

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
2	Section A: General or generic commitments and undertakings that have been given that have general application						
3	6	C Flint	10/04/2010	Code of Construction Practice, reference to fishing interests	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Flint - ref Forth Crossing Bill/006/Objection/Response - para 7 and Official Report:10 March 2010 Forth Crossing Bill Committee (Col 139)		I can confirm, as we mentioned at the evidence session on 10 March 2010, that we will ensure that the contractor, under the terms of the Code of Construction Practice, includes Fishing Interests within the parties that will be consulted and advised of the Marine Traffic Management Plan. The plan will include details of the procedures to be implemented to manage construction works within the marine environment.
4	12	Mr Faulds	26/07/2010	Hopetoun Road / Society Road	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Faulds - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 012 / objection / corres - para 2	Traffic Management on Society Road	I am pleased to confirm that the junction between the haul road and Society Road will be traffic managed and that once the haul road is constructed the contractor will not be permitted to use any part of Hopetoun Road / Society road to undertake construction activities outwith the Act limits. Accordingly, we will amend the Code of Construction Practice to reflect this binding commitment.
5	16	J Harper	19/04/2010	Environmental benefits of B800	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mrs Harper - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 016 / Objection / response - para 10		In addition to our comments regarding the environmental benefits described in the Environmental Statement at the B800, I would also note that footpaths will be provided on both sides of the road and the remodelling of Ferrymuir roundabout will include pedestrian crossing facilities.
6	17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 25	M Mcluskey on behalf of Kirklands Park residents	03/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice - start up times	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 03 September 2010 - Col 335 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing3September2010.pdf		...there will have to be a further revision to the code of construction practice for this project, because we also have the start-up time commencing 30 minutes in advance of normal working hours on a Saturday, so we would have to amend that to be wholly in line with the committee's wishes that the provisions in the Airdrie to Bathgate code of construction practice are reflected accurately.
7	25	Linlithgow Cycling Action Group	27/04/2010	Addition to the contract documents for the scheme construction.	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Alastair Morrison - ref: Forth Replacement Crossing Bill/025/Objections/Response - para 21		Inclusion of the below into the contract documents for the scheme construction: <i>The TMWG and the Traffic Safety and Control Officer and the contractor will ensure that the continuity of directional signing on National Cycle Routes and other existing routes signposted for cyclists is maintained at all times through areas affected by the construction.</i>
8	25	Linlithgow Cycling Action Group	02/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice - 4.2.6	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 03 September 2010 - Col 327 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing3September2010.pdf		Perhaps we can give a slight clarification that it is pedestrian, equestrian and cyclist routes, and clarify that both existing routes and proposed diversions to those routes are meant. We may be able to find wording to clarify that routes could be jointly used by those groups or individually used - a cycle track could be used jointly by pedestrians and cyclists, whereas a footway could be used by pedestrians only, for example. We can probably amend the wording to cover that point.
9	26	Society Road Residents	02/08/2010	Haul road	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: Society Road (Group 25) Para 14.2.1.(1) http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group25TSresponse2.pdf		Paragraph 4.7.5 of the Code of Construction Practice was amended to clarify that the contractor will not be permitted to undertake construction activities on the Hopetoun Road/Society Road outwith the Act limits once the haul road is constructed (refer to paragraph 3.3.2 of this submission).
10	26	Society Road Residents	02/08/2010	Haul road	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: Society Road (Group 25) Para 14.2.2 (2) http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group25TSresponse2.pdf		The haul road, in the vicinity of properties, will have a bituminous surface.
11	26	Society Road Residents	30/08/2010	Code of Construction Practice 5.2.9	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 30 August 2010 - col 33 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearingmorning30August2010.pdf		Cross reference at section 5.2.9 so as to cover cumulative noise impact.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
12	26	Society Road Residents	30/08/2010	Code of Construction Practice - complaints procedure - 2.3.1	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 30 August 2010 - col 19 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearingmorning30August2010.pdf		The Assessor: The number of complaints will be published, and where in general the complaint came from, what it was and how it had been dealt with will be recorded. Those are the three fundamentals. Lawrence Shackman: Yes. The Assessor: Thank you very much.
13	30	Kirkliston Community Council	03/09/2010	Amendment to Forth Crossing Bill - section 70 and 71	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 03 September 2010 - col 339 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing3September2010.pdf		Andy Mackay: Yes. In fact, it is both. I will start with the bill. The promoter intends to lodge an amendment for consideration by the bill committee at stage 2 that would change the provisions that have been referred to as restricting the local authorities' ability to serve notice under the Control of Pollution Act 1974. It is intended to leave that ability with the local authorities. As part of that proposed amendment, it is intended to introduce a defence for the contractor in relation to any notice that is served that the works are being carried out in accordance with the code of construction practice. That includes, for example, the provisions in the working hours and noise and vibration sections, which are of particular relevance.
14	33	Clufflat and Clufflat Brae Residents	10/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice paragraph 2.2.2	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 10 September 2010 - col 719 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing10September2010.pdf		The Assessor: Given that you have had to explain the word "will", will you consider inserting another clause to emphasise the fact that the effects of construction activities will be mitigated in advance? Andy Mackay: Yes, sir, we can include in the paragraph an additional clarification of the timing of consultation.
15	33	Clufflat and Clufflat Brae Residents	10/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice glossary	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 10 September 2010 - col 721 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing10September2010.pdf		The Assessor: so it is something else. In that case, it would be helpful, certainly to me, if there was an additional definition in the glossary to indicate what the phrase "accommodation works" refers to. Andy Mackay: Yes, we can add that.
16	33	Clufflat and Clufflat Brae Residents	10/09/2010	Community engagement	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 10 September 2010 - col 723 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing10September2010.pdf		The Assessor: I know that the Forth Crossing Bill Committee is very interested in this matter. The engagement will be a two-way process, and, on occasion, you will go directly to the groups if you feel that there is something that they ought to know, rather than just putting information on a website or in a newsletter. It would involve active participation by the contractor or Transport Scotland, which ever was the lead party. Is that where your evidence on this particular matter is coming from? Andy Mackay: Yes, that is correct. The Assessor: And that is a commitment that Transport Scotland is making? Andy Mackay: Yes, we are content to make that commitment.
17	35	Springfield Residents Group	06/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice - shift workers	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 06 September 2010 - Col 396 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing6September2010.pdf		Sir, you have identified a matter on which our code of construction practice is probably slightly deficient—issues concerning people who are shift workers. At paragraph 5.2.13 of the code, we have applied the Noise Insulation (Scotland) Regulations 1975, and we have specified the criteria for their application. If I read you correctly, sir, our application of those regulations has not drawn out the issue in view, which involves special cases involving shift workers and the aspects that Mr Greer discussed. In the light of that, we will return with an amendment to the code of construction practice to make it clear that, in exceptional, special circumstances, consideration will be given to noise insulation, and that those exceptional, special circumstances will apply where it can be demonstrated that somebody is affected through no fault of their own or through their working patterns. However, we will have to treat each case very much on an individual basis, and there will have to be a clear demonstration of the issues in view.
18	40	Linn Mill Residents Association	15/07/2010	Sustainable Urban Drainage System (SUDS)	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Ross - ref Forth Crossing Bill - 040 / obj / corress - para 6		As discussed at the meeting the facility will definitely be a basin. It will be enclosed so as to prevent unauthorised access and there will be planting surrounding the facility. The planting will consist of mixed woodland, scrub woodland and species rich in grassland.
19	40	Linn Mill Residents Association	24/08/2010	Barrier - Main Crossing	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Ross - ref Forth Crossing Bill /040/Obj/ Corress - para 1 - second sentence		We have reviewed the matter and have decided to extend that barrier seawards so that it matches the one on the east side on the basis that it provides a visually balanced design.
20	47	Hopetoun Estate and Trust	27/04/2010	Community forum for consultation	Letter from Transport Scotland to Messrs Noble and de Salis - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 047 / Objection / Response - para 92	Code of Construction Practice	We have advised the Forth Crossing Bill committee that we also intend to establish a community forum for consultations during the construction stages with the community councils. This will provide a structured forum for the views of public and adjacent landowners to be communicated to the project team outside of, for example, the consultation with you referred to previously regarding traffic matters.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
21	65	Mr Gallagher on behalf of Queensferry and District Community Council	22/04/2010	Community forum for consultation	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Gallagher - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 065 / Objection / Response - para 74		We have advised the Forth Crossing Bill committee that we also intend to establish a community forum for consultations during the construction stages. We will seek to discuss and agree the format of the consultation with you in due course. We would intend to agree a structured agenda which focuses on construction progress, planned works and any matters which may be of interest or concern to communities. The consultation will be attended by our Employer's Representative and Community Liaison Officer, together with the contractor's community liaison officer and other key members of his site team as may be necessary, to cover the matters to be discussed at the consultation.
22	65	Mr Gallagher on behalf of Queensferry and District Community Council	22/04/2010	Notification to local residents	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Gallagher - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 065 / Objection / Response - para 76		... this will include, as a minimum, direct correspondence and/or mail drops.
23	65	Mr Gallagher on behalf of Queensferry and District Community Council	16/07/2010	Loss of through-route at the Echline fields	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Gallagher - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 065 / Obj / Corress - para 8 - second sentence		I can confirm , however that routes between Linn Mill and South Queensferry via Society Road will remain operational during construction and indeed Society Road will be improved by the provision of foot/cycleways.
24	65	Mr Gallagher on behalf of Queensferry and District Community Council	16/07/2010	Section 60 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 and Sections 80 and 82 of Environment Protection Act 1990	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Gallagher - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 065 / Obj / Corress - para 17 - first sentence		... the Scottish Ministers will bring forward an amendment to the Forth Crossing Bill at Stage 2 which does not restrict the application of Section 60 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 Sections 80 and 82 of Environment Protection Act 1990 and the ability of the local authorities to issue notices. It is now intended to retain the ability of the local authorities to issue notices.
25	65	Mr Gallagher on behalf of Queensferry and District Community Council	16/07/2010	Living accommodation at the construction compounds.	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Gallagher - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 065 / Obj / Corress - para 22	Code of Construction Practice	... we will bring forward an amendment to the Code of Construction Practice to clarify that living accommodation at the construction compounds will only be provided for staff where necessary for the purposes of security of the site or the works.
26	65	Mr Gallagher on behalf of Queensferry and District Community Council	16/07/2010	Hard shoulder running	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Gallagher - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 065 / Obj / Corress - para 32		I can for the avoidance of doubt confirm that the bus hard shoulder running on the Forth Replacement Crossing will operate between the Queensferry and Ferrytoll Junctions providing that both of the following conditions apply: (i) restrictions are applied to the Forth Road Bridge due to an incident, weather or maintenance, and (ii) the mainline across the Forth Replacement Crossing is congested (could be caused by incidents (eg accidents) or flow breakdown due to volume of traffic) and the variable mandatory speed limit is in operation.
27	65	Mr Gallagher on behalf of Queensferry and District Community Council	10/08/2010	30mph speed limit - B800/A904	Email from Transport Scotland to Mr Gallagher - 10 August 2010, 17:54		I can also advise that 30mph speed limits have been agreed with With of Edinburgh Council on the B800 to commence at a point just south of the Golf Club entrance and along the A904 between Echline Junction and the proposed South Queensferry Junction.
28	65	Queensferry and District Community Council	08/09/2010	Traffic monitoring on local roads	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 08 September 2010 - Col 629 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing8September2010.pdf		Ruth Crawford: Reverting to the rebuttal, I pick up on the fourth bullet point under the "Construction" heading on page 10, and the desired change that "Traffic levels are constantly monitored to minimise the impact on the communities". I shall not read it all out. Am I right in understanding that the code of construction practice sets out detailed provisions relative to traffic at least? Andy Mackay: Yes, it does—throughout section 4. Monitoring, in particular, is covered in section 4.8. One point, which I do not think we have heard before, that is worth noting at this stage is that Transport Scotland has been consulting local authorities regarding monitoring traffic levels on local roads. That will include pre-construction, during construction and post-construction monitoring, and we will agree the locations for permanent traffic counters with the local authority and will install them prior to construction works commencing.
29	65	Queensferry and District Community Council	08/09/2010	Buffer zone of 50m - Echline Fields	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 08 September 2010 - Col 634 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing8September2010.pdf		Lawrence Shackman: To clarify, office accommodation and other such facilities will be on the west side of the new road at the location that Mr Mackay has mentioned. On the east side of the road, as I think Mr Glover mentioned last week, we intend there to be an area that will be designated for the contractor to lay down materials and plant. There will be controls on when the plant and materials can be accessed, in accordance with the code of construction practice. Fundamentally, we will ensure that there is a buffer zone of normally 50m between the built-up area and that lay-down area. Further to the north, the distance will have to taper down to tie in with the fence that will be erected to protect the Clufflats where the haul road necessarily starts to get close to Society Road. However, the distance will normally be 50m. We will endeavour to increase that through consultation with the contractor to try to minimise the area of land that he may need to lay down materials. It could be that topsoil strip will sit on the area for a length of time, which could provide further noise and visual mitigation. The area

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
1	65	Queensferry and District Community Council	08/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice - health impacts	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 08 September 2010 - Col 654 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing8September2010.pdf		The Assessor: Could I try to help you out, having been unhelpful a moment or two ago? Mr Mackay, do you recall a concession that was made by the promoter to be incorporated in the code of construction practice that were there to be persons who identified themselves as being at health risk, they could have the merits of their case looked at and some remedial action taken? I think that we dealt with the matter in connection with sleep deprivation, but the concession is not restricted to that and could extend to others, such as asthmatics, who might be concerned with particulates and so on. Am I cutting into the type of thing that concerns you, sir? Douglas Flett: Yes. The Assessor: I ask Mr Mackay to answer the question, after which I will ask for Mr Flett's view. Andy Mackay: The answer is a straightforward yes.
30	65	Queensferry and District Community Council	08/09/2010	New South Queensferry Junction pedestrian crossing (toucan crossing)	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 08 September 2010 - Col 658 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing8September2010.pdf		Alan Gillies: Yes. I am trying to think how best to describe what we are providing. Where the B924 comes down and connects with the A904, there will be a crossing on the B924 and on the east side of the A904. That will allow pedestrians to cross on either side of the A904. There will also be a path on the north and south sides of the A904 that effectively splits to go either side of the structures. On the slip roads, where vehicles are coming off the roundabout, the pedestrian signals will be on call. Dr Summers: Pedestrian signals? That is the clarification that I am looking for. Are the signals just for pedestrians or are they for pedestrians and cyclists? Alan Gillies: No, they are for pedestrians and cyclists. The path will be a footway/cycleway and will connect through to the west side. Dr Summers: So, to clarify, all the arms of the new Queensferry junction—the roundabout or gyratory—will have toucan crossings. Alan Gillies: Yes. They will facilitate pedestrians and cyclists.
31	65	Queensferry and District Community Council	08/09/2010	Shuttle service, Forth Replacement Crossing	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 08 September 2010 - Col 660 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing8September2010.pdf		Lawrence Shackman: In a similar fashion to the present situation. The idea is that if cyclists or pedestrians are stranded a shuttle would be laid on, as is done by FETA at times of need, to get people across the bridge. We would not want people using the motorway—the new bridge—to traverse the Forth. We would have to consider providing some form of additional transport across at those difficult times. Lawrence Shackman: It is something that we are working on. We are working on a number of things with FETA with regard to what happens with both single-decker and double-decker buses when wind closes the existing bridge. We are taking into account how they can be rerouted and how some appropriate signage can be put in place. This is an important matter to you, and we are currently working through it. Please be assured that the point will be fully considered.
32	65	Queensferry and District Community Council	08/09/2010	Signalised crossing south of Ferrymuir	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 08 September 2010 - Col 660 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing8September2010.pdf	Investigation and consultation with City of Edinburgh Council concluded that it would not be practical to progress the proposal.	Dr Mitchell: Before the hearing, we discussed briefly with Mr Shackman and Mr Henderson the Ferrymuir junction and the B800. Please correct me if I am wrong, but I have been informed by Transport Scotland that there will not be a pedestrian or cycle crossing south of the Ferrymuir junction on the B800. Lawrence Shackman: There will be an unsignalised crossing. However, we are happy to consider whether a signalised crossing should be provided there, although the road will be maintained and owned by the City of Edinburgh Council, so we will have to discuss the issue with it. We will look into that for you. Dr Mitchell: So, as far as you are concerned, that is an on-going issue. Lawrence Shackman: Yes—we will look at that. Frazer Henderson: Just to clarify, the Scottish ministers are providing an undertaking to the objectors to investigate that matter.
33	65	Queensferry and District Community Council	08/09/2010	Forth Crossing Bill; Sections 70 and 71	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 08 September 2010 - Col 627 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing8September2010.pdf		Andy Mackay (Jacobs Arup Joint Venture): The written evidence that we intend to bring out will contain some changes that we intend to bring to the committee for consideration. They relate to local authority powers and the Control of Pollution Act 1974.
34							

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
35	67	Dr Juliette Summers	23/09/2010	Cycling - south Queensferry	Email issued by Frazer Henderson (Transport Scotland) to objector. Email copied to local MSP and Queensferry & District Community Council		The engineers have concluded the feasibility of providing a path/cycle route through Echline field, under the proposed southern bridge abutment and then back to the A904 along the western edge of the embankment of the proposed southern approach road to the proposed replacement bridge. The route is indeed feasible. Accordingly, I can advise that we will provide such a route under the proposed scheme. We will also provide a Toucan crossing, approximately 130 metres to the west of the proposed South Queensferry junction so as to enable walkers/cyclists to access the U221 without having to negotiate the junction.
36	71	Newton Community Council	08/03/2010	Newton Village traffic management	Stakeholder Contact Report Community Contact Report Community Councils south Residents Group		... to liaise with West Lothian Council and advise Newton Community Council about proposals regarding traffic calming measures.
37	71	Newton Community Council	07/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice revisions	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 07 September 2010 - Col 496 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing7September2010.pdf		Frazer Henderson: On item 6, which is the lack of community council consultation, I have given a number of undertakings that have been accepted by the objectors. Items 6, 7 and 8 are interrelated, and it is my understanding that the undertakings and the approach and manner that we have collectively adopted have addressed those issues.
38	71	Newton Community Council	07/09/2010	Pedestrian access, southern abutment	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 07 September 2010 - Col 511 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing7September2010.pdf		Nigel Weller: It essentially runs from the vicinity of Queensferry junction, so it does not use the main section of the A904 to Newton. There are various routes to the west of the main line that bring users down just to the west of the proposed Queensferry junction. On mitigation, we have already discussed the point that Society Road would not be severed, as it would pass underneath the proposed scheme. I point out that, since completion of the ES, an undertaking has been given that a path that is to be provided during construction on Society Road will be maintained during operation. That is a provision beyond what was assumed in the ES. We have discussed various routes across Echline fields. An undertaking has been given through design requirements since the publication of the ES that there will be a more direct access underneath the southern abutment between the two sustainable drainage system basins.
39	76	Dundas Castle Estate	02/08/2010	Dedicated bus link and the B800	Forth Crossing Bil Stage 2 - Consideration of Objections - Transport Scotland Written Submissions Relating to the Objection by Dundas Castle Estate (group 20) - 2 August 2010 - para 11.2.1 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group20TSresponse2.pdf		Transport Scotland has confirmed that the junction between the dedicated bus link and the B800 will be positioned further north within the limits of deviation.
40	81	Clufflat Brae and Clufflat	06/09/2010	Low road noise surface	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 06 September 2010 - Col 443 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing6September2010.pdf		The first is a commitment to a low-noise road surface, which will reduce levels by about 3dB.
41	82	Mr and Mrs Kirkcaldy	02/09/2010	Covered loads - Code of Construction Practice	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 02 September 2010 - col 242 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing2September2010.pdf		Michael Bull: A commitment has been given to cover dusty loads on lorries in the code of construction practice...
42	83	City of Edinburgh Council	13/09/2010	Traffic Management Working Group	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 13 September 2010 - Col 750 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing13September2010.pdf		With regard to section 2 of 7, which refers to alterations to roads legislation, parties have reached agreement and will determine through the workings of the traffic management working group an appropriate dispute resolution process.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
1	83	City of Edinburgh Council	13/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice and operation of noise management process	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 13 September 2010 - Col 757 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing13September2010.pdf		Frazer Henderson: Issues 1 and 4 concern the local authority's powers. It will be helpful for all parties if I state on the record two undertakings that we have given this morning, which the City of Edinburgh Council and West Lothian Council will welcome. First, the Scottish ministers have undertaken to look again at the wording in paragraphs of the code of construction practice that address maximum noise levels. We will redraft those paragraphs to improve their clarity in order to satisfy the local authorities and ourselves. Secondly, we have been developing a management plan with the councils, which will set out how the employer's representative will operate the noise management process. Accordingly, I am happy to give an undertaking on behalf of the Scottish ministers that they will operate the noise management process in accordance with that management plan, which will be agreed and finalised with the local authorities. I thought that it would be useful to say that because it may give a degree of context to the discussion that may ensue.
43							
44	84	West Lothian Council	02/08/2010	Traffic mitigation - Newton	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: West Lothian Council (Group 36) - 10.2.2 - 10.2.3 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group36TSresponse2.pdf		10.2.2 The Scottish Ministers will incorporate the proposed changes to strategic signing at Junction 2 of the M9 to redirect traffic away from Newton.
45	84	West Lothian Council	02/08/2010	Traffic mitigation - Newton	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: West Lothian Council (Group 36) - 10.2.2 - 10.2.3 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group36TSresponse2.pdf		10.2.3 The Scottish Ministers will continue to work with West Lothian Council and Newton Community Council to identify potential mitigation measures within Newton.
46	84	West Lothian Council	02/08/2010	Noise and Vibration	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: West Lothian Council (Group 36) - 10.2.4 - 10.2.5 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group36TSresponse2.pdf		10.2.4 The Scottish Ministers will bring forward amendments to the Code of Construction Practice relating to the control of noise and vibration, including criteria relating to maximum noise levels. 10.2.5 The Scottish Ministers will continue to work with the Council to seek to agree final amendments to the Code of Construction Practice regarding the control of noise and vibration.
47	84	West Lothian Council	02/08/2010	Contractor complaints	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: West Lothian Council (Group 36) - 10.2.6 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group36TSresponse2.pdf		10.2.6 The Scottish Ministers are content to remove the requirement for Councils to request information from the contractor's complaints register.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
1	84	West Lothian Council	02/08/2010	Public Transport	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: West Lothian Council (Group 36) - 10.2.1 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group36TSresponse2.pdf		10.2.1 The Scottish Ministers will continue working with City of Edinburgh, Fife and West Lothian Councils and SEStran in the delivery of complementary public transport measures which are being taken forward under the auspices of the Strategic Transport Project Review: Intervention 14: Forth Replacement Crossing – Public Transport Strategy, January 2010.
48	84	West Lothian Council	09/09/2010	Bus hard shoulder running on M9	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 09 September 2010 - Col 704 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing9September2010.pdf		Lawrence Shackman: To clarify, there are some modest items of work that we will include in the junction 1A contract to ensure that we do not have to go back and do unnecessary wholesale works at a future date.
49	84	West Lothian Council	09/09/2010	Newton mitigation measures	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 09 September 2010 - Col 706 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing9September2010.pdf		Graeme Malcolm: Can Transport Scotland confirm that, with the support of the council, it will ensure that a commitment is made in the project to deliver the proposed mitigation measures? Lawrence Shackman: That is correct.
50	84	West Lothian Council	13/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice, paragraph 2.3.1	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 13 September 2010 - Col 798 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing13September2010.pdf		In respect of oversight, it is also worth drawing to your attention the final bullet point in paragraph 2.3.1, on page 15 of the code of construction practice, which will ensure that the local authority has oversight on noise and nuisance matters: "The contractor will make appropriate information from the register available to local authorities. This may include, depending on the requirements of the local authority, both summary and detailed information". It goes on to list what the information will contain. We will make a further amendment to the code of construction practice to provide for temporal and geographical information about complaints—the timing of complaints and the areas where complaints were raised.
51	84	West Lothian Council	13/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice - Noise and Vibration	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 13 September 2010 - Col 800 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing13September2010.pdf		Frazer Henderson: We do not believe that maximum noise levels need be in the bill. I think—I hope that the objector will agree—that the matter is more appropriately placed in the code of construction practice, which is what we have done. It is appropriate for me to thank the objector, David Brewster, for his work in assisting us to provide appropriate text in chapter 5 of the code of construction practice. It is worth while bringing to your attention that we realise that there is a requirement for us to incorporate in the code of construction practice much more of our management plan, about which we spoke earlier. That would be of value to all concerned and would give a greater exposition of the issues in respect of maximum noise. We are in agreement with the objector on that matter.
52	84	West Lothian Council	13/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice - Noise Liaison Group	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 13 September 2010 - Col 803 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing13September2010.pdf		Frazer Henderson: It may help if I interject—it may be most helpful to Mr Brewster. It is our intention that the minutes and agendas of the noise liaison group will be a matter of public record. That will enable the public to see the considerations that are in the view of the employer's representative, and it will ensure that everyone on the group is truly focused as both the agendas and the minutes will be in the public domain.
53	84	West Lothian Council	13/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice - Noise Liaison Group	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 13 September 2010 - Col 804 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing13September2010.pdf		David Brewster: I am sorry. Do I have a specific undertaking that, where the code of construction practice uses terms such as "consider" and "take account of", there will be complete transparency on these matters, so that those who are affected can see not only that views have been heard but that they have been listened to? Andy Mackay: Yes—particularly through the noise liaison group. If you are making certain representations to the employer's representative through that group, you clearly have every right to expect, and will receive, an explanation of why your views were or were not followed through to the letter.
54	84	West Lothian Council	13/09/2010	Code of Construction Practice - Noise Liaison Group	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 13 September 2010 - Col 804 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing13September2010.pdf		David Brewster: I am sorry. Do I have a specific undertaking that, where the code of construction practice uses terms such as "consider" and "take account of", there will be complete transparency on these matters, so that those who are affected can see not only that views have been heard but that they have been listened to? Andy Mackay: Yes—particularly through the noise liaison group. If you are making certain representations to the employer's representative through that group, you clearly have every right to expect, and will receive, an explanation of why your views were or were not followed through to the letter.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
55	84	West Lothian Council	13/09/2010	Noise Liaison Group - Terms of Reference	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 13 September 2010 - Col 808 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing13September2010.pdf		Although it might not appear in the current draft terms of reference, I reassure Mr Brewster that in terms of the specific assessments of individual packages or phases of work, best practicable means will be a fundamental defence that the contractor must bring forward and will be subject to review by the employer's representative and the noise liaison group.
56	Statement of mandatory consultees	Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)	25/03/2010	Water Environment: watercourse sensitivity analysis morphological	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Farquhar - ref Forth Crossing Bill/SEPA/Statement/Response - para 15		Further discussion to be undertaken with SEPA regarding the use of morphological condition limits and the appropriate use of these will be made through the authorisation required by the Water Environment (Controlled Activities) (Scotland) Regulations 2005.
57	Statement of mandatory consultees	Historic Scotland	23/03/2010	Amendments to Code of Construction Practice	Letter from Transport Scotland to Ms Shaw - ref Forth Crossing Bill/Historic Scotland/Statement/Response - para 9 - second sentence		...you can be assured that Historic Scotland will be fully consulted regarding any amendment to the Code of Construction Practice or the Noise and Vibration policy.
58		The Scottish Parliament	02/02/2010	Society Road access	Official Report: 02 February 2010 Transport Infrastructure Climate Change Committee (Col 2516)		Society Road will be used for the duration of the construction of the marine works, but only the section adjoining the barracks. Access along the other parts will be limited to early mobilisation works. Access along Society Road for this purpose will only be necessary for the first few months of construction.
59		Jackson Carlaw - Forth Crossing Bill Committee	24/05/2010	Consultation and Engagement	Letter from Minister Stewart Stevenson to Jackson Carlaw 24 May 2010 - Response to recommendation 225. http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/20090524reponsefromSStevensonrestage1report.pdf		I also give my commitment to continuing to build upon what has worked well and on seeking to improve on areas where the feedback has indicated that people wish us to do better. The construction period is some 5 years and I will ensure that we seek input from local community councils for the ongoing engagement with the Community Liaison Team and that we review regularly, and if necessary adapt, these arrangements during the construction period.
60		Jackson Carlaw - Forth Crossing Bill Committee	24/05/2010	Community forum for consultation	Letter from Minister Stewart Stevenson to Jackson Carlaw 24 May 2010 - Response to recommendation 227-230. http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/20090524reponsefromSStevensonrestage1report.pdf		In addition to those aspects mentioned in paragraphs 227 - 230 of the Stage 1 Report, I would draw attention to particular commitments given during Stage 1 consideration, including: • Our commitment to create a forum which will be set up with each community council for consultation during the construction stages, at which we will discuss and agree the format and agenda with the community councils prior to construction works commencing. The consultation will be attended by the Employer's Representative the Community Liaison Officer, together with key members of the contractor's site team as may be necessary to cover the matters to be discussed; • Our commitment to consider any views expressed to us on the content project website to ensure it provides information which communities and residents find informative and valuable; • Our commitment to publish monitoring information (such as noise monitoring records), on the project website; and • Our commitment to publish the contractor's Environmental Management Plan and its subsidiary plans on the project website..
61		Jackson Carlaw - Forth Crossing Bill Committee	24/05/2010	Working hours Code of Construction Practice	Letter from Minister Stewart Stevenson to Jackson Carlaw 24 May 2010 - Response to recommendation 292. http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/20090524reponsefromSStevensonrestage1report.pdf		As requested by the Committee we will include this in an updated version of the Code of Construction Practice together with the commitment that heavy plant and machinery will not be started within the start-up period... As such, I propose to bring forward an additional amendment to the Code of Construction Practice to allow an extension of the normal working hours to commence at 7am subject to the approval of the Employer's Representative and the local authorities (with their approval being provided through the Noise Liaison Group I have referred to previously). This amendment will also be wholly in line with the provisions of the Airdrie to Bathgate Railway which provided a similar mechanism. In setting out that the consent of the Noise Liaison Group is required, this will ensure that proper consideration is given to noise and vibration issue prior to any extension of the normal working hours being approved.
62		Jackson Carlaw - Forth Crossing Bill Committee	25/05/2010	Ancillary Powers section 76(1)	Letter from Minister Stewart Stevenson to Jackson Carlaw 24 May 2010 - Response to recommendation 367. http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/20090524reponsefromSStevensonrestage1report.pdf		Having reflected on the matter I can confirm that the Scottish Government will bring forward at Stage 2 to give effect to the SLC recommendations in relation to the supplementary, incidental and consequential powers in section 76(1).

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
63		Jackson Carlaw - Forth Crossing Bill Committee	25/05/2010	Statement to Parliament regarding the tendering process.	Letter from Minister Stewart Stevenson to Jackson Carlaw 24 May 2010 - Response to recommendation 21 (Report by Finance Committee) http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/20090524reponsefromSStevensonrestage1report.pdf		Ministers will make a statement to the Parliament once the outcome of the tendering process is known and propose to issue formal letters indicating an intention to award the contract.
64		Jackson Carlaw - Forth Crossing Bill Committee	25/05/2010	Finance Committee - communication	Letter from Minister Stewart Stevenson to Jackson Carlaw 24 May 2010 - Response to recommendation 16 (Report by Finance Committee) http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/20090524reponsefromSStevensonrestage1report.pdf		Project team to continue to provide a 6 monthly update on progress to the Transport Infrastructure Climate Change.
65		The Scottish Parliament	26/05/2010	Temporary hard-shoulder running	Official Report Stage 1 Debate Forth Crossing Bill; 26 May 2010 (col 26553 - Minister Stewart Stevenson)		Another important point is that we intend to look at temporary hard-shoulder running for buses on the M90 during the construction period. We recognise that there will be particular issues during both the construction period and the post-construction period that will need to be addressed.'
66		The Scottish Parliament	12/11/2010	Signalised crossing B924 Bo'ness Road	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		I am pleased to confirm that we have already approached the City of Edinburgh Council regarding the implementation of a signalised pedestrian crossing on the B924 Bo'ness Rd/Hopetoun Rd.
67		The Scottish Parliament	12/11/2010	Receptors at Dundas Home Farm, Clufflats and Clufflat Brae, Inchgarvie Lodge, Linn Mill, Society Road and the edges of Springfield and Echline	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		I refer to my response in regard to paragraph 422 above in respect of Newton, the other locations are all agreed.
68		The Scottish Parliament	12/11/2010	Community forum meetings.	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		In respect of the additional undertakings at paragraphs 17 and 18 of that chapter I am content to accede to the committee's wishes and have provided more specific information on these and other matters raised more generally within your report in the Annex. (minimum of four (quarterly) community forum meetings per year.)
69		The Scottish Parliament	12/11/2010	Noise monitoring reports	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		Monitoring reports will be published as outlined above both on the information website and in hard copy at the Contact and Education Centre.
70		The Scottish Parliament	12/11/2010	Business Opportunities	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		In order to reassure the local business community, but more importantly to assist them to appropriately prepare themselves for construction, the project team are planning a convention in January 2011 specifically for local businesses in the areas of Fife, Edinburgh, West Lothian and the Forth estuary. I have asked the team to ensure that they collaborate, wherever possible, with the local authorities and representative organisations such as the Chambers of Commerce in Fife, Edinburgh and West Lothian to deliver a meaningful, productive event. A report following the event will be provided to the TICC as part of the project team's regular updates.
71	17 - 21	Kirklands Park Residents	12/11/2010	Kirkliston noise barrier	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		I am assuming that your reference to the extension to the noise barrier is southwards towards the B9080 bridge. I am pleased to confirm that this will be provided subject to, as noted by the committee, the retention of necessary sightlines for safety reasons.
72		The Scottish Parliament	12/11/2010	Echline area on the Bo'ness Road (A924)	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		I am content to provide this facility it will provide a physical and visual separation between the recreational land at Echline and the land which is to be used for the purposes of the Project.
73		The Scottish Parliament	12/11/2010	Echline fields	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		A risk assessment will be carried out by the Contractor for all SUDS basins to determine an appropriate form of fence. As a minimum, the Contractor will be required to install a welded mesh security fence of 1.2 metres height for all SUDS basins located adjacent to areas used by the public.
74		The Scottish Parliament	12/11/2010	Community Engagement	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		The updated publication ['Engaging with Communities - Construction'] will be available in advance of the commencement of the Principal Contract for construction of the replacement crossing and approach roads and we will seek the views of the local community councils on the revised document in advance of publication.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
1		The Scottish Parliament	12/11/2010	Transport, Infrastructure and Climate Change Committee (or its successor Committee) - future updates	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		An update will be provided to the TICC in early December as part of our commitment to provide regular updates on the project – this will continue with the TICC and any successor Committee (impacts on the road network and references to Newton village). The TICC committee will be kept apprised of developments – the project team are due to send their next update to the TICC in early December (Public transport issues and strategy). Officials and Ministers will be pleased to update the TICC and Finance Committees regularly on this strategic project. A regular update will be provided in writing or personally to the TICC or its successor committee on project progress, any issues and an overview of the number and nature of enquiries and complaints received and resolved (pertinent to each Committee).
75		The Scottish Parliament	12/11/2010	Future traffic flows impact	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		I have read the Assessor's comments in relation to this paragraph (462) closely and am grateful for his recognition that what the objectors seek to achieve would require a fundamental reappraisal of the project with additional costly works that would ultimately run contrary to the decision of the Parliament at Stage 1. However, I do take on board the spirit of the suggestion that the project plays its part in securing adequate mitigation for South Queensferry for the impacts, direct and indirect, of current and likely future traffic flows. Clearly as the roads listed are local roads operated by City of Edinburgh Council we will have to liaise with them and with the local Community Council to investigate what such mitigation would look like and how it can be funded and implemented. I will task the project team with looking into this in more detail once the Bill's passage has concluded.
76		The Scottish Parliament	12/11/2010	Code of Construction Practice - 4.5 Public Transport, Pedestrian, Equestrian or Cycle Routes	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		...I would agree with the Assessor's assessment that the CoCP is sufficient to meet the concerns of objectors regarding contingency plans to provide alternative routes during the construction phase but it raises the issue of what the arrangements will be once the CoCP is no longer in effect (once the scheme has opened) and that this has been noted by the committee in their recommendations at the end of the Stage 2 Report. I can confirm that I have tasked the Project team with looking further at how that situation could be dealt with, including what arrangements exist currently and in other locations where a similar issue may exist. An update on this development work, the proposals explored and the recommended policy will be included in the Project team's regular updates to the TICC as I am sure this is an area in which they will wish to have clarity also. I have asked the project team to be in a position to furnish the TICC with this information early in 2011.
77							
99	Section B: Commitments and Undertakings given to a specific 3rd party						
100	3	M Arbuckle	16/07/2010	Land	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mrs Arbuckle - ref forth crossing bill / 003 / obj / response - para 2		
101	4	H Milne	09/08/2010	Land	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mrs Milne - ref Forth Replacement Crossing Bill / 004 / Obj / corress - para 2 - second and third sentences		
102	4	H Milne	12/08/2010	Mitigation	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr and Mrs Milne - ref forth crossing bill / 004 / obj / corres		
103	9	Perm Rooster	02/08/2010	Land	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 - Considerations of Objectors - Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection by: Prem Rooster (Group 3) - 2 August 2010 - Para 4.2.1 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group03TSresponse2.pdf		
104	10	Scottish Badgers	12/08/2010	Mammal mitigation	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Hutchinson - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 010 / Objection / Response		
105	10	Scottish Badgers	13/08/2010	Consultation	Email from Jacobs Arup on behalf of Transport Scotland to Mr Hutchinson - 13 August 2010		
106	14	Dundas Home Farm	09/08/2010	Mitigation and construction impact	Letter from Transport Scotland - 9 August 2010		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
1	16	J Harper	15/06/2010	Land	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mrs Harper - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 016 / Obj / Corress - para 2 - first and second sentences		
107							
108	17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22	M Mcluskey on behalf of Kirklands Park residents	11/08/2010	Acquisition of land and mitigation	Letter from Transport Scotland - 11 August 2010		
109	17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 27	M Mcluskey on behalf of Kirklands Park residents	03/09/2010	Contact prior to works commencing	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 3 September 2010 - Col 336 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing3September2010.pdf		
110	29	Mr McCrae on behalf of Patullo	02/08/2010	Land	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 - Considerations of Objectors - Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by:Patullo (Group 7) - 2 August 2010 - Para 3.2.1 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group07TSresponse2.pdf		
111	30	Dundas Castle Farms	12/08/2010	Compensation	Letter from Transport Scotland to David Seed - ref: forth crossing bill 030 / 076 / obj / corres - para 4		
112	31,33, 81	Mr Coyne, Clufflat and Clufflat Brae Residents and Clufflat Brae and Clufflat	27/10/2010	Mitigation and construction impact	Letter from Transport Scotland - 27 October 2010		
113	34	Seymour and Johnstone	02/08/2010	Land Acquisition	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 - Considerations of Objectors - Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: Seymour and Johnstone (Group 9) 2 August 2010 - para 4.2.1 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group09TSresponse2.pdf		
114	34	Seymour and Johnstone	31/08/2010	Position regarding outstanding objection	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 30 August 2010 - Col 57 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearingmorning30August2010.pdf		
115	35	Springfield Residents Group	03/09/2010	Construction works at Springfield	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 03 September 2010 - Col 373 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing6September2010.pdf		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
116	36	Scotstoun Park Residents Association	02/08/2010	Land	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: Scotstoun (Group 48) 2 August 2010 - Para 12.2.1 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group48TSresponse2.pdf		
117	37	Scotstoun Park Listed Residents	19/04/2010	Land	Response letter ref: Forth Replacement Crossing Bill/037/Objections/Response		
118	39	Babcock International Group	20/04/2010	Code of Construction Practice	Response letter ref: Forth Replacement Crossing Bill/039/Objections/Response		
119	39	Babcock International Group	02/08/2010	Land and Railway Agreement	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 - Considerations of Objectors - Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: Babcock International (Group 10) - 2 August 2010 - 4.2.1 and 4.2.3 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group10TSresponse2.pdf		
120	40	Linn Mill Residents Association	15/07/2010	Mitigation and construction impact	Letter from Transport Scotland - 15 July 2010		
121	40	Linn Mill Residents Association	12/08/2010	Mitigation and construction impact	Email from Transport Scotland to Mr Ross - 12 August 2010		
122	40	Linn Mill Residents Association	05/09/2010	Mitigation and construction impact	Email from Transport Scotland to Mr Ross - 05 September 2010 13:46		
123	41	Mr Gerald Stevens	18/05/2010	Vibration	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Stevens - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 041 / Objection / Corres - para 7		I note your further comments regarding vibration and whilst no potentially significant effects were identified in the Environmental Statement the contractor will be made aware of concerns within Springfield and Clufflats in respect to vibration impacts prior to the contractor carrying out the risk assessment as required under paragraph 5.2.6 of the Code of Construction Practice.
124	42	M Baynham	02/08/2010	Land	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 - Considerations of Objectors - Transport Scotland Written Submissions Relating to the Objection by Michael Baynham (group 43) - 2 August 2010 - para 10.3 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group43TSresponse2.pdf		
125	42	M Baynham	09/09/2010	Land	Undertaking signed on behalf of the Scottish Ministers		
126	44	D Tait and L Chapman	12/10/2010	Mitigation and construction impact	Letter from Transport Scotland - 12 October 2010		
127	44	D Tait and L Chapman	02/09/2010	Pavement between wall and road	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 2 September 2010 - Col 286 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing2September2010.pdf		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
128	44	D Tait and L Chapman	02/09/2010	Servitude 1 and 2 Echline	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 2 September 2010 - Col 292 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing2September2010.pdf		
129	44	D Tait and L Chapman	02/09/2010	Keep Clear' 1 and 2 Echline	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 2 September 2010 - Col 294 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing2September2010.pdf		
130	46	D Tait on behalf of Echline Corner Consultative Alliance	24/08/2010	Mitigation and construction impact	Letter from Transport Scotland - 24 August 2010		
131	46	D Tait on behalf of Echline Corner Consultative Alliance	08/09/2010	Mitigation and construction impact	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 8 September 2010 - Col 586/87 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing8September2010.pdf		
132	47	Hopetoun Estate and Trust	27/04/2010	Traffic Management Working Group - Hopetoun Estate and Land	Letter from Transport Scotland to Messrs Noble and de Salis - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 047 / Objection / Response		
133	47	Hopetoun House and Trust	04/08/2010	Land	Meeting held on 4 August 2010 with the Clerks, Transport Scotland and the Objector at the Scottish Parliament		
134	47	Hopetoun House and Trust	25/08/2010	Mitigation and construction impact	Response letter ref: Forth Replacement Crossing Bill/047/Objections/corres		
135	47	Hopetoun House and Trust	31/08/2010	Heads of terms	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 31 August 2010 - Col 107 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearingmorning30August2010.pdf		
136	47	Hopetoun House and Trust	31/08/2010	Code of Construction Practice	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 31 August 2010 - Col 110 - 112 - 113 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearingmorning30August2010.pdf		
137	50	First Tower Trustees Limited	02/08/2010	Land Acquisition by Scottish Ministers	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 - Considerations of Objectors - Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: First Tower Trustees (Group 13) 2 August 2010 - Para 4.2.1 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/c/ommittees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group13TSresponse2.pdf		
138	51	Ms F Gordon on behalf of J G Dudgeon & Sons	12/07/2010	Mitigation and construction impact	Letter from Transport Scotland to Ms F Gordon - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 051 / Obj / Corressand email from Ms F Gordon to Transport Scotland dated 19 July 2010		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
1	53	Ms G Simpson on behalf of Messrs Franks, Bruce and Pierotti as Executors of the late Alfred Stewart's Estate	09/08/2010	Land and Access	Letter from Transport Scotland to Ms Simpson - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 053 / Obj / Corress - para 2 and 3		
139	53	Franks Bruce and Pierotti as Executors of the Late Alfred Stewart Estate	02/08/2010	Land	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 - Considerations of Objectors - Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by:Franks Bruce and Pierotti as Executors of the Late Alfred Stewart Estate (Group 14) 2 August 2010 - Para 5.2 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group14TSresponse2.pdf		
140	54	C MacLachlan	21/04/2010	Drainage and wall	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mrs MacLachlan - ref - Forth Replacement Crossing Bill/054/Objections/Response		
141	54	C MacLachlan	02/08/2010	Consultation and Land	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: Mrs Caroline MacLachlan (Group 45) Para 6.2.1 - 6.2.2 - 6.2.3 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group45TSresponse2.pdf		
142	54	C MacLachlan	10/08/2010	Land and Access	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mrs MacLachlan - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 054 / Obj / corres - para 4, 6 and 7		
143	54	C MacLachlan	02/09/2010	Lease (6 year)	Verbal and Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 02September 2010 - col 272 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing13September2010.pdf		
144	56	Mr K Robertson on behalf of J and D Wood	21/04/2010	Drainage	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Kenneth Robertson - ref Forth Replacement Crossing Bill/056/Objections/Response - para 9 - second sentence		
145	56	Mr K Robertson on behalf of J and D Wood	13/07/2010	Land	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Kenneth Robertson - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 056 / obj / response - para 1 - first sentence		
146	56	Mr K Robertson on behalf of J and D Wood	02/08/2010	Basin and access track	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: J & D Wood (Group 40) Para 4.2.1 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group40TSresponse2.pdf		
147							

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
1	56	Mr K Robertson on behalf of J and D Wood	09/08/2010	Environmental mitigation	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Robertson - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 056 / obj / corress - para 2 and 6		
148	58	Edward Dunbar of Davidson and Robertson on behalf of Major Job	20/08/2010	Wall design consultation	Email from Transport Scotland to Edward Dunbar of Davidson and Robertson 20 August 2010 15:43		
149	58	Edward Dunbar of Davidson and Robertson on behalf of Major Job	26/08/2010	Wall consultation	Email from Transport Scotland to Edward Dunbar of Davidson and Robertson 26 August 2010 08:48		
150	58	Edward Dunbar of Davidson and Robertson on behalf of Major Job	31/08/2010	Lease agreement	Email from Transport Scotland to Edward Dunbar of Davidson and Robertson 31 August 2010 14:15		
151	65	Martin Gallagher on behalf of Quennsferry Community and District Council	30/08/2010	Stakeholder Contact Report	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Gallagher - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 065 / obj / Corres - para 6		
152	65	Dr Mitchell on behalf of group 32 Queensferry	08/09/2010	Pedestrian crossings B8000	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 08 September 2010 - Col 75		
153	70	Deep Sea World	02/08/2010	Replacement Carpark	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 - Considerations of Objections - Transport Scotland Written Submissions Relating to the Objection by Deep Sea World (group 17) - 2 August 2010 - para 4.2.1 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group17TSresponse2.pdf		
154	72	Scarborough Muir Group	19/04/2010	Land	Letter from Transport Scotland to Scarborough Muir Group - ref Forth Replacement Crossing Bill/072/Objections/Response - para 14		
155	72	Scarborough Muir Group	06/05/2010	Land, servitude	Email from Transport Scotland to Shepherd & Wedderburn on behalf of the objector dated 06 May 2010		
156	72	Scarborough Muir Group	07/06/2010	Land, access	Meeting held on 7 June 2010; Shepherd & Wedderburn, Conference Square, 1 Exchange Crescent, Edinburgh		
157	72	Scarborough Muir Group	15/06/2010	Land	Email from Transport Scotland to Shepherd & Wedderburn on behalf of the objector dated 15 June 2010 - action point 12		
158	72	Scarborough Muir Group	02/08/2010	Land	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 - Considerations of Objections - Transport Scotland Written Submissions Relating to the Objection by Scarborough Muir Group (group 18) - 2 August 2010 - para 4.2.1 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group18TSresponse2.pdf		
159							

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
160	73	J B Collier	02/08/2010	Land	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 - Considerations of Objections - Transport Scotland Written Submissions Relating to the Objection by J B Collier (group 19) - 2 August 2010 - para 3.2.1 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group19TSresponse2.pdf		
161	76	Dundas Castle Estate	07/07/2010	Entrance and planting	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr David Seed - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 076 / Obj / corres - para 3 and 4		
162	76	Dundas Castle Estate	02/08/2010	Access, timing of works	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 - Consideration of Objections - Transport Scotland Written Submissions Relating to the Objection by Dundas Castle Estate (group 20) - 2 August 2010 - para 11.2.2 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group20TSresponse2.pdf		
163	76	Dundas Castle Estate	12/08/2010	Land Acquisition and impact	Letter from Transport Scotland to David Seed - ref: forth crossing bill 030 / 076 / obj / corres - para 2, 3, 5, and 6		
164	78	Mr Christopher Staples	12/08/2010	Lease of land	Letter from Transport Scotland to Christopher Staples - ref: forth crossing bill 078 / obj / corres - para 4		
165	82	Mr and Mrs Kirkcaldy	18/11/2010	Mitigation and construction impact	Letter from Transport Scotland - 18 November 2010		
166	83	City of Edinburgh Council	02/08/2010	Contact with Roads Authority	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: City of Edinburgh Council (Group 35) -13.2.1 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group35TSresponse2.pdf		13.2.1 The following specific commitments and undertakings have been made in relation to this objection: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Amendment to section 13(3) of the Bill to include explicitly a reference to the applicability of section 112 of the Roads (Scotland) Act 1984; · Amendment to section 19 that will place the Scottish Ministers under a duty to consult with the relevant roads authority before the commencement of any of the access works and to consider representation by the roads authority on the carrying out of such works; · Amendment to subsection 20(2) that will place the Scottish Ministers under a duty to consult with the relevant roads authority before the commencement of the construction of roads that are to be subsequently transferred to the local authority and to consider any representation by the roads authority on the construction of such roads; · Amendment to subsection 20(3) of the Bill that will place the Scottish Ministers under a duty to consult with the relevant roads authority before the commencement of works;
167	83	City of Edinburgh Council	02/08/2010	Code of Construction Practice	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: City of Edinburgh Council (Group 35) -13.2.1(5) http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group35TSresponse2.pdf		A commitment to continue to work with the Council on matters relating to construction noise and other aspects of the Code of Construction Practice.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
1	83	City of Edinburgh Council	09/08/2010	Local Authority powers	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Poulton - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 083 / CEC / Obj / Corres - para 4 - second and third sentence		We will, as previously agreed, set out a revised approach which will provide certainty as well as, importantly, retaining safeguards for the local community under a comprehensive, inclusive, transparent management regime. Accordingly, a revision to the Code of Construction Practice together with an updated Noise Management Plan will be issued for comment and review.
168	83	City of Edinburgh Council	09/08/2010	Amendments to the Bill	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Poulton - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 083 / CEC / Obj / Corres - para 5		In respect of the proposed Bill amendments to Part 2 (Roads) you can be assured that we will progress matters so as to provide the Council with an opportunity to comment and agree the draft changes.
169	83	City of Edinburgh Council	10/08/2010	Traffic Management at Echline	Letter from Transport Scotland to City of Edinburgh Council - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 083 / CEC / Obj / Corres - para 2, 3 and 4		... the existing traffic signals at Echline Roundabout will be removed under the proposed works. A new bus priority signal will be provided on the B800 approach to the roundabout to allow buses to manoeuvre from the bus lane into the right turn lane to access the Forth road Bridge. The existing pedestrian signals located on the north facing slip roads will be retained. In order to provide suitable visibility to the pedestrian crossing located on the north bound merge, it is proposed to remove the existing vehicle restraint barrier at the corner of the A904 and the north bound merge slip road and replace it with a pedestrian guardrail. As discussed at the meeting 6 August, it is proposed that the pedestrian signals will be retained and maintained by CEC.... retained section of A90 has been amended such that it will be possible for southbound buses to remain on the old mainline carriageway to join the public transport link at Scotstoun... only buses seeking access to the B800/A904 would need to utilise the southbound diverge at Echline Junction.
170	83	City of Edinburgh Council	10/08/2010	Operational responsibility at Queensferry Junction	Letter from Transport Scotland to City of Edinburgh Council - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 083 / CEC / Obj / Corres - para 8 and 9		... Transport Scotland will be responsible for the operation and maintenance of the signals at Queensferry Junction.
171	83	City of Edinburgh Council	10/08/2010	Retention of Ferrymuir roundabout and provision of traffic facilities	Letter from Transport Scotland to City of Edinburgh Council - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 083 / CEC / Obj / Corres - para 8		... the existing Ferrymuir Roundabout will be retained under the proposed scheme. Some minor works will be required to the entry and exit radii to accommodate the proposed bus priority lanes and improvements to the pedestrian / cyclist facilities. A new Toucan crossing will be provided across the entrance to the Ferrymuir Retail Park. The existing controlled pedestrian crossing located to the north of the roundabout will be retained but the crossing may be widened to 4m to minimise any potential works that may be required should the Council want to amend the crossing to a Toucan crossing in the future. The existing uncontrolled pedestrian crossing located to the south of the roundabout will also be retained.
172	83	City of Edinburgh Council	13/09/2010	Dispute resolution	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 13 September 2010 - col 750 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing13September2010.pdf	Code of Construction Practice	...will determine through the workings of the traffic management working group an appropriate dispute resolution process.
173	84	West Lothian Council	15/04/2010	Enquiries and Complaints Register	Letter from Transport Scotland to West Lothian Council - ref Forth Replacement Crossing Bill/084/Objections/Response		We will work with you to agree the format of information to be provided. We have advised the Forth Crossing Bill Committee that both summary and more detailed information from the enquiries and complaints register will be provided to the local authorities but the level of detail will depend on that which you require. Such information will likely include summary and/or detailed information regarding: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the number of enquiries and complaints • the topics of enquiries and complaints • the measures taken to investigate, deal with or address enquiries and complaints • the timescale taken to respond to or address enquiries and complaints We will agree with you the process for providing updates, either in relation to requests or updates at regular intervals so as to not burden you with provision of information you do not find useful or helpful. Personal information protected by data protection legislation will not be provided as part of this process.
174	84	West Lothian Council	20/08/2010	M1 Junction 1A works	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Graeme Malcolm of West Lothian Council - 20 August 2010		I can also confirm that our requirements for the works at Junction 1A of the M9 are that they should not preclude hard shoulder running and with additional modest works we will be able to provide an east-bound facility from east of Junction 2 through to Newbridge.
175							

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
176	84	West Lothian Council	09/07/2010	M1 Junction 1A works	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 9 September 2010 - col 704 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing9September2010.pdf		Alan Gillies (Jacobs Arup Joint Venture): The design of junction 1A will minimise the work involved in incorporating a bus lane in the future. For example, we are building a new structure that would not need to be taken down and put back up in such a situation. Until the strategy has been further developed, we will not be able to get into the detail of lane widths and issues such as how hard-shoulder running might operate through the junction. Graeme Malcolm: So, in terms of the wording and the construction of the paragraph that I refer to, the key element is that hard-shoulder running could be provided at some point in the future, and the reference to additional modest cost does not link to the works at junction 1A. Alan Gillies: It does link to— Graeme Malcolm: It does not link. Lawrence Shackman: To clarify, there are some modest items of work that we will include in the junction 1A contract to ensure that we do not have to go back and do unnecessary wholesale works at a future date. I will not go into the technical details of those items of work, as that would be going into far too much detail.
177	84	West Lothian Council	09/07/2010	Newton Village traffic mitigation	Transcript of Stage 2 Assessor hearings - 9 September 2010 - col 706 - http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/TranscriptofAssessorHearing9September2010.pdf		Graeme Malcolm: Can Transport Scotland confirm that, with the support of the council, it will ensure that a commitment is made in the project to deliver the proposed mitigation measures? Lawrence Shackman: That is correct. (relates to Newton Traffic Calming Report)
178	86	Brodies LLP Solicitors on behalf of LXB Properties (Winchburgh) Ltd	15/10/2010	Junction 1A	Undertaking signed on behalf of the Scottish Ministers - 15 October 2010		
179	86	Brodies LLP Solicitors on behalf of LXB Properties (Winchburgh) Ltd	15/10/2010	Engagement and consultation	Undertaking signed on behalf of the Scottish Ministers - 15 October 2010		
180	87	Lord Rosebery	02/08/2010	Land acquisition, servitude and maintenance	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: Lord Rosebey (Group 24) 2 August 2010 - Para 7.2.1 (2 and 3) http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group24TSresponse2.pdf		
181	87	Lord Rosebery	11/08/2010	Land and Mitigation	Letter from Transport Scotlanf to Lord Rosebery - ref Forth Crossing Bill / 087 / obj / corres		
182	87	Lord Rosebery	16/08/2010	Drainage	Email from Transport Scotland to Lord Roseberry on 16 August 2010 17:05		
183	1, 2, 8, 28, 35, 41, 63, 77	AC and JM Douglas, M Miles, G McLennan, G Hunter, G Stevens, Springfield Residents Group, T Mackay, R and D Wardrop	02/08/2010	Environmental Impact of Construction and Operation	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objections submitted by: Springfield (Group 27) - Para 23.2.1 2 August 2010 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group27TSresponse2.pdf		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	Obj No.	To Whom (Objector No where relevant)	Date Given	Subject	Documentary/Parliamentary Reference	Comment	Text (where relevant)
184	7, 25	S Frost and Linlithgow Cycling Action Group	02/08/2010	Code of Construction Practice revisions	Forth Crossing Bill Stage 2 – Consideration of Objections Transport Scotland Written Submission Relating to the Objection submitted by: Linlithgow Cycling Group (Group 38) - 5.1 http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/s3/committees/forthXbill/documents/documents/Group38TSresponse2.pdf		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revision 1 of the Code of Construction Practice included a requirements that the information in the Traffic Management Plan relating to traffic management layouts, signing and apparatus to be implemented will include details of any temporary measures or signing to be implemented to maintain access to and signing of National Cycle Routes and other existing routes signposted for pedestrians or cyclists. Transport Scotland amended paragraphs 4.1.1 and 4.2.2 of the Code of Construction Practice to refer to users of cycle tracks and other paths in reflection of comments made by the Objectors.
185	76, 30	Dundas Castle Estate	12/08/2010	Land and impact of construction	Letter from Transport Scotland to Mr Seed - ref Forth Replacement Crossing Bill / 030 / 076 / obj / corres		
186		Scotstoun House	20/01/2010	Reduce land	Letter to owner		
187	85	Brodies LLP Solicitors on behalf of Edinburgh Airport Limited.	04/11/2010	Marking and lighting of structures	Undertaking signed on behalf of the Scottish Ministers - 04 November 2010		
188	48	Scotstoun Park Residents Association	12/11/2010	Noise, vibration and air pollution monitoring equipment Scotstoun Park	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		I am pleased to confirm that the already extensive monitoring that we have committed to will include the area around Scotstoun Park.
189	71	Newton Community Council	12/11/2010	Mitigation Newton village	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		The Committee may be pleased to note that as part of the overall monitoring and evaluation of the project, monitoring of various elements, including traffic will be programmed. The Project team will utilise existing count facilities where available and will also supplement this, specifically in respect of Newton, with traffic counts before the commencement of the works, during construction and after the opening of the west facing M9 Junction 1A slips and the implementation of the proposed traffic mitigation measures. All relevant data from counts will be made available to Newton Community Council in the first instance and online as appropriate. I note the comments of the Assessor in respect of the Noise Insulation (Scotland) Regulations 1975 and confirm that we will apply the regulations to Newton in accordance with their scope and provisions.
190	14, 43	Dundas Home Farm	12/11/2010	Dundas Home Farm: gap in the barrier	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		Whilst my officials presented a cogent case for the retention of the gap I am, in the spirit of cooperation, prepared to accede to the Committee's request, subject, of course, to an assessment against the requirements of the Environmental Statement which will be undertaken in the coming weeks.
191	3	M Arbuckle	12/11/2010	Land - Plot 311	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		As stated by my officials at the hearings, we will ensure that the final permanent fence line is as tight as possible to the toe of the embankment.
192	54	C MacLachlan	12/11/2010	Land	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		As the Assessor has recommended, continued discussions with the landowner as well as a reappraisal of all of these plots has concluded that it is necessary for Plots 204, 209 and 213 to be purchased to ensure delivery of the project. I can confirm that it has been tightly drawn and includes only land which is necessary to provide assurance that the contractor's final design and associated mitigation can be accommodated. In respect of 'another plot' I am pleased to report that rather than purchase the land in question a compromise has been reached which will result in a lease being taken for this plot for a relatively short period of time. Early indications from the landowner suggest that this is acceptable to them. My officials will, of course, continue to liaise with the landowner regarding the details of the lease to ensure that it is satisfactory.
193	56	J and D Wood	12/11/2010	Land	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		As stated by my officials at the hearings, we will ensure that the final permanent fence line is as tight as possible to the toe of the embankment.
194	46	Echline Corner Consultative Alliance, Mr and Mrs Richardson, D Robertson and P Mclean, S Sinclair, N Watson, L Rylance, J Roan	12/11/2010	Air quality, dust and vibration receptors, Echline Corner	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		The additional receptors suggested by the Assessor in relation to Echline Corner will be provided and a commitment has been added to the Register of Commitments and Undertakings to this effect. The updated version of the Commitments and Undertakings register will be issued to the Parliament and published online following the conclusion of Stage 2.
195	40	Linn Mill Residents Association	12/11/2010	Linn Mill barrier	Minister's response to Forth Crossing Bill Committee stage 2 report - Annex A - 12 November 2010		I have noted the comments of the Assessor in respect of this mitigation and we will ensure, through the contract, that the acoustic barriers are in place at a time that will ensure that the mitigation will be effective.