

- Legend**
- Proposed Scheme (as per Draft Orders)
 - SUDS (e.g. Basin or Pond)*
 - Calculation Area
 - Sample Receptor

Location Name**	
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Minimum Future Year
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Something Baseline Year
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Something Future Year
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DMF)	
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DSB)	
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DSF)	

DMB = Do Minimum Baseline Year
 DMF = Do Minimum Future Year
 DSB = Do Something Baseline Year
 DSF = Do Something Future Year

* Actual shape of pond/basin will be subject to detailed design
 ** Predicted noise levels at the least beneficial façade for each scenario comparison

Rev.	Rev. Date	Purpose of revision	OrigDwnl	Checkd	Rev'd	Apprv'd
0	NOV 2016	ES Publication	KA	KF	BMCK	EHG

JACOBS
 36 Belford Street, Glasgow, G2 7HX, UK
 Tel: +44(0)141 243 8000 Fax: +44(0)141 226 3109
 www.jacobs.com

Client

 TRANSPORT SCOTLAND
 COMHDAHL ALBA


Project



A96
 DUALLING
 INVERNESS TO NAIRN
 (Incl. Nairn Bypass)

Drawing title
Figure 8.18a
Environmental Statement
Sample Receptor Predicted Night-time Noise Levels
(Ground Floor)

Drawing Status	FINAL	Sheet 1 of 12
Scale	1:10,000 @ A3	DO NOT SCALE
Jacobs No.	B2103500	
BIM No.		
Drawing number	B2103500/EN/EIA/DR/818a	Rev 0

This drawing is not to be used in whole or part other than for the intended purpose and project as defined on this drawing. Refer to the contract for full terms and conditions.





Legend

- Proposed Scheme (as per Draft Orders)
- SUDS (e.g. Basin or Pond)*
- Calculation Area
- Sample Receptor

Location Name**	
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Minimum Future Year
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Something Baseline Year
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Something Future Year
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DMF)	
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DSB)	
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DSF)	

DMB = Do Minimum Baseline Year
 DMF = Do Minimum Future Year
 DSB = Do Something Baseline Year
 DSF = Do Something Future Year

* Actual shape of pond/basin will be subject to detailed design
 ** Predicted noise levels at the least beneficial façade for each scenario comparison

Rev.	Rev. Date	Purpose of revision	OrigDwnl	Checkd	Rev'd	Apprv'd
0	NOV 2016	ES Preparation	KA	KF	BMCK	EHG

JACOBS
 36 Belford Street, Glasgow, G2 7HX, UK
 Tel: +44(0)141 243 8000 Fax: +44(0)141 226 3109
 www.jacobs.com



A95
DUALLING
 INVERNESS TO NAIRN
 (Incl. Nairn Bypass)

Figure 8.18b
Environmental Statement
Sample Receptor Predicted Night-time Noise Levels
(Ground Floor)


Drawing Status	FINAL		Sheet 2 of 12.
Scale	1:10,000 @ A3	DO NOT SCALE	
Jacobs No.	B2103500		
BIM No.			
Drawing number	B2103500/EN/EIA/DR/818b	Rev	0





The Bungalow	
38.1	37.8
38.4	46.4
37.4	46.1
Slight Beneficial	
Large/ Very Large Adverse	
Moderate/ Large Adverse	

Thornhill	
46.8	47.0
35.4	37.8
35.4	38.5
Slight Adverse	
Slight/ Moderate Adverse	
Slight/ Moderate Adverse	



- Legend**
- Proposed Scheme (as per Draft Orders)
 - SUDS (e.g. Basin or Pond)*
 - Calculation Area
 - Sample Receptor

Location Name**	
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Minimum Future Year
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Something Baseline Year
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Something Future Year
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DMF)	
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DSB)	
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DSF)	

DMB = Do Minimum Baseline Year
 DMF = Do Minimum Future Year
 DSB = Do Something Baseline Year
 DSF = Do Something Future Year

* Actual shape of pond/basin will be subject to detailed design
 ** Predicted noise levels at the least beneficial façade for each scenario comparison


Rev.	Rev. Date	Purpose of revision	OrigDwnl	Checkd	Rev'd	Apprv'd
0	NOV 2016	ES Preparation	KA	KF	BMCK	EHO



Drawing title: **Figure 8.18c Environmental Statement Sample Receptor Predicted Night-time Noise Levels (Ground Floor)**


Drawing Status	FINAL		Sheet 3 of 12
Scale	1:10,000 @ A3	DO NOT SCALE	
Jacobs No.	B2103500		
BIM No.			
Drawing number	B2103500/EN/EIA/DR/818c	Rev	0





Morayston Farmhouse	
38.2	38.3
29.9	40.0
29.9	40.8
Slight Adverse	
Large/ Very Large Adverse	
Large/ Very Large Adverse	

3 Kerrowaird Cottages	
60.4	60.5
37.8	44.1
37.8	44.9
Slight Adverse	
Large/ Very Large Adverse	
Moderate/ Large Adverse	



- Legend**
- Proposed Scheme (as per Draft Orders)
 - SUDS (e.g. Basin or Pond)*
 - Calculation Area
 - Sample Receptor

Location Name**	
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Minimum Future Year
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Something Baseline Year
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Something Future Year
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DMF)	
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DSB)	
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DSF)	

DMB = Do Minimum Baseline Year
 DMF = Do Minimum Future Year
 DSB = Do Something Baseline Year
 DSF = Do Something Future Year

* Actual shape of pond/basin will be subject to detailed design
 ** Predicted noise levels at the least beneficial façade for each scenario comparison

Rev.	Rev. Date	Purpose of revision	OrigDwnl	Checkd	Rev'd	Apprv'd
0	NOV 2016	ES Preparation	KA	KF	BMCK	EHO

JACOBS
 36 Belford Street, Glasgow, G2 7NE, UK
 Tel: +44(0)141 243 8000 Fax: +44(0)141 226 3109
 www.jacobs.com


Client: **TRANSPORT SCOTLAND**
 COMHDAE ALBA

Project: **A96 DUALLING**
 INVERNESS TO NAIRN
 (Incl. Nairn Bypass)

Drawing title: **Figure 8.18d Environmental Statement Sample Receptor Predicted Night-time Noise Levels (Ground Floor)**

Sheet 4 of 12		
Drawing Status	FINAL	
Scale	1:10,000 @ A3	DO NOT SCALE
Jacobs No.	B2103500	
BIM No.		
Drawing number	B2103500/EN/EIA/DR/818d	Rev 0





- Legend**
- Proposed Scheme (as per Draft Orders)
 - ▭ SUDS (e.g. Basin or Pond)*
 - - - Calculation Area
 - Sample Receptor

Location Name**	
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Minimum Future Year
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Something Baseline Year
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Something Future Year
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DMF)	
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DSB)	
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DSF)	

DMB = Do Minimum Baseline Year
 DMF = Do Minimum Future Year
 DSB = Do Something Baseline Year
 DSF = Do Something Future Year

* Actual shape of pond/basin will be subject to detailed design
 ** Predicted noise levels at the least beneficial façade for each scenario comparison

Rev.	Rev. Date	Purpose of revision	OrigDwnl	Checkd	Rev'd	Apprv'd
0	NOV 2016	ES Preparation	KA	KF	BMCK	EHO



Drawing title
**Figure 8.18e
 Environmental Statement
 Sample Receptor Predicted Night-time Noise Levels
 (Ground Floor)**


Sheet 5 of 12

Drawing Status	FINAL	
Scale	1:10,000 @ A3	DO NOT SCALE
Jacobs No.	B2103500	
BIM No.		
Drawing number	B2103500/EN/EIA/DR/818e	Rev 0

This drawing is not to be used in whole or part other than for the intended purpose and project as defined on this drawing. Refer to the contract for full terms and conditions.


Woodend House	
32.0	33.0
31.4	37.2
31.4	38.0
Slight Adverse	
Large/ Very Large Adverse	
Moderate/ Large Adverse	

1 Culblair Farm Cottages	
38.3	38.4
29.2	42.0
29.2	42.9
Slight Adverse	
Large/ Very Large Adverse	
Large/ Very Large Adverse	



© Crown copyright and database right 2016.
 All rights reserved. Ordnance Survey Licence number 100046668.






Milton of Braichlaich Farm	
39.1	39.2
28.7	34.6
28.7	35.3
Slight Adverse	
Large/ Very Large Adverse	
Moderate/ Large Adverse	

The Gate Lodge	
42.4	42.6
35.2	35.6
35.2	36.3
Slight Adverse	
Slight Adverse	
Slight Adverse	

Brackley Farmhouse	
39.9	40.5
40.8	43.3
40.8	43.0
Slight Adverse	
Slight/ Moderate Adverse	
Slight Adverse	



- Legend**
- Proposed Scheme (as per Draft Orders)
 - SUDS (e.g. Basin or Pond)*
 - Calculation Area
 - Sample Receptor

Location Name**	
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Minimum Future Year
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Something Baseline Year
Do Minimum Baseline Year	Do Something Future Year
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DMF)	
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DSB)	
Significance of Impact (DMB vs DSF)	

DMB = Do Minimum Baseline Year
 DMF = Do Minimum Future Year
 DSB = Do Something Baseline Year
 DSF = Do Something Future Year

* Actual shape of pond/basin will be subject to detailed design
 ** Predicted noise levels at the least beneficial façade for each scenario comparison

Rev.	Rev. Date	Purpose of revision	Orig/Dwnl	Checkd	Rev'd	Apprv'd
0	NOV 2016	ES Publication	KA	KF	BMCK	EHO

JACOBS
 36 Belford Street, Glasgow, G2 7HF, UK
 Tel: +44(0)141 243 8000 Fax: +44(0)141 226 3109
 www.jacobs.com

Client

 TRANSPORT SCOTLAND
 COMHDAE ALBA

Project

A96
 DUALLING
 INVERNESS TO NAIRN
 (Incl. Nairn Bypass)

Drawing title
Figure 8.18f
Environmental Statement
Sample Receptor Predicted Night-time Noise Levels
(Ground Floor)

Drawing Status	FINAL	
Scale	1:10,000 @ A3	DO NOT SCALE
Jacobs No.	B2103500	
BIM No.		
Drawing number	B2103500/EN/EIA/DR/818f	Rev 0

This drawing is not to be used in whole or part other than for the intended purpose and project as defined on this drawing. Refer to the contract for full terms and conditions.

