## Consultation response form – EU Regulation on Passenger Rights

Part 1 - Information about you

Name	JOHN M BALLANTINE	
Address	8-1 NORTH WERBER PLACE, EDINBURGH	
Postcode	EH4 1TF	
email	Jb011a2549@blueyonder.co.uk	
Company Name or Organisation (if applicable)	MOBILITY AND ACCESS COMMITTEE FOR SCOTLAND	
Please tick one box from the list below that best describes you /your company or organisation.		
	Small to Medium Enterprise (up to 50 employees)	
	Large Company	
X 🗌	Representative Organisation	
	Trade Union	
	Interest Group	
	Local Government	
	Central Government	
	Police	
	Member of the public	
	Other (please describe):	
If you are responding on behalf of an organisation or interest group how many members do you have and how did you obtain the views of your members: These comments (which have been approved by Anne Maclean, the Convenor of MACS) are being submitted to my DPTAC Colleagues in the hope of influencing the DPTAC Response. The MACS comments are being submitted through DPTAC. MACS is not submitting its own Response to the Consultation. I should be very pleased to discuss these comments with my DPTAC colleagues		

If you would like your response or personal details to be treated **confidentiall**y please explain why:

Confidentiality is not Sought

## **PART 2 - Your comments**

1.	Yes 🗌	No X	
Please explain your reasons and add any additional topics on which you would wish to see further guidance: The Question is peculiarly expressed and does not relate to the Question number 1 in the Consultation. In any event I do not have access to any statistical information relating to the matters raised.			
2.	YES	NO 🗌	
Please explain your reasons and add any additional make:	l onal comments	you wish to	
Not Relevant			
3. (Exemption A)	YES	NO X	
Please explain your reasons and add any additional make:	onal comments	you wish to	
The Government claims to be committed to achieving equality for disabled people (see for example the first sentence to the Foreword to the recent DPTAC Consultation where it says that "The Government wishes to achieve equality for disabled people", yet it would be possible to read the entire paperwork in this Consultation without becoming aware of any such commitment. The impression given is that the commitment by the Government to disability equality is so weak that it cannot compete against the temptation to save the bus and coach industry the sum of about £900,000 a year. This is an extremely small amount of money set against the number of disabled bus and coach passenger journeys (estimated to be about 1,600,000 a year. The whole approach in the document where the rights of disabled passengers are treated as a cost to be set against benefits to bus and coach companies and others is wrong.			
4.	YES	NO 🗌	
Please explain your reasons and add any additional make: Not Relevant	onal comments	you wish to	

5. (Exemption B)	YES	NO 🗆 X	
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:			
See the answer to Question 3 above.			
6. (Exemption C)	YES 🗌	NO 🗆 X	
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:			
It is estimated that about 75 per cent of bus and coach drivers have already completed disability awareness training so the case for exempting the remaining twenty per cent of drivers is not strong. The need for disability awareness training is demonstrated by the number of complaints from disabled people regarding to poor attitudes displayed towards them by bus and coach drivers.			
7. (EXEMPTION D)	YES X	NO 🗌	
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:			
The Government admits that existing legislation does not provide a similar level of protection as the EU legislation under consideration.			
8. (EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT)	YES L	NO LX	
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:			
The whole basis on which the Impact Assessment has been prepared is flawed. The rights of disabled people are treated simply as a cost to be set against the benefits to bus and coach operators of the rights not existing. In effect disabled people are being asked to put a monetary value on their			

rights (for example the right to passenger assistance means that a disabled persoloved one or go on holiday such a right may be virights the Government wishes to exempt make page 7 of the Impact Assessment) yet on Page 8 that the costs of the EU Regulation are likely to be revenues and costs of the bus and coach industry, on page 8 that disabled people will be morpassengers and page 9 of the Impact Assess negative impact on disabled people. In view of s conclude that the commitment by the Government very weak indeed.	on can for exact ery valuable is up quite a look the Governrown The Governrown affected ment admits uch an impact	ample visit a ndeed. The ng list (see nent admits lative to the nent admits than other a medium of one must	
9. (Enforcement)	YES X	NO 🗌	
Please explain your reasons and add any addition make:	al comments	you wish to	
There does not seem to be a need for someone concerned with disability equality to answer Questions 9 to 17 in any detail. In general the approach suggested appears reasonable with the emphasis being placed on civil enforcement through the Traffic Commissioners (instead of criminal prosecution,) the use of weights and measure authorities, and having complained handled by the Bus Appeals Body, London Travelwatch, and Bus Passengers Platform in Scotland.			
10.	YES	NO 🗌	
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to make:			
See Answer to Question 9 above.			
11.	YES	NO 🗌	
Please explain your reasons and add any addition make:	al comments	you wish to	

12.	YES	NO 🗌
Please explain your reasons and add any addition make:	nal comments	you wish to
See Answer to Question 9 above.		
13.	YES	NO 🗌
Please explain your reasons and add any addition	lal comments	vou wish to
make:		<i>y</i> = 1 - 111211 13
See Answer to Question 9 above.		
	VEC	NO $\square$
14.	YES L	NO L
Please explain your reasons and add any addition make:	nal comments	you wish to
See Answer to Question 9 above.		
	YES	NO 🗆
15.		
Please explain your reasons and add any addition make:	iai comments	you wish to
See Answer to Question 9 above.		
16.	YES 🗌	NO 🗌
Please explain your reasons and add any addition	l — — — nal comments	vou wish to
make:		,

See Answer to Question 9 above.		
See Answer to Question 9 above		
17.	YES 🗌	NO 📙
Please explain your reasons and add any addition make:	al comments	you wish to
See Answer to Question 9 above.		
18. (Designated Terminals.)	YES 🗌	NO 🗆 X
Please explain your reasons and add any additional comments you wish to		

make:

The whole purpose of having designated terminals is to have places where there are staff and facilities to assist disabled travellers and others. The requirement on a disabled traveller to give 36 hours notice of needing assistance and to arrive at least 30 minutes before the service leaves is discriminatory against disabled people and deprives them of the opportunity to travel on demand. But we cannot do anything about these matters because they are included in the Regulation. But the approach suggested by the Government of only designating terminals where they are served by at least 50,000 long-distance services a year will make matters much worse. This will result in only two designated terminals (one in Birmingham and one in London.) It is totally ridiculous that there will be no designated terminals in the whole of Scotland and Wales. The principled approach is surely to designate each of the ten or twenty terminals in the whole of Great Britain. It should be mentioned that many passenger journeys within Scotland involve travelling more than 155 miles (for example almost all journeys from the Scottish Central Belt to anywhere north of Inverness.) Because of the sparse population in some area the number of passenger journeys is not a reliable indicator of the importance of a Passenger Terminal.)