriously Injured casualty rate 2009	Lower	Upper	All ages Killed casualty rate 2009	Lower	Upper	All ages Seriously injured casualty rate 2009	Lower	Upper	Slight casualty rate 2009	Lower	Upper		
Northern														
Highland	0.28	0.15	1.10	0.75	0.62	2.03	4.97	4.35	7.32	35.7	27.5	34.1		
Orkney Islands	0.00	0.00	2.72	0.00	0.00	2.72	4.38	0.80	7.54	21.2	15.5	32.4		
Shetland Islands	0.00	0.00	1.81	0.00	0.01	2.73	2.46	0.66	5.38	33.0	15.5	28.7		
Eilean Siar	0.97	0.01	2.72	0.00	0.00	2.28	3.40	2.17	8.65	20.4	17.7	31.6		
Grampian														
Aberdeen City	0.47	0.44	1.70	0.28	0.10	0.95	6.60	5.70	9.00	33.5	26.9	33.5		
Aberdeenshire	0.93	0.32	1.08	0.93	0.55	1.47	9.36	7.01	9.57	27.8	22.6	27.1		
Moray	0.00	0.14	1.92	0.65	0.18	2.08	5.00	3.62	8.20	35.7	23.3	33.1		
Tayside														
Dundee City	1.85	0.78	2.80	0.28	0.03	1.03	7.97	4.85	8.80	35.7	27.2	35.5		
Angus	0.66	0.22	1.56	0.80	0.34	1.84	7.05	5.38	9.37	27.0	25.2	32.9		
Perth & Kinross	0.42	0.11	1.07	0.63	0.30	1.51	7.50	5.54	9.04	26.6	21.3	27.6		
Fife	0.99	0.38	1.17	0.30	0.24	0.91	5.26	4.11	6.09	28.1	23.4	27.8		
Lothian & Borders														
Edinburgh, City of	0.75	0.49	1.29	0.31	0.13	0.64	6.17	5.91	8.10	51.6	50.1	56.1		
West Lothian	0.48	0.24	1.31	0.38	0.10	0.98	6.02	4.63	7.71	46.6	39.6	47.7		
Midlothian	0.77	0.32	2.27	0.38	0.08	1.56	5.38	3.62	7.87	40.6	32.4	43.1		
East Lothian	0.40	0.08	1.59	1.59	0.08	1.59	5.77	3.29	7.44	31.6	28.4	38.5		
Scottish Borders	0.62	0.24	1.54	0.99	0.39	1.88	8.17	5.96	9.95	37.3	30.0	38.0		
Central														
Clackmannanshire	0.91	0.13	2.48	0.91	0.03	1.99	4.23	2.60	7.65	24.2	19.9	31.1		
Stirling	0.41	0.08	1.19	0.54	0.08	1.19	5.17	4.17	7.79	28.4	24.0	31.6		
Falkirk	0.73	0.20	1.30	0.31	0.01	0.67	4.92	3.72	6.69	32.5	26.1	33.0		
Strathclyde														
Glasgow City	1.95	1.36	2.60	0.81	0.33	1.06	10.14	9.41	12.22	70.3	64.7	71.8		
Argyll & Bute	0.74	0.16	1.76	0.37	0.16	1.76	7.39	4.90	9.56	31.6	26.0	35.3		
West Dunbartonshire	1.83	0.25	2.35	0.23	0.01	1.28	4.79	2.81	7.10	31.5	27.3	38.0		
East Dunbartonshire	0.73	0.04	1.33	0.37	0.04	1.33	3.84	2.39	5.91	29.6	24.8	34.0		
Renfrewebire	0.67	0.19	2.00	0.44	0.01	1.22	4.37	2.00 5.10	0.04	21.1	21.4	31.9 170		
Foot Popfrowshire	1.00	0.29	1.72	0.13	0.06	1.10	7.42	5.19	9.00	35.4	30.4	47.0		
North Lanarkehire	0.03	0.07	1.42	0.33	0.04	0.20	2.04	2 1/	5 20	36.1	22 0	28.2		
South Lanarkshire	1 00	0.47	1.30	1.02	0.17	1.62	4.00	5.44	8 20	39.0	37 0	44 Q		
North Avrshire	1.10	0.00	2 55	0.44	0.18	2.08	10.96	5.61	11 03	39.0	33.9	45.5		
East Avrshire	0.00	0.16	1.52	0.30	0.13	1.41	4,91	3 87	7,58	28.0	24.9	33.0		
South Ayrshire	0.50	0.18	1.71	0.17	0.14	1.59	7.48	4.21	8.32	36.0	27.6	36.7		
Dumfries & Galloway	0.85	0.23	1.65	0.28	0.06	1.13	10.31	7.45	12.17	36.2	32.7	41.6		
Scotland	0.83	0.73	0.94	0.53	0.49	0.67	6.60	6.22	6.82	36.9	35.3	36.7		



Child KSI Casualty Rate on Local Authority Roads (per 100 million vehkms) by LA: 2009 and likely range of values (see text) around the 2007-2011 average





All Ages Serious Casualty Rate on Local Authority roads (per 100 million veh-



Appendix I

Scottish Parliamentary Questions: April 2007 to August 2012

This Appendix lists Scottish Parliamentary Questions on road accident and casualty statistics for which answers were drafted by the Transport Statistics branch. It does *not* provide a complete list of all Parliamentary Questions relating to road accidents, because it excludes (for example) questions which were:

- about accidents and casualties on trunk roads in Scotland answers to which were drafted by Transport Scotland's Trunk Roads and Bus Operations section as it is responsible for the trunk road network;
- about matters such as safety cameras, accidents involving school buses, or the number of people involved in road accidents who were convicted of certain offences – answers to which were drafted by the parts of the Scottish Government with responsibility for the relevant policy areas (Transport Statistics contributed to some of these answers – e.g. by providing whatever relevant statistics it held, or by explaining why the information requested was not available from the Stats 19 returns);
- asked at the Westminster Parliament answers to which were drafted by the Department for Transport, whose GB-wide database includes a copy of the Scottish Stats 19 data

However, although its coverage is not comprehensive, this Appendix should be of interest to some users of *Reported Road Casualties Scotland* because it provides examples of the kinds of uses that are made of the Stats 19 data.

Almost all the answers can be found via <u>http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/webapp/wa.search</u>. Use the information in the Reference column to complete the four boxes on the first line of the search form:

- Session number select Session 2 if the Reference begins S²..., or Session 3 if it begins S³....
- Question Type select Written for References which begin S2<u>W</u>... or S3<u>W</u>.... (NB: the Oral option identifies *only* oral questions which were answered in writing because they were not reached during Question Time Oral answers given then appear in the specified date's Official Report, which can be found via:

http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/business/officialReports/meetingsParliament/previousOR.ht <u>m</u>.)

- Question number enter in the next two boxes the number which appears at the end of the Reference. Two boxes are provided to allow users to select a range of PQs – e.g. S2W-27236 to S2W-27238. (NB: do not enter any leading zeros – e.g. if a Reference were S3W-00123, you should enter 123 in both boxes.)
- then just click on the Find Answers button at the foot of the form

PARLIAMENTARY QUESTIONS

Question:	Answer(*)	Reference
April 2007 to September 2007 how many road traffic (a) fatalities and (b) injuries there have been (i) in each of the last three years and (ii) so far this year, broken down by (A) police force area and (B) parliamentary region, expressed also as a percentage of all road traffic accidents and showing year-on-year percentage changes	Information provided (\$)	S3W-02004
in how many and what percentage of road traffic accidents drink driving was a contributory factor in each of the last five years, broken down by police force area.	Information provided	S3W-02966
in how many road traffic accidents resulting in (a) fatality or (b) serious injury drink driving was a contributory factor in each of the last five years, broken down by police force area.	Information provided	S3W-02967
what the average cost to the public purse is of road traffic accidents resulting in (a) fatality and (b) serious injury.	Information provided (\$)	S3W-02968
what the annual cost to the public purse was of road traffic accidents in which drink driving was a contributory factor in each of the last five years for which information is available.	Information not available	S3W-02969
how many road traffic accidents have taken place in each year since 1999 involving foreign motorists.	Information provided	S3W-03515
how many road traffic accidents have taken place in each year since 1999 on the (a) A835, (b) A836, (c) A837, (d) A894, (e) A897 and (f) A9 north of the Dornoch Firth bridge	Information provided (#)	S3W-03516
for how many road traffic accidents foreign motorists were deemed to be	Information	S3W-03517
how many (a) motorists and (b) pedestrians were (i) injured and (ii) killed in	Information	S3W-03736
what information it has on the proportion of road deaths that can be attributed to (a) not wearing seatbelts, (b) fatigue, (c) speeding, (d) running a red light at an intersection, (e) being under the influence of alcohol and (f) being	Information provided (\$)	S3W-03952
what proportion of road deaths in each of the last four years occurred on (a)	Information	S3W-03954
what proportion of road deaths in each of the last four years occurred on	Information	S3W-03955
whether it has any information on what proportion of road accidents in Scotland involved an international visitor	Information	S3W-03963
how many road traffic accidents have taken place on the A838 in each year	Information	S3W-04129
how many road traffic accidents involving foreign motorists have taken place on the (a) A835, (b) A836, (c) A837, (d) A838, (e) A894, (f) A897 and (g) A9 north of the Dornoch Bridge Roundabout in each year since 1999	Information provided (#)	S3W-04130
for how many road traffic accidents on the (a) A835, (b) A836, (c) A837, (d) A838, (e) A894, (f) A897 and (g) A9 north of the Dornoch Bridge Roundabout foreign motorists have been deemed to be responsible in each year since 1999.	Information provided (#)	S3W-04131
how many road accidents there were in Grampian between November 2006 and Eebruary 2007	Information	S3W-04227
how many road accidents there were on rural roads in Grampian between November 2006 and February 2007.	Information provided	S3W-04228
October 2007 to March 2008 how many foreign registered vehicles have been involved in road traffic	Information	S3W-05318
accidents in each year since 1999.	provided	
now many breathalyser tests were administered in (a) Dundee and (b) Angus following road accidents ineach year since 1997 and what percentage of these were recorded as failed.	Information provided	53W-06394
what percentage of breathalyser tests administered following road accidents in each year since 1997 were recorded as failed.	Information provided	S3W-06395

April 2008 to October 2009		
which roads have had the highest number of (a) accidents and (b) fatalities in each of the last 5 years.	Information provided(#)	S3W-11165
how many accidents involving vehicles were reported on the A739 (a) southbound and (b) northbound at the Clyde Tunnel in each year from 1997 to 2007 broken down by month.	Information provided(#)	S3W-11380
how many road accidents have occurred on the A723, A724, A72, B755,	Information	S3W-11897
B7071, B7012 and B758 in each year since 1999, broken down by driver age	provided(#)	to
group.		S3W-11903
how many casualties have resulted from road accidents on the A723, A724,	Information	S3W-11904
A72, B755, B7071, B7012 and B758 in each year since 1999, broken down by	provided(#)	to
severity.		S3W-11910
how many pedestrians have been struck by a vehicle while crossing either a	Information	S3W-15529
zebra or a pelican crossing in the last two years.	provided(#)	0011/ 47050
now many road latalities there were in 2007-08 and now this compared with	Information	5300-17259
how many road traffic accidents resulting in (a) injury and (b) fatality there	Information	\$3\\/_17028
have been on the A70 within the (i) south and (ii) east Avrehire local authority	provided(#)	5500-17920
areas in each of the last five years	provided(#)	
which 20 roads have had the highest number of (a) accidents and (b)	Information	S3W-17931
fatalities in each of the last five years	provided(#)	00111001
further to the answer to question S3W-11165 by Stewart Stevenson on 17	Information	S3W-23118
April 2008, which roads have had the highest number of (a) accidents and (b)	provided(#)	2011 20110
fatalities in each of the last five years.	1()	
how many road traffic accidents involving drivers under the age of 25 have	Information	S3W-25543
occurred in Hamilton in each year since 1999	provided(#)	
how many road traffic accidents involving drivers under the age of 25 have	Information	S3W-25544
occurred in Blantyre in each year since 1999.	provided(#)	
further to the answer to question S3W-11910 by Stewart Stevenson on 29	Information	S3W-25545
April 2008, how many casualties have resulted from road accidents on the	provided(#)	to S3W-
B758, B7012, B7071, B755, A72, A724 and A723 in each year since 2006,		25551
broken down by severity.		
further to the answer to question S3W-11903 by Stewart Stevenson on 30	Information	S3W-25552
April 2008, how many road accidents have occurred on the B758, B7012,	provided(#)	to S3W-
B7071, B755, A72, A724 and A723 in each year since 2006, broken down by		25558
ariver age group.	Information	SOW DEFEA
month since May 2007	niormation	5300-20551
how many people have been killed in accidents on roads in the Lothians	Information	S3\N/_28068
region in each month since May 2007, broken down by road	nrovided(#)	330-20000
region in each month since may 2007; broken down by road.	provided(#)	
November 2009 to August 2010		
how many road accidents involving tractors and other agricultural vehicles	Information	S3W-28295
there have been on (a) trunk roads and (b) non-trunk roads in the last 5 years.	provided(#)	
what the number (a) fatal accidents and (b) people killed in accidents on	Information	S3W-29072
roads in Dumfries & Galloway has been in each month since May 2007, broken	provided(#)	
down by road.		
how many fatal and serious accidents accidents on roads in Dumfries &	Information	S3W-29073
Galloway have been recorded in each month since May 2007, broken down by	provided(#)	
road.		
how many accidents of all severities have been recorded on roads in	Information	S3W-29074
Dumfries & Galloway in each month since May 2007, broken down by road.	provided(#)	
how many (a) fatal accidents, (b) fatal and serious accidents and (c)	Information	S3W-29075
accidents of all severities have been recorded on roads across Scotland in each	provided(#)	
month since May 2007, broken down by local authority area.		0014/00070
how many (a) fatal accidents, (b) fatal and serious accidents and (c)	Information	\$3W-29076
accidents of all severities have been recorded on roads across Scotland in each	provided(#)	
what percentage of reade goes through (a) rural and (b) remote access	Information	S3/M 20502
what percentage of roads goes through (a) fullal and (b) remote areas	nnormation	3311-29302
Parliament constituency	ριονίαεα(φ)	
how many (a) fatal and (b) non-fatal accidents have there been on the A82 in	Information	S3W-29883

PARLIAMENTARY QUESTIONS

the last 10 years. how many road accidents involving bicycles and cars have been reported in the last 5 years, broken down by (a) local authority area and (b) parliamentary constituency	provided(#) Information provided(#)	S3W-30727
how many road accidents involving motor cycles and cars have been reported in the last 5 years, broken down by (a) local authority area and (b) parliamentary constituency.	Information provided(#)	S3W-30728
how many serious accidents have been recorded in Midlothian since 1999, broken down by (a) year and (b) road.	Information provided(#)	S3W-32109
how many fatal accidents have been recorded in Midlothian since 1999, broken down by (a) year and (b) road.	Information provided(#)	S3W-32110
how many horse riders received (a) fatal, (b) serious, and (c) slight injuries from accidents with (i) cars, (ii) an HGV(s) and (iii) an other vehicle(s) in the last 5 years, broken down by police force area.	Information provided(#)	S3W-32442
on what 20 roads the highest number of (a) accidents and (b) fatalities have been recorded in each of the last five years.	Information provided(#)	S3W-33199
how many road accidents were associated with drivers smoking in each of the last five years.	Information not available	S3W-33215
how many (a) reported accidents, (b) injuries and (c) fatalities there have been on the roads since 1997, also broken down by road.	Information provided(#)	S3W-34928
how many cyclists have been (a) involved in reported accidents, (b) injured and (c) killed on the roads in each year since 1997, also broken down by road.	Information provided(#)	S3W-34929
how many (a) speed cameras and (b) road accidents there have been in each year since 1997, also broken down by local authority.	Information provided(#)	S3W-35487
September 2010 to August 2011 how many road crashes involving (a) oil and (b) diesel spills there have been in each year since 1999 how many accidents were attributed to potholes and damaged road surfaces in (a)2007-08 and (b) 2008-09 and (c) 2009-10 and have been in 2010-11, braken down by local authority.	Information provided(#) Information provided(#)	S3W-39066 S3W-39959
further to the answer to question S3W-33199 by Stewart Stevenson on 12 May 2010, which roads have had the highest number of (a) accidents and (b) fatalities in each of the last five years	Information provided(#)	S3W-40334
how many people have been killed in accidents on roads in the Lothians region in each month since May 2007, broken down by road	Information provided(#)	S3W-40552
September 2011 to August 2012 how many (a) fatal and (b) non-fatal road accidents have been recorded in each police force area in each year since 1999, showing percentage changes in each year.	Information provided(#)	S4W-03832
how many (a) male and (b) female road fatalities of people aged (i) under-17, (ii) 18 to 25, (iii) 26 to 40, (iv) 41 to 64 and (v) over 65 have been recorded in each police force area in each year since 1999, showing percentage changes in each year.	Information provided(#)	S4W-03833
how many road fatalities occurred on (a) A, (b) B, (c) C and (d) unclassified roads in each police force area in each year since 1999, showing percentage changes in each year.	Information provided(#)	S4W-03834
how many road fatalities have been as a result of a seatbelt not being worn in each police force area in each year since 1999, showing percentage changes in each year.	Information not available	S4W-03835
further to the answer to question S3W-33199 by Stewart Stevenson on 12 May 2010, on what 20 roads the highest number of (a) accidents and (b) fatalities has been recorded in each of the last five years.	Information provided(#)	S4W-07450
how many (a) fatal and (b) non-fatal accidents there have been on roads in Central Scotland in each of the last five years, broken down by road.	Information provided(#)	S4W-09088

(*) – the entries in this column are as follows:

information provided – this category includes cases where:

- only some of the information that was requested was available e.g. questions about:
 - the numbers of road accidents and hit-and-run incidents because the Stats 19 returns cover only *injury* accidents which were *reported to the Police*, so do *not* cover *all* accidents/incidents;or
 - the causes of accidents since 1999 because Contributory Factors were only added to Stats 19 at the start of 2005.
- the only information that could be provided was on a different basis from that which was requested

information not available - this category includes cases where the information requested:

- does not exist; or
- is not held centrally; or
- cannot be obtained from the Transport Statistics road accident statistics system without disproportionate cost, because the system is not designed to provide it

(\$) – the answer referred to a publicly-available source (e.g. *Reported Road Casualties Scotland*, or another question which had been answered previously) which contained some or all of the information which was requested. The answer may also have provided some information that was not available from the publicly-available source.

(#) – the answer explained that the statistics which were provided were based upon the data which are held in the central road accident statistics database and which were collected by the police at the time of the accident and subsequently reported in the Stats 19 returns. They may differ from any figures which the local authorities would provide now, because they do not take account of any subsequent changes or corrections that local authorities may have made to the statistical information, for use at local level, about the location of each accident, based upon their knowledge of the roads and areas concerned.

Index

Index of tables (Statistical Tables section)

NB: there are no entries in this index for some topics which appear in many tables, such as severity and built up/non-built up

Sub-themes	Main-theme	Years	Table
Accidents	Historic Series	1966 to 2011	1
Accidents	Historic Ceries	1070 to 2011	י ר
Accidents by sevenity		1970 to 2011	2
Accidents by severity and road class	Accidents	2004-08 and 2007-2011 ave, 2001-2011	5a
Accidents involving illegal alcohol levels	Drink Drive	2004-08 & 2006-10, 2000 to 2010	22
Accident rates by police force area (traffic-based)	Accidents	2004-08 and 2007-2011 ave	5c
Accident rates by road class (traffic-based)	Accidents	2004-08 and 2007-2011 ave, 2001-2011	5b
Adult casualties by age and mode of transport	Casualties	2004-08 ave. 2011	24
Adult casualties by day of week and mode of transport	Casualties	2007-2011 ave	30
Adult casualties by main modes of transport	Casualties	2001 - 2011 - 400 2001 - 08 & 2007 - 2011 - 300 - 2007 to 2011	25
Adult casualties by main modes of transport	Casualtica	2007 2011 ave	20
Adult casuallies by month	Casuallies		29
Adult casualties by time of day and weekdays/weekend	Casualties	2007-2011 ave	28
Adult pedestrian crossing details	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-11 ave, 2007 to 2011	35
Age and sex of drivers	Car drivers	2004-08 & 2007-11 ave, 2001 to 2011	18
Age groups (broad)	Casualties	2004-08 ave, 2011	24
Age groups (detailed)	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-11, 2007 to 2011	31
Age groups (detailed) by mode – numbers, rates	Casualties	2007-11 ave	32
Age groups by sex and casualty class – numbers rates	Casualties	2007-2011 ave	34
Age of driver and manageure	Car drivore	2007 2011 avo	17
Age of unver and manoeuvre	Cal unvers	2007-2011 ave	17
Breath tests and results by day and time	Drivers breath	2007-2011 ave	20
Breath tests and results by police force	Drivers breath	2004-08 & 2007-11, 2007 to 2011	19
Breath tests and results by time of day	Drivers breath	2004-08 & 2007-11, 2007 to 2011	21
Convoltion	Historia Sarias	1052 to 2011	1
		1953 10 2011	1
Casualties by severity	Historic Series	1938 to 2011	2
Casualties in accidents which involved illegal alcohol			
levels	Drink-drive	2004-08 & 2006-10, 2000 to 2010	22
Casualties Killed & Serious Inj. By council and road type	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2001-2011	40
Casualties KSI, Slight & slight casualty rate by police force	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2001 to 2011	42
Casualties Slight & slight casualty rate by council	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2001 to 2011	41
Casualty class	Casualties	Casualties 2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave,	26
		2007 to 2011	
Casualty class by age group	Casualties	2007-2011 ave	34
Casualty rates by age group	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave. 2007 to 2011	31
			Annen
Casualty rates on local authority roads by council	Casualties	2009, and likely range of values	dix H
	- ···		
Child casualties by day of week and mode of transport	Casualties	2007-2011 ave	30
Child casualties by main modes of transport	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011	25
Child casualties by mode of transport	Casualties	2004-08 ave, 2011	24
Child casualties by month	Casualties	2007-2011 ave	29
Child casualties by time of day and weekdays/weekend	Casualties	2007-2011 ave	27
Child casualties on journey to or from school by severity	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave. 1981 to 2011	44
Child casualties on journey to or from school by mode	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave 1995-2011	45
Child Killed & Serious casualties by council and road type	Casualties	2001-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2001-2011	40
Child Killed & Seriously Injured by police force area	Casualties	2004-00 & 2007-2011 ave, 2001-2011	40
Child Killed & Senously Injured by police force area	Casuallies	2004-06 & 2007-2011 ave, 2001 to 2011	42
Child pedestrian crossing details	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011	35
Cost per accident by element of cost	Accident costs	2011	9b
Cost per accident by road type	Accident costs	2011	10
Cost per casualty by severity (GB)	Accident costs	2011	9a
Costs by road type - Scotland totals	Accident costs	2001 to 2011	11
Council by severity	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave 2011	27
Council of residence ve council of accident location	Casualtion	2007 00 G 2007-2011 ave, 2011	201
Council of residence vs council of accident location	Casualles	2011	290

Council by severity and road type Day of week by child/adult and mode of transport	Casualties Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011 2007-2011 ave	36 30
Distance between home of driver/rider and accident	Drivers and riders	2011	16
Distance between home of casualty and accident Drink drive accidents and casualties	Casualties Drink-drive	2011 2004-08 & 2006-2010 ave, 2000 to 2010	39a 22
Drivers by age and manoeuvre`	Car drivers	2007-2011 ave	17
Drivers by age and severity of accident	Car drivers	2004-08 & 2007-11, 2007 to 2011	18a
Drivers by age and sex Driver/Rider by mode of motor transport	Car drivers Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-11, 2007 to 2011 2004-08 ave, 2007 to 2011 ave,	18b 26
Junction detail by severity	Accidents	2007-2011 ave	8
Junction detail by vehicle type	Vehicles involved	2007-2011 ave	14b
Light condition	Accidents	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011	7
Local authority roads by council	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011	36
Local authority roads by month	Accidents Accidents	2007-2011 ave 2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011	6 4
	, looidonio	2001 00 0 2001 2011 010, 2001 10 2011	•
Manoeuvre by age of driver	Car drivers	2007-2011 ave	17 15
Manoeuvre by vehicle type	Vehicles involved	2007-2011 ave	14a
Mode of motor transport by casualty class Mode of transport by severity	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011 2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2001 to 2011	26 23
Mode of transport by severity, rural roads	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2001 to 2011	23a
Mode of transport by age group and severity	Casualties	2004-08 ave, 2011	24
Mode of transport by age groups – numbers and rates Mode of transport (main) by child/adult	Casualties Casualties	2007-2011 ave 2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave. 2007 to 2011	32 25
Month by severity and road type Month by child/adult and mode of transport	Accidents Casualties	2007-2011 ave, 2007-2011 ave	6 29
Older adults (60+) by mode of transport	Casualties	2004-08 ave, 2011	24
Passenger/pillion	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011	26
Pedestrian crossing details	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011	35
Pedestrians by council and police force area	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2011	38
Police force area by severity	Accidents	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011	3
Police force area by severity	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2011	37
Police force by breath test results	Drivers breath	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011	19
Population Population estimates by age groups (detailed)	Historic Series Population	1953 to 2011 2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011	1 31
		·	
Pupils on journey to or from school by severity Pupils on journey to or from school by mode	Casualties Casualties	2004-08 and 2007-2011 ave, 1981 to 2011 2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 1995-2011	44 45
Quarter by severity	Casualties	1981-2011	43
Road class	Accidents	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2001 – 2011	5a
Road lengths	Historic Series	1955 to 2011	1
Road surface condition Rural roads	Accidents Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011 2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2001 to 2011	7 23a
	Coouchie	2004.00.8.2007.2014 ave. 2007.2014	04
Sex by age group and casualty class - numbers and rates	Casualties	2004-06 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007-2011 2007-2011 ave	31 34
Sex and age-group of drivers	Car drivers	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2001 to 2011	18
School: pupils on journey to/from, by severity	Casualties	2004-08 and 2007-2011 ave 1981 to 2011	44
School: pupils on journey to/from, by mode	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 1995-2011	45

INDEX

Speed limit	Casualties	2007-2011 ave	33
Time of day - child casualties	Casualties	2007-2011 ave	27
Time of day - adult casualties	Casualties	2007-2011 ave	28
Traffic by council area	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2002 -2011	41
Traffic by police force area	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2002 -2011	42
Traffic by vehicle type	Vehicles involved	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2000 -2011	13
Traffic on M&A roads and all roads	Historic Series	1985 to 2011	1
Trunk roads by road type	Accidents	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011	4
Trunk roads by month	Accidents	2007-2011 ave	6
Trunk roads by council	Casualties	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2007 to 2011	36
Vehicle involvement rates	Vehicles involved	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2000 to 2011	13
Vehicles involved	Historic Series	1969 to 2011	1
Vehicles involved by type	Vehicles involved	2004-08 & 2007-2011 ave, 2001 to 2011	12
Vehicles licensed	Historic Series	1962 to 2011	1
Young persons by mode of transport	Casualties	2004-08 ave, 2011	24

Statistics Provided in More Detail in Previous Editions

Accidents by road type	Chart (1993 edition page 19)
Accident rates by road type	(1) Scotland, England and Wales (1993 edition pages 20, 21)
5 51	(2) Regions of Scotland (1993 edition pages 22, 23)
	(3) Accident rates based on 4 rate average (traffic, population, vehicles
	licensed, road length) by Region of Scotland (1993 edition pages 24 to
Accidents by time of day and day of week	1993 edition pages 28, 29, 86, 87
	1994 edition pages 11, 36, 37
Accidents by month and light condition	1993 edition pages 30 to 33
Accidents by time of day, season and road condition	1993 edition pages 34 to 36
	1994 edition pages 38 to 39
Accidents by time of day, season and severity	1993 edition pages 36, 37
	1994 edition pages 40, 41
Accidents by light condition and severity	1996 edition pages 38,39
Accidents by road condition Scotland, Great Britain	1993 edition pages 38, 39
Accidents by road condition and severity	1996 edition pages 42,43
Vehicles involved in accidents	1993 edition page 41
Casualties: going to/from school	1993 edition page 57
Pedestrian Casualties by month and light condition	1993 edition page 59
Pedestrian casualties by time of day and light condition	1993 edition pages 60, 61
Pedestrian/non-pedestrian casualties by age and	
severity	1996 edition pages 92,93
Accidents by junction detail and severity	2000 edition pages 60, 61
Care drivers involved in accidents by age of driver and	
type of accident	2000 edition pages 76, 77
Vehicles involved by type	2000 edition pages 66, 67

SCOTTISH GOVERNMENT / TRANSPORT SCOTLAND PUBLICATIONS

Scottish Transport Statistics Annual. This compendium publication covers transport statistics in Scotland relating to road transport, bus and coach travel road freight, the road network, traffic, Injury road accidents, rail, air & water transport, finance, personal and cross-modal travel, and includes international comparisons.

Latest edition: provides figures up to 2009, published December 2010.

Transport and Travel in Scotland Annual. A new publication which combines Main Transport Trends and Household Transport publications. Summarises a broad range of transport statistics including road vehicles, traffic, casualties, bus and rail passengers, road and rail freight, air and water transport and personal travel as well as providing some comparisons with GB figures. Further breakdowns of Scottish Household Survey transport data including households' access to cars and bikes, frequency of driving, modes of travel to work and school, use and opinions of public transport and access to services are also presented.

Latest edition: provides figures up to 2010, published August 2011

SHS Transport: Local Area Analysis Biennial. Provides SHS information over two-year periods for Local Authorities and Regional Transport Partnership areas.

Latest edition: provides figures for 2007/2008, published March 2010 Web tables only

Scottish Household Survey Travel Diary results Biennial. Provides details of journeys made collected via the Travel Diary. Includes purposes for travel, distances, the times of day at which trips start, duration of journeys, days of the week and car occupancy levels.

Latest edition: figures up to 2009, trends since 1999; published November 2010. Web only

National Travel Survey Scottish Results Biennial. These web-tables provides trends on the average number of journeys and average distance travelled per person per year, including average journey length, main mode of travel, journey purpose.

Latest edition: figures up to 2007/2008; published in April 2010

Bus and Coach Statistics Biennial. Presents Department for Transport statistics on bus and coach operators, and some related Scottish Household Survey (SHS) results. Includes: vehicle kms, patronage levels, fare indices; passenger receipts; public transport support and concessionary fare reimbursement; adults' frequency of use of local bus services; views on aspects of bus services; travel to work by bus; reasons for not using buses; safety on buses; concessionary travel passes.

Latest edition: figures up to 2009-10; published April 2011

Key Road Accident Statistics Annual. Provisional figures on accidents, casualties by severity, casualties by type of road, casualties by mode of transport, and child casualties, including trends in recent years and progress towards the casualty reduction targets for the year 2010. Also figures by Police Force and local authority.

Latest edition: provides figures up to 2010; published in June 2011

Main Transport Trends Annual. A summary bulletin containing trends for each mode of transport over the past ten years including Scottish Household Survey transport results. Includes comparisons with Great Britain and some longer-term historical series.

Latest edition: provides figures up to 2009, published August 2010

Household Transport in 2009 Annual. Provides detailed information on Scottish Household Survey relating to travel attitudes and behaviour. Including: availability of cars; driving licence possession, frequency of driving & walking; travel to work and travel to school.

Latest edition: provides figures up to 2009, published September 2010.

Road Safety Tracking Study

Findings from the Road Safety Tracking Study (RITS) by TNS BMRB from April 2011 and April 2012 will be made available on the Road Safety Scotland website.

Web only

Web only

Web only

Web only

Web only

Web only

ERRORS IN THE PREVIOUS EDITION

This list covers errors which occurred in the preparation of the tables or the commentary in *Reported Road Casualties Scotland*.

We apologise for the following errors, which we have found in the previous edition.

Table H The rates per million population should be multiplied by 10.

Revised electronic versions of these tables are available online. Tables in this edition include corrected figures, if they are time-series tables that include years for which the previous edition's figures were wrong.

Any problems or inconveniences resulting from these errors are regretted.

Transport Statistics publications produced by other administrations

The **Department for Transport** (DfT) produces many statistical publications, most of which provide detailed breakdowns of the figures for GB/UK as a whole. However, some contain statistics for Scotland.

DfT's annual **Regional Transport Statistics** bulletin gives figures on many topics for Scotland, Wales, Northern Ireland and each of the regions of England. It should be the "first port of call" for anyone who wishes to compare any figures for transport in Scotland with those for some or all of the other parts of GB/UK.

Other DfT publications include some figures for Scotland, such as *Transport Statistics Great Britain* (which, like *Scottish Transport Statistics*, contains figures on many different aspects of Transport), *Maritime Statistics*, *Public Transport Statistics*, and *Road Casualties Great Britain*. Further information about DfT Transport Statistics publications is available via: <u>www.dft.gov.uk/transtat</u>

The <u>Welsh Assembly Government</u> produces various publications which contain statistics on transport in Wales, in particular *Welsh Transport Statistics*. More information is available via: <u>http://new.wales.gov.uk</u>

The statistical publications produced in <u>Northern Ireland</u> include *Northern Ireland Transport Statistics*. More information is available via: <u>www.drdni.gov.uk/index/statistics.htm</u>

1. TRANSPORT STATISTICS USERS' GROUP

The Transport Statistics Users' Group (TSUG) was set up in 1985 as a result of an initiative by the Statistics Users Council and The Institute of Logistics and Transport (then known as The Chartered Institute of Transport). From its inception, TSUG has had strong links with government departments responsible for transport statistics.

The aims of TSUG are:

- to identify problems in the provision and understanding of transport statistics, and to discuss solutions with the responsible authorities;
- to provide a forum for the exchange of views and information between users and providers;
- to encourage the proper use of statistics through publicity and education.

The main activities of TSUG are:

- The production of a **Newsletter** containing reviews of recently published transport statistics, which is sent to members about four times per year.
- The organisation of **Seminars** addressing contemporary issues in the field of transport statistics. Most seminars are held in London, but there is an **annual seminar in Edinburgh** and other ad hoc regional seminars. Reports of seminars appear in the Newsletter.
- The production of the **Transport Yearbook**, an easy-to-use but comprehensive reference guide to major UK transport organisations, sources of transport statistics and other important UK and international contacts. A copy of the Yearbook is sent to all members.

The membership of TSUG includes government agencies, local authorities, trade associations, transport consultants, transport operators and universities, as well as individual professionals. Corporate membership of the Group is £50, personal membership £22.50, and student membership £10. For further information about TSUG and membership, please visit the website at <u>www.tsug.org.uk</u> or contact:

<u>TSUG Membership Secretary</u> Nina Webster C/o Strategy and Service Development London Underground Ltd. Transport for London Room 494 (4th Floor) 55 Broadway London SW1H 0BD

Tel: 020 7027 8340 Fax: 020 7918 4580 Email: <u>nina.webster@tube.tfl.gov.uk</u> <u>TSUG Representative for Scotland</u> Dr Jock Robertson

Tel: 01529 497354 Mobile: 07712 750658 Email: robertson@rtclincs.co.uk

A NATIONAL STATISTICS PUBLICATION FOR SCOTLAND

The United Kingdom Statistics Authority has designated these statistics as National Statistics, in accordance with the Statistics and Registration Service Act 2007 and signifying compliance with the Code of Practice for Official Statistics.

Designation can be broadly interpreted to mean that the statistics:

- · meet identified user needs;
- · are well explained and readily accessible;
- · are produced according to sound methods, and
- are managed impartially and objectively in the public interest.

Once statistics have been designated as National Statistics it is a statutory requirement that the Code of Practice shall continue to be observed.

Further information about Official and National Statistics can be found on the UK Statistics Authority website at www.statisticsauthority.gov.uk

SCOTTISH GOVERNMENT STATISTICIAN GROUP

Our Aim

To provide relevant and reliable information, analysis and advice that meets the needs of government, business and the people of Scotland.

For more information on the Statistician Group, please see the Scottish Government website at www.scotland.gov.uk/statistics

Correspondence and enguiries

Enquiries on this publication should be addressed to:

Enquiries on this praddressed to:	ublication	should	be	General enquiries on Scottish Government statistics can be addressed to:
Andrew Knight				Office of the Chief Statistician
Transport Scotland				Scottish Government
Analytical Services				1N.04, St Andrews House
Area 2F(North) Victoria C	Quay			EDINBURGH EH1 3DG
Edinburgh EH6 6QQ				Telephone: (0131) 244 0302
Telephone: 0131 244 72	56;			e-mail: statistics.enquiries@scotland.gsi.gov.uk
Fax: 0131 244 7281				

Further contact details, e-mail addresses and details of previous and forthcoming publications can be found on the Scottish Government Website at http://www.transportscotland.gov.uk/analysis/statistics

Complaints and suggestions

e-mail: Transtat@transportscotland.gsi.gov.uk

If you are not satisfied with our service, please write to the Chief Statistician, 1N.04, St Andrews House, Edinburgh, EH1 3DG, Telephone: (0131) 244 0302. We also welcome any comments or suggestions that would help us to improve our standards of service.

ScotStat

If you would like to be consulted about new or existing statistical collections or receive notification of forthcoming statistical publications, please register your interest on the Scottish Government ScotStat website at www.scotland.gov.uk/scotstat

Most recent editions of Transport Statistics Publications - available here http://www.transportscotland.gov.uk/analysis/statistics/publications

Ref no.	Title	Last published	Price
	Scottish Transport Statistics	December 2011	
Trn / 2010 / 2	Main Transport Trends – Now part of TATIS	August 2010	Web only
Trn / 2012 / 2	Transport and Travel in Scotland	August 2012	Web only
Trn / 2010 / 3	Household Transport – Now part of TATIS	September 2010	Web only
	SHS Transport: Local Area Analysis	September 2011	Web only
	National Travel Survey Scottish results	March 2012	Web only
	Bus and Coach Statistics	February 2012	Web only
	Reported Road Casualties Scotland	October 2011	
Trn / 2012 /1	Key Reported Road Casualty Statistics	June 2012	Web only
	Scottish Household Survey Travel Diary results	November 2011	Web only

ISSN 1351 3869 ISBN To be inserted by APS

Crown Copyright

Brief extracts from the Crown Copyright material in this publication may be reproduced provided the source is fully acknowledged.